- ⁶For $(d/f)_A = 1.72$, the generalized Goldberger-Treiman relation implies $(d/f)_P = 3.8$, 1.1, 2.1 for the $\Sigma \Lambda \pi$, $\Sigma \Sigma \pi$, and $\Xi \Xi \pi$ couplings, respectively.
- ⁷B. Renner, Phys. Lett. <u>40B</u>, 473 (1972).
- ⁸N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>12</u>, 62 (1964); M. Gell-Mann, *ibid*. 12, 155 (1964).
- ⁹Both sides of (2) are uniformly suppressed by the operator $\partial \cdot V$ in the SU₃ limit. However, for $H_w \sim J \cdot J$, only the right-hand-side (RHS) two-meson state of (5) is suppressed but not the LHS two-baryon state or any other term on the RHS of (5).
- ¹⁰A. Kumar and J. Pati, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>18</u>, 1230 (1967); C. Itzykson and M. Jacob, Nuovo Cimento <u>48A</u>, 655 (1967).
- ¹¹M. Gronau, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u>, 188 (1972).

- ¹²M. D. Scadron and L. R. Thebaud, Phys. Rev. D <u>5</u>, 2306 (1972).
- ¹³M. D. Scadron and L. R. Thebaud, Phys. Rev. D <u>8</u>, 2190 (1973).
- ¹⁴Y. T. Chiu, J. Schechter, and Y. Ueda, Phys. Rev. <u>150</u>, 1201 (1968).
- ¹⁵K. Gavroglu and M. D. Scadron, Nuovo Cimento <u>14A</u>, 812 (1973).
- ¹⁶Y. Hara, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>12</u>, 378 (1964).
- ¹⁷M. D. Scadron and L. R. Thebaud, Phys. Rev. D <u>8</u>, 2329 (1973).
- ¹⁸S. Coleman and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. <u>134</u>, B671 (1964).
- ¹⁹J. J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. <u>156</u>, 1508 (1967).

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 8, NUMBER 12

15 DECEMBER 1973

Errata

Erratum: Spontaneously Broken Gauge Symmetries. II. Perturbation Theory and Renormalization [Phys. Rev. D 5, 3137 (1972)]

Benjamin W. Lee and Jean Zinn-Justin

(1) The note added in proof in the first column p. 3147 contains many misprints.

Lines 6 and 24: "Eq. (5.17)" should read "Eq. (5.20)."

Line 20: "Eq. (5.20)" should read "Eq. (5.23)." Line 27: The equation should read

$$\frac{1}{k^2+i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{k^2+i\epsilon} (gv)^2 \frac{1}{k^2 - (gv)^2 + i\epsilon}$$

Line 37: "reference" should read "Ref. 3." (2) Again on p. 3147, the first column, line 8 from the bottom: "the finite parts of $\delta\mu^2$ and Z_2 " should read " $\delta\mu^2$." On the same page, the statement following Eq. (5.29): "and choose $\delta\mu^2$ and Z_2 to satisfy Eq. (5.24), and other Z's..." should read "and choose $\delta\mu^2$ to satisfy Eq. (5.24) and Z's...."

Erratum: Equal-Time Commutator of Charge Densities in Quantum Electrodynamics [Phys. Rev. D 8, 1863 (1973)]

A. V. Khare and T. Pradhan

Owing to an error in evaluating integrals over cross sections Eqs. (15), (20), and (21) are incorrect. The correct result is $G_{\eta}^{e} = 0$ for all η in fourth order, i.e., all the δ -function derivative terms in the one-electron expectation value of the equal-time commutator of charge densities in quantum electrodynamics are zero when evaluated in fourth order.