# <span id="page-0-3"></span>Self-force calculations with matched expansions and quasinormal mode sums

Marc Casals[\\*](#page-0-0)

CENTRA, Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon, Portugal and School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland

Sam Dolan,<sup>†</sup> Adrian C. Ottewill, $\frac{4}{3}$  and Barry Wardell<sup>§</sup>

Complex and Adaptive Systems Laboratory and School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland (Received 23 March 2009; published 29 June 2009)

<span id="page-0-4"></span>Accurate modeling of gravitational wave emission by extreme-mass ratio inspirals is essential for their detection by the LISA mission. A leading perturbative approach involves the calculation of the self-force acting upon the smaller orbital body. In this work, we present the first application of the Poisson-Wiseman-Anderson method of ''matched expansions'' to compute the self-force acting on a point particle moving in a curved spacetime. The method employs two expansions for the Green function, which are, respectively, valid in the ''quasilocal'' and ''distant past'' regimes, and which may be matched together within the normal neighborhood. We perform our calculation in a static region of the spherically symmetric Nariai spacetime  $(dS_2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ , in which scalar-field perturbations are governed by a radial equation with a Pöschl-Teller potential (frequently used as an approximation to the Schwarzschild radial potential) whose solutions are known in closed form. The key new ingredients in our study are (i) very high order quasilocal expansions and (ii) expansion of the distant past Green function in quasinormal modes. In combination, these tools enable a detailed study of the properties of the scalar-field Green function. We demonstrate that the Green function is singular whenever x and  $x<sup>'</sup>$  are connected by a null geodesic, and apply asymptotic methods to determine the structure of the Green function near the null wave front. We show that the singular part of the Green function undergoes a transition each time the null wave front passes through a caustic point, following a repeating fourfold sequence  $\delta(\sigma)$ ,  $1/\pi\sigma$ ,  $-\delta(\sigma)$ ,  $-1/\pi\sigma$ , etc., where  $\sigma$  is Synge's world function. The matched expansion mathod provides insight into -the nonlocal properties of the self-force. We show that the self-force generated by the segment of the  $1/\pi\sigma$ , etc., where  $\sigma$  is Synge's world function. The matched-expansion method provides insight into worldline lying outside the normal neighborhood is not negligible. We apply the matched-expansion method to compute the scalar self-force acting on a static particle on the Nariai spacetime, and validate against an alternative method, obtaining agreement to six decimal places. We conclude with a discussion of the implications for wave propagation and self-force calculations. On black hole spacetimes, any expansion of the Green function in quasinormal modes must be augmented by a branch-cut integral. Nevertheless, we expect the Green function in Schwarzschild spacetime to inherit certain key features, such as a fourfold singular structure manifesting itself through the asymptotic behavior of quasinormal modes. In this way, the Nariai spacetime provides a fertile testing ground for developing insight into the nonlocal part of the self-force on black hole spacetimes.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The last decade has seen a surge of interest in the nascent field of gravitational wave astronomy. Gravitational waves—propagating ripples in spacetime—are generated by some of the most violent processes in the known universe, such as supernovae, black hole mergers, and galaxy collisions. These powerful processes are hidden from the view of ''traditional'' electromagnetic-wave telescopes behind shrouds of dust and radiation. On the other hand, gravitational waves are not strongly absorbed or scattered

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124043](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124043) PACS numbers: 04.70.Bw, 04.30.Db, 04.40.Nr, 04.62.+v

by intervening matter, and they carry information about the dynamics at the heart of such processes. The prospects seem good for direct detection of gravitational waves in the near future. A number of ground-based detectors (such as LIGO [\[1\]](#page-34-0), VIRGO [[2\]](#page-34-1), and GEO600 [[3\]](#page-34-2)) are now in the data collection phase.

Gravitational wave astronomy will enter a new era with the launch of the first space-based observatory: the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) [[4](#page-34-3)]. It is hoped that this joint NASA/ESA mission, presently in the design and planning phase, will be launched within a decade. It will be preceded by a pathfinder mission, due for launch in 2010 [\[5\]](#page-35-0).

Black hole binary systems are a key target for gravitational wave observatories worldwide. Data analysis methods such as matched filtering may be applied to separate a

<span id="page-0-0"></span>[<sup>\\*</sup>m](#page-0-3)arc.casals@dcu.ie

<span id="page-0-1"></span>[<sup>†</sup>](#page-0-4) sam.dolan@ucd.ie

<span id="page-0-2"></span>[<sup>‡</sup>](#page-0-4) adrian.ottewill@ucd.ie

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>barry.wardell@ucd.ie

weak gravitational wave signal from a noisy background [\[6\]](#page-35-1). An essential prerequisite for detection via matched filtering is an accurate template for the gravitational wave emission from black hole binaries. Breakthroughs in numerical relativity in the last five years have led to a rapid advance in the modeling of comparable-mass binaries, where the partners are of similar mass. Progress in numerical relativity continues apace.

A key target for the LISA mission is the so-called extreme mass ratio inspiral: a compact binary in which one partner (mass  $M$ ) is significantly more massive than the other (mass *m*). Mass ratios of  $\mu \equiv m/M \gtrsim 10^{-8}$  are<br>possible for example for a solar-mass black hole orbiting possible, for example, for a solar-mass black hole orbiting a supermassive black hole [[7\]](#page-35-2). Mass ratios of up to  $m/M \geq$  $1/10$  have been studied by numerical relativists [\[8\]](#page-35-3); smaller ratios are presently beyond the scope of numerical relativity due to the existence of two distinct and dissimilar length scales in the system. Perturbative approaches seem more likely to succeed in the extreme-mass regime.

The smaller compact mass  $m$  distorts the curvature of the spacetime in which it is moving. Hence, rather than following a geodesic of the background spacetime generated by the larger mass  $M$ , the smaller mass follows a geodesic of the total spacetime [[9](#page-35-4)]. However, if the mass ratio is extreme, the deviation of the smaller body's motion from the background geodesic will be (locally) small. The deviation may be interpreted as arising from a self-force, created by the smaller mass  $m$  interacting with its own gravitational field. To leading order, the self-force acceleration is proportional to m. With knowledge of the leading term in the self-force, one may model the evolution of the orbit and subsequent inspiral of the smaller mass, and compute the gravitational wave emission to high accuracy. However, finding the instantaneous self-force in a curved spacetime is not at all straightforward; it turns out to depend on the *entire past history* of the smaller mass, m.

The idea of a self-force has a long history in physics. In the late 19th century it was well known that a charge undergoing an acceleration in flat spacetime will generate electromagnetic radiation, and will feel a corresponding radiation reaction. The self-acceleration of a charged point particle in flat spacetime is given by the well-known Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac formula [\[10\]](#page-35-5). Radiation reaction implies that the ''classical'' model of the atom (a pointparticle electron orbiting a compact nucleus) is unstable. The observed stability of the atom remained a puzzle for many years and provided a key motivation for the development of quantum mechanics. In the 1960s, DeWitt and Brehme [\[11\]](#page-35-6) derived a formula for the self-force acting on an electrically charged point particle in a curved background, and a correction was later provided by Hobbs [\[12\]](#page-35-7). The gravitational self-force acting on a point mass was found in 1997 by two groups working concurrently and independently: Mino, Sasaki, and Tanaka [\[13](#page-35-8)] and Quinn and Wald [\[14\]](#page-35-9). Shortly after, Quinn derived the self-force acting on a minimally coupled scalar charge [\[15\]](#page-35-10). These developments are summarized in 2004/05 reviews by Poisson [[16](#page-35-11)] (where the scalar case was extended to cater for nonminimal coupling) and Detweiler [\[17\]](#page-35-12). In the subsequent period, a range of complementary approaches to the self-force problem have been developed [\[18–](#page-35-13)[22\]](#page-35-14).

The self-force expressions for scalar, electromagnetic, and gravitational cases take similar forms [[16](#page-35-11)]. In this paper, we restrict our attention to the simplest case: a pointlike scalar charge  $q$  of mass  $m$  coupled to a massless scalar field  $\Phi(x)$  moving on a curved background geometry. The scalar field  $\Phi(x)$  satisfies the field equation

$$
(\Box - \xi R)\Phi(x) = -4\pi\rho(x) \tag{1.1}
$$

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where  $\Box$  is the d'Alembertian on the curved background created by the larger mass M, R is the Ricci scalar, and  $\xi$  is the curvature coupling constant. The charge density  $\rho$  of the point particle is

$$
\rho(x) = \int_{\gamma} q \frac{\delta^4(x^{\mu} - z^{\mu}(\tau))}{\sqrt{-g}} d\tau \tag{1.2}
$$

where  $z(\tau)$  describes the worldline  $\gamma$  of the particle with proper time  $\tau$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the background metric,  $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$ , and  $\delta^4(\cdot)$  is the four-dimensional Dirac distribution. The field exerts a radiation reaction on the particle, creating a self-force [[15](#page-35-10)]

$$
f_{\mu}^{\text{self}} = q \nabla_{\mu} \Phi_R \tag{1.3}
$$

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>which leads to the equations of motion for the scalar particle,

$$
ma^{\mu} = (g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu})f_{\nu}^{\text{self}} = q(g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu})\nabla_{\nu}\Phi_{R}
$$
\n(1.4)

where  $u^{\mu}$  is the particle's four-velocity and  $\Phi_R$  is the radiative part of the field. Identifying the correct radiative field (which is regular at the particle's position) is the essential step in the derivation of the self-force [[16\]](#page-35-11). Note that the projection operator  $g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$  has been applied here to ensure that  $u_{\mu}a^{\mu} = 0$ . The mass m appearing in ([1.4\)](#page-1-0) is the ''dynamical'' (and renormalized) particle's mass, which in the scalar case is not necessarily a constant of motion [[15](#page-35-10)]. Rather, it evolves according to

$$
\frac{dm}{d\tau} = -qu^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\Phi_{R}.
$$
 (1.5)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>In other words, a spinless particle may radiate away its mass through the emission of monopolar waves.

A leading method for computing the derivative of the radiative field,  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi_R$ , and hence the self-force, is based on mode-sum regularization (MSR). The MSR approach was developed by Barack, Ori, and collaborators [[23](#page-35-15)[–26\]](#page-35-16) and Detweiler and coworkers [[17](#page-35-12),[27](#page-35-17),[28](#page-35-18)]. The method has been applied to the Schwarzschild spacetime to compute, for

One drawback of the MSR method is that it gives relatively little geometric insight into the physical origin of the self-force. An alternative approach, based on matched expansions, was suggested by Poisson and Wiseman in 1998 [\[36\]](#page-35-26). Their idea was to compute the self-force by matching together two independent expansions for the Green function, valid in ''quasilocal'' and "distant past" regimes. This suggestion was analyzed by Anderson and Wiseman [[37\]](#page-35-27), who concluded in 2005 that ''this approach remains, in our opinion, in the category of 'promising but possessing some technical challenges'.'' The present paper represents the first practical implementation of this method.

In the following sections we demonstrate that accurate self-force calculations via matched expansions are indeed feasible. We apply the method to compute the self-force for a scalar charge at a fixed position on the product spacetime  $dS_2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  (i.e. the product of a two-sphere and a twodimensional de Sitter spacetime) introduced long ago by Nariai [[38](#page-35-28),[39](#page-35-29)]. We introduce a method for calculating the distant past Green function using an expansion in quasinormal modes (QNMs). The effect of caustics upon wave propagation is examined. This work is intended to lay a foundation for future studies of self-force in black hole spacetimes through matched expansions. The prospects for extending the calculation to the Schwarzschild spacetime appear good, although the work remains to be conducted.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we define the self-force and outline the Poisson-Anderson-Wiseman method of matched expansions. In Sec. III we consider wave propagation on the Schwarzschild spacetime. A radial equation of standard form is obtained via the well-known ''trick'' of replacing the Schwarzschild potential with a so-called Pöschl-Teller potential. We show that a Pöschl-Teller potential arises more naturally if we consider wave propagation on the Nariai spacetime, whose properties are described in detail.

Section IV is concerned with the scalar Green function on the Nariai spacetime. We begin in Sec. IVA by expressing the Green function as a sum over angular modes and integral over frequency. We show in Sec. IV B that performing the integral over frequency leaves a sum of residues: a so-called ''quasinormal mode sum,'' which may be matched onto a quasilocal Green function, briefly described in Sec. IV C.

In Sec. V we consider the singular structure of the Green function. In Sec. VA we demonstrate that the Green function is singular on the null surface, even beyond the boundary of the normal neighborhood and through caustics. We show that the singular behavior arises from the large-l asymptotics of the quasinormal mode sums. To investigate further, we employ two closely related methods for converting sums into integrals, namely, the Watson transform and Poisson sum (Sec. V B). The form of the Green function close to the null cone is studied in detail in Secs. V D and V E, and asymptotic expressions are derived.

In Sec. VI we take a short breath and rewrite the main equations obtained for the Green function.

Section VII describes the calculation of the self-force for the specific case of the static particle. For the Schwarzschild spacetime, the static case has been well studied. We show in Sec. VII B that the massive-field approach of Rosenthal [[40](#page-35-30),[41](#page-35-31)] may be adapted to the Nariai spacetime. This provides an independent check on the matched-expansion calculation which is described in Sec. VIIC. Relevant numerical methods are outlined in Sec. VII D.

In Sec. VIII we present a selection of significant numerical results. We start in Sec. VIII A by examining the properties of the quasinormal mode Green function. In Sec. VIII B we test the asymptotic expressions describing the singularity structure. In Sec. VIII C we show that the quasilocal and distant past Green functions match in an appropriate regime. In Sec. VIII D we present results for the self-force on a static particle.

We conclude in Sec. IX with a discussion of the implications of this study. Throughout the paper, we employ geometrized units  $G = c = 1$ , and the metric sign conven- $\{\text{--} + + +\}.$ 

# II. THE METHOD OF MATCHED EXPANSIONS

Here we briefly outline the Poisson-Wiseman-Anderson method of matched expansions [\[36](#page-35-26)[,37\]](#page-35-27). We start with an expression for the covariant derivative of the radiative scalar field [[15](#page-35-10),[16](#page-35-11)],

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\nabla_{\mu} \Phi_{R}(z(\tau)) = -\frac{1}{12} (1 - 6\xi) q R u_{\mu} + q(g_{\mu\nu} + u_{\mu} u_{\nu})
$$

$$
\times \left(\frac{1}{3} \dot{a}^{\nu} + \frac{1}{6} R^{\nu}{}_{\lambda} u^{\lambda}\right) + \Phi_{\mu}^{\text{tail}}(z(\tau)). \quad (2.1)
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Here,  $R^{\nu}{}_{\lambda}$  is the Ricci tensor of the background metric and  $\dot{a}^{\nu}$  is the derivative with respect to proper time of the fouracceleration  $a^{\nu} = u^{\nu}{}_{;\mu} u^{\mu}$ . The first two sets of terms are<br>evaluated locally [14, 16]. The final term (p<sup>tail</sup> is nonlocal) evaluated locally [\[14](#page-35-9)[–16\]](#page-35-11). The final term  $\Phi_{\mu}^{\text{tail}}$  is nonlocal; it is the so-called tail integral,

$$
\Phi_{\mu}^{\text{tail}}(z(\tau)) = q \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau-\epsilon} \nabla_{\mu} G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau' \quad (2.2)
$$

where  $G_{\text{ret}}(x, x')$  is the retarded Green function, defined by

<span id="page-3-2"></span>CASALS, DOLAN, OTTEWILL, AND WARDELL PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

$$
(\Box_x - \xi R)G_{\text{ret}}(x, x') = -4\pi \frac{\delta^4 (x^\mu - x'^\mu)}{\sqrt{-g}} \qquad (2.3)
$$

together with appropriate causality conditions (which we describe in Sec. IVA).

Note that the tail integral depends on the entire past history of the particle's motion. Its evaluation is the main obstacle to progress. The tail integral [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0) may be split into so-called quasilocal (QL) and distant past (DP) parts, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) That is,

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\Phi_{\mu}^{\text{tail}}(z(\tau)) = \Phi_{\mu}^{(\text{QL})}(z(\tau)) + \Phi_{\mu}^{(\text{DP})}(z(\tau))
$$

$$
= q \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\tau - \Delta \tau}^{\tau - \epsilon} \nabla_{\mu} G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau'
$$

$$
+ q \int_{-\infty}^{\tau - \Delta \tau} \nabla_{\mu} G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau' \qquad (2.4)
$$

where  $\tau - \Delta \tau$  is the *matching time*, with  $\Delta \tau$  being a free parameter in the method (see Fig. 1) parameter in the method (see Fig. [1](#page-3-0)).

The QL and DP parts may be evaluated separately using independent methods. In particular, if we choose  $\Delta \tau$  to be sufficiently small that  $z(\tau)$  and  $z(\tau - \Delta \tau)$  are within a<br>*pormal neighborhood*<sup>1</sup> [42] then the OL part may be normal neighborhood<sup>1</sup> [[42](#page-35-32)], then the QL part may be evaluated by expressing the Green function in the Hadamard parametrix [\[43\]](#page-35-33). In other words, if  $z(\tau)$  and  $z(\tau - \Delta \tau)$  are connected by a unique nonspacelike geode-<br>sic, then the OL integral is simply sic, then the QL integral is simply

$$
q^{-1}\Phi_{\mu}^{(\text{QL})}(z(\tau)) = -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\tau - \Delta \tau}^{\tau - \epsilon} \nabla_{\mu} V(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau'
$$
\n(2.5)

where  $V(x, x')$  is the smooth symmetric biscalar describing<br>the propagation of radiation within the light cone (see the propagation of radiation within the light cone (see Sec. IV C for full details). The approach ultimately yields a series expansion for the QL self-force in the coordinate separation of the points  $x$  and  $x'$ . The Hadamard-expansion method is now well advanced for several spacetimes of physical relevance, such as Schwarzschild and Kerr [\[44–](#page-35-34) [49](#page-35-35)]. In Sec. IV C we apply this method to determine the quasilocal Green function and self-force in the Nariai spacetime.

Evaluating the contribution to the Green function from the distant past is a greater challenge, and is the main focus of this work. One possibility is to decompose the Green function into a sum over angular modes and an integral over frequency. In a spherically symmetric spacetime the ''retarded'' Green function may be defined in terms of a

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 1. In the method of matched expansions, the tail integral is split into QL and DP parts.

Laplace integral transform and an angular l-mode decomposition as follows,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}(x, x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty + ic}^{+\infty + ic} d\omega e^{-i\omega(t - t')} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (2l + 1)
$$
  
×  $P_l(\cos \gamma) \tilde{g}_{l\omega}(r, r').$  (2.6)

Here  $c$  is a positive constant,  $t$  and  $r$  are appropriate time and radial coordinates, and  $\cos \gamma = \cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta$  $\sin\theta \sin\theta' \cos(\phi - \phi')$ , where  $\gamma$  is the angle between the spacetime points x and x'. The radial Green function spacetime points  $x$  and  $x'$ . The radial Green function  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(r, r')$  may be constructed from two linearly independent solutions of a radial equation. Since the DP Green dent solutions of a radial equation. Since the DP Green function does not need to be extended to coincidence ( $\tau' \rightarrow$  $\tau$ ), the mode sum does not require regularization (though it may still be regularized if desired). However, Anderson and Wiseman [[37](#page-35-27)] found the convergence of the mode sum to be poor, noting that going from 10 modes to 100 increased the accuracy by only a factor of 3.

In this paper we explore a new method for evaluating the distant past contribution, based on an expansion in socalled quasinormal modes. The integral over frequency in Eq. ([2.6](#page-3-1)) may be evaluated by deforming the contour in the complex plane [\[50,](#page-35-36)[51\]](#page-35-37). This is shown in Fig. [6](#page-10-0) (below). In the Schwarzschild case there arise three distinct contributions to the Green function, from the three sections of the frequency integral in [\(2.6\)](#page-3-1):

- (1) An integral along high-frequency arcs, which leads to a prompt response.
- (2) A quasinormal mode sum, arising from the residues of poles in the lower half-plane of complex frequency  $\omega$ . This contribution leads to a damped ringing, characteristic of the black hole.
- (3) An integral along a branch cut, which leads to a power-law tail (among possibly other features).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>More precisely, the Hadamard parametrix requires that  $z(\tau)$  d  $z(\tau - \Delta \tau)$  lie within a causal domain—a convex normal and  $z(\tau - \Delta \tau)$  lie within a *causal domain*—a *convex normal*<br>*neighborhood* with a causality condition attached. This effecneighborhood with a causality condition attached. This effectively requires that  $z(\tau)$  and  $z(\tau - \Delta \tau)$  be connected by a unique<br>nonspacelike geodesic which stays within the causal domain. nonspacelike geodesic which stays within the causal domain. However, as we expect the term normal neighborhood to be more familiar to the reader, we will use it throughout this paper, with implied assumptions of convexity and a causality condition.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 2 (color online). Orbiting null geodesics on the Schwarzschild spacetime that intersect timelike circular orbits of various radii  $R = 6M, 8M, 10M,$  and 12M. The null geodesics are shown as dotted (colored) lines, and the timelike circular orbit is shown as a solid (black) line. The spacetime point x is connected to  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  by null geodesics, as well as by the timelike circular geodesic. The Green function is singular when  $x' = x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , etc. Note that between  $R = 6M$  and  $R = 8M$  the ordering of the points  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  becomes reversed.

The three parts  $(1-3)$  are commonly supposed to dominate the scattered signal at early, intermediate, and late times, respectively [\[50](#page-35-36)[,51\]](#page-35-37). [This may be slightly misleading, however; Leaver [\[50\]](#page-35-36) notes that, in addition, the branchcut integral (part 3) ''contributes heavily to the initial burst of radiation.''] In this work, we investigate an alternative spacetime, introduced by Nariai in 1950 [[38](#page-35-28)[,39](#page-35-29)], in which the power-law tail (part 3) is absent. We demonstrate that, on the Nariai spacetime, at suitably ''late times'' the distant past Green function may be written as a sum over quasinormal modes (defined in Sec. IV B). We use the sum to compute the Green function, the radiative field, and the self-force for a static particle.

The key question addressed in this work is the following: how much of the self-force arises from the quasilocal region, and how much from the distant past? If the Green function falls off fast enough, then only the QL integral would be needed, and, since the QL integral is restricted to

### CASALS, DOLAN, OTTEWILL, AND WARDELL PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

the normal neighborhood, only the Hadamard parametrix is required. Unfortunately, this is not necessarily the case; Anderson and Wiseman [\[37\]](#page-35-27) note that there are simple situations in which the DP integral in [\(2.6\)](#page-3-1) gives the dominant contribution to the self-force.

Using the methods presented in this paper, we are able to compute the retarded Green function and the integrand of Eq. [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0) as a function of time along the past worldline. We show that the DP contribution cannot be neglected. In particular, we find that the Green function and the integrand of Eq. ([2.2](#page-2-0)) are singular whenever the two points  $z^{\mu}(\tau)$  and  $z^{\mu}(\tau')$  are connected by a null geodesic. We show that the singular form of the Green function changes show that the singular form of the Green function changes every time a null geodesic passes through a caustic. On a spherically symmetric spacetime, caustics occur at antipodal points.

On Schwarzschild spacetime, the presence of an unstable photon orbit at  $r = 3M$  implies that a null geodesic originating on a timelike worldline may later reintersect the timelike worldline, by orbiting around the black hole. Hence the effect of caustics may be significant. For example, Fig. [2](#page-4-0) shows orbiting null geodesics on the Schwarzschild spacetime which intersect timelike circular orbits of various radii. We believe that understanding the singular behavior of the integrand of Eq. [\(2.2](#page-2-0)) is a crucial step in understanding the origin of the nonlocal part of the self-force. As we shall see, the Nariai spacetime proves a fertile testing ground.

# III. SCHWARZSCHILD AND NARIAI SPACETIMES

<span id="page-5-2"></span>To evaluate the retarded Green function [\(2.6\)](#page-3-1) we require solutions to the homogeneous scalar-field equation on the appropriate curved background. In the absence of sources, the scalar-field equation  $(1.1)$  $(1.1)$  is

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \Phi) - \xi R \Phi = 0. \tag{3.1}
$$

For the Schwarzschild spacetime, the line element is

$$
ds^{2} = -f_{S}(r)dt_{S}^{2} + f_{S}^{-1}(r)dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
d\Omega_{2}^{2} = d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2},
$$
\n(3.2)

where  $f_S(r) = 1 - 2M/r$  and the label "S" denotes<br>"Schwarzschild" Decomposing the field in the usual way ''Schwarzschild.'' Decomposing the field in the usual way,

$$
\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_S \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} c_{lm\omega_S} \Phi_{lm\omega_S}(x)
$$

where 
$$
\Phi_{lm\omega_s}(x) = \frac{u_{l\omega_s}^{(3)}(r)}{r} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) e^{-i\omega_s t_s}
$$
, (3.3)

where  $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$  are the spherical harmonics,  $c_{lm\omega_s}$  are the coefficients in the mode decomposition, and the radial function  $u_{l\omega_s}^{(S)}(r)$  satisfies the radial equation

$$
\left[\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega_S^2 - V_l^{(S)}(r)\right] u_{l\omega_S}^{(S)}(r) = 0 \tag{3.4}
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>with an effective potential

$$
V_l^{(S)}(r) = f_S(r) \left( \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{f'_S(r)}{r} \right)
$$
  
=  $\left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \left( \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M}{r^3} \right).$  (3.5)

<span id="page-5-4"></span>Here  $r_*$  is a tortoise (Regge-Wheeler) coordinate, defined by

$$
\frac{dr_*}{dr} = f_S^{-1}(r) \Rightarrow
$$
  
\n
$$
r_* = r + 2M \ln(r/2M - 1) - (3M - 2M \ln 2).
$$
\n(3.6)

The outer region  $r \in (2M, +\infty)$  of the Schwarzschild black hole is now covered by  $r_* \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . Note that we have chosen the integration constant for our convewe have chosen the integration constant for our convenience so that, in the high-l limit, the peak of the potential barrier (at  $r = 3M$ ) coincides with  $r_* = 0$ .

# A. Pöschl-Teller potential and Nariai spacetime

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, closed-form solutions to  $(3.4)$  with potential  $(3.5)$  are not known. However, there is a closely related potential for which exact solutions are available: the so-called Pöschl-Teller potential [\[52\]](#page-35-38),

$$
V_l^{(PT)}(r_*) = \frac{\alpha^2 V_0}{\cosh^2(\alpha(r_* - r_*^{(0)}))}
$$
(3.7)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $V_0$ , and  $r_*^{(0)}$  are constants  $(V_0$  may depend on *l*).<br>Unlike the Schwarzschild potential, the Pöschl Teller po Unlike the Schwarzschild potential, the Pöschl-Teller potential is symmetric about  $r_s^{(0)}$ , and decays exponentially in the limit  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Vet like the Schwarzschild potential it the limit  $r_* \rightarrow \infty$ . Yet, like the Schwarzschild potential, it has a single peak, and with an appropriate choice of constants, the Pöschl-Teller potential can be made to fit the Schwarzschild potential in the vicinity of this peak (see Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). In the Schwarzschild spacetime, the peak of the potential barrier is associated with the unstable photon orbit at  $r = 3M$ . As mentioned in the previous section (see Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0), the photon orbit may lead to singularities in the distant past Green function, and in the integrand of [\(2.2\)](#page-2-0). Hence by building a toy model which includes an unstable null orbit, we hope to capture the essential features of the distant past Green function. Authors have found that the Pöschl-Teller potential is a useful model for exploring (some of the) properties of the Schwarzschild solution, for example, the quasinormal mode frequency spectrum [[53](#page-35-39),[54](#page-35-40)]. In this work, we hope to gain some insight into the distant past integral on the Schwarzschild spacetime by using the exact wave functions for the Pöschl-Teller potential, given later in Sec. IVA 1.

## SELF-FORCE CALCULATIONS WITH MATCHED ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

An obvious question follows: is there a spacetime on which the scalar-field equation reduces to a radial wave equation with a Pöschl-Teller potential? The answer turns out to be yes [[55](#page-35-41),[56](#page-35-42)]. The relevant spacetime was first introduced by Nariai in 1950 [\[38,](#page-35-28)[39\]](#page-35-29).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>To show the correspondence explicitly, let us define the line element

$$
ds^{2} = -f(\rho)dt_{N}^{2} + f^{-1}(\rho)d\rho^{2} + d\Omega_{2}^{2}, \qquad (3.8)
$$

where  $f(\rho) = 1 - \rho^2$  and  $\rho \in (-1, +1)$ . The line element (3.8) describes the central diamond of the Penrose diagram [\(3.8\)](#page-6-1) describes the central diamond of the Penrose diagram of the Nariai spacetime (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0), which is described more fully in Sec. III B. Consider the wave equation ([3.1](#page-5-2)) on this spacetime. We seek separable solutions of the form  $\Phi(x) =$  $u_{\omega_N}^{(N)}(\rho)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)e^{-i\omega_N t_N}$ , where the label "N" denotes ''Nariai.'' The radial function satisfies the equation

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
f(\rho) \frac{d}{d\rho} \left( f(\rho) \frac{du_{l\omega_{N}}^{(N)}}{d\rho} \right) + (\omega_{N}^{2} - f(\rho)[l(l+1) + \xi R]) u_{l\omega_{N}}^{(N)}(\rho) = 0 \quad (3.9)
$$

<span id="page-6-4"></span>where  $\xi$  is the curvature coupling constant and  $R = 4$  is the Ricci scalar. Now let us define a new tortoise coordinate in the usual way,

$$
\frac{d\rho_*}{d\rho} = f^{-1}(\rho) \Rightarrow \rho_* = \tanh^{-1}\rho. \tag{3.10}
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Note that  $f(\rho) = sech^2(\rho_*)$  and the tortoise coordinate is in the range  $\rho_* \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . Hence the radial equation (3.9) may be rewritten in Pöschl-Teller form  $(3.9)$  may be rewritten in Pöschl-Teller form,

$$
\left(\frac{d^2}{d\rho_*^2} + \omega_N^2 - \frac{U_0}{\cosh^2 \rho_*}\right) u_{l\omega_N}^{(N)}(\rho_*) = 0 \tag{3.11}
$$

where  $U_0 = l(l + 1) + 4\xi$ . We take the point of view that, as well as being of interest in its own right, the Nariai spacetime can provide insight into the propagation of waves on the Schwarzschild spacetime. The closest analogy between the two spacetimes is found by making the associations

$$
\rho_* \rightleftharpoons \alpha r_*, \qquad t_N \rightleftharpoons \alpha t_S,
$$
  
\n
$$
\omega_N \rightleftharpoons \omega_S/\alpha \quad \text{where } \alpha = 1/(\sqrt{27}M).
$$
 (3.12)

Figure [3](#page-6-0) shows the corresponding match between the potential barriers  $V_l^{(S)}(r_*)$  and  $V_l^{(PT)}(\rho_*)$ . In the following<br>sections we drop the label "N" so that  $t \equiv t_0$  and  $\omega \equiv$ sections, we drop the label "N," so that  $t \equiv t_N$  and  $\omega \equiv \omega_N$  $\omega_N$ .

The solutions of Eq. [\(3.11](#page-6-3)) are presented in Sec. IVA 1. First, though, we consider the Nariai spacetime in more detail.

### B. Nariai spacetime

The Nariai spacetime [\[38](#page-35-28)[,39\]](#page-35-29) may be constructed from an embedding in a six-dimensional Minkowski space

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

FIG. 3 (color online). Effective potentials for Schwarzschild  $(3.5)$  $(3.5)$  and Pöschl-Teller  $(3.7)$  radial wave equations. Note that here the Schwarzschild tortoise coordinate is defined in [\(3.6\)](#page-5-4) so that the peak is near  $r_* = 0$ . The constants in ([3.7](#page-5-3)) are  $V_0 =$  $l(l + 1)$ ,  $r_*^{(0)} = 0$ , and  $\alpha = 1/(\sqrt{27}M)$ .

$$
ds^2 = -dZ_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 dZ_i^2 \tag{3.13}
$$

of a 4-D surface determined by the two constraints

$$
-Z_0^2 + Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 = a^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_3^2 + Z_4^2 + Z_5^2 = a^2,
$$
 where  $a > 0,$  (3.14)

corresponding to a hyperboloid and a sphere, respectively. The entire manifold is covered by the coordinates  $\{T, \psi, \theta, \phi\}$  defined via

$$
Z_0 = a \sinh\left(\frac{T}{a}\right), \qquad Z_1 = a \cosh\left(\frac{T}{a}\right) \cos\psi,
$$
  

$$
Z_2 = a \cosh\left(\frac{T}{a}\right) \sin\psi,
$$
 (3.15)

$$
Z_3 = a \sin\theta \cos\phi, \qquad Z_4 = a \sin\theta \sin\phi,
$$
  

$$
Z_5 = a \cos\theta,
$$
 (3.16)

with  $\mathcal{T} \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ ,  $\phi \in$ <br> $[0, 2\pi)$  The line element is given by [0,  $2\pi$ ]. The line element is given by

$$
ds^{2} = -d\mathcal{T}^{2} + a^{2}\cosh^{2}(\frac{\mathcal{T}}{a})d\psi^{2} + a^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2}.
$$
 (3.17)

From this line element one can see that the spacetime has the following features: (1) it has geometry  $dS_2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  and topology  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  (the radius of the one-sphere diminishes with time down to a value a at  $\mathcal{T} = 0$  and then increases monotonically with time  $\mathcal T$ , whereas the twospheres have *constant* radius  $a$ ), (2) it is symmetric (i.e.,  $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma;\tau} = 0$ , with  $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$  and a constant Ricci<br>scalar  $R = 4\Lambda$  where  $\Lambda = 1/a^2$  is the value of the cosscalar  $R = 4\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda = 1/a^2$  is the value of the cos-<br>mological constant (3) it is spherically symmetric (though mological constant, (3) it is spherically symmetric (though

not isotropic), homogeneous, and locally (not globally) static, and (4) its conformal structure can be obtained by noting the Kruskal-like coordinates defined via  $U =$ for which the line element is then  $(1 - \Lambda UV)(Z_0 + Z_1)/2$ ,  $V = -(1 - \Lambda UV)(Z_0 - Z_1)/2$ ,<br>r which the line element is then

$$
ds^{2} = -\frac{4dUdV}{(1 - \Lambda UV)^{2}} + d\Omega_{2}^{2}.
$$
 (3.18)

Its two-dimensional conformal Penrose diagram is shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0) (see, e.g., [\[57\]](#page-35-43)), where we have defined the conformal time  $\zeta = 2 \arctan(\mathcal{T}/a) \in (0, \pi)$ . Its Penrose diagram differs from that of de Sitter spacetime in that here each point represents a two-sphere of constant radius; note also that the corresponding angular coordinate  $\psi$  in de Sitter spacetime has a different range,  $\psi \in [0, \pi)$ , which corresponds to its  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$  topology. Past and future timelike infinity  $i^{\pm}$  coincide with past and future null infinity  $I^{\pm}$ , respectively, and they are all spacelike hypersurfaces. A consequence of the latter fact is the existence of past/future (cosmological) event horizons [\[57–](#page-35-43)[59](#page-35-44)]: not all events in the spacetime will be influentiable/observable by a geodesic observer; the boundary of the future/past of the worldline of the observer is its past/future (cosmological) event horizon.

In this paper, we consider the static region of the Nariai spacetime which is covered by the coordinates  $\{t, \rho, \theta, \phi\}$ , where  $\rho \equiv a \tanh(\rho_*/a) \in (-a, +a), \rho_* \equiv (v-u)/2 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$  and the null coordinates  $\{u, v\}$  are given via  $U = ae^{-u/a}$ ,  $V = -ae^{v/a}$ .<br>This coordinate system  $\{t, a, \theta, ab\}$  covers the diamond- $(\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $t \equiv (v + u)/2 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ , and the null co-<br>linates  $\{u, v\}$  are given via  $U = ae^{-u/a}$ ,  $V = -ae^{v/a}$ This coordinate system,  $\{t, \rho, \theta, \phi\}$ , covers the diamondshaped region in the Penrose diagram (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0) around the hypersurface, say,  $\psi = \pi$  (because of homogeneity we could choose any other  $\psi$  = constant hypersurface). We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}$  the past cosmological event horizon at  $\rho = \pm a$  of an observer moving along  $\psi = \pi$ ; similarly  $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}^+$  $\pm a$  of an observer moving along  $\psi = \pi$ ; similarly,  $\mathcal{H}_{+}^{+}$ 

will denote its future cosmological event horizon at  $\rho =$  $\pm a$ . Interestingly, Ginsparg and Perry [\[60\]](#page-35-45) showed that this static region is obtained from the Schwarzschild–de Sitter black hole spacetime as a particular limiting procedure in which the event and cosmological horizons of the Schwarzschild–de Sitter coincide (see also [[61](#page-35-46)–[64](#page-35-47)]).

Note that there are three hypersurfaces  $\rho = 0$ , only two of which (those corresponding to  $\psi = 0$  and  $2\pi$ ) are identified (the one corresponding to  $\psi = \pi$  is not). Without loss of generality, we will take  $\Lambda = 1 = a$ . The line element corresponding to this static coordinate system is given in ([3.8](#page-6-1)).

# C. Geodesics on Nariai spacetime

Let us now consider geodesics on the Nariai spacetime. Our chief motivation is to find the orbiting geodesics, the analogous rays to those shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) for the Schwarzschild spacetime. We wish to find the coordinate times  $t - t'$  for which two angularly separated points at the same "radius"  $\rho$  (the quotes indicate the fact that the area same "radius"  $\rho$  (the quotes indicate the fact that the area of the two-spheres does not depend on  $\rho$ ) may be connected by a null geodesic. We expect the Green function to be singular at these times  $t - t'$ .<br>We will assume that particle

We will assume that particle motion takes place within the central diamond of the Penrose diagram in Fig. [4,](#page-7-0) that is, the region  $-1 < \rho < 1$  (notwithstanding the fact that timelike geodesics may pass through the future horizons timelike geodesics may pass through the future horizons  $\mathcal{H}_{+}^{+}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{-}^{+}$  in finite proper time). Without loss of generality, let us consider motion in the equatorial plane  $(\theta = \pi/2)$  described by the worldline  $z^{\mu}(\lambda) = [t(\lambda), \rho(\lambda), \pi/2, d(\lambda)]$  with the tangent vector  $u^{\mu} = [t, \phi, 0, \dot{\phi}]$ , where  $\pi/2$ ,  $\phi(\lambda)$ ] with the tangent vector  $u^{\mu} = [\dot{t}, \dot{\rho}, 0, \dot{\phi}]$ , where the overdot denotes differentiation with respect to an affine the overdot denotes differentiation with respect to an affine parameter  $\lambda$ . Symmetry implies two constants of motion,  $k = f(\rho)\dot{i}$  and  $h = \dot{\phi}$ . The radial equation is  $\dot{\rho} = \pm H(\rho^2 - \frac{2\lambda_1}{\rho^2})$  $(\rho_0^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $\rho_0 = \sqrt{1 - k^2/H^2}$  is the closest approach

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

FIG. 4. Penrose diagram for the Nariai spacetime in coordinates  $(\psi, \zeta)$ . The hypersurfaces  $\psi = 0$  and  $\psi = 2\pi$  are identified. Past/ future timelike infinity  $i^{-/+}$  coincides with past/future null infinity  $I^{-/+}$ , and they are all spacelike hypersurfaces. Thus, there exist observer-dependent past and future cosmological event horizons, here marked as  $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}^{+}$  for an observer along  $\psi = \pi$ .

### SELF-FORCE CALCULATIONS WITH MATCHED ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

point and  $H^2 = h^2 + \kappa m_0^2$ . Here,  $m_0$  is the scaling of the affine parameter (which is equal to the particle's rest mass affine parameter (which is equal to the particle's rest mass in the case of a massive particle following a timelike geodesic) and  $\kappa = +1$  for timelike geodesics,  $\kappa = 0$  for null geodesics, and  $\kappa = -1$  for spacelike geodesics. We<br>still have the freedom to rescale the affine parameter  $\lambda$  by still have the freedom to rescale the affine parameter  $\lambda$  by choosing a value for  $m_0$ . It is conventional to rescale so that  $\lambda$  corresponds to proper time or distance, that is, set  $m_0 = 1$  Instead we will rescale so that  $\lambda = \phi$  IN B here  $\phi$  and 1. Instead, we will rescale so that  $\lambda = \phi$  [N.B. here  $\phi$  and  $\lambda \phi$  are unbounded  $\phi \wedge \phi \in [0, +\infty)$  as opposed to  $\gamma \in$  $\Delta \phi$  are unbounded,  $\phi$ ,  $\Delta \phi \in [0, +\infty)$ , as opposed to  $\gamma \in$   $[0, \pi]$  that is we set  $h = 1$ [0,  $\pi$ ]; that is, we set  $h = 1$ .

<span id="page-8-3"></span>Let us consider a geodesic that starts at  $\rho = \rho_1$ ,  $\phi = 0$ which returns to radius  $\rho = \rho_1$  after passing through an angle of  $\Delta \phi$ . The geodesic distance in this case is  $s = -\kappa (H^2 - 1)^{1/2} \Delta \phi$ . It is straightforward to show that  $\kappa (H^2 - 1)^{1/2} \Delta \phi$ . It is straightforward to show that

$$
\rho(\phi) = \rho_1 \frac{\cosh(H\phi - H\Delta\phi/2)}{\cosh(H\Delta\phi/2)},\tag{3.19}
$$

<span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>hence the radius of closest approach  $\rho_0$  is

$$
\rho_0 = \rho_1 \mathrm{sech}(H\Delta\phi/2). \tag{3.20}
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>The coordinate time  $\Delta t_1$  it takes to go from  $\rho = \rho_1$ ,  $\phi = 0$ <br>to  $\rho = \rho_1$ ,  $\phi = \Delta \phi$  is to  $\rho = \rho_1$ ,  $\phi = \Delta \phi$  is

$$
\Delta t_1 = 2\rho_{*_1} + \ln\left(\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_0^2 + \sqrt{(1 - \rho_0^2)(\rho_1^2 - \rho_0^2)}}{\rho_1 + \rho_0^2 - \sqrt{(1 - \rho_0^2)(\rho_1^2 - \rho_0^2)}}\right)
$$
(3.21)

where  $\rho_{*_1} = \tanh^{-1}(\rho_1)$ . Substituting [\(3.20](#page-8-0)) into ([3.21\)](#page-8-1) yields  $\Delta t_1$  as a function of the angle  $\Delta \phi$ ,

$$
\Delta t_1 = 2\rho_{*_1} + \ln\left(\frac{1 - \rho_1 \text{sech}^2(H\Delta\phi/2) + \tanh(H\Delta\phi/2)\sqrt{1 - \rho_1^2 \text{sech}^2(H\Delta\phi/2)}}{1 + \rho_1 \text{sech}^2(H\Delta\phi/2) - \tanh(H\Delta\phi/2)\sqrt{1 - \rho_1^2 \text{sech}^2(H\Delta\phi/2)}}\right).
$$
(3.22)

<span id="page-8-4"></span>This takes a particularly simple form as  $\rho_1 \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$
\Delta t_1 \sim 2\rho_{*_1} + \ln(\sinh^2(H\Delta\phi/2)), \quad \text{for } \rho_1 \to 1. \tag{3.23}
$$

<span id="page-8-5"></span>As  $\Delta \phi \rightarrow \infty$ , the geodesic coordinate time increases line-<br>arly with the orbital angle  $\Delta \phi$ arly with the orbital angle  $\Delta \phi$ ,

$$
\Delta t_1 \sim 2\rho_{*_1} + H\Delta\phi, \quad \text{for } \Delta\phi \to \infty, \ \rho_1 \to 1. \tag{3.24}
$$

In other words, for fixed spatial points near  $\rho = 1$ , the geodesic coordinate times  $\Delta t_1$  are very nearly periodic, with the period  $2\pi H$ . Results [\(3.19\)](#page-8-2), ([3.22\)](#page-8-3), ([3.23](#page-8-4)), and [\(3.24](#page-8-5)) will prove useful when we come to consider the singularities of the Green function in Secs. VD and VE.

### IV. THE SCALAR GREEN FUNCTION

### A. Retarded Green function as a mode sum

<span id="page-8-9"></span>The retarded Green function for a scalar field on the Nariai spacetime is defined by Eq. ([2.3](#page-3-2)), together with appropriate causality conditions. As described in Sec. II the retarded Green function may be defined through a Laplace integral transform and an angular l-mode sum,

$$
G_{\text{ret}}(t, \rho_*; t', \rho'_*; \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty + ic}^{+\infty + ic} d\omega \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \tilde{g}_{l\omega}(\rho_*, \rho'_*)
$$
  
 
$$
\times (2l+1)P_l(\cos \gamma) e^{-i\omega(t-t')}, \quad (4.1)
$$

where  $\rho_*$  and t are the "tortoise" and "time" coordinates in the line element [\(3.8\)](#page-6-1), c is a positive real constant,  $t - t'$  is the coordinate time difference and  $\gamma$  is the spatial angle is the coordinate time difference, and  $\gamma$  is the spatial angle separating the points. The remaining ingredient in this formulation is the one-dimensional (radial) Green function  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(\rho_*, \rho'_*)$  which satisfies

$$
\left[\frac{d^2}{d\rho_*^2} + \omega^2 - \frac{U_0}{\cosh^2 \rho_*}\right] \tilde{g}_{l\omega}(\rho_*, \rho'_*) = -\delta(\rho_* - \rho'_*).
$$
\n(4.2)

The radial Green function may be constructed from two linearly independent solutions of the radial equation [\(3.11\)](#page-6-3). To ensure a retarded Green function we apply causal boundary conditions: no flux may emerge from the past horizons  $\mathcal{H}^-$  and  $\mathcal{H}^-$  (see Fig. [4](#page-7-0)). To this end, we will<br>employ a pair of solutions denoted  $u^{\text{in}}$  and  $u^{\text{up}}$  in analogy employ a pair of solutions denoted  $u_{l\omega}^{\text{in}}$  and  $u_{l\omega}^{\text{up}}$ , in analogy with the Schwarzschild case. These solutions are defined in the next subsection.

### 1. Radial solutions

<span id="page-8-6"></span>The homogeneous radial equation [\(3.11](#page-6-3)) may be rewritten as the Legendre differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{d\rho}\left((1-\rho^2)\frac{du_{l\omega}}{d\rho}\right) + \left(\beta(\beta+1) - \frac{\mu^2}{1-\rho^2}\right)u_{l\omega} = 0\tag{4.3}
$$

<span id="page-8-7"></span>where

$$
\mu = \pm i\omega, \qquad \beta = -1/2 + i\lambda, \tag{4.4}
$$

$$
\lambda = \pm \sqrt{(l + 1/2)^2 + d}, \qquad d = 4\xi - 1/2. \tag{4.5}
$$

<span id="page-8-8"></span>We choose  $\mu = i\omega$ ,  $\lambda = \sqrt{(l + 1/2)^2 + d}$  and note that the choice of signs will not have a bearing on the result the choice of signs will not have a bearing on the result. The value of the constant  $\xi$  in the conformally coupled case in a D-dimensional spacetime is  $(D-2)/(4(D-1))$ .<br>Note that for conformal counling in 4-D  $(\xi = 1/6)$  the Note that for conformal coupling in 4-D ( $\xi = 1/6$ ), the constant is  $d = 1/6$ , and for minimal coupling ( $\xi = 0$ ) we have  $d = -1/2$ . For the special value  $\xi = 1/8$ , we have

 $d = 0$ . The possible significance of the value  $\xi = 1/8$ , the conformal-coupling factor in three dimensions, was recently noted in a study of the self-force on wormhole spacetimes [[65\]](#page-35-48).

The solutions of Eq. [\(4.3\)](#page-8-6) are associated Legendre functions of complex order, which are defined in terms of hypergeometric functions as follows [Ref. [\[66\]](#page-35-49), Eq. (8.771)],

<span id="page-9-6"></span>
$$
P_{\beta}^{\mu}(\rho) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right)^{\mu/2} \times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-\beta, \beta+1; 1-\mu; \frac{1-\rho}{2}\right).
$$
 (4.6)

Note that  $P_-^{\mu}$ sults will be independent of the choice of sign for  $\lambda$  in [\(4.5\)](#page-8-7).  $I_{1/2+i\lambda}(\rho) = P_{-1/2-i\lambda}^{\mu}(\rho)$ , so clearly any re-In the particular case  $\mu = 0$ , the solutions belong to the class of conical functions [Ref. [[66](#page-35-49)], Eq. (8.840)]. We define the pair of linearly independent solutions (see Penrose diagram in Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0) to be

$$
u_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})}(\rho) = \Gamma(1 - \mu)P_{\beta}^{\mu}(-\rho), \tag{4.7}
$$

$$
u_{l\omega}^{(\text{up})}(\rho) = \Gamma(1-\mu)P_{\beta}^{\mu}(\rho). \tag{4.8}
$$

<span id="page-9-7"></span><span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span>These solutions are labeled ''in'' and ''up'' because they obey analogous boundary conditions to the ''ingoing at

<span id="page-9-0"></span>![](_page_9_Figure_9.jpeg)

FIG. 5 (color online). Penrose diagrams for "in" and "up" radial solutions.

horizon" and "outgoing at infinity" solutions that are causally appropriate in the Schwarzschild case [[51](#page-35-37)]. It is straightforward to verify that the in and up solutions obey

$$
u_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})} \sim e^{-i\omega\rho_*} \quad \text{as } \rho_* \to -\infty,
$$
 (4.9)

$$
u_{l\omega}^{(\text{up})} \sim e^{+i\omega\rho_*} \quad \text{as } \rho_* \to +\infty. \tag{4.10}
$$

To find the asymptotes of  $u_{l\omega}^{(in)}$  near  $\rho = 1$ , we may employ the series expansion the series expansion

$$
{}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a+b-c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}(1-z)^{c-a-b} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c-a)_{k}(c-b)_{k}}{(c+1-a-b)_{k}} \frac{(1-z)^{k}}{k!}\right] + \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}b_{k}}{(1+a+b-c)_{k}} \frac{(1-z)^{k}}{k!}\right]
$$
(4.11)

where  $(z)_k = \Gamma(z+k)/\Gamma(z)$  is the Pochhammer symbol. In our case  $a = -\beta$ ,  $b = \beta + 1$ ,  $c = 1 - \mu$ , and  $1 - z =$ <br>(1 - a)/2 It is straightforward to show that  $(1 - \rho)/2$ . It is straightforward to show that

$$
u_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})}(\rho_*) \sim \begin{cases} e^{-i\omega\rho_*} & \rho_* \to -\infty \\ A_{l\omega}^{(\text{out})} e^{i\omega\rho_*} + A_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})} e^{-i\omega\rho_*} & \rho_* \to +\infty, \end{cases}
$$
\n(4.12)

where

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
A_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\omega)\Gamma(-i\omega)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta - i\omega)\Gamma(-\beta - i\omega)},
$$
(4.13)

$$
A_{l\omega}^{(\text{out})} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\omega)\Gamma(i\omega)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)\Gamma(-\beta)},\tag{4.14}
$$

with  $\beta$  as defined in ([4.4\)](#page-8-8). The up solution is found from the in solution via spatial inversion  $\rho \rightarrow -\rho$ ; hence

$$
u_{l\omega}^{(\text{up})}(\rho_*) \sim \begin{cases} A_{l\omega}^{(\text{out})} e^{-i\omega \rho_*} + A_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})} e^{i\omega \rho_*} & \rho_* \to -\infty \\ e^{i\omega \rho_*} & \rho_* \to +\infty. \end{cases}
$$
\n(4.15)

<span id="page-9-1"></span>The Wronskian W of the two linearly independent solutions  $u_{l\omega}^{\text{in}}(\rho_*)$  and  $u_{l\omega}^{\text{up}}(\rho_*)$  can be easily obtained:

$$
W = u_{l\omega}^{\text{in}}(\rho_*) \frac{du_{l\omega}^{\text{up}}}{d\rho_*} - u_{l\omega}^{\text{up}}(\rho_*) \frac{du_{l\omega}^{\text{in}}}{d\rho_*} = 2i\omega A_{l\omega}^{\text{(in)}}. \quad (4.16)
$$

<span id="page-9-5"></span>The one-dimensional Green function  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(\rho_*, \rho'_*)$  is then given by given by

$$
\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(\rho_*, \rho'_*)
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{W} \begin{cases}\n u_{l\omega}^{\text{in}}(\rho_*) u_{l\omega}^{\text{up}}(\rho'_*) & \rho_* < \rho'_* \\
 u_{l\omega}^{\text{up}}(\rho_*) u_{l\omega}^{\text{in}}(\rho'_*) & \rho_* > \rho'_* \n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1 + \beta - \mu) \Gamma(-\beta - \mu) P_{\beta}^{\mu}(-\rho_<) P_{\beta}^{\mu}(\rho_*) , \quad (4.17)
$$

where  $\rho_{\leq} \equiv \min(\rho, \rho')$  and  $\rho_{\geq} \equiv \max(\rho, \rho')$ . The four-<br>dimensional retarded Green function can thus be written as dimensional retarded Green function can thus be written as

<span id="page-10-0"></span>![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 6. Contour integrals. These plots show the deformation of the integral over frequency in the complex plane to include the poles of the Green function (quasinormal modes), for two spacetimes: (a) Schwarzschild (left plot) (including a branch point at  $\omega = 0$  and the corresponding branch cut) and (b) Nariai (right plot) (for  $\xi > 0$ ).

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}(x, x') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos\gamma) \int_{-\infty+ic}^{+\infty+ic} d\omega e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\lambda - i\omega\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\lambda - i\omega\right) P_{-1/2+i\lambda}^{i\omega}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2+i\lambda}^{i\omega}(\rho_<). \tag{4.18}
$$

Unfortunately, we have not been able to find anywhere in the literature where this retarded Green function has been obtained in closed form. In this paper we will calculate it and extract interesting information about it (such as its singularity structure) by using a combination of analytic and numerical techniques.

# B. Distant past Green function: the quasinormal mode sum

As discussed in Sec. II, the integral over frequency in Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-8-9) may be evaluated by deforming the contour in the complex plane [[50](#page-35-36),[51](#page-35-37)]. The deformation is shown in Fig. [6.](#page-10-0) The left plot (a) shows the Schwarzschild case, and the right plot (b) shows the Nariai case.

On the Schwarzschild spacetime, it is well known that a ''power-law tail'' arises from the frequency integral along a branch cut along the (negative) imaginary axis [Fig. [6](#page-10-0), part (3)]. In the Schwarzschild case, the branch cut is necessary due to a branch point in  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(r, r')$  at  $\omega = 0$ <br>[50.67] In contrast for the Nariai case with  $\xi > 0$   $\omega =$ [\[50](#page-35-36)[,67\]](#page-35-50). In contrast, for the Nariai case with  $\xi > 0$ ,  $\omega =$ 0 is a regular point of  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(r, r')$  [the Wronskian [\(4.16\)](#page-9-1) is<br>well defined and nonzero in the limit  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  and the well defined and nonzero in the limit  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  and the Legendre functions  $P_{\beta}^{\mu}(\rho)$  are regular for  $\rho \in (-1, +1)$ ].<br>Interestingly, for minimal coupling  $(6 - 0)$ , we find that Interestingly, for minimal coupling ( $\xi = 0$ ), we find that  $\omega = 0$  is a simple pole of  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(r, r')$  when  $l = 0$ , and yet  $A_{\text{I=0}}^{(\text{in})} \neq 0$ , so this mode does not obey the quasinormal<br>mode boundary conditions (see below); we will not conmode boundary conditions (see below); we will not consider the minimal-coupling case in this paper, however. In either case ( $\xi > 0$  and  $\xi = 0$ ),  $\omega = 0$  is not a branch point and hence power-law decay does not arise on the Nariai spacetime.

The simple poles of the Green function (shown as dots in Fig. [6](#page-10-0)) occur in the lower half-plane of the complex frequency plane. Given that the associated Legendre functions  $P_{\beta}^{\mu}(\rho)$  have no poles for fixed  $\rho \in (-1, +1)$ , and that<br>the poles of  $\Gamma(1-\mu)$  in Eqs. (4.7) and (4.8) gauged out the poles of  $\Gamma(1 - \mu)$  in Eqs. ([4.7](#page-9-2)) and ([4.8](#page-9-3)) cancel out<br>with those of the Wronskian, the poles of the Green funcwith those of the Wronskian, the poles of the Green function correspond to the zeros of the Wronskian ([4.16\)](#page-9-1). The Wronskian is zero when the in and up solutions are linearly dependent. This occurs at a discrete set of (complex) QNM frequencies  $\omega_a$ . Beyer [[68](#page-35-51)] has shown that, for the Pöschl-Teller potential, the corresponding QNM radial solutions form a *complete basis* at sufficiently late times ( $t > t_c$ , to be defined below). Completeness means that *any* wave function obeying the correct boundary conditions at  $\rho_* \rightarrow$  $\pm \infty$  can be represented as a sum over quasinormal modes, to arbitrary precision. Intuitively, we may expect this to mean that, at sufficiently late times, the Green function itself can be written as a sum over the residues of the poles.

# 1. Quasinormal modes

QNMs are solutions to the radial wave equation ([3.11\)](#page-6-3) which are left-going  $(e^{-i\omega \rho_{*}})$  as  $\rho_{*} \to -\infty$  and right-going  $(e^{+i\omega \rho_{*}})$  as  $\rho_{*} \to +\infty$  ONMs occur at discrete complex  $(e^{+i\omega \rho_s})$  as  $\rho_s \rightarrow +\infty$ . QNMs occur at discrete complex frequencies  $\omega = \omega_q$  for which  $A_{\ell q}^{(in)} = 0$  (with the mentioned exception of  $\omega = 0$  for modes  $l = 0$  in the case  $\xi =$ 0). At QNM frequencies, the in and up solutions  $(u_{l\omega_q}^{\text{in}})$  and  $u_{l\omega_q}^{\text{up}}$ ) are linearly dependent and the Wronskian [\(4.16\)](#page-9-1) is zero.

The QNMs of the Schwarzschild black hole have been studied in much detail [[69](#page-35-52)[–72\]](#page-35-53). QNM frequencies are complex, with the real part corresponding to oscillation frequency, and the (negative) imaginary part corresponding to damping rate. QNM frequencies  $\omega_{ln}$  are labeled by two integers: *l*, the angular momentum, and  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty$ , the *overtone number*. For every multipole  $l$ , there are an infinite number of overtones. In the asymptotic limit  $l \gg n$ , the Schwarzschild QNM frequencies approach [[53](#page-35-39),[73](#page-35-54),[74](#page-35-55)]

$$
M\omega_{ln}^{(S)} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{27}} [\pm (l + 1/2) - i(n + 1/2)]. \tag{4.19}
$$

In general, the damping increases with *n*. The  $n = 0$ (''fundamental'') modes are the least damped.

The quasinormal modes of the Nariai spacetime are found from the condition  $A_{l\omega_{ln}}^{(in)} = 0$ . Using [\(4.13](#page-9-4)), we find

$$
1 + \beta - i\omega_{ln} = -n \quad \text{or} \quad -\beta - i\omega_{ln} = -n \quad (4.20)
$$

<span id="page-11-4"></span>where  $n$  is a non-negative integer. These conditions lead to the QNM frequencies

$$
\omega_{ln} = -\lambda - i(n+1/2),\tag{4.21}
$$

where  $\lambda$  is defined in [\(4.5\)](#page-8-7). (Note we have chosen the sign of the real part of the frequency here for consistency with previous studies [\[53](#page-35-39)[,54\]](#page-35-40) which use  $\sigma = -\omega$  as the fre-<br>quency variable.) quency variable.)

### 2. The quasinormal mode sum

The quasinormal mode sum is constructed from [\(2.6\)](#page-3-1) by taking the sum over the residues of the poles of  $\tilde{g}_{l\omega}(\rho, \rho')$ in the complex- $\omega$  plane. Applying Leaver's analysis [\[50\]](#page-35-36) to ([2.6](#page-3-1)), with the radial Green function ([4.17\)](#page-9-5), we obtain

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(t, \rho; t', \rho'; \gamma) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos \gamma)
$$

$$
\times \mathcal{B}_{ln} \tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho) \tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho') e^{-i\omega_{ln}T}, \quad (4.22)
$$

<span id="page-11-5"></span>where

$$
T \equiv t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'_*, \tag{4.23}
$$

<span id="page-11-0"></span>and the sum is taken over either the third or fourth quadrant of the frequency plane only. Here,  $\rho$  and t are the coor-dinates in line element [\(3.8\)](#page-6-1),  $\rho_*$  is defined in ([3.10\)](#page-6-4),  $\omega_{ln}$  are the QNM frequencies and  $B_{ln}$  are the *excitation factors*, defined as

$$
\mathcal{B}_{ln} \equiv \frac{A_{l\omega_{ln}}^{(\text{out})}}{2\omega_{ln}\frac{dA_{l\omega}^{(\text{in})}}{d\omega}\Big|_{\omega_{ln}}},\tag{4.24}
$$

<span id="page-11-3"></span>and  $\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho)$  are the QNM radial functions, defined by

$$
\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho_{*}) = \frac{u_{l\omega_{ln}}^{\text{in}}(\rho_{*})}{A_{l\omega_{ln}}^{\text{(out)}}e^{i\omega_{ln}\rho_{*}}}.
$$
\n(4.25)

<span id="page-11-2"></span>The QNM radial functions are normalized so that  $\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho) \rightarrow$ 1 as  $\rho \rightarrow 1$  ( $\rho_* \rightarrow \infty$ ). The excitation factors, defined in [\(4.24](#page-11-0)), may be shown to be

$$
\mathcal{B}_{ln} = \frac{1}{2n!} \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 - 2i\omega_{ln})}{[\Gamma(1 - i\omega_{ln})]^2}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{2n!} \frac{\Gamma(-n + 2i\lambda)}{[\Gamma(-n + 1/2 + i\lambda)]^2}.
$$
 (4.26)

The steps in the derivation are given in Appendix A.3 of [\[54\]](#page-35-40).

The Green function ([4.22](#page-11-1)) now takes the form of a double infinite series, taken over both angular momentum  $l$  and overtone number  $n$ . Let us briefly consider the convergence properties of these sums.

The infinite series over  $n$  taken at fixed  $l$  is convergent if  $t - t' > t_c$ , where  $t_c \equiv \rho_* + \rho'_*$  (i.e., at late times  $T > 0$ ),<br>and divergent if  $t - t' < t$  (i.e., at "early times"  $T < 0$ ) and divergent if  $t - t' < t_c$  (i.e., at "early times"  $T < 0$ ).<br>Note that the ONM frequencies have a negative imaginary Note that the QNM frequencies have a negative imaginary part. The dominant quantity in the series is the exponential  $\exp(-(n + 1/2)T)$ , which decays or diverges more power-<br>fully with *n* than any other factor in the sum (this can be fully with  $n$  than any other factor in the sum (this can be seen from the exact analytic forms shown below for the excitation factors and the radial functions and their large- $n$ asymptotic behavior). More details on the convergence with  $n$  in the Schwarzschild case are given in Sec. IIIB of [\[51\]](#page-35-37), and their arguments follow through to our case. Beyer [[68](#page-35-51)] has shown in the Nariai case that, for late times  $T > 0$ , QNMs form a *complete basis*. Physically, for the  $QNM$  *n* sum to be appropriate, sufficient coordinate time must elapse for a light ray to propagate inwards from  $\rho'$ , reflect off the potential barrier near  $\rho = 0$ , and propagate outwards again to  $\rho$ .

The infinite series over  $l$ , taken at fixed  $n$ , is divergent. For large *l*, the magnitudes of the factors  $(l+1/2)B_{ln}$ ,  $P_l(\cos \gamma)$ , and  $\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho)$  asymptote as, respectively,  $(l +$  $1/2)^{n+1/2}$ ,  $(l + 1/2)^{-1/2}$  [except at  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\pi$ , where it is equal to  $+1$   $(-1)^l$  respectively; see Eq. (C8)] and a equal to  $+1$ ,  $(-1)^l$ , respectively; see Eq. [\(C8\)](#page-33-0)] and a hounded term. Therefore, the limit  $l = +\infty$  of the sumbounded term. Therefore, the limit  $l = +\infty$  of the summand in  $(4.22)$  $(4.22)$  $(4.22)$  is not zero and so the l series (for fixed n) is divergent. Despite the series being divergent, we show in Sec. V C by applying a Watson transform that well-defined and meaningful values can be extracted from the series over l. This procedure (the Watson transform) is not possible globally, as the series also contains ''physical'' divergences, associated with the null wave front. We show in Sec. VA that the breakdown in validity of the Watson transform is related to a ''coherent phase condition'' for terms in the series.

# 3. Green function near spatial infinity,  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow +1$

In the limit that both radial coordinates  $\rho_*$  and  $\rho'_*$  tend to  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  the ONM sum (4.22) may be rewrited infinity ( $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow +1$ ), the QNM sum [\(4.22](#page-11-1)) may be rewritten

$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(T, \gamma)
$$
  
~ 2 Re  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos \gamma) e^{i\lambda T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{ln} e^{-(n+1/2)T}$ ,  
 $\rho_*, \rho'_* \to +\infty,$  (4.27)

where  $\lambda$  was defined in ([4.5](#page-8-7)). Using the expression for the excitation factors  $(4.26)$  $(4.26)$ , the sum over *n* can be evaluated explicitly, as follows,

<span id="page-12-4"></span>
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{ln} e^{-(n+1/2)T}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{z^{1/2}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(-n+2i\lambda)}{[\Gamma(-n+1/2+i\lambda)]^2} \frac{z^n}{n!}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{z^{1/2}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(2i\lambda)}{[\Gamma(1/2+i\lambda)]^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2i\lambda)_{-n}}{[(1/2+i\lambda)_{-n}]^2} \frac{z^n}{n!} (4.28)
$$

where  $z = e^{-T}$  and  $\left(\cdot\right)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol. Using<br>the identity  $\left(\tau\right) = (-1)^n/(1 - r)$  and the duplication the identity  $(x)_{-n} = (-1)^n/(1-x)_n$  and the duplication<br>formula  $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+1/2) = 2^{1-2z} \sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2z)$  we find the identity  $(x)_{-n} = (-1)^n/(1-x)_n$  and the dup<br>formula  $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+1/2) = 2^{1-2z}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2z)$ , we find

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{ln} e^{-(n+1/2)T}
$$
  
=  $\frac{e^{-T/2}}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{2^{2i\lambda} \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2 + i\lambda)^2} F_1(-\beta, -\beta; -2\beta; -e^{-T})$  (4.29)

where  ${}_{2}F_{1}$  is the hypergeometric function, and  $\beta$  was defined in ([4.4\)](#page-8-8). Hence the Green function near spatial infinity ( $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow 1$ ) is

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(T, \gamma) \sim \frac{e^{-T/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{ Re} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(l+1/2)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2+i\lambda)} P_l(\cos\gamma)
$$
  
  $\times e^{i\lambda(T+2\ln2)} {}_2F_1(-\beta, -\beta; -2\beta; -e^{-T}),$   
\n $\rho_*, \rho'_* \to +\infty.$  (4.30)

## 4. Green function at arbitrary ''radii''

Unfortunately, it is not straightforward to perform the sum over *n* explicitly for general values of  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$ . Instead we must include the QNM radial functions  $\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho_*)$ , defined by ([4.7](#page-9-2)) and [\(4.25\)](#page-11-3). We note that the Legendre function appearing in [\(4.7\)](#page-9-2) can be expressed in terms of a hypergeometric function [see Eq. ([4.6](#page-9-6))], and the hypergeometric function can be written as a power series about  $\rho = 1$  [Eq. ([4.11\)](#page-9-7)]. At quasinormal mode frequencies, the second term in Eq. ([4.11](#page-9-7)) is zero, and hence the wave function is purely outgoing at infinity, as expected. Combining results ([4.6](#page-9-6)), ([4.7](#page-9-2)), ([4.11](#page-9-7)), and ([4.25](#page-11-3)) we find the normalized wave functions to be

$$
\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho) = \left(\frac{2}{1+\rho}\right)^{i\omega_{ln}} S_{ln}(\rho),\tag{4.31}
$$

<span id="page-12-5"></span>where  $\rho$  is the radial coordinate in line element ([3.8](#page-6-1)) and  $S_{ln}$  is a finite series with *n* terms,

$$
S_{ln}(\rho) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{(-n+2i\lambda)_{k}(-n)_{k}}{(-n+1/2+i\lambda)_{k}} \left(\frac{1-\rho}{2}\right)^{k}
$$
  
=  ${}_{2}F_{1}\left(-n+2i\lambda, -n; -n+\frac{1}{2}+i\lambda; \frac{1-\rho}{2}\right),$  (4.32)

where we have adopted the sign convention  $\omega_{ln} = -\lambda$ <br> $i(n + 1/2)$  of (4.21). Hence the Green function at arbitrar  $i(n + 1/2)$  of [\(4.21](#page-11-4)). Hence the Green function at arbitrary<br>radii may be written as the double sum radii may be written as the double sum

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(x, x') = 2 \text{ Re} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos \gamma) e^{i\lambda [T-\ln(2/(1+\rho))-\ln(2/(1+\rho'))]} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{ln} \left[ \frac{4e^{-T}}{(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right]^{n+1/2} S_{ln}(\rho) S_{ln}(\rho'). \quad (4.33)
$$

# 5. Green function approximation from fundamental modes

Expression ([4.33](#page-12-0)) is complicated and difficult to analyze, as it involves a double infinite sum. It would be useful to have a simple approximate expression, with only a single sum, which captures the essence of the physics. At late times, we might expect that the Green function is dominated by the least-damped modes, that is, the  $n = 0$ fundamental quasinormal modes. If we discard the higher modes  $n > 0$ , we are left with an approximation to the Green function which does indeed seem to capture the essential features and singularity structure. However, as we show in Sec. V D, it does not correctly predict the singularity times.

<span id="page-12-2"></span>The  $n = 0$  approximation to the Green function is

$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{(n=0)}(x, x') = \left(\frac{e^{-T}}{\pi(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} \text{Re} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(2l+1)P_l(\cos\gamma)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2+i\lambda)} \times e^{i\lambda[T+\ln(1+\rho)(1+\rho')]},\tag{4.34}
$$

since  $S_{l0}(\rho) \equiv 1$ . Note that the sum over l is approximately

periodic in T (exactly periodic in the case  $\xi = 1/8$ ), with period  $4\pi$ .

# C. Quasilocal Green function: Hadamard-WKB expansion

We now consider the Green function in the quasilocal region, which is needed for the calculation of the quasilocal contribution to the scalar self-force  $\Phi_{\mu}^{(QL)}$  given in Eq. ([2.4](#page-3-3)). When spacetime points x and  $x<sup>1</sup>$  are sufficiently close together (within a normal neighborhood—see footnote  $\frac{1}{1}$ , the retarded Green function may be expressed in the Hadamard parametrix [[42,](#page-35-32)[43](#page-35-33)],

<span id="page-12-3"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}(x, x') = \theta_{-}(x, x') \{ U(x, x') \delta(\sigma(x, x'))
$$

$$
- V(x, x') \theta(-\sigma(x, x')) \}, \qquad (4.35)
$$

where  $\theta_{-}(x, x')$  is analogous to the Heaviside step function<br>(unity when  $x'$  is in the causal past of x and zero other-(unity when  $x<sup>'</sup>$  is in the causal past of x, and zero otherwise),  $\delta(\sigma(x, x'))$  is the standard Dirac delta function,<br> $U(x, x')$  and  $V(x, x')$  are symmetric bi-scalars having the  $U(x, x')$  and  $V(x, x')$  are symmetric bi-scalars having the benefit that they are regular for  $x' \rightarrow x$  and  $\sigma(x, x')$  is the benefit that they are regular for  $x' \rightarrow x$ , and  $\sigma(x, x')$  is the Synge 116.75.761 world function. Clearly, the term involv-Synge [\[16,](#page-35-11)[75](#page-35-56)[,76\]](#page-35-57) world function. Clearly, the term involving  $U(x, x')$ , the "direct" part, will not contribute to the

quasilocal integral in Eq. [\(2.4\)](#page-3-3) since it has support only on the light cone, while the integral is internal to the light cone. We will therefore only concern ourselves with the calculation of the function  $V(x, x')$ , the "tail" part, which<br>has support inside the light cone has support inside the light cone.

The fact that x and  $x<sup>t</sup>$  are close together suggests that an expansion of  $V(x, x')$  in powers of the separation of the function<br>points may give a good representation of the function points may give a good representation of the function within the quasilocal region. In Ref. [\[77\]](#page-35-58) we use a WKB method (based on that of Refs. [[44](#page-35-34),[78](#page-35-59),[79](#page-35-60)]) to derive such a coordinate expansion, and we also give estimates of its range of validity. Referring to the results therein, we have  $V(x, x')$  as a power series in  $(t - t')$  and  $(\cos \gamma - 1)$ ,

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
V(x, x') = \sum_{i,j=0}^{+\infty} v_{ij}(\rho)(t - t')^{2i}(\cos \gamma - 1)^j, \qquad (4.36)
$$

where  $\gamma$  is the angular separation of the points. In general, this expression also includes a third index, k, corresponding to the kth power of the radial separation of the points,  $(\rho - \rho')^k$ . However, for the nonradial motion considered in the present work, we will only need the terms of order Þ the present work, we will only need the terms of order  $O[(\rho - \rho')^0]$  and  $O[(\rho - \rho')^1]$ . The  $k = 0$  terms,  $v_{ij0} =$ <br>
21. are given by Eq. (4.36) and Ref. [77], and the  $k = 1$ .  $v_{ij}$ , are given by Eq. ([4.36](#page-13-0)) and Ref. [[77](#page-35-58)], and the  $k = 1$ <br>terms  $v_{ij}$ , are easily calculated from the  $k = 0$  terms terms,  $v_{ii1}$ , are easily calculated from the  $k = 0$  terms using the identity [\[48\]](#page-35-61)

$$
v_{ij1}(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2}v_{ij0,\rho}(\rho). \tag{4.37}
$$

Equation [\(4.36\)](#page-13-0) therefore gives the quasilocal contribution to the retarded Green function as required in the present context.

# V. SINGULAR STRUCTURE OF THE GREEN FUNCTION

In this section we investigate the singular structure of the Green function. We note that one expects the Green function to be singular when its two argument points are connected by a null geodesic, on account of the ''propagation of singularities" theorems of Duistermaat and Hörmander [\[80](#page-36-0)[,81\]](#page-36-1) and their application to the Hadamard elementary function [which is, except for a constant factor, the imaginary part of the Feynman propagator defined below in Eq. ([5.36\)](#page-18-0)] for the Klein-Gordon equation by, e.g., Kay, Radzikowski, and Wald [[82](#page-36-2)]: ''if such a distributional bisolution is singular for sufficiently nearby pairs of points on a given null geodesic, then it will necessarily remain singular for all pairs of points on that null geodesic.''

<span id="page-13-1"></span>We begin in Sec. VA by exploring the large-l asymptotics of the quasinormal mode-sum expressions [\(4.30\)](#page-12-1), [\(4.33](#page-12-0)), and ([4.34\)](#page-12-2). The large-l asymptotics of the mode sums are responsible for the singularities in the Green function. We argue that the Green function is singular whenever a ''coherent phase'' condition is satisfied. The coherent phase condition is applied to find the times at which the Green function is singular. We show that the ''singularity times'' are exactly those predicted by the geodesic analysis of Sec. III C. In Sec. V B we introduce two methods for turning the sum over *l* into an integral. We show in Sec. V C that the Watson transform can be applied to extract meaningful values from the QNM sums, away from singularities. We show in Sec. V D that the Poisson sum formula may be applied to study the behavior of the Green function near the singularities. We show that there is a fourfold repeating pattern in the singular structure of the Green function, and use uniform asymptotics to improve our estimates. In Sec. V E we rederive the same effects by computing the Van Vleck determinant along orbiting geodesics, to find the ''direct'' part of the Green function arising from the Hadamard form. The two approaches are shown to be consistent.

# A. Singularities of the Green function: Large-l asymptotics

We expect the Green function  $G_{\text{ret}}(x, x')$  to be "singu-<br>" if the spacetime points x and x' are connected by a null lar" if the spacetime points x and  $x<sup>1</sup>$  are connected by a null geodesic. By singular we mean that  $G_{\text{ret}}(x, x')$  does not take<br>a finite value, although it may be well defined in a distria finite value, although it may be well defined in a distributional sense. Here we show that the Green function is singular in this sense if the large-l asymptotics of the terms in the sum over *l* satisfy a *coherent phase condition*.

# 1. Near spatial infinity  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow +1$

Insight into the occurrence of singularities in the Green function may be obtained by examining the large-l asymptotics of the terms in the series [\(4.30\)](#page-12-1). Let us write

$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(x, x') \sim \text{Re} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} G_l(T, \gamma), \qquad \rho_*, \rho'_* \to +\infty. \tag{5.1}
$$

The asymptotic behavior of the gamma function ratio is straightforward:  $\Gamma(i\lambda)/\Gamma(1/2 + i\lambda) \sim \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-i\pi/4}$ ,  $\lambda$ <br>+ $\infty$  The large-*l* asymptotics of the hypergeometric fu  $+\infty$ . The large-l asymptotics of the hypergeometric func-<br>tion are explored in Appendix A. We find tion are explored in Appendix A. We find

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
{}_{2}F_{1}(-\beta, -\beta; -2\beta; -e^{-T})
$$
  
\n
$$
\sim (1 + e^{-T})^{-1/4} \exp\left(i\lambda \left[\ln\left\{\frac{\sqrt{1 + e^{-T}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{-T}} - 1}\right\}\right]\right.
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2\ln 2 - T\right], \qquad \lambda \to +\infty.
$$
  
\n(5.2)

For simplicity, let us consider the special case of spatial coincidence  $\gamma = 0$  (near spatial infinity  $\rho, \rho' \rightarrow 1$ ),

$$
G_l(T, \gamma = 0) \sim \frac{e^{-T/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}(1 + e^{-T})^{1/4}} \frac{(l + 1/2)}{\lambda^{1/2}} \exp\left(i\lambda \ln\left[\frac{\sqrt{1 + e^{-T}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{-T}} - 1}\right] - i\pi/4\right), \qquad \lambda \to \infty.
$$
 (5.3)

SELF-FORCE CALCULATIONS WITH MATCHED ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

Asymptotically, the magnitude of the terms in this series grows with  $(l + 1/2)^{1/2}$ . Hence the series is divergent.<br>Nevertheless due to the oscillatory nature of the series Nevertheless, due to the oscillatory nature of the series, well-defined values can be extracted (see Sec. V C), provided that the coherent phase condition

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{l \to +\infty} \arg(G_{l+1}/G_l) = 2\pi N, \qquad N \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad (5.4)
$$

is not satisfied (for a related, but different, coherent phase condition or ''resonance'' see, for example, [\[83](#page-36-3)]). In other words, the Green function is singular in our sense if Eq. ([5.4](#page-14-0)) is satisfied. In this case,

$$
\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+e^{-T}}+1}{\sqrt{1+e^{-T}}-1}\right) = 2\pi N, \qquad N \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$
 (5.5)

Rearranging, we see that the Green function [\(4.30\)](#page-12-1) with  $\gamma = 0$  is singular when the "QNM time" T is equal to<br>  $T^{(\rho \sim 1, \gamma = 0)} = t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'_* = \ln[\sinh^2(\pi N)]$  (5)

$$
T^{(\rho \sim 1, \gamma = 0)} = t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'_* = \ln[\sinh^2(\pi N)].
$$
 (5.6)

Note that the coherent phase condition ([5.4](#page-14-0)) implies that the Green function is singular at precisely the null geodesic times [\(3.23](#page-8-4)) (with  $H = 1$  and  $\Delta \phi = 2\pi N$ ), derived in Sec. VD Sec. V D.

For the more general case where the spacetime points  $x$ ,  $x<sup>7</sup>$  are separated by an angle  $\gamma$  on the sphere, it is straightforward to use the asymptotics of the Legendre polynomials to show that the Green function ([4.30](#page-12-1)) is singular when the QNM time  $T$  is equal to

<span id="page-14-4"></span>
$$
T^{(\rho \sim 1)} = t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'_* = \ln[\sinh^2(\Delta \phi/2)],
$$
  
where  $\Delta \phi = 2\pi N \pm \gamma$ , (5.7)

again in concordance with ([3.23\)](#page-8-4).

# 2. "Fundamental mode"  $n = 0$

In Sec. IV B 5 we suggested that a reasonable approximation to the Green function may be found by neglecting the higher overtones  $n > 0$ . The fundamental mode series [\(4.34](#page-12-2)) also has singularities arising from the coherent phase condition ([5.4](#page-14-0)), but they occur at slightly different times; we find that these times are

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
T^{(n=0)} = \Delta \phi - \ln[(1+\rho)(1+\rho')],
$$
  
where  $\Delta \phi = 2\pi N \pm \gamma$ . (5.8)

Towards spatial infinity, ([5.8](#page-14-1)) simplifies to  $T^{(n=0)} = \Delta \phi - 2 \ln 2$  which should be compared with the "null geodesic 2 ln2, which should be compared with the ''null geodesic time" given in [\(3.23\)](#page-8-4) (with  $H = 1$ , as it corresponds to null geodesics). In Sec. V D we compare the singularities of the approximation [\(4.34](#page-12-2)) with the singularities of the exact solution [\(4.30](#page-12-1)) at spatial infinity. Note that the singularity times  $T^{(n=0)}$  are periodic, with period  $2\pi$ . Clearly, the periodic times  $T^{(n=0)}$ , for any  $\rho = \rho' \in (-1, +1)$ , are<br>not quite equal to the null geodesic times. Nevertheless not quite equal to the null geodesic times. Nevertheless, the latter approaches the former, i.e. Eq. ([3.22](#page-8-3)), as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . These last properties are not surprising:  $n = 0$  corresponds to the least-damped modes, which are the dominating ones in the QNM Green function at late times. Therefore, one would expect that the singularity times, at late times, of the  $n = 0$  Green function correspond to those null geodesics that come in from  $\rho'$ , orbit very near the two-sphere at  $\rho = 0$  (the unstable photon orbit) a very large number of times 0 (the unstable photon orbit) a very large number of times  $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ , and then come back out to  $\rho$ . Since it takes a null geodesic a coordinate time of  $2\pi$  (recall that all twospheres in Nariai have radius  $a = 1$ ) to orbit around the two-sphere at  $\rho = 0$ , this time of  $2\pi$  should be (at least at late times) precisely the time difference between singularities for the  $n = 0$  QNM Green function.

To investigate the form of the Green function we now introduce two methods for converting a sum over  $l$  into an integral in the complex l plane. One method—the Watson transformation—is used to investigate the Green function away from the null cone, and the other method—the Poisson sum—is used near the null cone.

### B. Watson transform and Poisson sum

<span id="page-14-2"></span>In Sec. IV B, the distant past Green function was expressed via a sum over l of the form

$$
I = \text{Re}\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) P_l(\cos \gamma). \tag{5.9}
$$

Here,  $\mathcal{F}(l + \frac{1}{2})$  may be immediately read off from [\(4.30\)](#page-12-1),<br>(4.33), and (4.34). The so-called Watson transform [84] and [\(4.33](#page-12-0)), and [\(4.34\)](#page-12-2). The so-called Watson transform [[84](#page-36-4)] and Poisson sum formula [\[85](#page-36-5)[,86\]](#page-36-6) [see Eq. ([C5\)](#page-33-1)] provide two closely related ways of transforming a sum over l into an integral in the complex *l* plane. The two methods provide complementary advantages in understanding the sum over l.

A key element of the Watson transform is that, when extending the Legendre polynomial to noninteger  $l$ , the function with the appropriate behavior is  $P_l(-\cos \gamma)$ . This is obscured by the fact that  $P_l(-\cos \gamma) = (-1)^l P_l(\cos \gamma)$ is obscured by the fact that  $P_l(-\cos \gamma) = (-1)^l P_l(\cos \gamma)$ <br>when *l* is an integer Our first step is then to rewrite the sum when  $l$  is an integer. Our first step is then to rewrite the sum [\(5.9\)](#page-14-2) as

$$
I = \text{Re}\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} e^{i(2N+1)\pi l} \mathcal{F}\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) P_l(-\cos\gamma), \tag{5.10}
$$

where we have also introduced an integer  $N$  for later convenience. Using the Watson transform, we may now express the sum [\(5.9\)](#page-14-2) as a contour integral

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
I = \text{Re}\frac{(-1)^N}{2i} \int_{C_1} e^{i2N\pi\nu} \mathcal{F}(\nu) P_{\nu-1/2}(-\cos\gamma) \frac{d\nu}{\cos(\pi\nu)},
$$
\n(5.11)

where  $\nu = l + 1/2$ . The contour  $C_1$  starts just below the real axis at  $\infty$ , encloses the points  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $1 + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $2 + \frac{1}{2}$ ,... which are poles of the integrand, and returns to just above the real axis at  $\infty$ . The contour  $C_1$  is shown in Fig. [7.](#page-15-0) If the integrand is exponentially convergent in both quadrants I and IV, the contour may be deformed in the complex-l plane onto a contour  $C_2$  parallel to the imaginary axis (see Fig. [7\)](#page-15-0). CASALS, DOLAN, OTTEWILL, AND WARDELL PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

Note that there are no poles present inside quadrants I and IV in this case.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>To study the asymptotic behavior of the Green function near singularities, it is convenient to use the alternative representation of the sum obtained by writing

$$
\frac{1}{\cos(\pi\nu)} = \begin{cases} 2i\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{i\pi(2l+1)(\nu-1/2)} & \text{Im}(\nu) > 0\\ -2i\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-i\pi(2l+1)(\nu-1/2)} = -2i\sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} e^{i\pi(2l+1)(\nu-1/2)} & \text{Im}(\nu) < 0. \end{cases}
$$
(5.12)

<span id="page-15-3"></span>Inserting representation [\(5.12\)](#page-15-1) into [\(5.11](#page-14-3)) leads to the Poisson sum formula

$$
I = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^s \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\infty} d\nu e^{2\pi i s\nu} \mathcal{F}(\nu) P_{\nu-1/2}(\cos \gamma). \tag{5.13}
$$

The Poisson sum formula is applied in Sec. V D to study the form of the singularities.

# C. Watson transform: Computing the series

Let us now show how the Watson transform may be applied to extract well-defined values from series over  $l$ , even if these are divergent. We will illustrate the approach by considering the nth-overtone QNM contribution to the Green function, Eq. [\(4.33](#page-12-0)), for which case

$$
\mathcal{F}(x, x'; \nu) = \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \frac{4e^{-T}}{(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right]^{n+1/2} 2\nu e^{i\lambda [T-\ln(2/(1+\rho))-\ln(2/(1+\rho'))]} \frac{\Gamma(-n+2i\lambda)}{[\Gamma(-n+1/2+i\lambda)]^2} \times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-n-2i\lambda, -n, -n+\frac{1}{2}+i\lambda; (1-\rho)/2\right) {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-n-2i\lambda, -n, -n+\frac{1}{2}+i\lambda; (1-\rho')/2\right), \quad (5.14)
$$

where  $\lambda$  was defined in ([4.5](#page-8-7)). We will choose the integer  $N$ so that this contour may be deformed into the complex plane (as shown in Fig. [7](#page-15-0)) to a contour on which the integral converges more rapidly. First, we note that the Legendre function may be written as the sum of waves propagating clockwise and counterclockwise,  $P_{\nu-1/2}(\cos\gamma) = Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(+)}(\cos\gamma) + Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(-)}(\cos\gamma)$ , where

$$
Q_{\mu}^{(\pm)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \bigg[ P_{\mu}(z) \pm \frac{2i}{\pi} Q_{\mu}(z) \bigg] \tag{5.15}
$$

<span id="page-15-4"></span>and here  $Q_{\mu}(z)$  is a Legendre function of the second kind.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>![](_page_15_Figure_14.jpeg)

FIG. 7. The Watson transform. The plot shows the contour  $C_1$ that defines the Watson transform in Eq. ([5.11\)](#page-14-3). Provided the integrand is convergent in both quadrants I and IV, the contour may be deformed onto  $C_2$ .

The functions  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu-1/2}^{(\pm)}$  have exponential asymptotics in the limit  $\nu \sim 1$ limit  $\nu \nu \gg 1$ .

<span id="page-15-5"></span>
$$
Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(\pm)}(\cos\gamma) \sim \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\nu\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2} e^{\pm i\pi/4} e^{\mp i\nu\gamma}.
$$
 (5.16)

If the integrand is convergent in both the upper and lower complex- $\nu$  planes, the integration contour may be rotated to run, for example, along a line  $Re(v) = c$  with c a constant between 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We are free to choose N to ensure convergence; for example, for the ''fundamental mode" series  $(n = 0)$  we choose N to be

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
-N = \begin{cases} [(T + \log((\rho + 1)(\rho' + 1)) + \gamma)/(2\pi)] & \text{for } Q^{(+)} \\ [(T + \log((\rho + 1)(\rho' + 1)) + 2\pi - \gamma)/(2\pi)] & \text{for } Q^{(-)} \end{cases}
$$
(5.17)

where here  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x.

We performed the integrals along  $Re(\nu) = \frac{1}{4}$  and found<br>aid convergence of the integrals except pear the critical rapid convergence of the integrals except near the critical times defining the jumps in  $N$  given by Eq. ([5.17](#page-15-2)), when the integrands fall to 0 increasingly slowly. An alternative method for extracting meaningful values from divergent series is described in Sec. VII D.

# D. The Poisson sum formula: Singularities and asymptotics

In this section we study the singularity structure of the Green function by applying the Poisson sum formula [\(5.13](#page-15-3)). The first step is to group the terms together so that

<span id="page-15-6"></span>
$$
I = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} I_N \text{ where } I_N = \text{Re} \int_0^{+\infty} d\nu \mathcal{F}(\nu) R_N(\nu, \gamma) \tag{5.18}
$$

and

SELF-FORCE CALCULATIONS WITH MATCHED ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

$$
R_N = \begin{cases} (-1)^{N/2} [Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(-)}(\cos \gamma) e^{i N \pi \nu} + Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(+)}(\cos \gamma) e^{-i N \pi \nu}] & N \text{ even} \\ (-1)^{(N+1)/2} [Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(+)}(\cos \gamma) e^{i (N+1) \pi \nu} + Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(-)}(\cos \gamma) e^{-i (N+1) \pi \nu}] & N \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$
(5.19)

<span id="page-16-0"></span> $Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(\pm)}$  were defined in Eq. [\(5.15](#page-15-4)). We can now use the exponential approximations for  $Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(\pm)}$  given in [\(5.16](#page-15-5)) to establish

$$
R_N \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi\nu\sin\gamma)^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{N/2} [e^{-i\pi/4} e^{i\nu(N\pi+\gamma)} + \text{c.c.}]}{(-1)^{(N+1)/2} [e^{i\pi/4} e^{i\nu((N+1)\pi-\gamma)} + \text{c.c.}]} \right\}
$$
 Weven (5.20)

It should be borne in mind that the exponential approx-imations [\(5.16\)](#page-15-5) are valid in the limit  $\gamma \nu \gg 1$ . Hence the approximations are not suitable in the limit  $\gamma \to 0$ . Below, we use alternative asymptotics ([5.28\)](#page-17-0) to investigate this case.

### 1. Fundamental mode  $n = 0$

<span id="page-16-3"></span>Let us apply the method to the fundamental mode QNM series [\(4.34](#page-12-2)). In this case we have

$$
\mathcal{F}(\nu) = \left(\frac{4e^{-T}}{\pi(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\nu\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2+i\lambda)} e^{i\lambda\chi}
$$
\n(5.21)

<span id="page-16-5"></span>where T was defined in [\(4.23\)](#page-11-5),  $\lambda$  was defined in [\(4.5\)](#page-8-7), and

$$
\chi = T + \ln[(1 + \rho)(1 + \rho')]. \tag{5.22}
$$

<span id="page-16-7"></span>Taking the asymptotic limit  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  we find

$$
\mathcal{F}(\nu) \sim \left(\frac{4\nu e^{-T}}{i\pi(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} e^{i\nu\chi}, \qquad \nu \to \infty.
$$
\n(5.23)

Now let us combine this with the ''exponential approxi-mations" ([5.20\)](#page-16-0) for  $R_N$ ,

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}(\nu)R_N(\nu,\gamma) \sim \left(\frac{2e^{-T}}{\pi^2 \sin\gamma (1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{N/2}[-ie^{i\nu(\chi+N\pi+\gamma)}+e^{i\nu(\chi-N\pi-\gamma)}]}{(-1)^{(N+1)/2}[e^{i\nu(\chi+(N+1)\pi-\gamma)}-ie^{i\nu(\chi-(N+1)\pi+\gamma)}]} \right\}
$$
 N even (5.24)

<span id="page-16-2"></span>for  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ . It is clear that the integral in [\(5.18\)](#page-15-6) will be singular if the phase factor in either term in [\(5.24](#page-16-1)) is zero. In other words, each wave  $R<sub>N</sub>$  gives rise to two singularities, occurring at particular singularity times. We are only interested in the singularities for  $T > 0$ ; hence we may neglect the former terms in [\(5.24\)](#page-16-1). Now let us note that

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_0^\infty e^{i\nu(\zeta + i\epsilon)} d\nu = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left(\frac{i}{\zeta + i\epsilon}\right) = i/\zeta + \pi \delta(\zeta).
$$
\n(5.25)

<span id="page-16-4"></span>Upon substituting [\(5.24\)](#page-16-1) into ([5.18\)](#page-15-6) and performing the integral [adding a small  $\epsilon$  in [\(5.25\)](#page-16-2) is equivalent to imposing a smooth high-frequency cutoff upon the integral], we find

$$
I_N^{(n=0)} \sim \left(\frac{2e^{-T}}{\sin\gamma(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} \left\{\frac{(-1)^{N/2}\delta(t-t'-t_N^{(n=0)})}{\frac{(-1)^{(N+1)/2}}{\pi(t-t'-t_N^{(n=0)})}} N \text{ even } N \text{ odd,}
$$
\n(5.26)

<span id="page-16-6"></span>where  $I_N^{(n=0)}$  is  $I_N$  in [\(5.18\)](#page-15-6) with  $\mathcal{F}(\nu)$  given by ([5.21](#page-16-3)), and

$$
t_N^{(n=0)} = \rho_* + \rho'_* - \ln((1+\rho)(1+\rho')) + \begin{cases} N\pi + \gamma & N \text{ even} \\ (N+1)\pi - \gamma & N \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$
(5.27)

These times  $t_N^{(n=0)}$  are equivalent to the "periodic" times identified in Sec. VA [Eq. ([5.8](#page-14-1))] and, for  $\rho = \rho' = \rho_1$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , in Sec. III C [Eq. ([3.22](#page-8-3))].

Let us consider the implications of Eq. ([5.26](#page-16-4)) carefully. Let us fix the spatial coordinates  $\rho$ ,  $\rho'$ , and  $\gamma$  and consider variations in  $t - t'$  only. Each term  $I<sub>N</sub>$  corresponds to a particular singularity in the mode-sum expression (4.34) particular singularity in the mode-sum expression ([4.34\)](#page-12-2) for the  $(n = 0)$ -Green function. The Nth singularity occurs at  $t - t' = t_N^{(n=0)}$ . For times close to  $t_N^{(n=0)}$ , we expect the term  $\overline{I}$  to give the dominant contribution to the  $(n=0)$ term  $I_N$  to give the dominant contribution to the  $(n = 0)$ Green function. Equation [\(5.26\)](#page-16-4) suggests that the  $(n = 0)$ Green function has a repeating fourfold singularity structure. The ''shape'' of the singularity alternates between a delta distribution  $[\pm \delta(t - t' - t_N^{(n=0)}), N \text{ even}]$  and a singularity with antisymmetric "wings"  $[\pm 1/(t - t' - t''])$  $t_N^{(n=0)}$ ), N odd].<br>The Nth way

The Nth wave may be associated with the Nth orbiting null geodesic shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) Note that "even  $N$ " and "'odd N" geodesics pass in opposite senses around  $\rho = 0$ (see, for example, Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). Now, N has a clear geometrical interpretation: it is the number of *caustics* through which the corresponding geodesic has passed. Caustics are points where neighboring geodesics are focused, and in a spherically symmetric spacetime caustics occur whenever a geodesic passes through angles  $\Delta \phi = \pi$ ,  $2\pi$ ,  $3\pi$ , etc.<br>Fouation (5.26) implies that the singularity structure of Equation ([5.26\)](#page-16-4) implies that the singularity structure of the Green function changes each time the wave front passes through a caustic [[87](#page-36-7)].

### CASALS, DOLAN, OTTEWILL, AND WARDELL PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>More accurate approximations to the singularity structure may be found by using the uniform asymptotics estab-

\n Iished by Oliver [88] [as an improvement on the "exponential asymptotics" 
$$
(5.16)
$$
],\n

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{\nu-1/2}^{(\pm)}(\cos\gamma) \sim \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2} H_0^{(\mp)}(\nu\gamma),\tag{5.28}
$$

<span id="page-17-4"></span>where  $H_0^{(\pm)}(\cdot) = J_0(\cdot) \pm iY_0(\cdot)$  are Hankel functions of the first  $(+)$  and second  $(-)$  kinds. This approximation [\(5.28](#page-17-0)) is valid in the large-*v* limit for angles in the range  $0 \le \gamma \le \pi$ . With these asymptotics, we re valid in the large- $\nu$  limit for angles in the range  $0 \le \gamma \le \pi$ . With these asymptotics, we replace ([5.20\)](#page-16-0) with

$$
R_N \sim \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin \gamma}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{N/2} [H_0^{(+)}(\nu \gamma)e^{i N \pi \nu} + H_0^{(-)}(\nu \gamma)e^{-i N \pi \nu}]}{(-1)^{(N+1)/2} [H_0^{(-)}(\nu \gamma)e^{i (N+1) \pi \nu} + H_0^{(+)}(\nu \gamma)e^{-i (N+1) \pi \nu}]} \right\}
$$
 N even (5.29)

<span id="page-17-2"></span>In Appendix B we derive the following asymptotics for the fundamental mode  $(n = 0)$  Green function,

$$
I_1^{(n=0)} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{2\mathcal{A}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\pi}[(2\pi-\gamma)-\chi][(2\pi+\gamma)-\chi]^{1/2}} E(2\gamma/[(2\pi+\gamma)-\chi]) & \chi < 2\pi-\gamma\\ \frac{-\mathcal{A}(\gamma)\sqrt{\pi}}{2[\chi-(2\pi-\gamma)]^{3/2}} {}_2F_1(3/2, 1/2; 2; \frac{\chi-2\pi-\gamma}{\chi-2\pi+\gamma}) & \chi > 2\pi-\gamma, \end{cases}
$$
(5.30)

$$
I_2^{(n=0)} \sim \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\gamma}} \mathcal{A}(\gamma) \delta(\chi - (2\pi + \gamma)) & \chi \le 2\pi + \gamma \\ \frac{\mathcal{A}(\gamma)\sqrt{\pi}}{2[\chi - (2\pi - \gamma)]^{3/2}} {}_2F_1(3/2, 1/2; 2; \frac{\chi - 2\pi - \gamma}{\chi - 2\pi + \gamma}) & \chi > 2\pi + \gamma, \end{cases}
$$
(5.31)

<span id="page-17-5"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>where  $E$  is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind,  $\chi$  was defined in ([5.22](#page-16-5)), and

$$
\mathcal{A}(\gamma) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin \gamma}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{e^{-T}}{\pi (1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2}.
$$
 (5.32)

The asymptotics [\(5.30\)](#page-17-1) and ([5.31](#page-17-2)) provide insight into the singularity structure near the caustic at  $\Delta \phi = 2\pi$ .<br>Figure 8 shows the asymptotics (5.30) and (5.31) at  $\rho =$ Figure [8](#page-17-3) shows the asymptotics [\(5.30](#page-17-1)) and ([5.31](#page-17-2)) at  $\rho =$  $\rho' \rightarrow +1$  for two cases: (i)  $\gamma = \pi/20$  (left plot) and

(ii)  $\gamma = 0$  (right plot). In the left plot, the  $I_1^{(n=0)}$  integral<br>head (reach) entirement is form. The  $I_2^{(n=0)}$  integral is a has a (nearly) antisymmetric form. The  $I_2^{(n=0)}$  integral is a delta function with a tail. However, the tail is exactly canceled by the  $I_1^{(n=0)}$  integral in the regime  $\chi > 2\pi + \chi$ . The cancellation creates a step discontinuity in the  $\gamma$ . The cancellation creates a step discontinuity in the Green function at  $\chi = 2\pi + \gamma$ . The form of the divergence shown in the right plot ( $\gamma = 0$ ) may be understood by substituting  $\gamma = 0$  into [\(5.30\)](#page-17-1) to obtain

<span id="page-17-3"></span>![](_page_17_Figure_14.jpeg)

FIG. 8 (color online). Singularities of the fundamental mode Green function [\(4.34](#page-12-2)) near the caustic at  $2\pi$  and  $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow +1$ . These plots show the  $I_1$  (dashed line) and  $I_2$  (dotted line) contributions to the Poisson sum, given explicitly by ([5.30\)](#page-17-1) and ([5.31\)](#page-17-2). The left plot shows an angular separation  $\gamma = \pi/20$  and the right plot shows angular coincidence  $\gamma = 0$ . Note that, for  $T > 2\pi + \gamma - 2\ln 2$ , the  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  integrals are equal and opposite and will exactly cancel out (see text) and  $I_2$  integrals are equal and opposite and will exactly cancel out (see text).

$$
I_1^{(n=0)}(\gamma=0) \sim \left(\frac{e^{-T}}{(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} (2\pi - \chi)^{-3/2}.
$$
\n(5.33)

# 2. Near spatial infinity,  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow +1$

It is straightforward to repeat the steps in the above analysis for the closed-form Green function ([4.30](#page-12-1)), valid for  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow +1$ . We reach a result of the same form as [\(5.26](#page-16-4)), but with modified singularity times,

<span id="page-18-5"></span>
$$
t_N^{(\rho \sim 1)} \sim \rho_* + \rho'_* + \left\{ \frac{\ln(\sinh^2([N\pi + \gamma]/2))}{\ln(\sinh^2([N + 1)\pi - \gamma]/2))} \right\} \quad N \text{ even}
$$
\n(5.34)

corresponding to the geodesic times [\(3.23](#page-8-4)). For instance, with the exponential asymptotics [\(5.20](#page-16-0)) applied to [\(4.30\)](#page-12-1), we obtain

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
I_N^{(\rho \sim 1)} \sim \left(\frac{e^{-T}}{2 \sin \gamma \sqrt{1 + e^{-T}}}\right)^{1/2}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \begin{cases} (-1)^{N/2} \delta(t - t' - t_N^{(\rho \sim 1)}) & N \text{ even} \\ \frac{(-1)^{(N+1)/2}}{\pi(t - t' - t_N^{(\rho \sim 1)})} & N \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
$$
(5.35)

In Sec. VIII the asymptotic expressions derived here are compared against numerical results from the mode sums.

We believe that the fourfold cycle in the singularity structure of the Green function which we have just unearthed using tricks we picked up from seismology [\[86\]](#page-36-6) is characteristic of the  $\mathbb{S}^2$  topology (different types of cycles arising in different cases). This cycle may thus also appear in the more astrophysically interesting case of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Since this cycle does not seem to be widely known in the field of general relativity (with the notable exception of [\[87\]](#page-36-7)), in Appendix C we apply the large-l asymptotic analysis of this section to the simplest case with  $\mathbb{S}^2$  topology: the spacetime of  $T \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , where the same cycle blossoms in a clear manner.

### E. Hadamard approximation and the Van Vleck determinant

In this section, we rederive the singularity structure found in ([5.26](#page-16-4)) and [\(5.35](#page-18-1)) using a ''geometrical'' argument based on the Hadamard form of the Green function. In Sec. IV C we used the Hadamard parametrix of the Green function to find the quasilocal contribution to the selfforce. Strictly speaking, the Hadamard parametrix of Eq. ([4.35](#page-12-3)) is only valid when x and  $x<sup>7</sup>$  are within a normal neighborhood  $[42]$  $[42]$  (see footnote <sup>1</sup>). Nevertheless, it is plausible (particularly in light of the previous sections) that the Green function near the singularities may be adequately described by a Hadamard-like form, but with contributions from all appropriate orbiting geodesics (rather than just the unique timelike geodesic joining  $x$ and  $x'$ ).

We first introduce the Feynman propagator  $G_F(x, x')$ (see, e.g.,  $[11,89]$  $[11,89]$ ) which satisfies the inhomogeneous scalar wave equation [\(2.3](#page-3-2)). The Hadamard form, which in principle is only valid for points  $x<sup>1</sup>$  within the normal neighborhood of  $x$ , for the Feynman propagator in 4-D is [\[11](#page-35-6)[,90\]](#page-36-10)

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
G_F(x, x') = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{U(x, x')}{\sigma + i\epsilon} + V(x, x') \ln(\sigma + i\epsilon) + W(x, x') \right],
$$
\n(5.36)

where  $U(x, x')$ ,  $V(x, x')$  (already introduced in Sec. IVC),<br>and  $W(x, x')$  are bitensors which are regular at coincidence and  $W(x, x')$  are bitensors which are regular at coincidence<br> $(x \rightarrow x')$  and  $\sigma(x, x')$  is Synge's world function; half the  $(x \rightarrow x')$ , and  $\sigma(x, x')$  is Synge's world function: half the senderic distance along a specific geodesic square of the geodesic distance along a specific geodesic joining x and  $x'$ . Note the *Feynman prescription* " $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + i\epsilon$ " in ([5.36\)](#page-18-0), where  $\epsilon$  is an infinitesimally small positive value which is necessary so that the small positive value, which is necessary so that the Feynman propagator has the appropriate analytical properties; this implies that Eq. [\(5.37](#page-18-2)) below is then satisfied.

<span id="page-18-2"></span>The retarded Green function is readily obtained from the Feynman propagator by

$$
G_{\rm ret}(x, x') = 2\theta_-(x, x') \operatorname{Re}(G_F(x, x')), \qquad (5.37)
$$

which yields  $(4.35)$  $(4.35)$  $(4.35)$  inside the normal neighborhood, since  $U(x, x')$ ,  $V(x, x')$ , and  $W(x, x')$  are real-valued there. We nosit that the "direct" part of the Green function remains posit that the ''direct'' part of the Green function remains in Hadamard form,

<span id="page-18-3"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{dir.}}(x, x') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left[ i \frac{U(x, x')}{\sigma + i\epsilon} \right]
$$

$$
= \operatorname{Re} \left[ U(x, x') \left( \delta(\sigma) + \frac{i}{\pi \sigma} \right) \right], \qquad (5.38)
$$

even outside the normal neighborhood [note that outside the normal neighborhood we still use the term direct part to refer to the contribution from the  $U(x, x')$  term, even<br>though its support may not be restricted on the null cone though its support may not be restricted on the null cone anymore]. It is plausible that the Green function near the Nth singularity (see previous section) is dominated by the direct Green function ([5.38](#page-18-3)) calculated along geodesics near the Nth orbiting null geodesic. To test this assertion, we will calculate the structure and magnitude of the singularities and compare with ([5.35\)](#page-18-1).

In four-dimensional spacetimes, the symmetric bitensor  $U(x, x')$  is given by

$$
U(x, x') = \Delta^{1/2}(x, x'), \tag{5.39}
$$

<span id="page-18-4"></span>where  $\Delta(x, x')$  is the Van Vleck determinant [\[91](#page-36-11)[–93\]](#page-36-12). The Van Vleck determinant can be found by integrating a Van Vleck determinant can be found by integrating a system of transport equations along the appropriate geodesic joining x and  $x'$ . The first of these [[16\]](#page-35-11),

$$
\lambda \frac{d \ln \Delta}{d \lambda} = 4 - \sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha},\tag{5.40}
$$

is a transport equation for the Van Vleck determinant itself, with the initial condition  $\Delta(\lambda = 0) = 1$ . Here,  $\lambda$  is an affine parameter along the geodesic joining x and x' and affine parameter along the geodesic joining x and  $x'$ , and  $\sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \nabla_{\beta} \nabla^{\alpha} \sigma$  is the second covariant derivative (taken<br>with respect to specifica point x) of Synga's world funcwith respect to spacetime point  $x$ ) of Synge's world function, which in turn is found from the coupled system of transport equations [[58](#page-35-62)[,94](#page-36-13)]

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\lambda \frac{d\sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}}{d\lambda} = \sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} - \sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu}{}_{\beta} + \lambda u^{\mu} (\Gamma^{\nu}{}_{\beta\mu}\sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} - \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\mu}\sigma^{\nu}{}_{\beta})
$$

$$
- \lambda^{2} R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\beta\nu} u^{\mu} u^{\nu}
$$
(5.41)

and the boundary condition  $\sigma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(\lambda = 0) = \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}$ .<br>In principle, transport equations (5.40) and

In principle, transport equations ([5.40\)](#page-18-4) and [\(5.41\)](#page-19-0) may be integrated numerically to determine the Van Vleck determinant along any given geodesic on any given spacetime. This is the approach that we might take on, for example, in Schwarzschild. A numerical approach is not necessary for the Nariai spacetime, however. This spacetime is the Cartesian product of a two-sphere with a 2-D de Sitter spacetime. On a product spacetime  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \times$  $\mathcal{M}_2$  we may make the following decomposition:

$$
\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2, \qquad \Delta = \Delta_1 \Delta_2, \tag{5.42}
$$

<span id="page-19-4"></span>where  $\sigma_i$  and  $\Delta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are, respectively, Synge's world<br>function and the Van Vleck determinant on the manifold function and the Van Vleck determinant on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}_i$ . It will be shown in a forthcoming work [\[95\]](#page-36-14) that the Van Vleck determinant on the Nariai spacetime when the two points  $x$  and  $x'$  are within the normal neighborhood is simply

$$
\Delta(x, x') = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin \gamma}\right) \left(\frac{\eta}{\sinh \eta}\right) \tag{5.43}
$$

where, here,  $\gamma$  is the geodesic distance traversed on the two-sphere and  $\eta$  is the geodesic distance traversed in the two-dimensional de Sitter subspace. Hence the Van Vleck determinant is singular at the angle  $\gamma = \pi$ .

This may be seen another way. Using the spherical symmetry, let us assume, without loss of generality, that the motion is in the plane  $\phi$  = const, from which it follows that the equation for  $\sigma_{\phi}^{\phi}$  in [\(5.41\)](#page-19-0) decouples from the remainder; it is

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\theta \frac{d\sigma^{\phi}}{d\theta} + \theta^2 - \sigma^{\phi}{}_{\phi} (1 - \sigma^{\phi}{}_{\phi}) = 0. \tag{5.44}
$$

Here we have rescaled the affine parameter  $\lambda$  to be equal to the angle  $\theta$  subtended by the geodesic. Note that here we let  $\theta$  take values greater than  $\pi$ . It is straightforward to show that the solution of Eq. [\(5.44\)](#page-19-1) is  $\sigma^{\phi}{}_{\phi} = \theta \cot \theta$ .<br>Hence  $\sigma^{\phi}$  is singular at the angles  $\theta = \sigma^2 \cos^2 \theta$ , at a Hence  $\sigma^{\phi}{}_{\phi}$  is singular at the angles  $\theta = \pi$ ,  $2\pi$ ,  $3\pi$ , etc. In other words, the Van Vleck determinant is singular at the antipodal points, where neighboring geodesics are focused: the caustics. The Van Vleck determinant may be separated in the following manner:  $\Delta = \Delta_{\phi} \Delta_{t\rho}$ , where

$$
\theta \frac{d \ln \Delta_{\phi}}{d \theta} = 1 - \sigma^{\phi}{}_{\phi},\tag{5.45}
$$

$$
\theta \frac{d \ln \Delta_{t\rho}}{d\theta} = 2 - \sigma^t{}_t - \sigma^\rho{}_\rho. \tag{5.46}
$$

<span id="page-19-2"></span>Equation [\(5.45](#page-19-2)) yields

$$
\ln \Delta_{\phi} = \ln \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta_0} \right) - \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} d\theta' \cot \theta' \tag{5.47}
$$

which can be integrated analytically by following a Landau contour in the complex  $\theta'$  plane around the (simple) poles of the integrand (located at  $\theta' = k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), which are the caustic points. Following the Feynman prescription  $\sigma \rightarrow$  $\sigma + i\epsilon$ , we choose the Landau contour so that the poles lie<br>below the contour. We then obtain (setting  $\theta_0 = 0$  without below the contour. We then obtain (setting  $\theta_0 = 0$ , without loss of generality)

$$
\Delta_{\phi} = \left| \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \right| e^{-iN\pi}.
$$
 (5.48)

<span id="page-19-5"></span>Here,  $N$  is the number of caustic points the geodesic has passed through. The phase factor, obtained by continuing the contour of integration past the singularities at  $\theta = \pi$ ,  $2\pi$ , etc., is crucial. Inserting the phase factor  $e^{-iN\pi/2}$  in [\(5.38](#page-18-3)) leads to exactly the fourfold singularity structure predicted by the large-l asymptotics of the mode sum [\(5.35](#page-18-1)). That is,

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
G_N^{\text{dir}} \sim \left(\frac{\eta}{\sinh \eta}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sin \theta}\right)^{1/2} \left\{\frac{(-1)^{N/2} \delta(\sigma)}{\pi \sigma} \quad N \text{ even} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
N \text{ odd.}
$$
\n(5.49)

The accumulation of a phase of " $-i$ " on passing<br>cough a caustic and the alternating singularity structure through a caustic, and the alternating singularity structure which results, is well known to researchers in other fields involving wave propagation—for example, in acoustics [\[96\]](#page-36-15), seismology [\[86\]](#page-36-6), symplectic geometry [\[97\]](#page-36-16), and quantum mechanics [[98](#page-36-17)], the integer  $N$  is known as the Maslov index [[99](#page-36-18)[,100\]](#page-36-19).

We would expect to find an analogous effect in, for example, the Schwarzschild spacetime. The fourfold structure has been noted before by at least one researcher [[87\]](#page-36-7). Nevertheless, the effect of caustics on wave propagation in four-dimensional spacetimes does not seem to have received much attention in the gravitational literature (see [\[101](#page-36-20),[102](#page-36-21)] for exceptions).

To compare the singularities in the mode-sum expression [\(5.35](#page-18-1)) with the singularities in the Hadamard form [\(5.49](#page-19-3)), let us consider the odd-N singularities of  $1/\sigma$  form. We will rearrange ([5.35](#page-18-1)) into an analogous form by expanding  $\sigma$  to first order in  $t - t_N^{(\rho - 1)}$ , where  $t_N^{(\rho - 1)}$  is the N<sup>th</sup> singularity time for orbiting geodesics starting and Nth singularity time for orbiting geodesics starting and finishing at  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ . For the orbiting geodesics described in Sec. III C we have  $\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}(H^2 - 1)\theta^2$ . At  $\rho \to 1$ , expanding to first order and using  $(3.23)$  $(3.23)$  $(3.23)$  yields

$$
\sigma \sim -(H\theta)\tanh(H\theta/2)(t - t_N^{(\rho \sim 1)}).
$$
 (5.50)

### SELF-FORCE CALCULATIONS WITH MATCHED ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

The mode-sum expression [\(5.35](#page-18-1)) may then be rewritten in analogous form to the N-odd expression in [\(5.49](#page-19-3)),

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}} \sim (-1)^{(N-1)/2} \frac{|\Delta_{\text{(QNM)}}|^{1/2}}{\pi \sigma}
$$
  
where  $|\Delta_{\text{(QNM)}}|^{1/2} = \left(\frac{H\eta \sinh(\eta/2)}{2\cosh^3(\eta/2)}\right)^{1/2} \left|\frac{\theta}{\sin\theta}\right|^{1/2}$ . (5.51)

Here  $\eta = H\theta$ , where H is the constant of motion introduced in Sec. III C. We find very good agreement between [\(5.43](#page-19-4)) and ([5.51\)](#page-20-0) in the  $\theta \geq \pi$  regime. The disagreement at small angles is not unexpected, as the QNM sum is invalid at early times (or equivalently, for orbiting geodesics which have passed through small angles  $\theta$ ).

### VI. SUMMARY

After a series of equations and results, for the benefit of the reader we will now take a moment to recap and (literally) rewrite the main equations obtained thus far. We recall that  $\beta = -1/2 + i\lambda$ ,  $\lambda = \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 + 4\xi - 1/2}$ ,<br>  $\alpha = \tanh^{-1} \alpha$ ; that we tend to use  $T = t - t' - \alpha - 2\alpha'$  $\rho_* = \tanh^{-1} \rho;$  that we tend to use  $T = t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'_*$ <br>as the independent "time" variable: and that the un- $\rho_*$  = tann  $\gamma$ ; that we tend to use  $I = l - l - \rho_* - \rho_*$ <br>as the independent "time" variable; and that the unbounded orbital angle is given by  $\Delta \phi = N \pi + \gamma$  for N<br>even and by  $\Delta \phi = (N + 1)\pi - \gamma$  for N odd, where N is even and by  $\Delta \phi = (N + 1)\pi - \gamma$  for N odd, where N is<br>the number of caustics the null geodesic has gone through the number of caustics the null geodesic has gone through.

The main equations for the ''retarded'' Green function we have obtained so far are as follows:

(i) QNM retarded Green function (valid  $\forall x, x'$  where  $T > 0$ ), Eq. ([4.33](#page-12-0)):

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
G_{\rm ret}^{\rm QNM}(x, x') = 2\,{\rm Re}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos\gamma) e^{i\lambda [T-\ln(2/(1+\rho))-\ln(2/(1+\rho'))]} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{ln} \left[ \frac{4e^{-T}}{(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right]^{n+1/2} \mathcal{S}_{ln}(\rho) \mathcal{S}_{ln}(\rho'),\tag{6.1}
$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{ln}$  are the excitation factors [\(4.26](#page-11-2)) and  $\mathcal{S}_{ln}(\rho)$  is the finite series [\(4.32\)](#page-12-4) essentially based on the radial functions evaluated at the QNM frequencies.

<span id="page-20-2"></span>(ii) QNM retarded Green function (after summing over all overtone numbers *n*) at  $\rho_*$ ,  $\rho'_* \to +\infty$  (and  $T > 0$ ), Eq. ([4.30\)](#page-12-1):

$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(T,\gamma) \sim \frac{e^{-T/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Re} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(l+1/2)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2+i\lambda)} P_l(\cos\gamma) e^{i\lambda(T+2\ln 2)}{}_2 F_1(-\beta,-\beta;-2\beta;-e^{-T}), \ \ \rho_*, \rho'_* \to +\infty,\tag{6.2}
$$

<span id="page-20-3"></span>with singularities at times given by Eq. ([5.7](#page-14-4)) [also Eq. [\(3.23](#page-8-4)) with  $H = 1$  and Eq. [\(5.34\)](#page-18-5)],  $T^{(\rho \sim 1)} = \ln[\sinh^2(\Delta \phi/2)]$ .<br>The corresponding singularity structure is given by Eq. (5.35): The corresponding singularity structure is given by Eq. [\(5.35\)](#page-18-1):

$$
I_N^{(\rho \sim 1)} \sim \left(\frac{e^{-T}}{2\sin\gamma\sqrt{1+e^{-T}}}\right)^{1/2} \begin{cases} (-1)^{N/2} \delta(t-t'-t_N^{(\rho \sim 1)}) & N \text{ even} \\ \frac{(-1)^{(N+1)/2}}{\pi(t-t'-t_N^{(\rho \sim 1)})} & N \text{ odd,} \end{cases}
$$
(6.3)

where  $I_N^{(\rho \sim 1)}$  is the contribution  $I_N$  to the retarded Green function associated with the Nth orbiting null geodesic in the case where the points are located at spatial infinity.

<span id="page-20-5"></span>(iii) Contribution of the fundamental mode  $n = 0$  to the QNM retarded Green function (valid  $\forall x, x'$  where  $T > 0$ ), Eq. ([4.34\)](#page-12-2):

$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{(n=0)}(x, x') = \left(\frac{e^{-T}}{\pi(1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2} \text{Re}\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(2l+1)P_l(\cos\gamma)\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(1/2+i\lambda)} e^{i\lambda[T+\ln(1+\rho)(1+\rho')]},\tag{6.4}
$$

with singularities at times given by Eq. ([5.8](#page-14-1)) [also Eq. ([5.27\)](#page-16-6)],  $T^{(n=0)} = \Delta \phi - \ln[(1+\rho)(1+\rho')]$ ,<br>which coincide with  $T^{(\rho \sim 1)}$  [and with Eq. (3.23)] which coincide with  $T^{(\rho \sim 1)}$  [and with Eq. ([3.23\)](#page-8-4) with  $H = 1$ ] for  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow 1$  and  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . The corresponding singularity structure is given by Eq. ([5.26](#page-16-4)):

$$
I_N^{(n=0)} \sim \left(\frac{2e^{-T}}{\sin \gamma (1+\rho)(1+\rho')}\right)^{1/2}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \left\{\frac{(-1)^{N/2} \delta(t-t'-t_N^{(n=0)})}{\frac{(-1)^{(N+1)/2}}{\pi (t-t'-t_N^{(n=0)})}} \right\}
$$
 *N* even

[for more accurate asymptotics, which include the tail in the singularity, see Eqs.  $(5.30)$  and  $(5.31)$  $(5.31)$  $(5.31)$ ], where  $I_N^{(n=0)}$  is  $I_N$  for  $n = 0$  only.<br>The extension beyond the normal

<span id="page-20-4"></span>(iv) The extension beyond the normal neighborhood of the direct part in the Hadamard form of the retarded Green function has a contribution from the Nth orbiting null geodesic given by [\(5.49\)](#page-19-3):

$$
G_N^{\text{dir}} \sim \left(\frac{\eta}{\sinh \eta}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\theta}{\sin \theta}\right)^{1/2}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \begin{cases} (-1)^{N/2} \delta(\sigma) & N \text{ even} \\ \frac{(-1)^{(N-1)/2}}{\pi \sigma} & N \text{ odd,} \end{cases} (6.6)
$$

which, for  $\rho \rightarrow 1$  and  $\theta \geq \pi$ , agrees well with

(6.5)

# VII. SELF-FORCE ON THE STATIC PARTICLE

In this section we turn our attention to a simple case: the self-force acting on a static scalar particle in the Nariai spacetime. By ''static'' we mean a particle with constant spatial coordinates. It is not necessarily at rest, since its worldline may not be a geodesic, and it may require an external force to keep it static. In Sec. VII A we review previous calculations for the static self-force on a range of spacetimes, and in Sec. VII B we explore one such analytic method for computing the static self-force in Nariai spacetime. This method, based on the massive-field approach of Rosenthal [[40](#page-35-30)[,41](#page-35-31)], provides an independent check on the matched-expansion approach. In Sec. VII C we describe how the method of matched expansions may be applied to the static case. To compute the self-force, we require robust numerical methods for evaluating the quasinormal mode sums such as  $(6.1)$  $(6.1)$  $(6.1)$ ; two such methods are outlined in Sec. VIID. The results of all methods are validated and compared in Sec. VIII.

## A. The static particle

A static particle—a particle with constant spatial coordinates—has been the focus of several scalar self-force calculations, in particular, for the Schwarzschild spacetime [\[37](#page-35-27)[,40,](#page-35-30)[48](#page-35-61),[103](#page-36-22)–[106](#page-36-23)]. Although a static particle may not be a particularly physical case, it is frequently chosen because it involves relatively straightforward calculations and has an exact solution for the Schwarzschild spacetime. It therefore provides a good testing ground for new approaches to the calculation of the self-force.

Smith and Will [\[103\]](#page-36-22) calculated the self-force on a static electric charge in the Schwarzschild background and found it to be nonzero. In [\[104](#page-36-24)], Wiseman considered the analogous case of a static scalar charge in the case of minimal *coupling* (i.e.,  $\xi = 0$ ) in Schwarzschild spacetime. Using isotropic coordinates, he managed to sum the Hadamard series for the Green function in the static case (i.e., the ''Helmholtz''-like equation in Schwarzschild spacetime with zero frequency,  $\omega = 0$ ) and thus obtain in closed form the field created by the static charge in the scalar and also electrostatic (already found in [[107](#page-36-25),[108](#page-36-26)] using a different method) cases. He then found the self-force to be zero in the scalar, minimally coupled case.

In [\[106](#page-36-23)], the calculation of the self-force on a static scalar charge in Schwarzschild spacetime is extended to the case of *nonminimal coupling* ( $\xi \neq 0$ ) and is found to be zero as well. The fact that the value of the scalar self-force in Schwarzschild spacetime is the same (zero) independently of the value of the coupling constant is in agreement with the *Quinn-Wald axioms* [\[14](#page-35-9)[,15\]](#page-35-10): their method relies only on the field equations, and these are independent of the coupling constant in a Ricci-flat spacetime such as Schwarzschild spacetime. The calculation (without using the Quinn-Wald axioms) is by no means trivial, however, since the effect of the coupling constant might be felt through the stress-energy tensor (in fact, [[106\]](#page-36-23) corrected a previous result in [[109](#page-36-27)], where the self-force had been incorrectly found to be nonzero). Rosenthal [[40\]](#page-35-30) has also considered the case of a static particle in Schwarzschild spacetime and used it as an example application of the massive-field approach [[41](#page-35-31)] to self-force calculations.

On the other hand, using the conformal invariance of Maxwell's equations, Hobbs [[110](#page-36-28)] showed that, in a *con*-formally flat spacetime, the tail contribution ([2.2](#page-2-0)) to the self-force on an *electric* charge (on any motion, static or not) is zero. The only possible contribution to the self-force might then come from the local Ricci terms, which are zero in cases of physical interest such as in a de Sitter universe.

One would expect the tail contribution to the scalar selfforce to also be zero for a charge undergoing any motion in a conformally flat 4-D spacetime with conformal coupling (i.e.,  $\xi = 1/6$ ). In a recent article [[65](#page-35-48)], it was further argued using Hobbs' result that the self-force should be zero for a static charge (where the time independence effectively reduces the problem to a 3-D spatial one), in a spacetime such that its 3-D spatial section is conformally flat and with conformal coupling in 3-D (i.e.,  $\xi = 1/8$ ). Indeed, they showed that the scalar self-force on a massless static particle in a wormhole spacetime (with a nonzero Ricci scalar and where the 3-D spatial section is conformally flat) is equal to zero at  $\xi = 1/8$  and it actually changes sign at this 3-D conformal value. (Note that in this case the local contribution to the self-force is exactly zero, so there may only be a tail contribution—if any.) It is not completely clear to us, however, that the argument that the tail contribution to the self-force is zero due to the conformality of the physical system carries through intact from a 4-D spacetime to its 3-D spatial section. Note that, from the Hadamard form in 3-D [[43](#page-35-33),[111\]](#page-36-29), the corresponding Green function (the so-called ''scalarstatic'' or ''electrostatic'' Green function) never (regardless of whether there is conformality or not) possesses a tail part  $V(x, x')$ ,<br>and the self-force is calculated from this static Green and the self-force is calculated from this static Green function, not via Eqs. ([1.3\)](#page-1-2), [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0), [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1), and ([2.2](#page-2-0)), but rather via, e.g., Eq. [\(7.2\)](#page-22-0) below.

The Nariai spacetime, not being Ricci-flat and being conformal to a wormhole spacetime (and so with a conformally flat 3-D spatial section), suggests a very interesting playground for calculating the self-force: What role does the coupling constant  $\xi$  play? Do particular values such as  $\xi = 1/6$  (4-D conformal coupling) and  $\xi = 1/8$ (3-D conformal coupling, so a particular value in the case of a static charge) yield ''particular'' values (namely, zero) for the self-force? Are the Quinn-Wald axioms satisfied?

### B. Static Green function approach

The conventional approach to calculating the self-force on a static particle due to Wiseman [[104](#page-36-24)] uses the scalarstatic Green function. Following Copson [[107](#page-36-25)], Wiseman was able to obtain this Green function by summing the Hadamard series. Only by performing the full sum was he able to verify that his Green function satisfied the appropriate boundary conditions. Linet [[112](#page-36-30)] has classified all spacetimes in which the scalarstatic equation is solvable by the Copson ansatz and, unfortunately, the Nariai metric does not fall into any of the classes given. Therefore, instead we work with the mode form for the static Green function. This corresponds to the integrand at  $\omega = 0$  of Eq. ([4.18](#page-10-1)) (with integral measure  $\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}$ ),

<span id="page-22-2"></span>
$$
G_{static}(\rho, \Omega; \rho', \Omega') = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l(\cos \gamma)
$$

$$
\times \frac{\pi P_{-1/2+i\lambda}(-\rho_{<}) P_{-1/2+i\lambda}(\rho_{>})}{2\cosh(\pi\lambda)}
$$
(7.1)

where, as before,  $\lambda =$  $\sqrt{(l + \frac{1}{2})^2 + d}$ . This equation, having only one infinite series, is amenable to numerical computation.

To regularize the self-force we follow the method of Rosenthal [[40](#page-35-30)], who used a massive-field approach to calculate the static self-force in Schwarzschild. Following his prescription, we calculate the derivative of the scalar field and of a massive scalar field. In the limit of the field mass going to infinity, we obtain the derivative of the radiative field which is regular. This method can be carried through to Nariai spacetime, where it yields the expression

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
ma^{\rho} = q^2 (1 - \rho^2)^{3/2} \lim_{\rho' \to \rho^-} \left[ \partial_{\rho} G_{\text{static}}(\rho, \Omega; \rho', \Omega) + \frac{1}{(\rho - \rho')^2} - \frac{(\xi R - \frac{2}{3})}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \right],
$$
(7.2)

where  $R = 4$ . Note that the massive-field approach was developed in [[40](#page-35-30)] for the case  $\xi = 0$ , but it can be seen that it equally applies to  $\xi \neq 0$  too. The limiting process  $\rho' \rightarrow$  $\rho$ <sup>-</sup> does not affect the term with  $1/(1 - \rho^2)$  in [\(7.2\)](#page-22-0). We will therefore omit it from the following calculation and we will therefore omit it from the following calculation and we will trivially subtract it from the self-force in the calculations we carry out later in Sec. VIII D. The singular (as  $\rho' \rightarrow \rho^{-}$ ) subtraction term may be expressed in a conve-<br>nient form using the identity [113, 114] nient form using the identity [[113](#page-36-31),[114\]](#page-36-32)

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
\int_0^{+\infty} d\lambda \lambda \tanh(\pi \lambda) \frac{\pi P_{-1/2 + i\lambda}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2 + i\lambda}(\rho_>)}{\cosh(\pi \lambda)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{\rho_> - \rho_<}. \tag{7.3}
$$

Subtracting ([7.3](#page-22-1)) from ([7.1](#page-22-2)), we can express the regularized Green function as a sum of two well-defined and easily calculated sums/integrals,

$$
G_{\text{static}}(\rho, \Omega; \rho', \Omega) - \frac{1}{\rho_{>} - \rho_{<}} = I(\rho, \rho') + \mathcal{J}(\rho, \rho')
$$
\n(7.4)

where

$$
I(\rho, \rho') = \int_0^{+\infty} d\lambda \lambda (1 - \tanh(\pi \lambda))
$$

$$
\times \frac{\pi P_{-1/2 + i\lambda}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2 + i\lambda}(\rho_>)}{\cosh(\pi \lambda)} \quad (7.5)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{J}(\rho,\rho') = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi P_{-1/2+i\lambda}(-\rho_{<})P_{-1/2+i\lambda}(\rho_{>})}{\cosh(\pi\lambda)} - \int_0^{+\infty} d\lambda \lambda \frac{\pi P_{-1/2+i\lambda}(-\rho_{<})P_{-1/2+i\lambda}(\rho_{>})}{\cosh(\pi\lambda)}.
$$
\n(7.6)

<span id="page-22-3"></span>The first term in  $\mathcal{J}(\rho, \rho')$  may either be calculated directly as a sum or by using the Watson-Sommerfeld transform to as a sum or by using the Watson-Sommerfeld transform to write

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} g\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \text{Re}\left[\frac{1}{i}\int_{\gamma} dz \tan(\pi z)g(z)\right],\tag{7.7}
$$

where  $\gamma$  runs from 0 to  $\infty$  just above the real axis, and for us

$$
g(z) = z \frac{\pi P_{-1/2 + i\sqrt{z^2 + d}}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2 + i\sqrt{z^2 + d}}(\rho_>)}{\cosh(\pi \sqrt{z^2 + d})}.
$$
 (7.8)

<span id="page-22-4"></span>Writing

$$
\tan(\pi z) = i - \frac{2i}{1 + e^{-2\pi i z}},\tag{7.9}
$$

the contribution to  $(7.7)$  $(7.7)$  $(7.7)$  from the first term in  $(7.9)$  yields

$$
\int_0^\infty dx g(x) = \int_{\sqrt{d}}^\infty d\lambda \lambda \frac{\pi P_{-1/2 + i\lambda}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2 + i\lambda}(\rho_>)}{\cosh(\pi \lambda)}.
$$
\n(7.10)

The contribution to ([7.7](#page-22-3)) from the second term in ([7.9](#page-22-4)) can be best evaluated by rotating the original contour to a contour  $\gamma'$ , running from 0 to *i* $\infty$  just to the right of the importance in  $\gamma'$  is intermedence the Legendre funcimaginary axis. This is permitted since the Legendre functions are analytic functions of their parameter and the contribution from the arc at infinity vanishes for our choice of  $g(z)$ . From the form of  $g(z)$  it is clear that it possesses poles along the contour  $\gamma'$  but these give a purely imaginary contribution to the integral. We conclude that

$$
\mathcal{J}(\rho, \rho') = -\int_0^{\sqrt{d}} t dt \tanh(\pi \sqrt{d - t^2}) \frac{\pi P_{-1/2 + it}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2 + it}(\rho_>)}{\cosh(\pi t)} \n+ \mathcal{P} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{2\pi t dt}{(1 + e^{2\pi \sqrt{d + t^2}}) \cos(\pi t)} P_{-1/2 - t}(-\rho_<) P_{-1/2 - t}(\rho_>)
$$
\n(7.11)

where  $P$  denotes the principal value. These integrals and that defining  $I(\rho, \rho')$  and their derivatives with respect to  $\rho$  are very rapidly convergent and easily calculated are very rapidly convergent and easily calculated.

## C. Matched expansions for the static particle

In Sec. II, we outlined the method of matched expansions. In this subsection, we show how to apply the method to a specific case: the computation of the self-force on a static particle in the Nariai spacetime.

The four-velocity of the static particle is simply

$$
u^{\rho} = u^{\theta} = u^{\phi} = 0, \qquad u^{t} = (1 - \rho^{2})^{-1/2} \qquad (7.12)
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>and hence  $d\tau' = (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} dt'$ . We find from Eqs. ([1.4\)](#page-1-0),<br>(4.5) and (2.1) that  $ma^t = ma^{\theta} = ma^{\phi} = 0$  and [\(4.5\)](#page-8-7), and ([2.1](#page-2-1)) that  $ma^t = ma^{\theta} = ma^{\phi} = 0$  and

$$
ma^{\rho} = q^2 \left(\frac{1}{3}\dot{a}^{\rho} + \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau-\epsilon} g^{\rho\rho} \partial_{\rho} G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau'\right),
$$
\n(7.13)

$$
\frac{dm}{d\tau} = -q^2 \left( \frac{1}{12} (1 - 6\xi) R + (1 - \rho^2)^{-1/2} \times \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau - \epsilon} \partial_t G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau' \right).
$$
(7.14)

<span id="page-23-1"></span>We note that in the tail integral of the mass loss equation [\(7.14](#page-23-0)), the time derivative  $\partial_t$  may be replaced with  $-\partial_t$  since the retarded Green function is a function of  $(t - t')$ since the retarded Green function is a function of  $(t - t')$ .<br>Hence we obtain a total integral Hence we obtain a total integral,

$$
(1 - \rho^2)^{-1/2} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau - \epsilon} \partial_t G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d\tau'
$$
  
= 
$$
- \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{t - \epsilon} \partial_{t'} G_{\text{ret}}(z(\tau), z(\tau')) d t'
$$
  
= 
$$
- \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} [G_{\text{ret}}(x, x')]_{t' \to -\infty}^{t' = t - \epsilon}.
$$
(7.15)

The total integral depends only on the values of the Green function at the present time and in the infinite past  $(t' \rightarrow$ nction at the present time and in the infinite past  $(t \rightarrow \infty)$ . The QNM sum expressions for the Green function  $\sigma$ . For (6.2)] are zero in the infinite past as the quasi--[e.g. Eq. ([6.2](#page-20-2))] are zero in the infinite past, as the quasinormal modes decay exponentially. The value of the Green function at coincidence  $(t' \rightarrow t)$  is found from the coinci-

dence limit of the function  $-V(x, x')$  in the Hadamard form<br>(4.35) It is  $\pm (1 - 6\epsilon)R$  which exactly cancels the local [\(4.35](#page-12-3)). It is  $\frac{1}{12}(1-6\xi)R$ , which exactly cancels the local<br>contribution in the mass loss equation (7.14). It is no contribution in the mass loss equation [\(7.14\)](#page-23-0). It is no surprise to find that this cancellation occurs—the local terms were originally derived from the coincidence limit of the Green function. In fact, because  $\frac{d\Phi_R}{dr} = 0$  due to time-<br>translation invariance, we can see directly from the original translation invariance, we can see directly from the original equation ([1.5\)](#page-1-3) that the mass loss is zero in the static case.

Now let us consider the radial acceleration [\(7.13](#page-23-1)). The acceleration keeping the particle in a static position is constant  $(\dot{a}^{\rho} = 0)$ . The remaining tail integral may be split into two parts into two parts,

<span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
ma^{\rho} = q^2(1 - \rho^2)^{3/2} \left( -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{t-\Delta t}^{t-\epsilon} \partial_{\rho} V(z(t), z(t')) dt' + \int_{-\infty}^{t-\Delta t} \partial_{\rho} G_{\text{ret}}(z(t), z(t')) dt' \right), \tag{7.16}
$$

where  $\Delta t$  is a free parameter corresponding to  $\Delta \tau$  introduced in [\(2.4\)](#page-3-3) that determines the matching time in the matched-expansions method. For the first part of ([7.16\)](#page-23-2), we use the quasilocal calculation of  $V(x, x')$  from Sec. IV C.<br>As  $V(x, x')$  is given as a power series in  $(a - a')$  and  $(t - a')$ As  $V(x, x')$  is given as a power series in  $(\rho - \rho')$  and  $(t - t')$  the derivatives and integrals can be done termwise and t), the derivatives and integrals can be done termwise and are straightforward. The quasilocal integral contribution is are straightforward. The quasilocal integral contribution is therefore simply

<span id="page-23-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{t-\Delta t}^{t-\epsilon} \partial_{\rho} V(z(t), z(t')) dt'
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)} \partial_{\rho} v_{k0} (\Delta t)^{2k+1}.
$$
\n(7.17)

The second part of [\(7.16](#page-23-2)) can be computed using the QNM sum ([6.1](#page-20-1)). To illustrate the approach, let us rewrite [\(6.1\)](#page-20-1) as

$$
G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}}(\rho, t; \rho', t; \gamma)
$$
  
= Re $\sum_{l,n} G_{ln}(\rho', \gamma) e^{-i\omega_{ln}(t-t'-\rho_{*}-\rho'_{*})}\tilde{u}_{ln}(\rho).$  (7.18)

Applying the derivative with respect to  $\rho$  and taking the integral with respect to  $t'$  leads to

<span id="page-23-3"></span>
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{t-\Delta t} \partial_{\rho} G_{\text{ret}}(z(t), z(t')) dt' = \left(\frac{d\rho}{d\rho_{*}}\right)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{t-\Delta t} \partial_{t'} G_{\text{ret}}^{\text{QNM}} dt' + \text{Re} \sum_{l,n} \int_{-\infty}^{t-\Delta t} \mathcal{G}_{ln} e^{-i\omega_{ln}(t-t'-\rho_{*}-\rho'_{*})} \frac{d\tilde{u}_{ln}}{d\rho} dt'
$$

$$
= (1-\rho^{2})^{-1} [G_{\text{ret}}]^{t'=t-\Delta t} + \sum_{l,n} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{ln}}{i\omega_{ln}} e^{-i\omega_{ln}(\Delta t-\rho_{*}-\rho'_{*})} \frac{d\tilde{u}_{ln}}{d\rho}.
$$
(7.19)

It is straightforward to find the derivative of the radial wave function from the definition [\(4.31\)](#page-12-5). In Sec. VII D we outline two methods for numerically computing mode sums such as [\(7.19](#page-23-3)).

The self-force computed via  $(7.16)$  $(7.16)$ ,  $(7.17)$  $(7.17)$ , and  $(7.19)$  $(7.19)$ should be independent of the choice of the matching time (we verify this in Sec. VIII D). This invariance provides a useful test of the validity of our matched expansions. Additionally, through varying  $\Delta \tau$  we may estimate the numerical error in the self-force result.

### D. Numerical methods for computing mode sums

The static-self-force calculation requires the numerical calculation of mode sums like [\(7.19\)](#page-23-3). We used two methods for robust numerical calculations: (1) ''smoothed sum'' and (2) Watson transform (described previously in Sec. VC). We see in Sec. VIII that the results of the two methods are consistent.

<span id="page-24-1"></span>The smoothed sum method is straightforward to describe and implement. Let us suppose that we wish to extract a numerical value from an infinite series

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} a_l \tag{7.20}
$$

<span id="page-24-0"></span>such that  $\lim_{l\to\infty} a_l \neq 0$ , and so the series is divergent. Let us now suppose that the divergence is ''sufficiently weak'' that  $\lim_{l\to\infty}e^{-k(l+1)^2}|a_{l+1}|/(e^{-kl^2}|a_l|) < 1$  for all  $k > 0$ .<br>Then we may instead compute the finite sum Then we may instead compute the finite sum

$$
S(l_{\text{cut}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{l_{\infty}} a_l e^{-l^2/2l_{\text{cut}}^2}
$$
 (7.21)

where  $l_{\infty}$  is large enough to suppress any high-l oscillations in the result (typically  $l_{\infty} > 4l_{\text{cut}}$ ). We find that [\(7.21\)](#page-24-0) is a good approximation to [\(7.20\)](#page-24-1) provided we are not within  $\delta t \sim 1/l_{\text{cut}}$  of a singularity of the Green function. Increasing the cutoff  $l_{\text{cut}}$  therefore improves the resolution of the singularities. The introduction of such a cutoff is although of different magnitude—in the same spirit as the Feynman prescription of Sec. V E.

## VIII. RESULTS

We now present a selection of results from our numerical calculations. In Sec. VIII A the distant past Green function is examined. We plot the Green function as a function of coordinate time  $t - t'$  for fixed spatial points. A fourfold<br>singularity structure is observed. In Sec. VIII B we test the singularity structure is observed. In Sec. VIII B we test the asymptotic approximations of the singular structure, derived in Secs. V D and V E [Eqs.  $(6.3)$  and  $(6.6)$ ]. We show that the fundamental mode  $(n = 0)$  series ([6.4](#page-20-5)) is a good approximation of the exact result [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2), if a time-offset correction is applied. In Sec. VIII C the quasilocal and distant past expansions for the Green function are compared and matched. We show that the two methods for

<span id="page-24-2"></span>![](_page_24_Figure_13.jpeg)

FIG. 9 (color online). Distant past Green function for spatially coincident points near infinity ( $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ ). The Green function was calculated from mode sum [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2) numerically using the smoothed sum method [\(7.21](#page-24-0)) with  $l_{\text{cut}} = 200$  and curvature coupling factor  $\xi = 1/6$ .

finding the Green function are in excellent agreement for a range of matching times  $\Delta \tau$ . In Sec. VIII D we consider the special case of the static particle. We present the Green function, the radiative field, $<sup>2</sup>$  and the self-force in turn. The</sup> radial self-force acting on the static particle is computed via the matched-expansion method (described in Secs. II and VIIC), plotted as a function of coordinate  $\rho$ , and compared with the result derived in Sec. VII B.

# A. The Green function near infinity from quasinormal mode sums

Let us begin by looking at the Green function for fixed points near spatial infinity,  $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1$  (i.e.  $\rho_* = \rho'_* \rightarrow$  $+\infty$ ). The Green function may be computed numerically<br>hy applying either the Watson transform (Sec. V.C.) or the by applying either the Watson transform (Sec. V C) or the smoothed sum method (Sec. VII D) to the QNM sum [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2).

Figure [9](#page-24-2) shows the Green function for fixed spatially coincident points near infinity ( $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ ) and  $\xi = 1/6$ . The Green function has been calculated from series ([6.2](#page-20-2)) using the smoothed sum method. It is plotted as a function of QNM time,  $T = t - t' - (\rho_* + \rho'_*)$ . We<br>see that singularities occur at the times (3.23) predicted by as a function of QNM time,  $I - l - l - (\rho_* + \rho_*)$ . We<br>see that singularities occur at the times ([3.23\)](#page-8-4) predicted by the geodesic analysis of Sec. III C. In this case,  $T_c$  = ln[sinh<sup>2</sup>( $N\pi$ )]  $\approx$  4.893, 11.180, 17.463, etc. At times prior to the first singularity at  $T \approx 4.893$  the Green function to the first singularity at  $T \approx 4.893$ , the Green function<br>shows a smooth nower-law rise. At the singularity itself shows a smooth power-law rise. At the singularity itself, there is a feature resembling a delta distribution, with a negative sign. Immediately after the singularity the Green

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In this section what is referred to as the "radiative field" is more precisely the ''tail field'', found from the integral of the retarded Green function along the past worldline (excluding coincidence). The self-force derived from the tail field is equivalent to that derived from the radiative field.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 10 (color online). Distant past Green function near spatial infinity ( $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1$ ) for points separated by the angle  $\gamma = \pi/2$ and  $\xi = 1/6$ . The Green function was calculated from the fundamental mode approximation [\(6.4](#page-20-5)) numerically using the smoothed sum method with  $l_{\text{cut}} = 1000$ . Note the fourfold singularity structure (see text).

function falls close to zero (although a small tail does appear). This behavior is even more marked in the case  $\xi = 1/8$  (not shown). A similar pattern is found close to the second singularity at  $T \approx 11.180$ , but here the Green<br>function takes the opposite sign and its amplitude is function takes the opposite sign, and its amplitude is smaller.

Figure [10](#page-25-0) shows the Green function for points near infinity ( $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1$ ) separated by an angle of  $\gamma = \pi/2$  and  $\xi = 1/6$ . The Green function shown here is computed from the fundamental mode approximation ([6.4](#page-20-5)), again using the smoothed sum method. In this case, the singu-larities occur at periodic times [\(3.24](#page-8-5)), given by  $T = \Delta \phi - 2 \ln 2 \approx 0.1845$  3.326 6.468 etc where  $\Delta \phi =$  $2 \ln 2 \approx 0.1845$ , 3.326, 6.468, etc., where  $\Delta \phi = \pi/2.3\pi/2.5\pi/2$  As discussed there is a one-to-one  $\pi/2$ ,  $3\pi/2$ ,  $5\pi/2$ , .... As discussed, there is a one-to-one correspondence between singularities and orbiting null geodesics, and the fourfold singularity pattern predicted in Sec. VD  $[(6.6)]$  $[(6.6)]$  $[(6.6)]$  and Sec. VE  $[(6.3)]$  $[(6.3)]$  $[(6.3)]$  is clearly visible. Every "even" singularity takes the form of a delta distribution. Numerically, the delta distribution is manifest as a Gaussian-like spike whose width (height) decreases (increases) as  $l_{\text{cut}}$  is increased. By contrast (for  $\gamma \neq 0, \pi$ ), every "odd" singularity diverges as  $1/(T - T_c)$ ; it has<br>antisymmetric wings on either side. The singularity ampliantisymmetric wings on either side. The singularity amplitude diminishes as T increases.

### B. Asymptotics and singular structure

The analyses of Secs. VD and VE yielded approximations for the singularity structure of the Green function. In particular, Eq. [\(6.3\)](#page-20-3) gives an estimate for the amplitude of the odd singularities as  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow 1$ . We tested our numerical computations against these predictions. Figure [11](#page-25-1) shows the Green function near the singularity associated with the null geodesic passing through an angle  $\Delta \phi = 3\pi/2$ . The left plot compares the numerically determined Green funcleft plot compares the numerically determined Green function ([6.4](#page-20-5)) with the asymptotic prediction ([6.3](#page-20-3)). The right plot shows the same data on a log-log plot. The asymptotic

<span id="page-25-1"></span>![](_page_25_Figure_9.jpeg)

FIG. 11. Green function near the singularity arising from a null geodesic passing through an angle  $\Delta \phi = 3\pi/2$  and with  $\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1$ . The fundamental mode  $(n = 0)$  Green function (6.4) (with  $l_{\perp} = 2500$ ) is compared w  $\rho' \rightarrow 1$ . The fundamental mode (*n* = 0) Green function [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) (with  $l_{\text{cut}} = 2500$ ) is compared with approximations ([6.3](#page-20-3)) and ([6.6](#page-20-4)) from considering high-l asymptotics. The approximations give  $G_{\text{ret}} \sim -0.04268/(T - T_c)$  and  $G_{\text{ret}} \sim -0.04344/(T - T_c)$ , respectively.<br>The left panel shows the Green function in the vicinity of the (periodic) singularity at  $T = 3$ The left panel shows the Green function in the vicinity of the (periodic) singularity at  $T_c = 3\pi/2 - 2\ln 2 \approx 3.3261$ . The right panel<br>shows the same data on a log-log scale, and compares the mode sum (dashed line) with th shows the same data on a log-log scale, and compares the mode sum (dashed line) with the approximation (dotted line). The discrepancy close to the singularity may be improved by increasing  $l_{\text{cut}}$ .

<span id="page-26-0"></span>![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 12 (color online). Singularities of the fundamental mode Green function [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) compared with asymptotics from the Poisson sum [\(5.30](#page-17-1)) and [\(5.31\)](#page-17-2). The left plot shows a small angular separation  $\gamma = \pi/20$ , and the right plot shows coincidence  $\gamma = 0$ , for  $\rho$ ,  $\rho' \rightarrow 1$ .

prediction  $(6.3)$  is a straight line with gradient  $-1$ , and it is<br>clear that the numerical data are in excellent agreement clear that the numerical data are in excellent agreement.

Improved asymptotic expressions for the singular structure of the fundamental mode Green function were given in [\(5.30](#page-17-1)) and ([5.31](#page-17-2)). These asymptotics are valid all the way up to  $\gamma = 0$ . Figure [12](#page-26-0) compares the asymptotic expres-sions ([5.30\)](#page-17-1) and [\(5.31](#page-17-2)) (solid line) with numerical computations (broken lines) from the mode sum [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5). It is clear that the asymptotics  $(5.30)$  and  $(5.31)$  $(5.31)$  are in excellent agreement with the numerically determined Green function. The closest agreement is found near the singular times, but the asymptotics provide a remarkably good fit over a range of t.

In Fig. [13,](#page-26-1) the fundamental mode  $(n = 0)$  approximation [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) is compared with the exact QNM Green function [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2) near spatial infinity. Away from singularities, the former is found to be a good approximation to the latter. However, close to singularities this is not the case. The singularities of the fundamental mode approximation [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) occur at slightly different times to the singularities of the exact solution ([6.2](#page-20-2)), as discussed in Sec. VA. For the fundamental mode series ([6.4](#page-20-5)), the singularity times  $T_{\text{reg}}^N$ given in Eq. [\(5.8\)](#page-14-1) are periodic. For the exact solution [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2) near spatial infinity, the singularity times  $T_{\text{exact}}^N$  are precisely the ''null geodesic times'' given in Eq. [\(3.23\)](#page-8-4). Remarkably, if we apply a singularity time offset to the fundamental mode approximation  $(T \rightarrow T + \Delta T)$  where<br>  $\Delta T = T^N - T^N$  we find that the fundamental mode  $\Delta T = T_{\text{exact}}^N - T_{\text{reg}}^N$ , we find that the fundamental mode<br>Green function is an almost perfect match to the exact Green function is an almost perfect match to the exact Green function. This is clearly shown in the lower plot of Fig. [13.](#page-26-1) Comparing the series [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2) and [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) we see that, in

<span id="page-26-1"></span>![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

FIG. 13 (color online). Singularities of fundamental mode approximation. The top plot shows the Green function near spatial infinity  $(\rho = \rho' \rightarrow 1, \ \rho_* = \rho'_* \rightarrow \infty)$ , for an angular senaration  $\gamma = \pi/2$ . It compares the exact solution (6.2) Inlain separation  $\gamma = \pi/2$ . It compares the exact solution [\(6.2\)](#page-20-2) [plain solid (red) line] and the approximation from the fundamental modes [crossed (blue) line], as a function of time  $T = t - t'$ <br>  $\rho = \rho'$ . The singularities occur at two distinct times, marke modes [crossed (blue) line], as a function of time  $I = I - I - \rho_* - \rho'_*$ . The singularities occur at two distinct times, marked  $T =$  and  $T =$  respectively. If a time offset is applied to the  $T_{\text{exact}}$  and  $T_{\text{reg}}$ , respectively. If a time offset is applied to the fundamental mode approximation (see text), then we find the singularities look remarkably similar (lower plot).

<span id="page-27-0"></span>![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 14 (color online). Matching of the quasilocal and distant past Green functions for  $\xi = 1/8$  and for a static particle at  $\rho =$  $\rho' = 0.5$ . Here, the Green functions are plotted as functions of coordinate time,  $t - t'$  (not QNM time, as in most other plots).<br>The (black) dots and solid (red) line show the results of the The (black) dots and solid (red) line show the results of the smoothed sum and Watson transform methods applied to compute the  $n = 0$  QNM sum ([6.4\)](#page-20-5) (see text). The dashed (blue) line shows the quasilocal series expansion taken to order  $(t - t')^{60}$ .<br>The distant past Green function cannot be computed for early The distant past Green function cannot be computed for early times  $t - t' < \rho_* + \rho'_* = 2 \tanh^{-1}(1/2) = 1.099$ , whereas the quasilocal series diverges at large  $t - t' \ge 3.46$ . In the interquasilocal series diverges at large  $t - t' \ge 3.46$ . In the inter-<br>mediate regime, we find excellent agreement (see also Figs. 15 mediate regime, we find excellent agreement (see also Figs. [15](#page-27-1) and [16](#page-28-0)). Note that the quasilocal Green function tends to  $\frac{1}{12} \times (1 - 6\xi)P = 1$  in the limit  $t' \rightarrow t$  $(1 - 6\xi)R = \frac{1}{12}$  in the limit  $t' \rightarrow t$ .

both cases, the magnitude of the terms in the series increases as  $(l + 1/2)^{1/2}$  in the large-l limit [see Eqs. [\(5.3\)](#page-13-1) and (5.23)]. This observation raises the possibility that the and [\(5.23](#page-16-7))]. This observation raises the possibility that the  $n = 0$  modes may give the essential features of the full solution; if true, this would certainly aid the analysis of the Schwarzschild case, where it is probably not feasible to perform a sum over  $n$  analytically.

## C. Matched expansions: Quasilocal and distant past

Let us now turn our attention to the match between quasilocal and distant past Green functions. The quasilocal expansion ([4.36\)](#page-13-0) is valid within the convergence radius of the series,  $t - t' < t_{QL}$ , while the QNM *n* series is con-<br>vergent at "late" times  $t - t' > 0 + a'$ . Hence a vergent at "late" times,  $t - t' > \rho_* + \rho'_*$ . Hence, a<br>matched-expansion method will only be practical if the matched-expansion method will only be practical if the quasilocal and distant past Green functions overlap in an intermediate regime  $\rho_* + \rho'_* < t - t' < t_{QL}$ . It is expected<br>that the convergence radius of the quasilocal series  $t_{z}$ . that the convergence radius of the quasilocal series,  $t_{OL}$ , will lie within the normal neighborhood,  $t_{NN}$ , of spacetime point x. The size of the normal neighborhood is limited by the earliest time at which spacetime points  $x$  and  $x'$  may be connected by more than one nonspacelike geodesic. Typically this will happen when a null geodesic has orbited once, taking a time  $t_{NN} > \rho_* + \rho'_*,$  so we can be optimistic<br>that an intermediate regime will exist. To test this idea, we that an intermediate regime will exist. To test this idea, we computed the quasilocal Green function using ([4.36\)](#page-13-0), and the distant past Green function ([6.1](#page-20-1)) [or its approximation [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) for  $n = 0$ ] for a range of situations.

Figure [14](#page-27-0) shows the  $n = 0$  retarded Green function [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) as a function of coordinate time  $t - t'$  for a static particle at  $a = a' = 0.5$ . At early times, the quasilocal Green func- $\rho = \rho' = 0.5$ . At early times, the quasilocal Green function is well defined, but the distant past Green function is not. Conversely, at late times the quasilocal series is not convergent. At intermediate times  $1.099 < \Delta t \le 3.45$ , we find an excellent match. Figure [14](#page-27-0) also shows that the results of the two numerical methods for evaluating QNM sums are equivalent. That is, the Green function found from the Watson transform (Sec. VC, solid (red)

<span id="page-27-1"></span>![](_page_27_Figure_9.jpeg)

FIG. 15 (color online). Matching of the quasilocal and distant past Green functions. The left plot shows curvature coupling  $\xi = 1/6$ and the right plot shows  $\xi = 1/8$ , for a static particle at  $\rho = \rho' = 0.5$ . Note the time scale on the horizontal axis,  $T = t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'$ .<br>The distant past Green function [(blue) lines reaching up to the last value for T] and the right plot shows  $\zeta = 1/6$ , for a static particle at  $\rho - \rho' = 0.5$ . Note the thine scale on the horizontal axis,  $T - T - T - \rho_* - \rho'_*$ .<br>The distant past Green function [(blue) lines reaching up to the last value for T quasilocal series  $[(red)$  lines not reaching up to the last value for T] clearly diverges at large T. In the intermediate regime, we find excellent agreement.

<span id="page-28-0"></span>![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 16 (color online). Error in matching the quasilocal and distant past Green functions. This plot shows the difference between the quasilocal and distant past Green functions in the matching regime. The magnitude of the Green function as a function of time  $T = t - t' - \rho_* - \rho'_*$  is shown as a solid red<br>line. The broken lines show the "matching error" the difference function of the  $I - I - I - \mu_* - \mu_*$  is shown as a solid fear line. The broken lines show the "matching error": the difference between the quasilocal and QNM sum Green functions, for various  $l_{\text{cut}}$  in ([7.21\)](#page-24-0) (see Sec. VII D) and  $n_{\text{max}}$ . Note the logarithmic scale on the vertical axis. It is clear that the matching error is reduced by increasing  $n_{\text{max}}$  and  $l_{\text{cut}}$ , and that the best agreement is found close to the radius of convergence of the quasilocal series (at  $T \sim 1.9$ ). The plot shows that a matching accuracy of above one part in  $10<sup>4</sup>$  is achievable.

line) coincides with the Green function calculated by the method of smoothed sums (Sec. VII D, black dots).

Let us now examine the matching procedure in more detail. Figure [15](#page-27-1) shows the match between the distant past and quasilocal Green functions, computed from [\(4.36\)](#page-13-0) and [\(6.1\)](#page-20-1), in the case  $\rho = \rho' = 0.5$ . The double sum in (6.1) has been calculated by applying the smoothed sum method  $(7.21)$  $(7.21)$  to the *l* sum and by summing over *n* up to the value  $n_{\text{max}} = 4$ . In Fig. [15,](#page-27-1) the left plot shows the case for conformal coupling  $\xi = 1/6$  and the right plot shows the case for  $\xi = 1/8$ . Note that the Green function tends to the constant value  $\frac{1}{12}(1-6\xi)R$  in the limit  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0^+$ . In both cases, we find that the fit between quasilocal and distant cases, we find that the fit between quasilocal and distant past Green functions is good up to nearly the radius of convergence of the quasilocal series.

Figure [16](#page-28-0) quantifies the accuracy of the match between quasilocal and distant past Green functions. Here, we have used the smoothed sum method (Sec. VII D) to compute the distant past Green function from [\(6.1\)](#page-20-1). To apply this method, we must choose appropriate upper limits for l (angular momentum) and  $n$  (overtone number). We have experimented with various cutoffs  $l_{\text{cut}}$  and  $n_{\text{max}}$ . As expected, better accuracy is obtained by increasing  $l_{\text{cut}}$  and  $n_{\text{max}}$ , although the run time for the code increases commensurately. With care, a relative accuracy of one part in  $10<sup>4</sup>$  to  $10<sup>5</sup>$  is possible. This accuracy is sufficient for confidence in the self-force values computed via matched expansions, presented in Sec. VIII D.

### D. The self-force on a static particle

In this section, we present a selection of results for a specific case: a static particle at fixed spatial coordinates. Our goal is to compute the self-force as a function of  $\rho$ , to demonstrate the first practical application of the Poisson-Wiseman-Anderson method of matched expansions [\[36](#page-35-26)[,37\]](#page-35-27).

The radiative field may be found by integrating the Green function with respect to  $\tau'$ , where  $d\tau' = (1 - a^2)^{1/2} d\tau'$  Integrating a mode sum like (6.4) with  $(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} dt'$ . Integrating a mode sum like [\(6.4\)](#page-20-5) with respect to t' is straightforward: we simply multiply each respect to  $t'$  is straightforward; we simply multiply each term in the sum by a factor  $1/(i\omega_{ln})$ . Hence it is straightforward to compute a partial field defined by

<span id="page-28-2"></span>
$$
\Phi_{\text{partial}}(\Delta t) = q(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{t - \Delta t} G_{\text{ret}}(t - t', \rho = \rho', \gamma = 0) dt'.
$$
\n(8.1)

This may be interpreted as ''the field generated by the segment of the static-particle worldline lying between  $t' \rightarrow$ gment of the static-particle worldline fying between  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t' = t - \Delta t$ ." In the limit  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ , the partial field  $\Delta_{\text{R}}$ . An ex- $\Phi_{\text{partial}}$  will coincide with the radiative field  $\Phi_R$ . An example of this calculation is shown in Fig. [17.](#page-28-1) Here,  $q^{-1}\Phi_{\text{partial}}$  is plotted as a function of  $T = \Delta t - \rho_* - \rho'_*$ <br>for a static particle near spatial infinity,  $\rho \to 1$ . We have for a static particle near spatial infinity,  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ . We have<br>used the  $n = 0$  ONM Green function (6.4) together with used the  $n = 0$  QNM Green function [\(6.4](#page-20-5)) together with the method of smoothed sums (Sec. VII D), with  $l_{\text{cut}} =$ 200. The "partial field"  $\Phi_{\text{partial}}$  shares singular points with  $G_{\text{ret}}$ . Figure [17](#page-28-1) shows that a significant amount of the total radiative field arises from the segment of the worldline after the first singularity. The Green function tends to zero

<span id="page-28-1"></span>![](_page_28_Figure_12.jpeg)

FIG. 17 (color online). Partial field generated by a static particle at  $\rho = 0.5$ . The dotted (blue) line shows the  $n = 0$  QNM Green function ([6.4\)](#page-20-5). The solid (red) line shows the partial field  $q^{-1}\Phi_{\text{partial}}$  defined in Eq. ([8.1](#page-28-2)). This may be interpreted as the portion of the radiative field generated by the segment of the static-particle worldline between  $t' \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $t' = t - \Delta t$ .<br>Here  $\Delta t = T + a + a'$  where T is the time coordinate shown static-particle worldline between  $t \to -\infty$  and  $t = t - \Delta t$ .<br>Here  $\Delta t = T + \rho_* + \rho'_*,$  where T is the time coordinate shown on the horizontal axis.

CASALS, DOLAN, OTTEWILL, AND WARDELL PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

<span id="page-29-0"></span>![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 18 (color online). Illustration of the matching calculation of the self-force for  $\xi = 1/6$  on a static particle at  $\rho = \rho' = 0.5$ . The (blue) dashed line shows the quasilocal contribution, integrated from  $\tau - \Delta \tau = (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2} [T + \rho_* + \rho'_*]$  to (almost) coincidence.<br>The (green) dotted line shows the distant past contribution, integrated from  $-\infty$  to  $\tau -$ The (green) dotted line shows the distant past contribution, integrated from  $-\infty$  to  $\tau - \Delta \tau$ . The (red) solid line shows the total. In the matching region  $1 \le T \le 2$  the total approaches a constant which corresponds to matching region  $1 \le T \le 2$  the total approaches a constant, which corresponds to the value of the radiative field (left plot) and radial self-force (right plot).

in the limit  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  (for  $\xi = 1/6$ ). On the other hand, the partial field tends to a constant popzero value in this limit. partial field tends to a constant nonzero value in this limit. The constant value is the radiative field  $q^{-1}\Phi_R$ .

An accurate value for the total radiative field is found by using the quasilocal Green function to extend  $\Phi_{\text{partial}}$  to (almost) coincidence,  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0^+$ . The method is illustrated<br>in Fig. 18 (left plot). The dashed line shows the quasilocal in Fig. [18](#page-29-0) (left plot). The dashed line shows the quasilocal contribution to the radiative field, and the dotted line shows the distant past contribution to the radiative field, as a function of matching time. The former is the result of

integrating from the matching point  $\tau - \Delta \tau$  to (almost)<br>coincidence, and the latter from integrating from  $-\infty$  to coincidence, and the latter from integrating from  $-\infty$  to the matching point. Here  $\Lambda \tau$  varies linearly with the r-axis the matching point. Here,  $\Delta \tau$  varies linearly with the *x*-axis scale  $T$  (see caption). The right plot illustrates the same calculation for the radial self-force. Here, the dotted line representing the contribution from the distant past is found from the sum ([7.19](#page-23-3)) using the smoothed sum method ([7.21\)](#page-24-0) with  $l_{\text{cut}} = 400$  for the l sum and summing over n up to the value  $n_{\text{max}} = 4$ .

<span id="page-29-1"></span>![](_page_29_Figure_7.jpeg)

FIG. 19 (color online). The radiative field generated by the static particle. The plot shows the radiative field for the static particle at  $\rho = \rho'$ . For the case  $\xi = 1/6$  (left plot) the field is negative, whereas for the case  $\xi = 1/8$  it is positive (right plot). Note the differing scales on the vertical axis scales on the vertical axis.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 20 (color online). The radial self-force on the static particle. The radial component of the self-force,  $q^{-2}ma^{\rho}$ , is plotted as a function of the  $\rho$  of the static particle. The self-force was calculated by two methods: matched expansion [(black) dots] and massivefield regularization [(blue) curve]. The left plot shows the curvature coupling  $\xi = 1/6$  whereas the right plot shows  $\xi = 1/8$ . Note the difference in scales for the two cases.

Figure [19](#page-29-1) shows the total radiative field  $\Phi_R$  generated by a static particle in the Nariai spacetime. The field is plotted as a function of  $\rho$ , for two cases:  $\xi = 1/6$  and  $\xi = 1/8$ . In the former case, the field is negative. In the latter case, the field is positive, and about 2 orders of magnitude greater in amplitude. In both cases, the amplitude of the field is maximal at  $\rho = 0$  and tends to zero as  $\rho \rightarrow 1$  as  $\Phi_R \sim$  $(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}$ .<br>Figure 20

Figure [20](#page-30-0) shows the radial self-force  $ma^{\rho}$  acting on a static particle. The results of the matched-expansion are shown as points, and the results of the ''massive-field regularization method'' (described in Sec. VII B) are shown as the solid line. The latter method provides an independent check on the accuracy of the former. We find agreement to approximately six decimal places between the two approaches. We find the self-force at  $\rho = 0$ to be zero, as expected from the symmetry of the static region of the Nariai spacetime. The self-force also tends to zero as  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ . Between these limits, the self-force rises to a single peak, the magnitude and location of which depend on the curvature coupling  $\xi$ . We find that the peak of the self-force is approximately  $4.9 \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\xi = 1/6$  and<br>approximately  $3.8 \times 10^{-2}$  for  $\xi = 1/8$ . Note that the arguapproximately  $3.8 \times 10^{-2}$  for  $\xi = 1/8$ . Note that the argu-<br>ment (see Sec. VII A) in [65] that the scalar self-force with ment (see Sec. VII A) in [[65](#page-35-48)] that the scalar self-force with  $\xi = 1/8$  should be zero for a static particle in a spacetime (such as Nariai) possessing a conformally flat 3-D spatial section does not seem to hold here.

### IX. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented the first practical demonstration of a self-force calculation using the method of matched expansions. The matched-expansions method was first proposed over a decade ago by Poisson and Wiseman [\[36\]](#page-35-26). We have shown that the quasilocal expansion in coordinate separation [\[44,](#page-35-34)[47–](#page-35-63)[49](#page-35-35)], valid only in the normal neighborhood, may be accurately matched onto a modesum expansion, valid in the distant past. Through matching the quasilocal and distant past expansions, the full retarded Green function may be reconstructed. With full knowledge of the Green function, one may accurately compute the tail contribution to the self-force. In this work, we employed the matching method to numerically compute the selfforce acting on a static particle, and showed that the resulting self-force is in excellent agreement with the result from an alternative method (Sec. VII B), to approximately one part in  $10<sup>6</sup>$ .

The key new ingredient in our formulation is the socalled quasinormal mode-sum expansion for the distant past Green function. Following Leaver's approach [[50\]](#page-35-36), the integral over frequency in the mode-sum expansion of the Green function ([4.1](#page-8-9)) may be performed by deforming the contour of integration in the complex frequency plane. Poles of the Green function arise at (complex) quasinormal mode frequencies in the lower half-plane. The sum over the residues of the poles gives the quasinormal mode sum—a key contribution to the Green function (see below).

The QNM sum is only valid at late times,  $t - t' \ge t_c$ ,<br>nere t is approximately the time it takes for a geodesic to where  $t_c$  is approximately the time it takes for a geodesic to reflect from the peak of the potential barrier. We have demonstrated that there is a sufficient regime of overlap in  $t - t'$  in which both the quasilocal and QNM sum<br>expansions are valid for the method to be applied expansions are valid for the method to be applied successfully.

The QNM spectra of black holes have received much attention in the last three decades. In some studies [\[53](#page-35-39)[,54,](#page-35-40)[115](#page-36-33)], approximations to QNM frequencies are found by replacing the effective potential  $V_l^{(S)}$  ([3.5](#page-5-1)) with the so-called Pöschl-Teller potential  $(3.7)$  $(3.7)$  $(3.7)$ . The advantage of this replacement is that the QNMs and radial solutions of the Pöschl-Teller potential are known in closed form. In this paper, we have taken the idea a step further. We have shown that the Pöschl-Teller potential arises naturally if we consider the radial equation resulting from waves on an alternative spacetime: the product spacetime  $dS_2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , first introduced by Nariai [[38,](#page-35-28)[39](#page-35-29)] in 1950. This is an Einstein spacetime of constant scalar curvature.

The symmetry of Nariai spacetime undoubtedly makes calculations easier. For example, geodesic motion may be separated into motion on the two submanifolds,  $dS_2$  and  $\mathbb{S}^2$ ; the Van Vleck determinant may be written in closed form ([5.43\)](#page-19-4); and the decay rate of the quasinormal modes is independent of l. We view the Nariai spacetime as an excellent testing ground for our methods. Nevertheless, we should not forget the overall goal of the Poisson-Wiseman-Anderson proposal [[36](#page-35-26),[37](#page-35-27)]: accurate matchedexpansions calculations on physical black hole spacetimes. Below, we review some of the insights provided by our "experiment" with the Nariai spacetime which will help any future calculations.

At late times  $t - t' > \rho_* + \rho'_*$  it has previously been<br>cablished [68] that the quasinormal modes provide a established [\[68\]](#page-35-51) that the quasinormal modes provide a complete basis on the Nariai spacetime. Here, we demonstrated that, at late times, the QNM sum [\(4.22\)](#page-11-1) fully describes the retarded Green function. This is not expected to be the case on the Schwarzschild spacetime [\[50\]](#page-35-36). In the latter case, there arises a branch point at  $\omega = 0$  and a branch cut in the frequency integral which gives a powerlaw tail contribution [[51](#page-35-37)] to the Green function (Fig. [6\)](#page-10-0). The branch point is notably absent for the Nariai spacetime, making the analysis simpler. In this paper we have thoroughly investigated the effect of the quasinormal modes. The contribution of the branch-cut integral to the self-force remains to be quantified. We hope to pursue this calculation in a forthcoming work.

This study has provided a number of insights into the properties of the Green function, which are of relevance to any future investigation of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Namely,

- (i) The Green function  $G_{\text{ret}}(x, x')$  is singular whenever x<br>and x' are connected by a null geodesic. The nature and  $x<sup>1</sup>$  are connected by a null geodesic. The nature of the singularity depends on the number of caustics that the wave front has passed through. After an even number of caustics, the singularity is a delta distribution, with support only on the light cone. After an odd number of caustics, the Green function diverges as  $1/\pi\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the Synge world function. A fourfold repeating pattern occurs, i.e.  $\delta(\sigma)$ ,  $1/\pi\sigma$ ,<br> $-\delta(\sigma)$ ,  $-1/\pi\sigma$ ,  $\delta(\sigma)$ , etc.  $\delta(\sigma)$ ,  $-1/\pi\sigma$ ,  $\delta(\sigma)$ , etc.<br>pe fourfold singular structu
- (ii) The fourfold singular structure can be shown to arise from a Hadamard-like ansatz ([5.38](#page-18-3)) valid even outside the normal neighborhood, if we allow  $U(x, x')$  to nick up a phase of  $-i$  upon passing through a caustic pick up a phase of  $-i$  upon passing through a caustic.<br>The accumulation of phase may be deduced by The accumulation of phase may be deduced by analytically continuing the integral for the Van Vleck determinant through the singularities (due to caustics).
- (iii) The Hadamard parametrix for the Green function  $(4.35)$  $(4.35)$  is only strictly valid if x and x' are in a normal

neighborhood  $[42]$  (see footnote  $<sup>1</sup>$ ). The extension</sup> beyond the normal neighborhood does not seem to be known. However, this work and some previous studies [[116](#page-36-34)] would tentatively suggest that, if  $x$  and  $x<sup>′</sup>$  are connected by a countable number of distinct geodesics, then the Green function may be found from the sum of their Hadamard contributions.

- (iv) The effect of caustics on wave propagation has been well studied in a number of other fields, such as optics [[117\]](#page-36-35), acoustics [[96](#page-36-15)], seismology [[86](#page-36-6)], symplectic geometry [\[97\]](#page-36-16), and quantum mechanics [[98\]](#page-36-17). It may be that mathematical results developed in other fields may be usefully applied to wave propagation in gravitational physics.
- (v) The "shape" of the fundamental mode  $(n = 0)$ Green function is remarkably similar to that of the whole (with sum over all  $n$ ) QNM Green function after a time offset has been applied (see Fig. [13\)](#page-26-1). This offers a potentially very practical way of evaluating the whole QNM Green function from only the  $n = 0$  overtone. If this were also true in other spacetimes of more astrophysical interest such as Schwarzschild, such a method would be particularly valuable given the difficulty in calculating the sum over  $n$  up to large values in these spacetimes.

A further observation made in this work is confirmation that the tail self-force cannot be calculated from the quasilocal contribution alone. For instance, Fig. [17](#page-28-1) would appear to show that a significant part of the radiative field is generated by the segment of the worldline which lies outside of the normal neighborhood (i.e. beyond the first caustic). Unlike in flat space, the radiated field generated by an accelerated particle may propagate once, twice, etc. around the black hole before later reintersecting the worldline of the particle (see Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). Radiation from near these orbits will give an important contribution to the self-force which cannot be neglected.

Let us conclude by examining the prospects for a practical matched expansions calculation on the Schwarzschild spacetime. Happily, the quasilocal expansion is now in excellent shape, as described in [\[48\]](#page-35-61); the challenge remains the distant past expansion. We have already mentioned that a quasinormal mode sum will not be sufficient; it must be augmented by a branch-cut integral. We have reason to be optimistic that this is a tractable calculation [\[51\]](#page-35-37). Perhaps more difficult will be the accurate numerical computation of QNM frequencies and radial functions. A further difficulty will be in integrating the mode sum over the worldline; for accuracy we wish to avoid numerical integration if possible. For the static particle, it was straightforward to integrate each term in the mode sum analytically (Sec. VIIC) with respect to time. For other trajectories (e.g. circular orbits) this may present more of a challenge. Finally, we note that a range of established results is available on Schwarzschild spacetime. For the static par-

# SELF-FORCE CALCULATIONS WITH MATCHED ... PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 124043 (2009)

ticle the self-force is zero [\[104](#page-36-24),[106](#page-36-23)[,118\]](#page-36-36); for radial trajectories and circular and eccentric orbits, accurate numerical results are available [\[26](#page-35-16)[,29,](#page-35-19)[105](#page-36-37),[119](#page-36-38)]. This will surely help the validation of the matched-expansions method. We hope to undertake such a study in the near future.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Leor Barack, Vitor Cardoso, Oscar Dias, and Brien Nolan for interesting and helpful discussions. Special thanks are due to Amos Ori for email correspondence about the fourfold singularity structure. M. C. is also grateful to the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the University of Mississippi for its hospitality during the preparation of this paper. M. C. was partially funded by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT)–Portugal through Project No. PTDC/ FIS/64175/2006. M. C., B. W., and S. D. are supported by the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering and Technology, funded by the National Development Plan.

## APPENDIX A: LARGE-Λ ASYMPTOTICS OF  ${}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{1}{2}-i\lambda,\frac{1}{2}-i\lambda;1-2i\lambda;-e^{-T})$ Þ

To determine the singularity structure of the Green function in Sec. VA we required the large- $\lambda$  asymptotic behavior of  ${}_{2}F_{1}(-\beta, -\beta; -2\beta; z)$  where  $\beta = -\frac{1}{2} + i\lambda$ ,<br>  $z = -e^{-T}$  The required asymptotics may be found by  $z = -e^{-T}$ . The required asymptotics may be found by applying the WKB method [120] to the hypergeometric applying the WKB method [\[120\]](#page-36-39) to the hypergeometric differential equation

$$
z(1-z)\frac{d^2u}{dz^2} - [2\beta(1-z) + z]\frac{du}{dz} - \beta^2 u = 0 \quad \text{(A1)}
$$

<span id="page-32-2"></span>which has solutions  $u(z) = {}_2F_1(-\beta, -\beta; -2\beta; z)$ .<br>Inserting the WKB ansatz Inserting the WKB ansatz

$$
u(z) \sim e^{\lambda S_0(z) + S_1(z) + \lambda^{-1} S_2(z) + \dots} \tag{A2}
$$

immediately yields a quadratic equation for  $S'_0$ ,

$$
z(1-z)(S'_0)^2 - 2i(1-z)S'_0 + 1 = 0.
$$
 (A3)

<span id="page-32-0"></span>In our case,  $z = -e^{-T}$ , we require the root which is finite<br>at  $z = 0$ . We impose  $S_0(0) = 0$  to get at  $z = 0$ . We impose  $S_0(0) = 0$  to get

$$
S_0' = \frac{i}{z} (1 - (1 - z)^{-1/2})
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow S_0(z) = \ln \left( \frac{(-z)}{4} \frac{\sqrt{1 - z} + 1}{\sqrt{1 - z} - 1} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= -T - 2\ln 2 + \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + e^{-T}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{-T}} - 1} \right).
$$
 (A4)

At next order, we obtain the equation

$$
2[i(1-z) - z(1-z)S_0']S_1' = z(1-z)S_0'' + (1-2z)S_0' + i.
$$
\n(A5)

It is straightforward to show that this reduces to

$$
S_1' = (4(1-z))^{-1} \Rightarrow S_1 = -\frac{1}{4}\ln(1+e^{-T}).\tag{A6}
$$

Inserting ([A4\)](#page-32-0) and ([A6\)](#page-32-1) into [\(A2\)](#page-32-2) leads to the quoted result, Eq. ([5.2](#page-13-2)). Of course, the asymptotic approximation may be further refined by taking the WKB method to higher orders.

<span id="page-32-1"></span> $\mathbf{S}$ 

## APPENDIX B: POISSON SUM ASYMPTOTICS

In this appendix we derive asymptotic approximations for the singular structure of the Green function using the Poisson sum formula ([5.18\)](#page-15-6). Our starting point is expres-sion ([5.29\)](#page-17-4) for the " $n = 0$ " fundamental modes, in which the Legendre polynomials  $P_l(\cos \gamma)$  have been replaced by angular waves  $Q_{\nu=1/2}^{(\pm)}(\cos\gamma)$  which are, in turn, approxiangunar waves  $\gtrsim \frac{\nu-1}{2}(\cos f)$  which are, in tarn, and the mated by Hankel functions  $H_0^{(\mp)}(\nu \gamma)$  using [\(5.28\)](#page-17-0).

Let us consider the  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  integrals arising from substituting [\(5.29\)](#page-17-4) into ([5.18\)](#page-15-6). These integrals are singular at  $\chi = 2\pi - \gamma$  and  $\chi = 2\pi + \gamma$ , respectively. First, let us consider *I*. (5.18) which can be written consider  $I_1$  ([5.18\)](#page-15-6) which can be written

$$
I_1 \approx -\mathcal{A}(\gamma)\text{Re}\int_0^\infty d\nu (-i\nu)^{1/2} e^{i(\chi - 2\pi)\nu} H_0^{(+)}(\nu \gamma)
$$
\n(B1)

with  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)$  as defined in Eq. [\(5.32\)](#page-17-5).

For  $\chi > 2\pi - \gamma$ , the integral may be computed by<br>tating the contour onto the *nositive* imaginary axis ( $\nu =$ rotating the contour onto the *positive* imaginary axis ( $\nu$  =  $iz$ ) to obtain

<span id="page-32-3"></span>
$$
I_1 \approx -\frac{2\mathcal{A}(\gamma)}{\pi} \int dz z^{1/2} e^{-(\chi - 2\pi)z} K_0(\gamma z)
$$
  

$$
\approx -\frac{\mathcal{A}(\gamma)\sqrt{\pi}}{2[\chi - (2\pi - \gamma)]^{3/2}} {}_2F_1\left(3/2, 1/2; 2; \frac{\chi - 2\pi - \gamma}{\chi - 2\pi + \gamma}\right).
$$
(B2)

Here we have applied the identity  $H_0^{(+)}(ix) = 2K_0(x)/(i\pi)$ ,<br>where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind, and the integral is found from Eq. 621(3) of Ref. [[66\]](#page-35-49).

For  $\chi < 2\pi - \gamma$ , the integral may be computed by rating the contour onto the *negative* imaginary axis ( $\nu =$ rotating the contour onto the *negative* imaginary axis ( $\nu$  =  $2J_0(\nu\gamma) - H_0^{(-)}$ <br>  $2K_0(\gamma)/(-i\pi)$ iz). First, we make the replacement  $H_0^{(+)}(\nu \gamma) =$  $\begin{array}{lll} \n\frac{d}{dx} & \text{and} & \text{note} & H_0^{(-)}(-ix) = 0 \text{,} & \text{to obtain} \n\end{array}$  $2K_0(x)/(-i\pi)$  to obtain

$$
I_1 \approx \frac{2\mathcal{A}(\gamma)}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int dz z^{1/2} e^{-(2\pi - \chi)z} [\pi I_0(\gamma z) + iK_0(\gamma z)].
$$
\n(B3)

Here  $I_0$  is a modified Bessel function of the first kind. Since we are taking the real part, the  $K_0$  term is eliminated, and we obtain

$$
I_1 \approx \frac{2\mathcal{A}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\pi}} (2\pi - \gamma - \chi)^{-1} (2\pi + \gamma - \chi)^{-1/2}
$$

$$
\times E\left(\frac{2\gamma}{2\pi + \gamma - \chi}\right) \tag{B4}
$$

where  $E$  is the elliptic integral of the second kind defined in, for example, Eq. 111(3) of Ref. [\[66\]](#page-35-49).

The  $I_2$  integral may be calculated in a similar manner. For  $\chi < 2\pi + \gamma$ , we rotate the contour onto the negative imaginary axis,

$$
I_2 \approx -\mathcal{A}(\gamma) \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty d\nu (-i\nu)^{1/2} H_0^{(-)}(\nu \gamma) e^{i(\chi - 2\pi)\nu}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{2\mathcal{A}(\gamma)}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} i \int_0^\infty dz z^{1/2} e^{-(2\pi - \chi)z} K_0(\gamma z) = 0.
$$
(B5)

For  $\chi > 2\pi + \gamma$ , we rotate the contour onto the positive imaginary axis after taking the complex conjugate

$$
I_2 \approx -\mathcal{A}(\gamma) \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty d\nu (i\nu)^{1/2} H_0^{(+)}(\nu \gamma) e^{i(\chi - 2\pi)\nu}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{2\mathcal{A}(\gamma)}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty dz z^{1/2} e^{-(\chi - \pi)z} (i\pi I_0(\gamma z) + K_0(\gamma z)).
$$
(B6)

The imaginary term does not contribute and hence  $I_2$  is equal and opposite to  $I_1$  defined by Eq. [\(B2\)](#page-32-3) when  $\chi$  >  $2\pi + \gamma$ .

## APPENDIX C: GREEN FUNCTION ON  $T \times \mathbb{S}^2$

To the best of our knowledge, the fourfold singularity structure for the Green function of Sec. V has not been shown before in the literature (with the exception of [[87](#page-36-7)]) within the theory of general relativity. We therefore wish to illustrate its derivation and manifestation in the simplest of spacetimes including  $\mathbb{S}^2$  topology:

$$
ds^2 = -dt^2 + d\Omega_2^2,\tag{C1}
$$

<span id="page-33-2"></span>where Synge's world function is simply given by  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ <br>( $-\Delta t^2 + \Delta \Delta^2$ ) in the case of a conformally coupled ( $\epsilon$  $\Delta t^2 + \Delta \phi^2$ ), in the case of a conformally coupled  $(\xi = 8)$  scalar field Let  $x = (t, \theta, \phi)$  denote any point in this 1/8) scalar field. Let  $x = (t, \theta, \phi)$  denote any point in this<br>spacetime. In this appendix  $\Lambda \equiv t - t'$  is the time interval spacetime. In this appendix,  $\Delta \equiv t - t'$  is the time interval<br>between any two spacetime points x and x' (rather than the between any two spacetime points x and  $x<sup>1</sup>$  (rather than the free parameter determining the matching time in the main body of the paper).

We introduce the Wightman function  $G_+(x, x')$  (it sat-<br>ies the homogeneous scalar wave equation—see e.g. isfies the homogeneous scalar wave equation—see, e.g., [\[89\]](#page-36-9)), from which the retarded Green function  $G_{\text{ret}}(x, x')$  is easily obtained: easily obtained:

$$
G_{+}(x, x') = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} \Phi_{lm}(x) \Phi_{lm}^{*}(x')
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} e^{-i(l+1/2)\Delta t} P_{l}(\cos \gamma),
$$
 (C2)

$$
G_{\text{ret}}(x, x') = -2\theta(\Delta t) \operatorname{Im}(G_+(x, x')), \qquad \text{(C3)}
$$

<span id="page-33-3"></span>where  $\Delta t \equiv t - t'$ ,  $\Phi_{lm}(x) = e^{-i(t+1/2)t} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) / \sqrt{(2l+1)}$ <br>are the Fourier-decomposed scalar-field modes on (C1) are the Fourier-decomposed scalar-field modes on [\(C1\)](#page-33-2) normalized with respect to the scalar product

$$
\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{lm}, \Phi_{l'm'}) \\ &= -i \int_{\Sigma} dV n^{\mu} [\Phi_{lm}(x) \partial_{\mu} \Phi_{l'm'}^{*}(x) - \Phi_{l'm'}^{*}(x) \partial_{\mu} \Phi_{lm}(x)] \\ &= \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}, \end{aligned} \tag{C4}
$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a Cauchy hypersurface with future-directed unit normal vector  $n^{\mu}$  and volume element dV.

We now apply exactly the same tricks as in Sec. V D in order to derive the fourfold singularity structure in the Green function from the large-l asymptotics of the field modes. We use the Poisson sum formula [\[85](#page-36-5)[,86\]](#page-36-6)

<span id="page-33-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} g(l+1/2) = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^s \int_0^{+\infty} d\nu g(\nu) e^{2\pi i s \nu} \quad (C5)
$$

to rewrite the mode sum in [\(C2](#page-33-3)) as

$$
4\pi G_{+}(x,x') = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^{s} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\nu e^{-i\nu\Delta t} P_{\nu-1/2}(\cos \gamma) e^{2\pi i s\nu}
$$

$$
= \sum_{N=1}^{+\infty} G_{+}^{N},
$$
(C6)

$$
G_{+}^{N}(x, x') \equiv \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\nu R_{N}(\cos \gamma) e^{-i\nu \Delta t}.
$$
 (C7)

<span id="page-33-4"></span>The Legendre functions  $P_\mu(\cos \gamma)$  and  $Q_\mu(\cos \gamma)$ , as well as  $R_N(\cos \gamma)$ , are standing waves. This is in contrast to  $Q_{\mu}^{(\pm)}(\cos \gamma)$ , which are traveling waves.<br>We can now use large-order uniform a

We can now use large-order uniform asymptotics (see [\[88](#page-36-8)[,121](#page-36-40)]) for the Legendre functions:

$$
P_{\nu-1/2}(\cos\gamma) \sim \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2} J_0(\nu\gamma), \qquad |\nu| \to \infty,
$$

"valid in a closed uniform interval containing  $\gamma = 0$ ," (C8)

<span id="page-33-5"></span>
$$
Q_{\nu-1/2}(\cos\gamma) \sim -\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2} Y_0(\nu\gamma), \qquad |\nu| \to \infty,
$$

"valid with respect to  $\gamma \in (0, \pi/2]$ ," (C9)

$$
Q_{\nu-1/2}^{(\pm)}(\cos\gamma) \sim \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2} H_0^{(\mp)}(\nu\gamma), \qquad |\nu| \to \infty,
$$
\n(C10)

<span id="page-33-0"></span>to leading order.

The contribution to the Wightman function from the  $N = 1$  orbit wave is immediately obtained by using the large-order asymptotics of the Legendre function  $P_v(\cos \gamma)$ only, which are ''valid in a closed uniform interval containing  $\gamma = 0$ "—this is what we will mean by a result being valid "near"  $\gamma = 0$ . Similarly, we can obtain a result valid near  $\gamma = \pi$  by using in [\(C2](#page-33-3)) the symmetry

 $P_l(\cos \gamma) = (-1)^l P_l(\cos(\pi - \gamma))$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We then obtain for  $N = 1$ tain for  $N = 1$ 

$$
4\pi G_+^{N=1}(x, x') \sim \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\sin \gamma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - \Delta t^2}}, \qquad \text{near } \gamma = 0,
$$
\n
$$
(C11)
$$

$$
4\pi G_{+}^{N=1}(x, x') \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi - \gamma}{\sin(\pi - \gamma)} \sqrt{(\pi - \gamma)^2 - (\Delta t - \pi)^2}}
$$

$$
= \sqrt{\frac{\pi - \gamma}{\sin(\pi - \gamma)} \frac{-i}{\sqrt{-(\Delta t - \gamma)}[\Delta t - (2\pi - \gamma)]}},
$$
  
near  $\gamma = \pi,$  (C12)

where, for convergence, a small imaginary part was given to  $\Delta t$  and/or  $\gamma$ , in agreement with the Feynman prescription  $\sigma \to \sigma + i\epsilon$ . The result for  $G^{N=1}_+(x, x')$  valid near  $\gamma = 0$  is singular at  $\Delta t = \pm \infty$  corresponding to  $\sigma = 0$  before a 0 is singular at  $\Delta t = \pm \gamma$ , corresponding to  $\sigma = 0$  before a caustic has been crossed. It is in accord with the Hadamard caustic has been crossed. It is in accord with the Hadamard form in 3-D [\[111](#page-36-29)] and the Van Vleck determinant [\(5.48\)](#page-19-5), before a caustic has been crossed (and so without the phase

factor). The result for  $G^{N=1}_+(x, x')$  valid near  $\gamma = \pi$  is<br>singular at  $\Delta t = \gamma$  corresponding to the case where it singular at  $\Delta t = \gamma$ , corresponding to the case where it<br>has not gone through any caustics and at  $\Delta t = 2\pi - \gamma$ has not gone through any caustics, and at  $\Delta t = 2\pi - \gamma$ ,<br>corresponding to the case where it has gone through one corresponding to the case where it has gone through one caustic; it has thus picked up a factor  $-i$ , as expected. Note that these zeros inside the squared root in the denominator that these zeros inside the squared root in the denominator are simple zeros along the null geodesic, except at the caustic point itself, where the two zeros coincide and so it becomes a double zero.

Similarly to  $N = 1$ , we can use ([C6](#page-33-4)) and the asymptotics [\(C10\)](#page-33-5) together with [[66](#page-35-49)]

$$
I_{\pm}(T,\gamma) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} d\nu e^{-i\nu(T-i\epsilon)} H_0^{(\pm)}(\nu \gamma)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - (T - i\epsilon)^2}} \left[ 1 \mp \frac{2i}{\pi} \ln(iX + \sqrt{1 - X^2}) \right],
$$
  

$$
T \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \epsilon > |\text{Im}\gamma|,
$$
 (C13)

where  $X = (T - i\epsilon)/\gamma$  (again, a small imaginary part<br>needs to be given for convergence in accordance with needs to be given for convergence, in accordance with the Feynman prescription), in order to obtain for  $N > 1$ 

<span id="page-34-4"></span>
$$
4\pi G_{+}^{N}(x, x') \sim \sqrt{\frac{\Xi}{\sin \Xi}} \frac{(-1)^{N/2}}{2} \left\{ \frac{[I_{+}(\Delta t + N\pi, \Xi) + I_{-}(\Delta t - N\pi, \Xi)]}{-i[I_{+}(\Delta t + (N-1)\pi, \Xi) + I_{-}(\Delta t - (N+1)\pi, \Xi)]} \right\} \quad \text{near } \gamma = 0
$$
\n(C14)

for N even, where  $\Xi = \gamma$  near  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\Xi = \pi - \gamma$  near  $\gamma = \pi$ . For N odd, merely (1) swap  $I_{\pm} \to I_{\mp}$  and (2) replace N<br>by  $N - 1$  if near  $\gamma = 0$  or N by  $N + 1$  if near  $\gamma = \pi$  in (C14). We can rewrite the equatio by N – 1 if near  $\gamma = 0$  or N by N + 1 if near  $\gamma = \pi$  in [\(C14\)](#page-34-4). We can rewrite the equation as  $\gamma^2 - (\Delta t \pm N\pi - i\epsilon)^2$ <br>-  $[(\Delta t - i\epsilon) - (\mp N\pi - \gamma) \cdot \cdot]$   $[(\Delta t - i\epsilon) - (\gamma \mp N\pi) \cdot \cdot]$  Note however that  $[L(T, \gamma)]$  is regular at  $X = \mp 1$  $-[(\Delta t - i\epsilon) - (\mp N\pi - \gamma)][(\Delta t - i\epsilon) - (\gamma \mp N\pi)].$  Note, however, that  $I_{\pm}(T, \gamma)$  is regular at  $X = \mp 1$ .<br>We then have that the singular behavior goes as

We then have that the singular behavior goes as

$$
4\pi G_{+}(x, x') \sim \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Xi}{\sin \Xi}} \begin{cases} +I_{+}(\Delta t, \gamma) & 0 < \Delta t < \pi \quad \gamma \sim 0 \\ -iI_{+}(\Delta t - \pi, \pi - \gamma) & \pi < \Delta t < 2\pi \quad \gamma \sim \pi \\ -I_{+}(\Delta t - 2\pi, \gamma) & 2\pi < \Delta t < 3\pi \quad \gamma \sim 0 \\ +iI_{+}(\Delta t - 3\pi, \pi - \gamma) & 3\pi < \Delta t < 4\pi \quad \gamma \sim \pi. \end{cases} \tag{C15}
$$

The fourfold singularity structure arises clearly: a phase of  $\pi/2$  is picked up every time the null geodesic joining x and x' goes through a caustic ( $\gamma = 0$  or  $\pi$ ).

The expression for  $G_{+}(x, x')$  is simplified by noting that, near  $\gamma = 0$ ,

$$
4\pi \left[G_{+}^{N}(x, x') + G_{+}^{N+1}(x, x')\right]
$$
\n
$$
\sim i^{N} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - (\Delta t - N\pi - i\epsilon)^{2}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^{2} - (\Delta t + N\pi - i\epsilon)^{2}}} \right], \qquad N \text{ even,}
$$
\n
$$
i^{N} \sqrt{\frac{\pi - \gamma}{\sin(\pi - \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\pi - \gamma)^{2} - (\Delta t - N\pi - i\epsilon)^{2}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\pi - \gamma)^{2} - (\Delta t + N\pi - i\epsilon)^{2}}} \right], \qquad N \text{ odd,} \qquad (C16)
$$

and similarly near  $\gamma = \pi$ . The Poisson sum formula has yielded a sum over geodesic paths, labeled by the index N, and the large-order asymptotics for the Legendre functions have yielded the correct singularity structure near the null geodesics, allowing for the correct phase change at each caustic.

- <span id="page-34-1"></span><span id="page-34-0"></span>[1] http://www.ligo.caltech.edu.
- [2] http://www.virgo.infn.it.
- <span id="page-34-3"></span><span id="page-34-2"></span>[3] http://geo600.aei.mpg.de.
- [4] http://lisa.nasa.gov.
- <span id="page-35-0"></span>[5] T. E. Bell, Nature (London) 452, 18 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-1"></span>[6] M. Vallisneri, Classical Quantum Gravity 26, 094024 (2009).
- <span id="page-35-2"></span>[7] S. A. Hughes, S. Drasco, E. E. Flanagan, and J. Franklin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 221101 (2005).
- <span id="page-35-3"></span>[8] J. A. Gonzalez, U. Sperhake, and B. Bruegman, arXiv:0811.3952.
- <span id="page-35-4"></span>[9] S. Detweiler and B. F. Whiting, Phys. Rev. D 67, 024025 (2003).
- <span id="page-35-5"></span>[10] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. A 167, 148 (1938).
- <span id="page-35-6"></span>[11] B. S. DeWitt and R. W. Brehme, Ann. Phys. (Paris) 9, 220 (1960).
- <span id="page-35-7"></span>[12] J. M. Hobbs, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **47**, 141 (1968).
- <span id="page-35-8"></span>[13] Y. Mino, M. Sasaki, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3457 (1997).
- <span id="page-35-9"></span>[14] T.C. Quinn and R.M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3381 (1997).
- <span id="page-35-10"></span>[15] T.C. Quinn, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 064029 (2000).
- <span id="page-35-11"></span>[16] E. Poisson, Living Rev. Relativity 7, 6 (2004).
- <span id="page-35-12"></span>[17] S. Detweiler, Classical Quantum Gravity 22, S681 (2005).
- <span id="page-35-13"></span>[18] C. R. Galley, B. L. Hu, and S.-Y. Lin, Phys. Rev. D 74, 024017 (2006).
- [19] A. I. Harte, Classical Quantum Gravity 25, 235020 (2008).
- [20] S. E. Gralla and R. M. Wald, Classical Quantum Gravity 25, 205009 (2008).
- [21] T. Futamase, P. A. Hogan, and Y. Itoh, Phys. Rev. D 78, 104014 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-14"></span>[22] E.E. Flanagan and T. Hinderer, Phys. Rev. D 78, 064028 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-15"></span>[23] L. Barack and A. Ori, Phys. Rev. D 61, 061502 (2000).
- [24] L. Barack, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 084021 (2001).
- [25] L. Barack, Y. Mino, H. Nakano, A. Ori, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 091101 (2002).
- <span id="page-35-16"></span>[26] L. Barack and N. Sago, Phys. Rev. D 75, 064021 (2007).
- <span id="page-35-17"></span>[27] S. Detweiler, E. Messaritaki, and B. F. Whiting, Phys. Rev. D 67, 104016 (2003).
- <span id="page-35-18"></span>[28] I. Vega and S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D 77, 084008 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-19"></span>[29] R. Haas, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 124011 (2007).
- <span id="page-35-20"></span>[30] L. Barack, D. A. Golbourn, and N. Sago, Phys. Rev. D 76, 124036 (2007).
- <span id="page-35-21"></span>[31] L. Barack, A. Ori, and N. Sago, Phys. Rev. D 78, 084021 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-22"></span>[32] N. Sago, L. Barack, and S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D 78, 124024 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-23"></span>[33] L. Blanchet, L.P. Grishchuk, and G. Schaefer, in Proceedings of the 11th Marcel Grossman Meeting on General Relativity, edited by H. Kleinert and R. T. Jantzen (World Scientific, Singapore, 2009), p. 2475.
- <span id="page-35-24"></span>[34] T. Damour and A. Nagar, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 081503 (2009).
- <span id="page-35-25"></span>[35] S. Detweiler, Phys. Rev. D 77, 124026 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-26"></span>[36] E. Poisson and A.G. Wiseman, Suggestion at the 1st Capra Ranch Meeting on Radiation Reaction, 1998.
- <span id="page-35-27"></span>[37] W. G. Anderson and A. G. Wiseman, Classical Quantum Gravity 22, S783 (2005).
- <span id="page-35-28"></span>[38] H. Nariai, Sci. Rep. Res. Inst. Tohoku Univ., Ser. A 34, 160 (1950).
- <span id="page-35-29"></span>[39] H. Nariai, Sci. Rep. Res. Inst. Tohoku Univ., Ser. A 35, 62 (1951).
- <span id="page-35-30"></span>[40] E. Rosenthal, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 124016 (2004).
- <span id="page-35-31"></span>[41] E. Rosenthal, Phys. Rev. D 69, 064035 (2004).
- <span id="page-35-32"></span>[42] F.G. Friedlander, *The Wave Equation on a Curved Space-*

time (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1975), ISBN 978-0521205672.

- <span id="page-35-33"></span>[43] J. Hadamard, Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations (Dover Publications, New York, 1923), ISBN 978-0486495491.
- <span id="page-35-34"></span>[44] P.R. Anderson and B.L. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 69, 064039 (2004).
- [45] P. R. Anderson and B. L. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 75, 129901(E) (2007).
- [46] P. R. Anderson and B. L. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 77, 089901(E) (2008).
- <span id="page-35-63"></span>[47] W. G. Anderson, E. E. Flanagan, and A. C. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D 71, 024036 (2005).
- <span id="page-35-61"></span>[48] A.C. Ottewill and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. D 77, 104002 (2008).
- <span id="page-35-35"></span>[49] A. C. Ottewill and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 024031 (2009).
- <span id="page-35-36"></span>[50] E.W. Leaver, Phys. Rev. D 34, 384 (1986).
- <span id="page-35-37"></span>[51] N. Andersson, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 468 (1997).
- <span id="page-35-38"></span>[52] G. Pöschl and E. Teller, Z. Phys. 83, 143 (1933).
- <span id="page-35-39"></span>[53] V. Ferrari and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D 30, 295 (1984).
- <span id="page-35-40"></span>[54] E. Berti and V. Cardoso, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 104020 (2006).
- <span id="page-35-41"></span>[55] V. Cardoso and J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D 67, 084020 (2003).
- <span id="page-35-42"></span>[56] S. Zerbini and L. Vanzo, Phys. Rev. D 70, 044030 (2004).
- <span id="page-35-43"></span>[57] M. Ortaggio, Phys. Rev. D 65, 084046 (2002).
- <span id="page-35-62"></span>[58] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, The Large-Scale Structure of Spacetime (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973).
- <span id="page-35-44"></span>[59] G. Gibbons and S. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2738 (1977).
- <span id="page-35-45"></span>[60] P. Ginsparg and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B222**, 245 (1983).
- <span id="page-35-46"></span>[61] O.J.C. Dias and J.P.S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D 68, 104010 (2003).
- [62] V. Cardoso, O. J. C. Dias, and J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D 70, 024002 (2004).
- [63] R. Bousso and S. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5659 (1995).
- <span id="page-35-47"></span>[64] R. Bousso and S. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 54, 6312 (1996).
- <span id="page-35-48"></span>[65] V. B. Bezerra and N. R. Khusnutdinov, Phys. Rev. D 79, 064012 (2009).
- <span id="page-35-49"></span>[66] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic Press, New York, 2007).
- <span id="page-35-50"></span>[67] J.B. Hartle and D.C. Wilkins, Commun. Math. Phys. 38, 47 (1974).
- <span id="page-35-51"></span>[68] H. R. Beyer, Commun. Math. Phys. **204**, 397 (1999).
- <span id="page-35-52"></span>[69] E.W. Leaver, Proc. R. Soc. A 402, 285 (1985).
- [70] H.-P. Nollert, Classical Quantum Gravity 16, R159 (1999).
- [71] K.D. Kokkotas and B.G. Schmidt, Living Rev. Relativity 2, 2 (1999).
- <span id="page-35-53"></span>[72] V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek, and V. T. Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D 79, 064016 (2009).
- <span id="page-35-54"></span>[73] S. Iyer, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3632 (1987).
- <span id="page-35-55"></span>[74] R. A. Konoplya, Phys. Rev. D 68, 024018 (2003).
- <span id="page-35-56"></span>[75] J.L. Synge, Relativity: The General Theory (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1960), ISBN 978-0720400663.
- <span id="page-35-57"></span>[76] B.S. DeWitt, Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965).
- <span id="page-35-58"></span>[77] M. Casals, S.R. Dolan, A.C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell, following Article, Phys. Rev. D 79, 124044 (2009).
- <span id="page-35-59"></span>[78] K. W. Howard, Phys. Rev. D 30, 2532 (1984).
- <span id="page-35-60"></span>[79] E. Winstanley and P. M. Young, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024008

(2008).

- <span id="page-36-0"></span>[80] J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, Acta Math. 128, 183 (1972).
- <span id="page-36-1"></span>[81] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators IV (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1985).
- <span id="page-36-2"></span>[82] B. Kay, M. Radzikowski, and R. Wald, Commun. Math. Phys. 183, 533 (1997).
- <span id="page-36-3"></span>[83] Y. Décanini, A. Folacci, and B. Jensen, Phys. Rev. D 67, 124017 (2003).
- <span id="page-36-4"></span>[84] G. Watson, Proc. R. Soc. A 95, 83 (1918).
- <span id="page-36-5"></span>[85] P. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
- <span id="page-36-6"></span>[86] K. Aki and P.G. Richards, Quantitative Seismology (University Science Books, Sausalito, California, 2002).
- <span id="page-36-7"></span>[87] A. Ori, 2008. The fourfold structure of the singular part of the Green function beyond the caustics was found by Ori some time ago. He also conducted measurements in an analog acoustic system, which seem to verify his theoretical prediction. Private communication (2008) and report (2009) available at http://physics.technion.ac.il/~amos/ acoustic.pdf.
- <span id="page-36-8"></span>[88] F. W. J. Olver, Asymptotics and Special Functions (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- <span id="page-36-9"></span>[89] N. Birrell and P. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1984).
- <span id="page-36-10"></span>[90] Y. Décanini and A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. D 78, 044025 (2008).
- <span id="page-36-11"></span>[91] J. H. Van Vleck, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 14, 178 (1928).
- [92] C. Morette, Phys. Rev. **81**, 848 (1951).
- <span id="page-36-12"></span>[93] M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D 47, 2395 (1993).
- <span id="page-36-13"></span>[94] I. Avramidi, Heat Kernel and Quantum Gravity (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- <span id="page-36-14"></span>[95] M. Casals, S. R. Dolan, B. Nolan, A. C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell (unpublished).
- <span id="page-36-15"></span>[96] Y. A. Kravtsov, Sov. Phys. Acoust. **14**, 1 (1968).
- <span id="page-36-16"></span>[97] V. I. Arnold, Singularities of Caustics and Wave Fronts (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990).
- <span id="page-36-17"></span>[98] M. Berry and K. Mount, Rep. Prog. Phys. 35, 315 (1972).
- <span id="page-36-18"></span>[99] V. Maslov, Theory of Perturbations and Asymptotic Methods [in Russian] (Izdat. Moskov. Gos. Univ.,

Moscow, 1965 [French translation (Dunod, Paris, 1972]).

- <span id="page-36-19"></span>[100] V. Maslov, "The WKB Method in the Multidimensional Case;'' Appendix II of J. Heading, Introduction to the Phase Integral Method (WKB Method) (Wiley, New York, 1962), p. 177 [Russian translation: (Izd-vo Mir., Moscow, 1965)].
- <span id="page-36-20"></span>[101] H. Friedrich and J. M. Stewart, Proc. R. Soc. A 385, 345 (1983).
- <span id="page-36-21"></span>[102] J. Ehlers and E.T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 41, 3344 (2000).
- <span id="page-36-22"></span>[103] A. Smith and C. Will, Phys. Rev. D 22, 1276 (1980).
- <span id="page-36-24"></span>[104] A. G. Wiseman, Phys. Rev. D 61, 084014 (2000).
- <span id="page-36-37"></span>[105] P.R. Anderson, A. Eftekharzadeh, and B.L. Hu, Phys. Rev. D 73, 064023 (2006).
- <span id="page-36-23"></span>[106] D. Cho, A. Tsokaros, and A.G. Wiseman, Classical Quantum Gravity 24, 1035 (2007).
- <span id="page-36-25"></span>[107] E. Copson, Proc. R. Soc. A 118, 184 (1928).
- <span id="page-36-26"></span>[108] B. Linet, J. Phys. A 9, 1081 (1976).
- <span id="page-36-27"></span>[109] A. Zel'nikov and V. Frolov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 82, 321 (1982) [Sov. Phys. JETP 55, 191 (1982)].
- <span id="page-36-28"></span>[110] J. Hobbs, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **47**, 141 (1968).
- <span id="page-36-29"></span>[111] Y. Décanini and A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. D 73, 044027 (2006).
- <span id="page-36-30"></span>[112] B. Linet, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 37, 2145 (2005).
- <span id="page-36-31"></span>[113] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions Vol. I (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
- <span id="page-36-32"></span>[114] P. Candelas and B. Jensen, Phys. Rev. D 33, 1596 (1986).
- <span id="page-36-33"></span>[115] I.G. Moss and J.P. Norman, Classical Quantum Gravity 19, 2323 (2002).
- <span id="page-36-34"></span>[116] M. R. Brown, P. G. Grove, and A. C. Ottewill, Lett. Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 32, 78 (1981).
- <span id="page-36-35"></span>[117] O.N. Stavroudis, Optics of Rays, Wavefronts and Caustics (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
- <span id="page-36-36"></span>[118] L. M. Burko, Classical Quantum Gravity 17, 227 (2000).
- <span id="page-36-38"></span>[119] L. M. Burko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4529 (2000).
- <span id="page-36-39"></span>[120] C.M. Bender and S.A. Orszag, Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers (Springer, New York, 1999).
- <span id="page-36-40"></span>[121] D. Jones, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 24, 369 (2001).