Tensor-to-scalar ratio in punctuated inflation

Rajeev Kumar Jain,^{1[,*](#page-0-0)} Pravabati Chingangbam,^{2[,†](#page-0-1)} L. Sriramkumar,^{1,[‡](#page-0-2)} and Tarun Souradeep^{3,§}

¹Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad 211 019, India
²Korea Institute for Advanced Study 207, 43 Cheenamyanani 2 dans. Donadaamun ay Secul 130

 $2K$ orea Institute for Advanced Study, 207–43 Cheongnyangni 2-dong, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Korea

 3 IUCAA, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411 007, India

(Received 19 February 2010; published 14 July 2010)

Recently, we have shown that scalar spectra with lower power on large scales and certain other features naturally occur in *punctuated inflation*, i.e. the scenario wherein a brief period of rapid roll is sandwiched between two stages of slow roll inflation. Such spectra gain importance due to the fact that they can lead to a better fit of the observed CMB anisotropies, when compared to the conventional, featureless, power law spectrum. In this paper, with examples from the canonical scalar field as well as the tachyonic models, we illustrate that, in punctuated inflation, a drop in the scalar power on large scales is *always* accompanied by a rise in the tensor power and, hence, an even more pronounced increase in the tensor-to-scalar ratio r on these scales. Interestingly, we find that r actually *exceeds well beyond unity* over a small range of scales. To our knowledge, this work presents for the first time, examples of single scalar field inflationary models wherein $r \gg 1$. This feature opens up interesting possibilities. For instance, we show that the rise in r on large scales translates to a rapid increase in the angular power spectrum, C_{ℓ}^{BB} , of the B-mode polarization of the CMB at the low multipoles. We discuss the observational implications of these results.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.82.023509](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.023509) PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.70.Vc, 04.30.-w

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The concordant cosmological model—viz. a spatially flat, Λ cold dark matter (Λ CDM) model and a nearly scale invariant primordial spectrum, with or without a small tensor contribution (say, with a tensor-to-scalar ratio r of less than 0.1)—seems to fit the recent CMB data rather well [\[1\]](#page-8-0). However, different observations have indicated that a few low multipoles of the observed CMB angular power spectrum lie outside the cosmic variance associated with the concordant model [[2\]](#page-8-1). These discrepancies have remained in subsequent updates of the data [\[1](#page-8-0)[,2](#page-8-1)], and have also survived in other independent estimates of the angular power spectrum (see, for instance, Ref. [[3\]](#page-8-2)). Given the CMB observations, a handful of model independent approaches have been constructed over the last few years to recover the primordial power spectrum [[4\]](#page-8-3). At the smaller scales, all these approaches arrive at a spectrum that is nearly scale invariant. However, many of the approaches seem to unambiguously point to a sharp drop in power (with specific features) at the scales corresponding to the Hubble scale today.

Even as the debate about the statistical significance of the outliers in the CMB data has continued [[5\]](#page-8-4), a considerable amount of effort has been devoted to understand the possible physical reasons behind these outliers (for an inexhaustive list, see Refs. [[6](#page-8-5)[–9\]](#page-8-6)). Within the inflationary paradigm, different models have been constructed to produce a sharp drop in the scalar power at large scales, so as to lead to a better fit to the low quadrupole (see Refs. [[7](#page-8-7)[,8\]](#page-8-8); for earlier efforts that discuss generating features in the inflationary perturbation spectrum, see Refs. [\[10–](#page-9-0)[12](#page-9-1)]). However, many of the scenarios that have been considered in this context seem rather artificial—they either assume a specific preinflationary regime or specific initial conditions for the inflaton [[8](#page-8-8)]. Also, in some cases, either certain special initial conditions are chosen for the perturbations or the initial conditions are imposed when a subset of the modes are outside the Hubble radius [[8\]](#page-8-8). Such requirements clearly contradict the spirit of inflation.

Motivated by the aim of arriving at the desired power spectrum without any special initial conditions on either the background or the perturbations, we have recently considered a setting involving two stages of slow roll inflation that sandwich an intermediate period of departure from inflation [[13](#page-9-2)]. In such a punctuated inflationary sce*nario*,¹ the first phase of slow roll inflation allows us to impose the standard, sub-Hubble initial conditions on the perturbations which may leave the Hubble radius during the subsequent rapid roll regime (i.e. a period wherein the first slow roll parameter $\epsilon \ge 1$). The second slow roll phase lasts for, say, 50–60 e-folds, thereby enabling us to overcome the well-known horizon problem associated with the hot big bang model. We had discovered that such a

[^{*}P](#page-0-3)resent address: Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Geneva, 24 Quai Ernest-Ansermet, CH-1211, Geneva 4, Switzerland;

rajeev.jain@unige.ch [†](#page-0-3)

Present address: Astrophysical Research Center for the Structure and Evolution of the Cosmos, Sejong University, 98 Gunja Dong, Gwangjin gu, Seoul 143-747, Korea; prava@kias.re.kr [‡](#page-0-3)

sriram@hri.res.in

x tarun@iucaa.ernet.in

¹For the earliest discussions on such a possibility, see Refs. [[10](#page-9-0),[11](#page-9-3)].

background behavior can be achieved in certain large field inflationary models wherein the potentials contain a point of inflection [the form of the potentials we had considered are encountered in the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM)]. We had shown that the slow-rapid-slow roll transition leads to a step like feature in the scalar power spectrum. Importantly, we had found that, if we set the scales such that the drop in the power spectrum occurs at a length scale that roughly corresponds to the Hubble radius today, then a spectrum we had obtained leads to a much better fit to the WMAP 5-year data when compared to the best fit reference Λ CDM model with the standard, power law, primordial spectrum [\[13\]](#page-9-2).

All models of inflation generate tensor perturbations that can potentially have an observable effect on the measured CMB temperature and polarization spectra [\[14\]](#page-9-4). Barring an exception [\[15\]](#page-9-5), most of the efforts in the literature have focused on suppressing the scalar power spectrum on large scales, and have overlooked the corresponding effects on the tensors. In this paper, we investigate the effects of the slow-rapid-slow roll transition on the tensor perturbations in the canonical scalar field and the tachyonic [\[16–](#page-9-6)[18\]](#page-9-7) inflationary models. Aided by a few different examples (including the specific model that we had considered earlier), we show that, in punctuated inflation, a drop in the scalar power on large scales is *always* associated with an increase in the tensor power and, hence, a dramatic rise in the tensor-to-scalar ratio r , on these scales. In fact, we find that the strong rise leads to a small range of modes for which the tensor-to-scalar ratio actually proves to be *much* greater than unity. 2 We believe that this is the first instance in the literature wherein examples of single scalar field inflationary models resulting in $r \gg 1$ are being presented. However, if we are to utilize the drop in the scalar power to provide a better fit to the low CMB quadrupole, then the modes with the rather large tensor-to-scalar ratio turn out to be bigger than the Hubble scale today.

The rapid rise in the tensor-to-scalar ratio r at large scales translates to a dramatic enhancement in the angular power spectrum, C_{ℓ}^{BB} , of the *B*-mode polarization of the CMB at the low multipoles. This could potentially be a characteristic signature of punctuated inflationary scenarios that match the CMB data well. But, in the specific models of punctuated inflation that we have explored to match the low multipoles of CMB temperature power spectrum, the enhanced C_{ℓ}^{BB} is not at an observable level. This is due to the following two reasons. First, the band of scales where $r \gg 1$ is well beyond the Hubble scale today and, second, because r is extremely small at large wave numbers. However, it is readily conceivable that there exist models of punctuated inflation where either one or both of these features can be modified favorably to arrive at observable levels of C_{ℓ}^{BB} . We defer a systematic hunt for such models to a later publication and, in this work, we highlight the extremely large values of tensor-to-scalar ratio r attainable in the punctuated inflationary scenario.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, after rapidly summarizing the essential equations and quantities, we outline the broad features of the scalar and tensor spectra in punctuated inflation. In Sec. III, we discuss the spectra that arise in two different punctuated inflationary models involving the canonical scalar field, while, in Sec. IV, we discuss the spectra in a particular tachyonic model. In Sec. V, we consider the corresponding effects on the angular power spectrum of the B-mode polarization of the CMB. Finally, in Sec. VI, we conclude with a brief discussion on the implications of this feature. In the Appendix, to highlight the feature that the tensor-to-scalar ratio can turn out to be greater than unity for a range of modes in punctuated inflation, we illustrate the evolution of the scalar and tensor amplitudes for a particular mode from this domain.

In the discussions below, we shall set \hbar and c as well as $M_{\rm P} = (8\pi G)^{-1/2}$ to unity. As is often done in the context of inflation, we shall work with the spatially flat Friedmann model. Also, throughout, an overdot and an overprime shall denote differentiation with respect to the cosmic and the conformal times, respectively. Moreover, ϕ shall denote the scalar field described by the canonical action, while T shall denote the tachyon.

II. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PERTURBATION SPECTRA IN PUNCTUATED INFLATION

In this section, after outlining the equations governing the perturbations and listing the observable quantities of interest, we discuss the broad features of the scalar and the tensor spectra that arise in the punctuated inflationary scenario.

A. Key equations and quantities

We begin by briefly summarizing the essential equations and the quantities that we shall be interested in [\[19,](#page-9-8)[20\]](#page-9-9). The curvature perturbation \mathcal{R}_k and the tensor perturbation \mathcal{U}_k satisfy the differential equations

$$
\mathcal{R}_k'' + 2\left(\frac{z'}{z}\right)\mathcal{R}_k' + k^2c_s^2\mathcal{R}_k = 0 \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{U}_k'' + 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)\mathcal{U}_k' + k^2\mathcal{U}_k = 0,
$$
\n(1)

where a is the scale factor and c_S denotes the speed of propagation of the scalar perturbations. The effective speed of sound c_S turns out to be unity for the canonical scalar field, while $c_S^2 = (1 - \dot{T}^2)$ in the case of the tachyon [[18\]](#page-9-7). Also, the quantity z is given by

 2 In the models we consider, r attains a maximum value of about 100. Though the tensor-to-scalar ratio is large, the actual amplitude of the tensor perturbations still remains small enough for the linear perturbation theory to be valid.

TENSOR-TO-SCALAR RATIO IN PUNCTUATED INFLATION PHYSICAL REVIEW D 82, 023509 (2010)

$$
z = (a\dot{\phi}/H) \quad \text{and} \quad z = (\sqrt{3}a\dot{T}/c_{\rm S}), \tag{2}
$$

in the case of the conventional scalar field and the tachyonic inflationary models, respectively, with H , as usual, being the Hubble parameter. The scalar and the tensor power spectra $P_{\rm S}(k)$ and $P_{\rm T}(k)$ are then defined as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}(k) = \left(\frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\right) |\mathcal{R}_k|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}(k) = 2\left(\frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\right) |\mathcal{U}_k|^2,
$$
\n(3)

with the amplitude of the perturbations \mathcal{R}_k and \mathcal{U}_k evaluated, in general, at super-Hubble scales. [The factor of 2 in the tensor spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{T}(k)$ above is to account for the two states of polarization of the gravitational waves.] Finally, the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r(k)$ is defined as follows:

$$
r(k) \equiv \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_{\rm T}(k)}{\mathcal{P}_{\rm S}(k)}\right).
$$
 (4)

B. The scalar and the tensor spectra in punctuated inflation

While considering single scalar field models, it is often remarked that, during inflation, the amplitude of the curvature perturbations freezes at its value at Hubble exit. Actually, this happens to be true only if there is no departure from slow roll inflation soon after the modes leave the Hubble radius [\[21\]](#page-9-10). But, when there is a period of deviation from slow roll, then, it is found that the asymptotic (i.e. the extreme super-Hubble) amplitude of the modes that exit the Hubble scale just before the deviation are enhanced when compared to their value at Hubble exit. While modes that leave well before the departure from slow roll are unaffected, it has been shown that there exists an intermediate range of modes whose amplitudes are suppressed at super-Hubble scales. Because of these behaviors, punctuated inflation leads to a step like feature in the scalar power spectrum. Evidently, the two nearly flat regions of the step correspond to modes that exit the Hubble scale during the two stages of slow roll. For instance, in the case of the canonical scalar field models, these slow roll amplitudes will be given by the following standard expression (see, for example, Refs. [\[19,](#page-9-8)[20\]](#page-9-9)):

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}(k) \simeq \left(\frac{1}{12\pi^2}\right) \left(\frac{V^3}{V_{\phi}^2}\right)_{k=(aH)},\tag{5}
$$

where $V_{\phi} \equiv (dV/d\phi)$, and the spectral amplitude has to be evaluated when the modes leave the Hubble radius. The step actually contains a sharp dip before the rise, and this feature is associated with the modes that leave the Hubble radius just before the transition to the rapid roll regime.

Let us now understand the tensor spectrum that can result in a similar situation. In the case of the scalar modes, the quantity $\left(\frac{z}{z}\right)$ that appears in the differential equation for the curvature perturbation \mathcal{R}_k in Eq. ([1](#page-1-0)) turns out to be

negative during a period of fast roll, and it is this feature that proves to be responsible for the amplification or the suppression of the modes at super-Hubble scales [\[21\]](#page-9-10). In contrast, the coefficient of the friction term in the equation for the tensor amplitude \mathcal{U}_k in Eq. ([1\)](#page-1-0)—viz. $\left(\frac{a}{a}\right)$ —is a positive definite quantity at all times. Hence, we do not expect any nontrivial super-Hubble evolution of the tensor perturbations. However, recall that, during a period of slow roll, the tensor amplitude is proportional to the potential of the scalar field and, in the case of the canonical scalar field models, it is given by [[19](#page-9-8),[20](#page-9-9)]

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}(k) \simeq \left(\frac{2V}{3\pi^2}\right)_{k=(aH)}.\tag{6}
$$

It is then immediately clear that, in the slow-rapid-slow roll scenario of our interest, the tensor spectrum will also be in the shape of a step, with the modes that leave during the second slow roll phase having lower power than those which exit during the first phase [since, unless the potential is negative, the inflaton always rolls down the potential (see, for example, Ref. [\[22\]](#page-9-11))]. In other words, in punctuated inflation, the tensor step happens to be in exactly the opposite direction as the step in the scalar spectrum. The fact that the scalar power drops at large scales, while the tensor power rises on these scales, leads to a sharp increase in the tensor-to-scalar ratio r . Interestingly, we find that the steep rise can result in the tensor-to-scalar ratio being greater than unity for a small range of modes. (These range of modes correspond to those for which the scalar spectrum exhibits a sharp dip before the rise.) However, as we shall discuss below, in the specific models of punctuated inflation that we consider, in spite of the rise, the tensor-toscalar ratio remains too small to be observed (*r* proves to be less than 10^{-4}) for the modes of cosmological interest (say, $10^{-4} < k < 1$ Mpc⁻¹). But, we believe that the increase in the tensor-to-scalar ratio at large scales considerably improves the prospects of constructing punctuated inflationary models wherein C_{ℓ}^{BB} at the low multipoles is within the observational reach of current missions such as PLANCK [\[23\]](#page-9-12) or future ones such as, for instance, CMBPol [[24](#page-9-13)].

In the following two sections, we shall explicitly illustrate these behavior with the help of specific examples.

III. PUNCTUATED INFLATION WITH CANONICAL SCALAR FIELDS

In this section, we shall discuss punctuated inflationary scenarios in models where inflation is driven by the canonical scalar field. We shall first present the model that we had considered earlier [[13](#page-9-2)], and then discuss a hybrid inflation model.

Before proceeding to discuss the specific models, we shall outline as to how one can arrive at the potential and the parameters that result in punctuated inflation and the desired scalar spectrum. Needless to say, not all potentials will allow punctuated inflation. Therefore, to begin with,

one has to identify a potential, or a class of potentials, that lead to such a scenario. Even among the limited class of potentials, the required slow-rapid-slow roll transition may occur only for a certain range of values of the parameters describing the potential. The form of the potential and the range of the parameters can be arrived at, say, based on the behavior of the first two potential slow roll parameters. Once the potential and the range of the parameters that allow punctuated inflation have been identified, we need to ensure that the following two observational requirements are also satisfied. First, the second stage of slow roll inflation has to last for about 60 e-folds in order to overcome the horizon problem. Second, the nearly scale invariant higher step in the scalar power spectrum has to match the cosmic background explorer (COBE) amplitude. These two conditions further restrict the allowed range of the parameters describing the potential.

A. The model motivated by MSSM

The model motivated by MSSM that we had considered in our earlier work contains two parameters, m and λ , and is described by the potential [[25](#page-9-14)]

$$
V(\phi) = \left(\frac{m^2}{2}\right)\phi^2 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\lambda(n-1)}m}{n}\right)\phi^n + \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)\phi^{2(n-1)},\tag{7}
$$

where $n > 2$ is an integer. This potential has a point of inflection at $\phi = \phi_0$ [i.e. the location where both V_{ϕ} and $V_{\phi\phi} \equiv (d^2V/d\phi^2)$ vanish], with ϕ_0 given by

$$
\phi_0 = \left[\frac{2m^2}{(n-1)\lambda}\right]^{1/(2(n-2))}.\tag{8}
$$

Note that the potential ([7](#page-3-0)) reduces to a typical large field model when the field is sufficiently far away from the point of inflection. It is then clear that the first stage of slow roll can be achieved in the domain $\phi \gg \phi_0$, and a period of ear so denoted in the domain $\phi > \phi_0$, and a period of rapid roll can occur when $\phi \approx [\sqrt{2}(n-1)]$. Also, since the first two potential slow roll parameters vanish at the point of inflection, a second stage of slow roll can be expected to arise when the field is very close to ϕ_0 . We find that restarting inflation after the rapid roll phase and the number of e-folds that can be achieved during the second stage of slow roll crucially depends on the location of the point of inflection. We depend on the numerics to arrive at a suitable value of ϕ_0 . Once ϕ_0 has thus been identified, we find that the COBE normalization determines the value of the other free parameter m.

In Fig. [1](#page-3-1), we have plotted the scalar and the tensor power spectra for the cases of $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. These spectra correspond to the parameters that provide the best fit to the WMAP 5-year data (for further details, see Ref. [\[13\]](#page-9-2)). We should mention that in these cases inflation is actually interrupted for about one e-fold during the rapid roll regime. We had found that, while the $n = 3$ case provides a much better fit to the data than the reference concordant model, the $n = 4$ case leads to a very poor fit to the data. We believe that the poor fit by the $n = 4$ case can be

FIG. 1 (color online). The scalar power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{S}(k)$ (the solid black line) and the tensor power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{T}(k)$ (the dashed black line) have been plotted as a function of the wave number k for the cases of $n = 3$ (on the left) and $n = 4$ (on the right). These spectra correspond to the following values of the potential parameters: $m = 1.5368 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\lambda = 6.1517 \times 10^{-15}$ (corresponding to $\phi_0 =$ 1.9594) for $n = 3$ case and $m = 1.1406 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\lambda = 1.448 \times 10^{-16}$ (corresponding to $\phi_0 = 2.7818$) for $n = 4$ case. The red curve in these plots is the spectrum [\(9\)](#page-4-0) with the exponential cutoff, whose parameters have been arrived at by a simple visual comparison with the numerically evaluated scalar spectrum. It corresponds to $A_s = 2 \times 10^{-9}$, $n_s = 0.945$, $\alpha = 3.35$, and $k_* = 0.945$ 2.4×10^{-4} Mpc⁻¹ in the $n = 3$ case, while $A_s = 2 \times 10^{-9}$, $n_s = 0.95$, $\alpha = 3.6$, and $k_* = 9.0 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc⁻¹ in the case of $n = 4$. Note that the vertical blue lines denote k_{*} . We should mention that, in the two slow roll regimes, the spectral amplitudes evaluated in the slow roll approximation [cf. Eqs. ([5](#page-2-0)) and ([6\)](#page-2-1)] match the above exact numerical spectra quite well. The horizontal dotted lines indicate the maximum value of the tensor amplitude that can arise in these MSSM-motivated, punctuated inflationary models.

FIG. 2 (color online). The tensor-to-scalar ratio $r(k)$ for the cases of $n = 3$ (the solid black line) and $n = 4$ (the dashed black line) has been plotted as a function of the wave number k . These plots have been drawn for the same choice of parameters as in the previous figure. The vertical solid and dashed blue lines denote the k_{\ast} corresponding to the $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ cases, respectively. Note that, despite the rise at the larger wavelengths, the tensor-to-scalar ratio remains smaller than 10^{-4} for modes of cosmological interest (i.e. for $k \geq k_{*}$). For this reason, in our earlier work [\[13\]](#page-9-2), we had ignored the tensor contribution when comparing with the WMAP 5-year data. Interestingly, we find that there arises a domain wherein the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r(k)$ is actually much greater than unity. To highlight this feature, we have included the horizontal green line which denotes $r = 1$. In the Appendix, we have plotted the evolution of the scalar and tensor amplitudes for a mode from this domain.

attributed to the large bump in the scalar power spectrum that arises just before it turns nearly scale invariant. Since the bump grows with *n*, we feel that the cases with $n > 4$ will fit the data much more poorly and, hence, we have not compared these cases with the data.

The scalar power spectrum with a drop in power at large scales is often approximated by an expression with an exponential cutoff of the following form (see, for instance, the first two references in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-8-8)):

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}(k) = A_{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \exp[-(k/k_*)^{\alpha}])k^{n_{\mathcal{S}}-1}.
$$
 (9)

In Fig. [1](#page-3-1), we have also plotted this expression for values of A_s , n_s , α , and k_* that closely approximate the exact spectra we obtain.

In Fig. [2](#page-4-1), we have plotted the resulting tensor-to-scalar ratio r for the two cases of $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. Clearly, the broad characteristics of the scalar and the tensor spectra as well as the tensor-to-scalar ratio that we had outlined in the previous section are corroborated by these two figures.

B. A hybrid inflation model

Another model that is known to lead to a punctuated inflationary scenario is a hybrid model that can be effectively described by the following potential (see the first reference in Ref. [[21\]](#page-9-10); for the earliest discussion of the model, see Ref. [[26\]](#page-9-15)):

FIG. 3 (color online). The scalar power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{S}(k)$ (the solid black line) and the tensor power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{T}(k)$ (the dashed black line) have been plotted (on the left) as a function of the wave number k for the hybrid inflation model described by the potential [\(10\)](#page-5-0). The corresponding tensor-to-scalar ratio $r(k)$ has also been plotted (on the right). As in the previous figure, the horizontal green line in the right graph denotes $r = 1$. These spectra correspond to following values of the potential parameters: $M = 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $B =$ 0.552. The solid red curve in the left graph is the exponential cutoff spectrum ([9\)](#page-4-0) corresponding to $A_s = 2 \times 10^{-9}$, $n_s = 1.0$, $k_* = 2.2 \times 10^{-9}$ 2.2×10^{-3} Mpc⁻¹, and $\alpha = 3.5$. The vertical blue line in both the graphs denotes k_* . We should mention that the blue tilt in the scalar spectrum is very small and, hence, is not evident from the figure. Moreover, we find that, as in the previous MSSM-motivated examples, in the two slow roll regimes, the amplitudes of the spectra calculated in the slow roll approximation agree very well with the exact numerical spectra. Further, it should be noted that the amplitude of the tensors in the first slow roll phase determines the maximum tensor amplitude that can arise in such a punctuated inflationary scenario.

$$
V(\phi) = \left(\frac{M^4}{4}\right)(1 + B\phi^4). \tag{10}
$$

For suitable values of the parameter B , this potential admits two stages of slow roll inflation, broken by a brief period of rapid roll. The first slow roll phase is driven by the ϕ^4 term and, when ϕ has rolled down the potential and has become sufficiently small, the false vacuum term drives the second phase. The parameter M determines the amplitude of nearly scale invariant lower step in the scalar spectrum (associated with the modes that leave during the first stage of slow roll inflation), with a mild dependence on B. However, B very strongly affects the rise in the scalar power (corresponding to the modes that leave just before the rapid roll stage) and the asymptotic spectral index (associated with the modes that leave during the second stage of inflation), since it determines the extent and the duration of the departure from slow roll.

We are able to achieve COBE normalization for a suitable combination of the parameters M and B . For these values of the parameters, we find that, as in the MSSMmotivated model, a departure from inflation occurs (again, for about one e-fold) during the rapid roll phase. In Fig. [3](#page-4-2), we have plotted the resulting scalar and the tensor power spectra as well as the associated tensor-to-scalar ratio. We should hasten to clarify that we have not compared the hybrid model with the CMB data, as we had done in the $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ cases of the MSSM-motivated model. A well-known property of the hybrid models is that they lead to blue scalar spectra. We believe that, the blue tilt, along with the rather large bump (which turns out to be larger than the one in $n = 4$, MSSM-motivated model) will considerably spoil the fit to the CMB data.

IV. AN EXAMPLE OF TACHYONIC PUNCTUATED INFLATION

In this section, we shall consider a tachyonic model that allows punctuated inflation. Since our experience suggests that a point of inflection in the potential is an assured way of achieving a slow-rapid-slow roll transition, we shall construct a tachyonic potential containing a point of inflection.

Tachyonic potentials are usually written in terms of two parameters, say, λ and T_0 , in the following form [[16](#page-9-6)[–18\]](#page-9-7):

$$
V(T) = \lambda V_1(T/T_0),\tag{11}
$$

where $V_1(T/T_0)$ is a function which has maximum at the origin and vanishes as $T \rightarrow \infty$. In order to achieve the necessary amount of inflation and the correct amplitude for the scalar perturbations, suitable values for the two parameters λ and T_0 that describe the above potential can be arrived at as follows. One finds that, in these potentials, inflation typically occurs around $T \approx T_0$ corresponding to an energy scale of about $\lambda^{1/4}$. Moreover, it turns out that, the quantity (λT_0^2) has to be much larger than unity (in units wherein $M_{\rm P} = 1$) for the potential slow roll parameters to be small and, thereby ensure that, at least, 60 e-folds of inflation takes place. One first chooses a sufficiently large value of (λT_0^2) by hand in order to guarantee slow roll. The COBE normalization condition for the scalar perturbations then provides the second constraint, thereby determining the values of both the parameters λ and T_0 [\[18\]](#page-9-7).

FIG. 4 (color online). The scalar and the tensor power spectra, the corresponding tensor-to-scalar ratio as well as the exponential cutoff spectrum have been plotted for the tachyonic punctuated inflation model exactly in the same fashion as in the previous figure. These figures correspond to the following values of the parameters: $\lambda = 10^{-13}$, $T_0 = 3.55 \times 10^7$, $x_1 = 10$, $A_S = 2 \times 10^{-9}$, $n_S = 10^{-10}$ 0.96, $k_* = 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc⁻¹, and $\alpha = 3$. We should also point out that, as in the earlier cases, in the two slow roll regimes, the spectral amplitudes, when evaluated in the slow roll approximation, are in good agreement with the exact numerical spectra. Again, as in the previous examples, the maximum value of the tensor amplitude that can arise in such punctuated inflationary scenarios is determined by its value in the first slow roll phase.

Now, consider a tachyon potential of the form

$$
V(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + g(x)}\right),\tag{12}
$$

where $x = (T/T_0)$. Let the function $g(x)$ be defined as an integral of yet another function $f(x)$ as follows:

$$
g(x) = \int dx f(x),\tag{13}
$$

with the constant of integration assumed to be zero. If we choose $f(x)$ to be a polynomial that vanishes at least quadratically at a point, say, x_1 , then, it is clear that the resulting potential $V(x)$, in addition to satisfying the above mentioned conditions (i.e. having a maxima at the origin and a minima at infinity), will also contain a point of inflection at x_1 . A simple function that satisfies our requirements turns out to be 3

$$
f(x) = [(x - x_1)^2 x^2].
$$
 (14)

For this choice of the function $f(x)$ and appropriate values of the parameters λ and T_0 , we find that the corresponding potential gives rise to punctuated inflation. However, it is important to note that, unlike the earlier examples, the rapid roll phase does not result in a deviation from inflation. In Fig. [4,](#page-5-1) we have plotted the scalar and the tensor power spectra, and the corresponding tensor-to-scalar ratio that we obtain in this case. It is clear from the figure that the spectra broadly behave in the same fashion as in the earlier examples.

V. THE EFFECTS ON THE B MODES OF THE CMB

As is well known, the polarization of the CMB can be decomposed into the E and B components. While the E-mode polarization is affected by both the scalar as well as the tensor perturbations, the B modes are generated only by the tensor perturbations.4 Therefore, the B mode provides a direct signature of the primordial tensor perturbations (see, for instance, the last reference in Ref. [\[19\]](#page-9-8)). The detection of the B mode is a coveted, prime goal of the experimental community (see, for example, the white paper [\[27\]](#page-9-16)). We feel that the punctuated inflationary scenario can provide additional theoretical motivation for this endeavor.

We have evaluated the angular power spectrum of the B-mode polarization of the CMB (i.e. C_{ℓ}^{BB}) using the Boltzmann code CAMB [\[28\]](#page-9-17). In Fig. [5,](#page-6-0) we have plotted C_{ℓ}^{BB} for the best fit values of the parameters in the $n = 3$ and the $n = 4$ cases of the MSSM-motivated model. For

FIG. 5 (color online). The B-mode CMB angular power spectrum C_{ℓ}^{BB} has been plotted as a function of the multipole ℓ for the best fit values of the $n = 3$ (the solid black line) and the $n = 4$ (the dashed black line) cases of the MSSM-motivated model. For comparison, we have also plotted the C_{ℓ}^{BB} for the concordant cosmological model with a strictly scale invariant tensor spectrum and a tensor-to-scalar ratio of $r = 0.01$ (the solid blue line), $r = 2 \times 10^{-8}$ (the solid red line), and $r = 10^{-7}$ (the dashed red line). The latter two curves match the $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ cases of the MSSM-motivated model at the small angular scales, and they help in highlighting the effects of punctuated inflation at the lower multipoles.

comparison, we have also plotted the corresponding angular power spectra for the concordant cosmological model with a strictly scale invariant tensor spectrum and a tensorto-scalar ratio of $r = 0.01$, $r = 2 \times 10^{-8}$ and $r = 10^{-7}$ (the last two values have been chosen since they match the $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ cases of the MSSM-motivated model at the small angular scales). The C_{ℓ}^{BB} for the two cases of the MSSM-motivated model clearly exhibit an increase in their amplitude at the lower multipoles, reflecting the rise in the $tensor-to-scalar ratio on these scales.⁵ But, despite the rise$ at the lower multipoles, the amplitude of C_{ℓ}^{BB} in these cases proves to be way too smaller than what is possibly detectable in the near future (current/upcoming missions such as PLANCK [[23](#page-9-12)] and CMBPol [\[24\]](#page-9-13) are expected to be sensitive to $r \ge 0.01$). However, since the increase in the B-mode power at large angular scales is a generic feature of punctuated inflation, we feel that it improves the possibility that the effect may be detected in the future. It is

³ Actually, this function contains another point of inflection at the origin. But, as we shall restrict ourselves to the domain $x >$ 0, it is not useful to us.

 4 In fact, the B modes are created by the vector perturbations, too. However, inflation does not generate any vector perturbations.

⁵We should point out that, in order to evaluate the CMB angular power spectra, CAMB integrates over the following range of wave number of the primordial scalar and tensor spectra: $7.5 \times 10^{-6} \le k \le 2.8 \times 10^{-1}$ Mpc⁻¹. Note that, in both the MSSM-motivated cases, the region where $r > 1$ is well beyond the Hubble scale today, viz. $k \approx 10^{-4}$ Mpc⁻¹ (cf. Fig. [2\)](#page-4-1). Therefore, the resulting C_{ℓ}^{BB} will be sensitive to the tensor power at such large scales, and we need to be careful about the lower limit of the k integral in CAMB. We find that the CAMB's default lower limit works well in these cases since r attains its maximum value at a wave number that is larger than the lower limit.

conceivable that there exist punctuated inflationary models that predict a significantly larger tensor-to-scalar ratio, while still providing a good fit to the CMB temperature angular power spectrum. For example, given a value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio at, say, the Hubble radius today, one can possibly work in the slow roll limit and invert the scalar power spectrum (that results in a good fit) to arrive at a suitable inflationary potential. It seems a worthwhile exercise to systematically hunt for such models.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In our earlier work [\[13\]](#page-9-2), we had performed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis to determine the values of the parameters of the MSSM-motivated model that provide the best fit to the WMAP 5-year data for the CMB angular power spectrum. We had found that a scalar spectrum in the $n = 3$ case leads to a much better fit of the observed data than the spatially flat, Λ CDM model with a power law, primordial spectrum. We should emphasize again that we have not carried out such a comparison with the data for the hybrid or the tachyon model. In the $n = 3$, MSSMmotivated model, we had found that, in addition to the drop in the power at large scales, the bump present in the spectrum before it turns nearly scale invariant had led to the improvement in the fit. In the $n = 4$ case, a rather large bump had led to a poor fit to the data. We find that, a similar, large bump arises in the hybrid model as well. Also, as we had mentioned, in the hybrid model, the scalar spectral index proves to be greater than unity at small scales. We feel that these two features will not allow a better fit in the hybrid case. In the tachyonic model, though the spectral index is close to the observed value, we find that no bump (above the asymptotic, nearly scale invariant amplitude) arises in the spectrum. We expect that this feature will spoil the fit to the data. Moreover, we believe that the lack of such a bump is due to the fact that inflation is not interrupted in this case.

In models which start with a period of fast roll, along with the scalar power, the tensor power is also suppressed at large scales [\[15\]](#page-9-5). But, the drop in the scalar power proves to be sharper than that of the tensors and, as a result, the tensor-to-scalar ratio displays a rise over these scales in such models. It has been argued that such a feature may be detected by ongoing missions such as, for instance, PLANCK [\[23\]](#page-9-12). We too encounter an increase in the tensor-to-scalar ratio on the large scales, though the reason is somewhat different. In punctuated inflation, the rise in the tensor-to-scalar ratio turns out to be much stronger due to the fact that the tensor amplitude itself increases on large scales. Intriguingly, we find that the rapid rise leads to the tensor-to-scalar ratio being much larger than unity for a small range of modes. However, in the specific models we have considered, the tensor amplitude on scales of cosmological interest (say, $10^{-4} < k < 1$ Mpc⁻¹) proves to be

too small ($r < 10^{-4}$) for the effect to be possibly detected in the very near future.

The sharper the drop in the scalar spectrum at large scales, the better seems to be the fit to the low CMB quadrupole. In punctuated inflation, the steeper the drop in the scalar power, the faster will be the corresponding rise in the tensor power at large scales. Therefore, if the scalar power drops fast, the tensor-to-scalar ratio can be larger on the small scales, thereby improving the prospects of its detection through the B modes of the CMB polarization. However, empirical evidence indicates that, in punctuated inflation, a steeper drop in the scalar power requires a larger value of the first slow roll parameter ϵ during the rapid roll. But, such a large ϵ also leads to a bigger bump (above the asymptotic amplitude) in the scalar spectrum before it turns scale invariant. While a suitable bump seems to provide a better fit to the data at a few lower multipoles after the quadrupole, too large a bump seems to spoil the fit to the data (as in the $n = 4$, MSSM-motivated model). In other words, to lead to a good fit, there appears to be a trade off between the sharpness of the cutoff and the size of the bump in the scalar power spectrum. We are currently exploring punctuated inflationary models that will lead to a sufficiently steep drop in the scalar power at large scales, a suitably sized bump at the top of the spectrum, and also a reasonable tensor amplitude at small scales that may be detectable by forthcoming missions.

FIG. 6 (color online). The evolution of the amplitudes of the curvature perturbation \mathcal{R}_k (in blue) and the tensor perturbation \mathcal{U}_k (in red) has been plotted as a function of the number of e-folds N for the best fit values of the $n = 3$, MSSM-motivated model. These perturbations correspond to the mode $k =$ 10^{-5} Mpc⁻¹, and the arrow denotes the time when the mode leaves the Hubble radius. Notice that, as expected, the tensor amplitude freezes at its value near Hubble exit. In contrast, the amplitude of the curvature perturbation is suppressed on super-Hubble scales. Evidently, it is this behavior of the curvature perturbation that leads to the large tensor-to-scalar ratio associated with the mode.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Jinn-Ouk Gong for discussions during the early stages of this work, and for his comments on the manuscript. T. S. acknowledges enlightening discussions with Alexei Starobinsky. We would also like to acknowledge the use of the high performance computing facilities at the Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India, and at the Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea. T. S. acknowledges support from the Swarnajayanti Fellowship, DST, India.

APPENDIX: THE EVOLUTION OF THE SCALAR AND TENSOR PERTURBATIONS FOR A MODE WITH $r > 1$

In the various examples of punctuated inflation that we had discussed in the text, though the tensor-to-scalar ratio

remains too small ($r < 10^{-4}$) on the scales of cosmological interest, we find that there exists a small range of modes for which the tensor-to-scalar ratio turns out to be greater than unity. We believe that this is an interesting feature with potentially observable consequences. To highlight this feature, in Fig. [6](#page-7-0), we have plotted the evolution of the amplitudes of the curvature and the tensor perturbations for a mode that has a tensor-to-scalar ratio greater than unity in the $n = 3$, MSSM-motivated model. Note that, due to the deviation from slow roll, on super-Hubble scales, the amplitude of the curvature perturbation is suppressed when compared to its value near Hubble exit. Whereas, the tensor amplitude approaches a constant value soon after the mode leaves the Hubble radius. It is such a suppression of the curvature perturbation that results in the tensor-toscalar ratio being greater than unity.

- [1] M. R. Nolta et al., [Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/180/2/296) 180, 296 [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/180/2/296); D. Larson et al., [arXiv:1001.4635v1;](http://arXiv.org/abs/1001.4635v1) C. L. Bennett et al., [arXiv:1001.4758v1](http://arXiv.org/abs/1001.4758v1).
- [2] C.L. Bennett et al., [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174918) 436, 423 (1994); E.L. Wright, G. F. Smoot, C. L. Bennett, and P. M. Lubin, Astrophys. J. 436[, 443 \(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/174919); E.L. Wright, C.L. Bennett, K. Gorski, G. Hinshaw, and G. F. Smoot, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/310073) 464, L21 (1996); M. Tegmark, [Astrophys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/310079) J. Lett. 464[, L35 \(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/310079); H. V. Peiris et al., [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377228) Suppl. Ser. 148[, 213 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377228) M. Tristram et al., [Astron.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20042416) Astrophys. 436[, 785 \(2005\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20042416) D. N. Spergel et al., [Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/513700) 170, 377 (2007).
- [3] R. Saha, P. Jain, and T. Souradeep, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/506321) 645, L89 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/506321); R. Saha, S. Prunet, P. Jain, and T. Souradeep, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023003) Rev. D 78[, 023003 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023003); P. K. Samal, R. Saha, J. Delabrouille, S. Prunet, P. Jain, and T. Souradeep, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/840) 714, 840 (2010).
- [4] S.L. Bridle, A.M. Lewis, J. Weller, and G. Efstathiou, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06807.x) 342, L72 (2003); P. Mukherjee and Y. Wang, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/379161) 599, 1 (2003); S. Hannestad, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/04/002) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 04 \(2004\) 002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/04/002); A. Shafieloo and T. Souradeep, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 043523 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043523) D. Tocchini-Valentini, Y. Hoffman, and J. Silk, [Mon. Not.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10031.x) [R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10031.x) 367, 1095 (2006); A. Shafieloo, T. Souradeep, P. Manimaran, P. K. Panigrahi, and R. Rangarajan, Phys. Rev. D 75[, 123502 \(2007\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123502) A. Shafieloo and T. Souradeep, ibid. 78[, 023511 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023511); R. Nagata and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 043010 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.043010); G. Nicholson and C. R. Contaldi, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/07/011) [Phys. 07 \(2009\) 011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/07/011)
- [5] I.J. O'Dwyer et al., Astrophys. J. 617[, L99 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/427386) J. Magueijo and R. D. Sorkin, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2007.00299.x) Lett. 377[, L39 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2007.00299.x); C.-G. Park, C. Park, and J. R. Gott, III, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/513455) 660, 959 (2007); L.-Y. Chiang, P. D. Naselsky, and P. Coles, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/694/1/339) 694, 339 (2009).
- [6] Y. P. Jing and L. Z. Fang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1882) **73**, 1882 (1994);

G. Efsthathiou, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06940.x) 343, L95 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06940.x); J. P. Luminet et al., [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01944) 425, 593 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01944); A. Hajian and T. Souradeep, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/379757) 597[, L5 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/379757) A. Hajian, T. Souradeep, and N. Cornish, Astrophys. J. 618[, L63 \(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/427652); A. Hajian and T. Souradeep, Phys. Rev. D 74[, 123521 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123521) C. Gordon and W. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 083003 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.083003).

- [7] J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D 59[, 107303 \(1999\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.107303) B. Feng and X. Zhang, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.07.065) 570, 145 (2003); M. Kawasaki and F. Takahashi, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.08.005) 570, 151 [\(2003\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.08.005); S. Shankaranarayanan and L. Sriramkumar, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 123520 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123520) [arXiv:hep-th/0410072](http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0410072); R. Sinha and T. Souradeep, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.043518) 74, 043518 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.043518).
- [8] J. M. Cline, P. Crotty, and J. Lesgourgues, [J. Cosmol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/09/010) [Astropart. Phys. 09 \(2003\) 010;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/09/010) C. R. Contaldi, M. Peloso, L. Kofman, and A. Linde, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/07/002) [Phys. 07 \(2003\) 002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2003/07/002); L. Sriramkumar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D 71[, 103512 \(2005\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.103512) D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123006) Rev. D 74[, 123006 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123006) 74[, 123007 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123007) B. A. Powell and W. H. Kinney, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.063512) 76, 063512 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.063512); C. Destri, H. J. de Vega, and N. G. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 023013 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023013)
- [9] P. Hunt and S. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 103518 \(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.103518); [76](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.123504), [123504 \(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.123504); M. Kawasaki, F. Takahashi, and T. Takahashi, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.11.033) 605, 223 (2005); J.-O. Gong, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/07/015) [Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 07 \(2005\) 015;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2005/07/015) L. Covi, J. Hamann, A. Melchiorri, A. Slosar, and I. Sorbera, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.083509) Rev. D 74[, 083509 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.083509) J. Hamann, L. Covi, A. Melchiorri, and A. Slosar, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.023503) 76, 023503 [\(2007\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.023503); M. Joy, V. Sahni, and A. A. Starobinsky, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.023514) Rev. D 77[, 023514 \(2008\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.023514) M. Joy, A. Shafieloo, V. Sahni, and A. A. Starobinsky, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 06](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/06/028) [\(2009\) 028;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/06/028) M. J. Mortonson, C. Dvorkin, H. V. Peiris, and W. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 103519 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.103519).
- [10] H. M. Hodges, G. R. Blumenthal, L. A. Kofman, and J. R. Primack, Nucl. Phys. B335[, 197 \(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(90)90177-F)
- [11] A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. **55**, 489 (1992).
- [12] V. F. Mukhanov and M. I. Zelnikov, [Phys. Lett. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90581-A) 263, 169 [\(1991\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90581-A); D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90062-G) B385[, 623 \(1992\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90062-G) D. Polarski, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.6319) 49, 6319 [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.6319); J. A. Adams, G. G. Ross, and S. Sarkar, [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00431-8) Phys. B503[, 405 \(1997\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00431-8) J. Lesgourgues, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00301-1) B582[, 593 \(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00301-1) J. Barriga, E. Gaztanaga, M. Santos, and S. Sarkar, [Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04373.x) 324, 977 (2001); [Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(01)01057-X) 95, 66 (2001); J. A. Adams, B. Cresswell, and R. Easther, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.123514) 64, 123514 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.123514).
- [13] R. K. Jain, P. Chingangbam, J.-O. Gong, L. Sriramkumar, and T. Souradeep, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 01 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/01/009) [009.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/01/009)
- [14] A. A. Starobinsky, Sov. Astron. Lett. 11, 133 (1985); R. Davis, H. M. Hodges, G. F. Smoot, P. J. Steinhardt, and M. S. Turner, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1856) 69, 1856 (1992); T. Souradeep and V. Sahni, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732392002950) 7, 3541 [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0217732392002950); B. C. Friedman, A. Cooray, and A. Melchiorri, Phys. Rev. D 74[, 123509 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.123509)
- [15] G. Nicholson and C.R. Contaldi, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/01/002) [Phys. 01 \(2008\) 002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/01/002)
- [16] A. Sen, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(1999\) 008;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/10/008) M. Garousi, Nucl. Phys. B584[, 284 \(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00361-8) E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, T. de Wit, E. Eyras, and S. Panda, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/009) [\(2000\) 009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2000/05/009); J. Kluson, Phys. Rev. D 62[, 126003 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.126003); A. Sen, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2002\) 048;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/04/048) [07 \(2002\) 065.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/065)
- [17] M. Sami, P. Chingangbam, and T. Qureshi, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.043530) 66[, 043530 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.043530); P. Chingangbam, S. Panda, and A. Deshamukhya, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2005\) 052](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/02/052).
- [18] D. A. Steer and F. Vernizzi, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043527) 70, 043527 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043527).
- [19] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, The Early Universe

(Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, California, 1990); A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999); V. F. Mukhanov, Physical Foundations of Cosmology (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2005); R. Durrer, The Cosmic Microwave Background (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2008).

- [20] H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.78.1) **78**, 1 [\(1984\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.78.1); V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. 215[, 203 \(1992\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(92)90044-Z) J. E. Lidsey, A. Liddle, E. W. Kolb, E. J. Copeland, T. Barreiro, and M. Abney, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.373) 69, 373 (1997); B. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa, and D. Wands, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.537) 78, 537 [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.537); W. H. Kinney, [arXiv:0902.1529.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0902.1529)
- [21] S. M. Leach and A. R. Liddle, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.043508) 63, 043508 [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.043508); S. M. Leach, M. Sasaki, D. Wands, and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D 64[, 023512 \(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.023512); R. K. Jain, P. Chingangbam, and L. Sriramkumar, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/10/003) [Phys. 10 \(2007\) 003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/10/003)
- [22] G. Felder, A. Frolov, L. Kofman, and A. Linde, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.023507)* D 66[, 023507 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.023507).
- [23] See http://www.rssd.esa.int/index.php?project=Planck.
- [24] See http://cmbpol.uchicago.edu/.
- [25] R. Allahverdi, J. Garcia-Bellido, K. Enqvist, and A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97[, 191304 \(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.191304); R. Allahverdi, K. Enqvist, J. Garcia-Bellido, A. Jokinen, and A. Mazumdar, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 06](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/06/019) [\(2007\) 019](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/06/019); J. C. B. Sanchez, K. Dimopoulos, and D. H. Lyth, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 01 \(2007\) 015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/01/015); R. Allahverdi, A. Mazumdar, and T. Multamaki, [arXiv:0712.2031.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0712.2031)
- [26] A. Linde, [Phys. Rev. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.748) **49**, 748 (1994).
- [27] S. Dodelson et al., [arXiv:0902.3796.](http://arXiv.org/abs/0902.3796)
- [28] See http://camb.info/.