

Stability of the Classical Electron

C. C. Chiang

Center for Particle Theory, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712

(Received 22 June 1972)

In this paper we show that in the classical theory of the electron, with the introduction of a shadow electromagnetic field, the electron is stable in the point-particle limit.

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the classical theory of electrons suffers from some fundamental difficulties such as the divergences of the self-energy and the self-force. The divergence of the self-force implies the instability of the electron. The usual way to avoid the difficulty of these divergences is based on the idea of renormalization. It has long been considered as proper to assume that the infinite self-energy of isolated electrons is physically meaningless, and can be subtracted away by the renormalization method.¹

The concept of renormalization has been extensively used in quantum field theory to eliminate divergent quantities computed from the theory. The results obtained are in remarkable agreement with experiments. Nevertheless there are reasons not to be satisfied with the conventional treatments of the renormalization procedure. For example, not all field-theoretical interactions are renormalizable. Furthermore, the computed mass difference due to electromagnetic interaction is infinite, while experimentally it is finite. Therefore, a genuine finite theory seems to be favorable, although the renormalization procedure might still be necessary.

The failure of having a finite theory is usually attributed to the fact that within the usual framework of quantized fields it does not seem possible to describe a system with a local interaction. In fact many of the difficulties caused by the use of a local interaction are shared by both the classical and the quantum field theories. One of the explanations for the occurrence of the divergences is that classical considerations indicate that for any kind of matter coupled to the metric field in the Einstein way, there are limitations on the energy densities and masses which can be concentrated or built up in a given region. Consequently, the space-time loses its physically meaningful character beyond such limiting densities, and singularities then appear in the solutions.² This explanation seems appealing. However, it is not yet clear whether the existence of the singu-

larities is essential in the problem of elementary particles. It might be that gravitation does not play an important role as far as the divergence problem is concerned.

Recently it has been emphasized that the introduction of states with negative norm provides a way out of the divergence difficulties in quantum field theories.³ In order to ensure the probability interpretation, the concept of shadow states has also been introduced.⁴ In the electrodynamic theory, there is always an analogy between the classical and quantum theories. However, it is not yet clear what is the counterpart of the shadow field in the classical field theory. In this note we show that in the theory of classical electrodynamics the introduction of a shadow field make the self-energy of the electron finite and the electron stable.

LAGRANGIAN AND ENERGY-STRESS TENSOR DENSITY

Following the idea of the shadow field in quantum field theory, we introduce a massive vector field \tilde{A}_μ as the shadow field accompanying the ordinary electromagnetic field A_μ . We may write down the Lagrangian for the system of the fields A_μ and \tilde{A}_μ interacting with a current as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\nu\mu} \\ & + \frac{1}{16\pi} (\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + M^2 \tilde{A}_\alpha \tilde{A}^\alpha) + j_\mu (A^\mu + \tilde{A}^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \\ \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \tilde{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \tilde{A}_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

M is the mass parameter of the shadow field. The field equations for A_μ and \tilde{A}_μ are obtained by variational methods as usual:

$$\square A_\mu = -4\pi j_\mu, \quad (3)$$

$$(\square - M^2) \tilde{A}_\mu = 4\pi j_\mu. \quad (4)$$

Here the Lorentz condition $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$ is assumed. The condition $\partial_\mu \bar{A}^\mu = 0$ already follows from the field equations.

The energy-stress tensor densities for A_μ and \bar{A}_μ are respectively

$$T_\mu{}^\nu = \frac{1}{4\pi} (F_{\mu\alpha} F^{\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \eta_\mu{}^\nu F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}), \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu = & -\frac{1}{4\pi} [(\bar{F}_{\mu\alpha} \bar{F}^{\alpha\nu} - M^2 \bar{A}_\mu \bar{A}^\nu) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \eta_\mu{}^\nu (\frac{1}{2} \bar{F}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{F}^{\alpha\beta} + M^2 \bar{A}_\alpha \bar{A}^\alpha)]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The total energy-stress tensor density $\bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu$ is the sum of $T_\mu{}^\nu$ and $\bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu$,

$$\bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu = T_\mu{}^\nu + \bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu. \quad (7)$$

ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY - MOMENTUM AND STABILITY OF THE ELECTRON

The electromagnetic energy-momentum of the electron is defined as

$$P_\mu = \int \bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu d\sigma_\nu, \quad (8)$$

where σ is a spacelike plane. If P_μ defined in (8) is indeed a proper definition for the electromagnetic energy-momentum contributing to the electron's energy and momentum, it is supposed to transform as a 4-vector under Lorentz transformation. It is well known that this is not true when we replace $\bar{T}_\mu{}^\nu$ by $T_\mu{}^\nu$ in (8).⁵ In order that P_μ defined in (8) be a covariant 4-vector and the electron be stable, the following conditions have to be satisfied:

$$\int \bar{T}_{(0)i}{}^k d^3x_{(0)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i, k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (9)$$

The subscript (0) means that the quantities are computed in the rest frame of the electron.

From the field equations (3) and (4) we have

$$A_{(0)}^4 = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{A}_{(0)}^4 = -\frac{e^{-Mr}}{r}; \quad (11)$$

all other components of the fields vanish. The nonvanishing components of $F^{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{F}^{\mu\nu}$ are

$$F_{(0)}^{k4} = \frac{\partial A_{(0)}^4}{\partial x_k} = -\frac{x^k}{r^3}, \quad (12)$$

$$\bar{F}_{(0)}^{k4} = \frac{\partial \bar{A}_{(0)}^4}{\partial x_k} = \frac{e^{-Mr}}{r} \left(\frac{1}{r} + M \right) \frac{x_k}{r}. \quad (13)$$

From (5), (10), and (12), we have

$$T_{(0)k}{}^k = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{r^3} \left(\frac{x_k^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

$$T_{(0)i}{}^k = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{x_i x_k}{r^6}, \quad \text{for } i \neq k \quad (14)$$

$$T_{(0)k}{}^4 = 0,$$

$$T_{(0)4}{}^4 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2r^4}.$$

Similarly, from (6), (11), and (13), we have

$$\bar{T}_{(0)k}{}^k = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-2Mr}}{r^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{r} + M \right)^2 \left(\frac{x_k^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} M \right],$$

$$\bar{T}_{(0)i}{}^k = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-2Mr}}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{r} + M \right)^2 \frac{x_i x_k}{r^2}, \quad \text{for } i \neq k \quad (15)$$

$$\bar{T}_{(0)4}{}^4 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-2Mr}}{2r^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{r} + M \right)^2 + M^2 \right].$$

The integration of (14) and (15) over 3-dimensional space yields

$$\int T_{(0)k}{}^k d^3x_{(0)} = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{6a}, \quad (16a)$$

$$\int T_{(0)i}{}^k d^3x_{(0)} = 0, \quad (16b)$$

$$\int T_{(0)4}{}^4 d^3x_{(0)} = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2a}, \quad (16c)$$

and

$$\int \bar{T}_{(0)k}{}^k d^3x_{(0)} = -\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{6a}, \quad (17a)$$

$$\int \bar{T}_{(0)i}{}^k d^3x_{(0)} = 0, \quad (17b)$$

$$\int \bar{T}_{(0)4}{}^4 d^3x_{(0)} = -\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2a} - \frac{1}{2} M \right). \quad (17c)$$

With (7), (16), and (17), it is easy to see that the conditions (9) are indeed satisfied. Note also that the self-energy of the electron in the rest frame of the electron is finite,

$$E_{(0)} = \int \bar{T}_{(0)4}{}^4 d^3x_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} M. \quad (18)$$

$E_{(0)}$ is interpreted as the electromagnetic mass of the electron. The observed mass m is the sum of the electromagnetic mass and the bare mass, m_0 :

$$m = m_0 + \frac{1}{2} M. \quad (19)$$

From (11), we see that the range of the force due to the shadow field depends on mass M , i.e., for small M the shadow field initiates a long-range force and for large M it initiates a short-range force. Therefore, if M is very small we should be able to detect its effect easily. As we

know, classical electrodynamics is in general in fairly good agreement with experiments in low-energy experiments. We might, therefore, expect M to be rather large, such that its effect could be detected only in high-energy experiments. The test of a very-short-range force effect will be in the domain of quantum theory. In the experimental test of the validity of quantum electrodynamics, M is estimated to be of order of GeV or larger.⁶ Apparently this energy is outside the domain of classical measurements. Although the test of the shadow effect might be outside the domain of classical systems and classical measurements, as far as the stability problem is concerned, the introduction of a shadow electromagnetic field gives us a consistent result. This interesting result gives us some support for the efforts to test the shadow effect in high-energy experiments.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown that with the introduction of a shadow field the electron is stable in the point-particle limit. This is due to the fact that the shadow field provides an attractive force to keep the electron together. The idea of introducing an additional "nonelectromagnetic" force to compensate for the Maxwell stress, producing stability of the charged particle and making the total self-energy finite in the rest frame, was first sug-

gested by Poincaré a long time ago.⁵ Except for the nonelectromagnetic character of the force, as it was postulated, the origin of this Poincaré tensor was not clear. In contrast to the nonelectromagnetic character of the Poincaré tensor, the interaction between the shadow field and the charged particle is, in terms of the strength of the coupling constant, electromagnetic in character. Physically, the presence of the shadow field is to introduce a small nonlocal effect in a manifestly local fashion; therefore the electromagnetic character of the interaction is understandable.

Another difficulty encountered in the classical theory of electrons is the existence of the so-called "runaway" solution. A way to avoid it is to impose proper boundary conditions on the solution of the equation of motion. The runaway solutions have also been found in a number of simple, exactly soluble quantum field theories. In a separate paper,⁷ it has been shown by the author that in the quantum electrodynamics with shadow fields the runaway modes do not occur in the dipole approximation. Whether the runaway solution can also be avoided in the classical theory with the introduction of the shadow field is not yet clear.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks are due to Professor E. C. G. Sudarshan for useful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript.

¹See, e.g., S. N. Gupta, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A64, 50 (1951); F. Rohrlich, Am. J. Phys. 28, 639 (1960).

²S. Deser, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 417 (1957).

³E. C. G. Sudarshan, in *Fundamental Problems in Elementary Particle Physics: Proceedings of the Fourteenth Solway Institute of Physics Conference* (Wiley, New York, 1968), and references therein.

⁴E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Fields and Quanta* 2, 175 (1972); CPT Report No. 81 (unpublished).

⁵H. Poincaré and R. C. Circ, *Mat. Palermo* 21, 129 (1906).

⁶See, e.g., P. J. Biggs *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 1, 1252 (1970).

⁷C. C. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D 7, 1725 (1973).