

Erratum: Higher-order spin effects in the dynamics of compact binaries. II. Radiation field [Phys. Rev. D **74**, 104034 (2006)]

Luc Blanchet, Alessandra Buonanno, and Guillaume Faye

(Received 5 March 2010; published 8 April 2010)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.81.089901

PACS numbers: 04.30.-w, 04.25.-g, 99.10.Cd

The spin effects in the quadrupole moment I_{ij} have been incorrectly computed at 2.5PN order. We find that the mistake was a consequence of (i) having overlooked the contribution of the spin terms coming from the monopolar part of the stress-energy tensor (Eq. (2.2) of Ref. [1], referred to as paper I henceforth) when computing the first term under the integral in Eq. (4.1a), (ii) having not taken into account the spin terms produced by the time differentiation of the nonspin parts of Σ and Σ_i when computing the second and fourth terms in Eq. (4.1a), and (iii) having used by mistake a non-up-to-date file containing in principle the sum of all compact support terms entering the right-hand side of Eq. (4.1a). As a consequence the expressions of the energy flux \mathcal{F} , the time derivative of the orbital frequency $\dot{\omega}$ and the phase ϕ are also modified.

The equation (5.3) for the quadrupole moment should be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{ij} = & \frac{\nu}{c^3} \left\{ \frac{8}{3} x^{(i} (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{S})^{j)} - \frac{4}{3} v^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{S})^{j)} + \frac{8}{3} \frac{\delta m}{m} x^{(i} (\mathbf{v} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{j)} - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\delta m}{m} v^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{j)} \right\} + \frac{\nu}{c^5} \left\{ \left[\frac{5}{3} + \frac{2}{7} \nu \right] \frac{Gm}{r^3} \frac{\delta m}{m} \right. \\
 & \times (xv) x^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{j)} + \left(\left[\frac{7}{3} + 4\nu \right] \frac{Gm}{r} + \left[\frac{26}{21} - \frac{116}{21} \nu \right] v^2 \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} x^{(i} (\mathbf{v} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{j)} + \left[\frac{31}{21} + \frac{19}{21} \nu \right] \frac{Gm}{r^3} (xv) x^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{S})^{j)} \\
 & + \left(\left[\frac{25}{7} + \frac{55}{21} \nu \right] \frac{Gm}{r} + \left[\frac{26}{21} - \frac{26}{7} \nu \right] v^2 \right) x^{(i} (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{S})^{j)} + \left(\left[-4 - \frac{2}{7} \nu \right] \frac{Gm}{r} + \left[-\frac{6}{7} + \frac{64}{21} \nu \right] v^2 \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} v^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{j)} \\
 & + \left[\frac{10}{21} - \frac{8}{21} \nu \right] \frac{\delta m}{m} (xv) v^{(i} (\mathbf{v} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{j)} + \left(\left[-\frac{26}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \nu \right] \frac{Gm}{r} + \left[-\frac{6}{7} + \frac{18}{7} \nu \right] v^2 \right) v^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{S})^{j)} + \left[\frac{10}{21} - \frac{10}{7} \nu \right] \\
 & \times (xv) v^{(i} (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{S})^{j)} + \left(\left[\frac{52}{21} - \frac{10}{7} \nu \right] (S, x, v) + \left[\frac{62}{21} - \frac{18}{7} \nu \right] \frac{\delta m}{m} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, x, v) \right) \frac{Gm}{r^3} x^{(i} x^{j)} + \left(\left[-\frac{5}{21} + \frac{5}{7} \nu \right] (S, x, v) \right. \\
 & + \left[-\frac{5}{21} - \frac{4}{7} \nu \right] \frac{\delta m}{m} (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, x, v) \left. \right) v^{(i} v^{j)} + \left(\left[-\frac{8}{3} + \frac{16}{3} \nu \right] (xS) + \left[-\frac{8}{3} + \frac{8}{3} \nu \right] \frac{\delta m}{m} (x\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \right) \frac{Gm}{r^3} x^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{v})^{j)} \\
 & + \left(\left[\frac{4}{3} - 4\nu \right] (vS) + \left[\frac{4}{3} - \frac{8}{3} \nu \right] \frac{\delta m}{m} (v\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \right) v^{(i} (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{v})^{j)} \left. \right\} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^7}\right). \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The equations (5.12), (6.4), (6.5), and (7.11) for the energy fluxes should be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{2.5\text{PN}} = & \frac{(S, n, v)}{mr} \left[(nv)^4 \left(-\frac{2244}{7} + \frac{3144}{7} \nu \right) + \frac{G^2 m^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{972}{7} + \frac{166}{7} \nu \right) + \frac{Gm}{r} (nv)^2 \left(-\frac{2866}{7} + \frac{170}{7} \nu \right) \right. \\
 & + (nv)^2 v^2 \left(\frac{3519}{7} - \frac{5004}{7} \nu \right) + \frac{Gm}{r} v^2 \left(\frac{3504}{7} - 20\nu \right) + v^4 \left(-\frac{1207}{7} + \frac{1810}{7} \nu \right) \left. \right] \\
 & + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n, v)}{mr} \left[(nv)^4 \left(-\frac{7941}{28} + \frac{2676}{7} \nu \right) + \frac{G^2 m^2}{r^2} \left(-\frac{109}{7} + 18\nu \right) + \frac{Gm}{r} (nv)^2 \left(-\frac{6613}{14} + \frac{1031}{7} \nu \right) \right. \\
 & + (nv)^2 v^2 \left(\frac{2364}{7} - \frac{3621}{7} \nu \right) + \frac{Gm}{r} v^2 \left(\frac{4785}{14} - 65\nu \right) + v^4 \left(-\frac{2603}{28} + \frac{1040}{7} \nu \right) \left. \right] \frac{\delta m}{m}, \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F} = & \frac{32}{5} \frac{c^5}{G} \gamma^5 v^2 \left\{ 1 + \gamma \left(-\frac{2927}{336} - \frac{5}{4} \nu \right) + 4\pi \gamma^{3/2} + \gamma^2 \left(\frac{293383}{9072} + \frac{380}{9} \nu \right) + \pi \gamma^{5/2} \left(-\frac{25663}{672} - \frac{125}{8} \nu \right) + \frac{\gamma^{3/2}}{Gm^2} \right. \\
 & \times \left[-\frac{37}{3} S_\ell - \frac{25}{4} \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell \right] + \frac{\gamma^{5/2}}{Gm^2} \left[\left(\frac{17897}{168} + 23\nu \right) S_\ell + \left(\frac{6253}{112} + \frac{277}{24} \nu \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \left. \right\}, \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F} = & \frac{32}{5} \frac{c^5}{G} x^5 v^2 \left\{ 1 + x \left(-\frac{1247}{336} - \frac{35}{12} \nu \right) + 4\pi x^{3/2} + x^2 \left(-\frac{44711}{9072} + \frac{9271}{504} \nu + \frac{65}{18} v^2 \right) + \pi x^{5/2} \left(-\frac{8191}{672} - \frac{583}{24} \nu \right) \right. \\
 & + \frac{x^{3/2}}{Gm^2} \left[-4S_\ell - \frac{5}{4} \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell \right] + \frac{x^{5/2}}{Gm^2} \left[\left(-\frac{23}{4} + \frac{245}{9} \nu \right) S_\ell + \left(-\frac{33}{16} + \frac{37}{4} \nu \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \left. \right\}, \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \frac{32}{5} \frac{c^5}{G} x^5 \nu^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{x^{3/2}}{Gm^2} \left[-4S_\ell^c - \frac{5}{4} \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell^c \right] + \frac{x^{5/2}}{Gm^2} \left[\left(-\frac{9}{2} + \frac{272}{9} \nu \right) S_\ell^c + \left(-\frac{13}{16} + \frac{43}{4} \nu \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell^c \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \right\}. \quad (5)$$

These results for the flux agree in the test-mass limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ with the black-hole perturbation calculation of Tagoshi *et al.* [2] [see Eq. (G19) there]. Following Ref. [3] one should express the time derivative of the orbital frequency and the phase in terms of the constant-magnitude spins S_ℓ^c and Σ_ℓ^c . Eqs. (7.12), (7.13), and (7.14), and Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) in Ref. [3] should be replaced by

$$\frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega^2} = \frac{96}{5} \nu x^{5/2} \left\{ 1 + x \left(-\frac{743}{336} - \frac{11}{4} \nu \right) + 4\pi x^{3/2} + x^2 \left(\frac{34\,103}{18\,144} + \frac{13\,661}{2016} \nu + \frac{59}{18} \nu^2 \right) + \pi x^{5/2} \left(-\frac{4159}{672} - \frac{189}{8} \nu \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{x^{3/2}}{Gm^2} \left[-\frac{47}{3} S_\ell^c - \frac{25}{4} \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell^c \right] + \frac{x^{5/2}}{Gm^2} \left[\left(-\frac{5861}{144} + \frac{1001}{12} \nu \right) S_\ell^c + \left(-\frac{809}{84} + \frac{281}{8} \nu \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell^c \right] \right\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 - \frac{1}{32\nu} \left\{ x^{-5/2} + x^{-3/2} \left(\frac{3715}{1008} + \frac{55}{12} \nu \right) + \frac{x^{-1}}{Gm^2} \left(\frac{235}{6} S_\ell^c + \frac{125}{8} \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell^c \right) - 10\pi x^{-1} + x^{-1/2} \left(\frac{15\,293\,365}{1\,016\,064} + \frac{27\,145}{1008} \nu \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3085}{144} \nu^2 \right\} + \pi \ln x \left(\frac{38\,645}{1344} - \frac{65}{16} \nu \right) + \frac{\ln x}{Gm^2} \left[\left(-\frac{554\,345}{2016} - \frac{55}{8} \nu \right) S_\ell^c + \left(-\frac{41\,745}{448} + \frac{15}{8} \nu \right) \frac{\delta m}{m} \Sigma_\ell^c \right], \quad (7)$$

and

$$\phi_s = -\frac{1}{32\nu} \sum_{i=1,2} \chi_i^c \kappa_i^c \left\{ \left(\frac{565}{24} \frac{m_i^2}{m^2} + \frac{125}{8} \nu \right) x^{-1} + \left[\left(-\frac{732\,985}{4032} - \frac{35}{4} \nu \right) \frac{m_i^2}{m^2} + \left(-\frac{41\,745}{448} + \frac{15}{8} \nu \right) \nu \right] \ln x \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The new results for the accumulated number of GW cycles showed in Tables II and III are given below. In addition, the term $-V\partial_t^2 V$ in Eq. (4.3) should be removed, and the term $\varepsilon_{lmn} S_1^m \partial_{2k} \partial_{1kn} Y_{jk}$ in Eq. (4.7b) should read $\varepsilon_{lmn} S_1^m \partial_{2p} \partial_{1pn} Y_{jk}$. No other results in the paper or in paper I are modified. We thank P. Ajith for having also noticed (independently of us) the previous disagreement in the test-mass limit with the perturbative calculation of Ref. [2].

	$(10 + 1.4)M_\odot$	$(10 + 10)M_\odot$	$(1.4 + 1.4)M_\odot$
Newtonian	3577	601	16034
1PN	+213	+59.3	+441
1.5PN	$-181 + 114\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 11.8\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-51.4 + 16.0\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 16.0\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-211 + 65.7\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 65.7\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$
2PN	$+9.8 - 4.4\kappa_1^c \kappa_2^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c + 1.5\xi^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c$	$+4.1 - 3.3\kappa_1^c \kappa_2^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c + 1.1\xi^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c$	$+9.9 - 8.0\kappa_1^c \kappa_2^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c + 2.8\xi^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c$
2.5PN	$-20 + 33.9\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 2.9\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-7.1 + 5.7\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 5.7\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-11.7 + 9.3\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 9.3\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$
3PN	+2.3	+2.2	+2.6
3.5PN	-1.8	-0.8	-0.9
	$(10^6 + 10^6)M_\odot$	$(10^6 + 10^5)M_\odot$	$(10^5 + 10^5)M_\odot$
Newtonian	2267	4985	9570
1PN	+134	+281	+323
1.5PN	$-92.4 + 28.8\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 28.8\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-243 + 161\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 11.5\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-170 + 53\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 53\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$
2PN	$+6.0 - 4.8\kappa_1^c \kappa_2^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c + 1.7\xi^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c$	$+12.5 - 4.4\kappa_1^c \kappa_2^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c + 1.5\xi^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c$	$+8.7 - 7.1\kappa_1^c \kappa_2^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c + 2.4\xi^c \chi_1^c \chi_2^c$
2.5PN	$-9.0 + 7.1\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 7.1\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-26.5 + 47.0\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 2.7\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$	$-11.0 + 8.7\kappa_1^c \chi_1^c + 8.7\kappa_2^c \chi_2^c$
3PN	+2.3	+2.3	+2.5
3.5PN	-0.9	-2.3	-0.9

[1] G. Faye, L. Blanchet, and A. Buonanno, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 104033 (2006).

[2] H. Tagoshi, M. Shibata, T. Tanaka, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1439 (1996).

[3] L. Blanchet, A. Buonanno, and G. Faye, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 049903(E) (2007).