Rare decays $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ and $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ in the topcolor-assisted technicolor model

Wei Liu, Chong-Xing Yue, and Hui-Di Yang

Department of Physics, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, China*

(Received 19 October 2008; revised manuscript received 18 January 2009; published 10 February 2009)

We examine the rare decays $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$ in the framework of the topcolor-assisted
the top independent of the top in the top indicate the top independent of the top independent of the top in technicolor (TC2) model. The contributions of the new particles predicted by this model to these rare decay processes are evaluated. We find that the values of their branching ratios are larger than the standard model predictions by one order of magnitude in wide range of the parameter space. The longitudinal polarization asymmetry of leptons in $B_s \to l^+l^-$ can approach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$. The forward-backward asym-
metry of leptons in $B \to Kl^+l^-$ is not large enough to be measured in future experiments. We also give metry of leptons in $B \to K l^+ l^-$ is not large enough to be measured in future experiments. We also give some discussions about the branching ratios and the asymmetry observables related to these rare decay processes in the littlest Higgs model with T-parity.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.79.034008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.034008) PACS numbers: 13.20.He

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of pure leptonic and semileptonic decays of B meson is one of the most important tasks of B physics both theoretically and experimentally. These rare B decays are sensitive to new physics (NP) and their signals are useful for testing the standard model (SM) [1]. So far, a lot of works have been concentrated on these decays. In the SM, there are no flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) processes at the tree level and the leading contributions to these decays come from the one-loop level. So these rare decays are rather sensitive to the contributions from the NP models beyond the SM. Studying of the observables of the asymmetries, such as the CP asymmetry [[2\]](#page-12-0), longitudinal polarization (LP) asymmetry A_{LP} [\[3\]](#page-12-0), and forwardbackward (FB) asymmetry A_{FB} [[4](#page-12-0)] etc, interests experiments in testing NP. Certainly, their detection requires excellent triggering and identification of leptons with low misidentification rates for hadrons. The precision measurement needs further studying.

The quark level transition $b \to s l^+ l^-$ is responsible for
th the purely leptonic decays $R \to l^+ l^-$ and the semiboth the purely leptonic decays $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and the semi-
leptonic decays $B \to Kl^+l^-$ ($l = e \mu \tau$). The decay $B \to$ leptonic decays $B \to Kl^+l^-(l = e, \mu, \tau)$. The decay $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ will be one of the most important rare R decays to $\mu^+ \mu^-$ will be one of the most important rare B decays to be studied at the upcoming large hadron collider (LHC), and so far the upper bound on its branching ratio is [\[5](#page-12-0)]

$$
Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) < 5.8 \times 10^{-8} (95\% \text{ C.L.}). \tag{1}
$$

The branching ratios of $B \to K l^+ l^-$ observed by BABAR collaboration and Belle collaboration are 16.71 collaboration and Belle collaboration are [[6,7\]](#page-12-0)

$$
Br(B \to Kl^+l^-) = (5.7^{+2.2}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-7}, \tag{2}
$$

which is close to the SM prediction [1[,8\]](#page-12-0). However, due to the errors in the determination of the hadronic form factors and the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element $|V_{ts}|$, there is about 20% uncertainty in SM prediction. The experimental measurement values of rare decay processes $B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $\tau^+\tau^-$ will be discussed later.
We also consider other observables of the purely

We also consider other observables of the purely leptonic and semileptonic decays for the B meson, which are sensitive to scalar/pesudoscalar new physics (SPNP) contributions to $b \rightarrow s$ transitions. They are forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB} of leptons in $B \to K l^+ l^-$ and longitudinal
polarization asymmetry A_{FD} of leptons in $B \to l^+ l^-$. The polarization asymmetry A_{LP} of leptons in $B_s \to l^+l^-$. The observable A_{LP} was introduced in Ref. [3], though the observable A_{LP} was introduced in Ref. [[3\]](#page-12-0), though the corresponding analysis in the context of $K \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ had been carried out earlier [\[9](#page-12-0)]. The average A_{FB} in the rare decay processes $B \to K l^+ l^-$ has been measured by $BABA$ collaboration as [6] BABAR collaboration as [\[6\]](#page-12-0)

$$
\langle A_{\rm FB} \rangle = 0.15^{+0.21}_{-0.23} \pm 0.08. \tag{3}
$$

This measured value is close to zero and has a high experimental error. As the values of A_{LP} and A_{FB} predicted in the SM are nearly zero, any nonzero value of one of these asymmetries is a signal for NP. This is the main reason we focus on these observables.

In literature, there are numerous studies of the quark level decays $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$ both in the SM and in some NP
models Recently Refs [10, 11] have studied the sensitivity models. Recently, Refs. [10,11] have studied the sensitivity of these rare decay processes to the radius R in the universal extra dimension (UED) model. In the supersymmetry (SUSY) models, extensive works have been taken to the branching ratios of these rare decays, and some of these discussions are related to the asymmetry aspect [12,13]. These decays have also been discussed in the littlest Higgs model with T-parity (called the LHT model) [14], they have verified that the LHT model can enhance the branching ratios of these decays [\[15\]](#page-12-0). However, they have not *cxyue@lnnu.edu.cn discussed the asymmetry observables, we will give some

discussions on these observables in the framework of the LHT model.

In the framework of the topcolor-assisted technicolor $(TC2)$ model [\[16\]](#page-12-0), Ref. [[17](#page-12-0)] has calculated the branching ratios of quark level $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$ decays. They consider the contributions of the non-universal gauge boson Z' precontributions of the non-universal gauge boson Z' predicted by this model. Their numerical results show that the enhancement is quite large when the mass of Z^t is small. Reference [\[18\]](#page-12-0) has calculated the contributions coming from the pseudoscalar top-pions predicted by this model to the branching ratios of the decays $B_s \to l^+l^-$.
Reference [19] has evaluated the contributions from both Reference [\[19\]](#page-12-0) has evaluated the contributions from both the neutral and charged scalars predicted by this model, the branching ratios can be enhanced over the SM predictions by two orders of magnitude in some part of parameter space. So far, we have not seen the study of the asymmetry observables for these two decays in the framework of the TC2 model, and furthermore the former discussions on the branching ratios have not considered the contributions induced by all the particles predicted by this model.

In this paper, we consider the contributions coming from all of the new particles predicted by the TC2 model to the branching ratios and asymmetries related to the rare decay processes $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$. Compared with the predictions in the SM our results show that the contributions to the the SM, our results show that the contributions to the branching ratios and the asymmetries come from two aspects. First, the Wilson coefficients of these processes receive additional contributions from the nonuniversal gauge boson Z^t and charged top-pions. Second, the neutral top-pion and top-Higgs can give contributions through newly introduced scalar/pesudoscalar operators. For comparison, we also give our results in the LHT model, considering different parametrization scenarios.

This paper is arranged as follows. In the following section, we will summarize some elementary features of the TC2 model. In Sec. III we present our calculation on the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$. The decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$ will be studied in the Sec. IV In Sec. V we give $Kl^{+}l^{-}$ will be studied in the Sec. IV. In Sec. V we give simple discussions on the above questions in the LHT model. Conclusions are given in Sec. VI.

II. THE TC2 MODEL

The TC2 model [[16](#page-12-0)] is one kind of the phenomenological viable models, which has all essential features of the topcolor scenario. The TC2 model generates the large quark mass through the formation of a dynamical $t\bar{t}$ condensation and provides possible dynamical mechanism for electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). The physical top-pions $(\pi_t^{0,\pm})$, the nonuniversal gauge boson (Z') , and the top-Higgs (h_t^0) are predicted. The presence of the physical top-pions $\pi_t^{0,\pm}$ in the low energy spectrum is an inevitable feature of the topcolor scenario, regardless of the dynamics responsible for EWSB and other quark mass. The flavor-diagonal (FD) couplings of top-pions to fermions can be written as [[16](#page-12-0),[20](#page-12-0)]:

$$
\frac{m_t^*}{\sqrt{2}F_t} \frac{\sqrt{\nu_w^2 - F_t^2}}{\nu_w} \left[i\bar{t}\gamma^5 t\pi_t^0 + \sqrt{2}\bar{t}_R b_L \pi_t^+ + \sqrt{2}\bar{b}_L t_R \pi_t^- \right] \n+ \frac{m_b^*}{\sqrt{2}F_t} \left[i\bar{b}\gamma^5 b\pi_t^0 + \sqrt{2}\bar{t}_L b_R \pi_t^+ + \sqrt{2}\bar{b}_R t_L \pi_t^- \right] \n+ \frac{m_l}{\nu} \bar{l}\gamma^5 l\pi_t^0,
$$
\n(4)

where $m_t^* = m_t(1 - \varepsilon)$, $v_w = v/\sqrt{2} = 174 \text{ GeV}$, $F_t \approx$
50 GeV is the top-pion decay constant. The FTC interac-50 GeV is the top-pion decay constant. The ETC interactions give rise to the masses of the ordinary fermions including a very small portion of the top quark mass, namely ϵm_t with a model dependent parameter $\epsilon \ll 1$, and $m_b^* = m_b - 0.1 \varepsilon m_t$ [[21](#page-12-0)]. The factor $\frac{\sqrt{v_w^2 - F_t^2}}{v_w}$ reflects mixing effect between top-pions and the Goldstone bosons.

For the TC2 model, the underlying interactions, topcolor interactions, are nonuniversal and therefore do not posses Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism [[22](#page-12-0)]. One of the most interesting features of $\pi_t^{0,\pm}$ is that they have large Yukawa couplings to the third-generation quarks and can induce the tree-level flavor changing (FC) couplings [\[23,24\]](#page-12-0). When one writes the nonuniversal interactions in the quark mass eigen-basis, it can induce the tree-level FC couplings. The FC couplings of top-pions to quarks can be written as [[17](#page-12-0),[23](#page-12-0)]:

$$
\frac{m_t}{\sqrt{2}F_t} \frac{\sqrt{v_w^2 - F_t^2}}{v_w} \left[iK_{UR}^{tc} K_{UL}^{tt} \bar{t}_L c_R \pi_t^0 \right. \\
\left. + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc^*} K_{DL}^{bb} \bar{c}_R b_L \pi_t^+ + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc} K_{DL}^{bb^*} \bar{b}_L c_R \pi_t^- \right. \\
\left. + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc^*} K_{DL}^{ss} \bar{t}_R s_L \pi_t^+ + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc} K_{DL}^{ss^*} \bar{s}_L t_R \pi_t^- \right], \quad (5)
$$

where $K_{UL(R)}$ and $K_{DL(R)}$ are rotation matrices that diagonalize the up-quark and down-quark mass matrices M_U and M_D , i.e., $K_{UL}^+ M_U K_{UR} = M_U^{dia}$ and $K_{DL}^+ M_D K_{DR} = M_D^{dia}$,
for which the CKM matrix is defined as $V = K^+ K_{tot}$. for which the CKM matrix is defined as $V = K_{UL}^{+} K_{DL}$.
To vield a realistic form of the CKM matrix V it has been To yield a realistic form of the CKM matrix V, it has been shown that the values of the coupling parameters can be taken as [[23](#page-12-0)]:

$$
K_{UL}^{tt} \approx K_{DL}^{bb} \approx K_{DL}^{ss} \approx 1, \qquad K_{UR}^{tc} \le \sqrt{2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2}. \tag{6}
$$

In the following calculation, we will take K_{UR}^{tc} = If the following calculation, we will take $K_{UR} - \sqrt{2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2}$ and take ε as in the range of 0.03–0.1 [[16\]](#page-12-0).
The *TC*2 model predicts the existence of the top-Higgs The TC2 model predicts the existence of the top-Higgs h_t^0 , which is a $t\bar{t}$ bound and analogous to the σ particle in low energy QCD. It has similar Feynman rules as the SM Higgs boson, so we do not list them.

Another significant feature of the TC2 model is the existence of nonuniversal gauge boson Z' , which may provide significant contributions to some FCNC processes because of its FC couplings to fermions. The FC $b - s$ coupling to Z' can be written as [\[25\]](#page-12-0):

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Z'}^{\text{FC}} = -\frac{g_1}{2} \cot \theta' Z'^\mu \left\{ \frac{1}{3} D_L^{bb} D_L^{bs*} \bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu b_L - \frac{2}{3} D_R^{bb} D_R^{bs*} \bar{s}_R \gamma_\mu b_R + \text{H.c.} \right\},\tag{7}
$$

 D_L , D_R are matrices which rotate the down-type left and right hand quarks from the quark field to mass eigen-basis. The FD couplings of $Z[']$ to fermions, which are relative to our calculation, can be written as [\[16,17,20,26\]](#page-12-0):

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Z'}^{\text{FD}} = -\sqrt{4\pi K_1} \Biggl\{ Z'_{\mu} \Biggl[\frac{1}{2} \bar{\tau}_L \gamma^{\mu} \tau_L - \bar{\tau}_R \gamma^{\mu} \tau_R + \frac{1}{6} \bar{t}_L \gamma^{\mu} t_L + \frac{1}{6} \bar{b}_L \gamma^{\mu} b_L + \frac{2}{3} \bar{t}_R \gamma^{\mu} t_R - \frac{1}{3} \bar{b}_R \gamma^{\mu} b_R \Biggr] - \tan^2 \theta' Z'_{\mu} \Biggl[\frac{1}{6} \bar{s}_L \gamma^{\mu} s_L - \frac{1}{3} \bar{s}_R \gamma^{\mu} s_R - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mu}_L \gamma^{\mu} \mu_L - \bar{\mu}_R \gamma^{\mu} \mu_R - \frac{1}{2} \bar{e}_L \gamma^{\mu} e_L - \bar{e}_R \gamma^{\mu} e_R \Biggr] \Biggr\}, \tag{8}
$$

where K_1 is the coupling constant and θ' is the mixing angle with $\tan\theta' = \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{4\pi K_1}}$. g_1 is the ordinary hypercharge gauge coupling constant.

In the following sections, we will use the above formulas to calculate the contributions of the TC2 model to the rare decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$.

III. THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE TC2 MODEL TO THE RARE DECAY PROCESSES $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$

The $TC2$ model can give contributions to rare B decays two different ways, either through the new contributions to the Wilson coefficients or through the new scalar or pseudoscalar operators. The most general model independent form of the effective Hamilton for the decays $B_s \to l^+l^-$
including the contributions of NP has the form: including the contributions of NP has the form:

$$
H(B_s \to l^+l^-) = H_0 + H_1 \tag{9}
$$

with

$$
H_0 = \frac{\alpha G_F}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} (V_{ts}^* V_{tb}) \{R_A(\bar{s}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b)(\bar{l}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 l) \}, \qquad (10)
$$

$$
H_1 = \frac{\alpha G_F}{\sqrt{2}\pi} (V_{tb} V_{ts}^*) \{ R_S(\bar{s} P_R b)(\bar{l} l) + R_P(\bar{s} P_R b)(\bar{l} \gamma_5 l) \}.
$$
\n(11)

Where H_0 represents the SM operators and H_1 represents the SPNP operators. Here $P_{L,R} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$, R_S , R_P , and R_A denote the strengths of the scalar, pseudoscalar, and axial vector operators, respectively [[27](#page-12-0)]. In our analysis we assume that there are no additional CP phases apart from the single CKM phase, thus R_S and R_P are real. In the SM, the scalar and pseudoscalar couplings R_S and R_P receive contributions from the penguin diagrams with physical and unphysical neutral scalar exchange and are highly suppressed to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$. The coupling constant of the axial vector operator R_A can be expressed as R_A = $Y^{SM}(x)/\sin^2\theta_W$, where $Y^{SM}(x)$ is the SM Inami-Lim function [\[28\]](#page-12-0), which has been listed in Appendix A. These coupling constants will receive contributions coming from the nonuniversal gauge boson Z^t and the scalars $\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0$.

A. The contributions of the nonuniversal gauge boson Z'

In the $TC2$ model, the nonuniversal gauge boson $Z¹$ can give corrections to the SM function $Y(x)$, which directly determine the coupling constant R_A . The relevant Feynman diagrams have been shown in Fig. 1. In these diagrams, the Goldstone boson ϕ is introduced by the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge, which can cancel the divergence in self-energy diagrams. Because the couplings of $Z'WW$, $Z'\phi\phi$ and $Z'W\phi$ do not exist in the TC2 model, the diagrams that including the above couplings are not present. The small interference effects between Z' and Z are not considered here. In this situation, the function $Y^{TC}(x_t)$ for $l = e, \mu$ is obtained as follows:

$$
Y^{TC}(x_t) = \frac{-\tan^2 \theta' M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} (C_{ab}(x_t) + C_c(x_t) + C_d(x_t)), \quad (12)
$$

here $x_t = m_t^2/M_W^2$. The factor $-\tan^2{\theta'}$ does not exist for
the decay process $B \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ which can be seen from the decay process $B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-$ which can be seen from
Eq. (8) The formations of $C_s(x)$, $C_s(x)$ and $C_s(x)$ can Eq. (8). The formations of $C_{ab}(x_t)$, $C_c(x_t)$, and $C_d(x_t)$ can be easily obtained in the framework of the TC2 model using the method in Ref. [\[28](#page-12-0)]. The detailed expression forms of these functions are listed in the Appendix B.

The nonuniversal gauge boson Z' has FC coupling with fermions as shown in Eq. (7), the tree level Feynman diagram contributing to the decay processes $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$

FIG. 1. Penguin diagrams of Z' contributing to $B_s \to l^+l^-$ in the TC2 model the TC2 model.

WEI LIU, CHONG-XING YUE, AND HUI-DI YANG PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 034008 (2009)

FIG. 2. Tree-level diagram of Z' contributing to $B_s \to l^+l^-$ within the $TC2$ model within the TC2 model.

has been shown in Fig. 2. The contributions can be obtained by directly calculating Fig. 2 using the standard method in Ref. [[25](#page-12-0)], and the B_s width can be written as:

$$
\Gamma(B_s \to l^+l^-) = \frac{1}{4608\pi} f_{B_s}^2 m_{B_s} m_l^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \delta_{bs}^2
$$
\n
$$
\times \cot^2 \theta' X^2 (\theta') \left(\frac{g_1}{M_{Z'}}\right)^4, \tag{13}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{bs} = D_L^{bb} D_L^{bs*} + 2D_R^{bb} D_R^{bs*}.
$$
 (14)

 $X(\theta') = \cot \theta'$ for $l = \tau$, and $X(\theta') = \tan \theta'$ for $l = e$ and u , f_a is the decay constant of R meson μ . f_{B_s} is the decay constant of B_s meson.

B. The contributions of the scalars $(\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0)$

The scalars predicted by the TC2 model give contributions to the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ through correc-
tions to the coupling constants in Eq. (10) and (11). The tions to the coupling constants in Eq. ([10](#page-2-0)) and ([11\)](#page-2-0). The relevant Feynman diagrams are displayed in Fig. 3, in which (a) shows the contributions of neutral top-Higgs h_t^0 and top-pion π_t^0 to the couplings R_s and R_p , respectively; (b), (c), and (d) show the contributions of the charged toppions π_t^{\pm} to the coupling R_A . The expression of the coefficient R_S can be written as:

$$
R_{S} = \frac{m_{b}^{*}m_{l}\nu\sqrt{\nu_{w}^{2} - F_{\pi}^{2}}}{2\sqrt{2}m_{h_{l}^{0}}^{2}F_{\pi}\nu_{w}sin^{2}\theta_{w}}C(x_{t}) + \frac{m_{b}^{*2}m_{l}m_{l}M_{W}^{2}V_{ls}\sqrt{\nu_{w}^{2} - F_{\pi}^{2}}}{4\sqrt{2}m_{h_{l}^{0}}^{2}F_{\pi}^{2}\nu_{w}g_{2}^{4}}C(x_{s}).
$$
 (15)

Here $x_s = m_t^{*2}/M_S^2$, M_S is the mass of the top-pions. $C(x_t)$ is the Inami-I im function in the SM [28]. Since the neutral is the Inami-Lim function in the SM [\[28\]](#page-12-0). Since the neutral top-Higgs coupling with fermions is different from that of neutral top-pion by only a factor of γ_5 , the expression of R_P is same as that of R_S except only for the masses of the scalar particles. In our numerical estimation, we will take $m_{\pi_i^0} = m_{h_i^0} = M_S$. In this case, $R_P = R_S$.

FIG. 3. Scalar particles contributing to $B_s \to l^+l^-$ in the $TC2$ model model.

The charged top-pions π^{\pm} give contributions to the SM function $Y(x)$ via the diagrams (b), (c) and (d) in Fig. 3, the expression of the function $Y^{TC}(x_s)$ can be written as:

$$
Y^{TC}(x_s) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}G_F F_\pi^2} \left[-\frac{x_s^3}{8(1-x_s)} - \frac{x_s^3}{8(1-x_s)^2} \ln x_s \right].
$$
\n(16)

C. Numerical results

The branching ratios of the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$
in be written as [3]. can be written as [\[3\]](#page-12-0):

$$
Br(B_s \to l^+l^-) = a_s \left[2m_l R_A - \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_P \right]^2 + \left(1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2} \right) \left[\frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_S \right]^2 \right], \quad (17)
$$

where

$$
a_s = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} |V_{ts}^* V_{tb}|^2 \tau_{B_s} f_{B_s}^2 m_{B_s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2}}.
$$
 (18)

Here τ_{B_s} is the lifetime of B_s .

The longitudinal polarization asymmetry of the final leptons in $B_s \to l^+l^-$ is defined as follows [[3](#page-12-0)]:

$$
A_{\text{LP}}^{\pm} = \frac{\left[\Gamma(s_{l^-}, s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(\mp s_{l^-}, \pm s_{l^+})\right] - \left[\Gamma(\pm s_{l^-}, \mp s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(-s_{l^-}, -s_{l^+})\right]}{\left[\Gamma(s_{l^-}, s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(\mp s_{l^-}, \pm s_{l^+})\right] + \left[\Gamma(\pm s_{l^-}, \mp s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(-s_{l^-}, -s_{l^+})\right]},\tag{19}
$$

 $s_{l^{\pm}}$ are defined into one direction in dilepton rest frame as $(0, \pm \frac{p}{|p_{-}|})$. For only one direction, there are no differences between the final leptons, thus there is $A_{LP}^+ = A_{LP}^- = A_{LP}$. Then the A_{LP} can be

$$
A_{\text{LP}}(B_s \to l^+l^-) = \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \text{Re}[\frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_s (2m_lR_A - \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_P)]}{|2m_lR_A - \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_P|^2 + (1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2})|\frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_S|^2}.
$$
(20)

 $A_{\text{LP}}^{\text{SM}}(B_s \to l^+l^-) \approx 0$ because $R_s \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ in the SM.
Before giving numerical results, we need to specify the

Before giving numerical results, we need to specify the relevant SM parameters. These parameters have mainly been shown in Table I. We take the coupling constant K_1 , the model dependent parameter ε , the mass of nonuniversal gauge boson $M_{Z'}$ and the mass of scalars M_S as free parameters in our numerical estimation. The value of M_S remains subject to large uncertainty [[20](#page-12-0)]. However, it has been shown that its value is generally allowed to be in the range of a few hundred GeV depending on the models [[31\]](#page-12-0). In our numerical estimation, we will assume that M_S is in the range of $200 \text{ GeV} \sim 500 \text{ GeV}$. The lower bounds on M_{Z} can be obtained from dijet and dilepton production in the Tevatron experiments $[32]$ $[32]$ $[32]$ or $B\bar{B}$ mixing $[33]$. However, these bounds are significantly weaker than those from the precision electroweak data. Reference [\[34](#page-12-0)] has

TABLE I. Numerical inputs used in our analysis. Unless explicitly specified, they are taken from the Particle Data Group [\[29\]](#page-12-0).

$G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5}$ GeV ⁻²	
	$m_{B_1} = 5.366 \text{ GeV}$
$\alpha = 7.297 \times 10^{-3}$	$m_B = 5.279 \text{ GeV}$
$\tau_{B_s} = (1.437^{+0.031}_{-0.030}) \times 10^{-12} s$ $V_{th} = 1.0$	
$\tau_{B_d} = 1.53 \times 10^{-12} s$	$V_{ts} = (40.6 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-3}$
$m_{\mu} = 0.105 \text{ GeV}$	$f_{B_s} = (0.259 \pm 0.027)$ GeV [30]
$M_W = 80.425(38)$ GeV	$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23120(15)$

shown that, to fit the precision electroweak data, the $Z¹$ mass M_{Z} must be larger than 1 TeV. In our numerical estimation, we will assume that the values of the free parameters ε , K_1 and $M_{Z'}$ are in the range of 0.03 \sim 0.1, $0 \sim 1$ and 1000 GeV \sim 2000 GeV, respectively.

First we give our numerical results of the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ induced by the nonuniversal gauge bo-
son Z' . The branching ratios of $B \to l^+l^-$ are plotted in son Z'. The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ are plotted in
Fig. 4 as function of the mass parameter M_{α} for $K_s = 0.4$ Fig. 4 as function of the mass parameter M_{Z} for $K_1 = 0.4$ and 0.8, in which we have multiplied the factors $10⁷$ and 10^3 to the values of Br($B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-$) and Br($B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$), respectively. From these figures one can see that the values of $Br(B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-)$ are sensitive to the mass of Z', they
increase as the mass parameter M_{τ} decreasing For $l = e$ increase as the mass parameter M_{Z} decreasing. For $l = e$, μ , the values of their branching ratios are not so sensitive to the parameter $M_{Z'}$. Because the contributions of Z' to $Br(B_s \rightarrow e⁺e⁻)$ and $Br(B_s \rightarrow \mu⁺\mu⁻)$ are small relative to the SM contributions. The values of the corresponding branching ratios are both below $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$ which are not easy to be observed in current collider experiments. The contributions of Z' to the branching ratio of the decay $B_s \rightarrow$ $\tau^+\tau^-$ are large, since the nonuniversal gauge boson Z' has large couplings to the third generation fermion with respect to the first two generations, it can make the branching ratio value reach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ with reasonable values of the free parameters.

The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ contributed by the
plays $(\pi^{0,\pm}$ and $b^0)$ are plotted in Fig. 5 as function of the scalars ($\pi_t^{0,\pm}$ and h_t^0) are plotted in Fig. [5](#page-5-0) as function of the

FIG. 4. The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as function of the parameter $M_{Z'}$ for $K_1 = 0.4$ (a) and $K_1 = 0.8$ (b).

FIG. 5. The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as function of the parameter M_s for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

mass parameter M_S for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and 0.08, in which we have multiplied the factors 10^7 and 10^2 to the values of $Br(B_s \to e^+e^-)$ and $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$, respectively. It is obvious that the values of the branching ratios for these decays increase as the parameter M_S decreasing. Furthermore, the enhancement to the branching ratio of the decay process $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ is larger than that of the Z' contributions by an order of magnitude.

The value of $Br(B_s \rightarrow e⁺e⁻)$ is smaller than that of $Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ by five orders of magnitude, which is because it is suppressed by m_e^2/m_μ^2 with respect to μ channel. The branching ratio for $\tau^+\tau^-$ mode is enhanced by a factor of 10^2 to μ channel, its value can reach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ by our calculation. However, the $\tau^+\tau^-$ channel is still not easy to be observed under present experimental precision, while the current experimental upper limit for $Br(B_s \rightarrow$ $\tau^+\tau^-$) from the BABAR collaboration is 4.1×10^{-3} at 90% CT [35]. So the experimental searches for $B \rightarrow$ 90% C.L. [[35](#page-12-0)]. So the experimental searches for $B_s \rightarrow$ l^+l^- have focused on the μ channel, and we only discuss this channel. Comparing with the SM prediction $Br(B_s \rightarrow$ $\mu^+ \mu^-$ = 3.86 ± 0.15 × 10⁻⁹ [1], the contributions of
the new scalars predicted by the *TC*2 model can enhance the new scalars predicted by the TC2 model can enhance this value by one order of magnitude, so our results are more approach to the experimental data given by Eq. ([1\)](#page-0-0).

FIG. 6. The longitudinal polarization asymmetry in $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as function of the parameter M_s for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

Obviously, the nonuniversal gauge boson Z' has no contributions to the SPNP operators, so it was not considered in this subsection. The longitudinal polarization asymmetry A_{LP} contributed by the new scalars predicted by the $TC2$ model as function of the parameter M_S are plotted in Fig. [6.](#page-5-0) From these figures one can see that the A_{LP} is sensitive to the mass of the scalars, especially for $l = \mu$, τ , however it is less sensitive to the parameter ε .
The values of the asymmetry A_{L} can reach nearly 4% for The values of the asymmetry A_{LP} can reach nearly 4% for $l = \mu$, τ when the mass of the scalars get to 200 GeV.

IV. THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE TC2 MODEL TO THE RARE DECAY PROCESSES $B \to K l^+ l^-$

The effective Hamilton for the decay $B \to K l^+ l^-$ is
nilar to that of $B \to l^+ l^-$ as shown in Eq. (9) which similar to that of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as shown in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-0), which
is constituted by two parts. The SPNP part is same as the is constituted by two parts. The SPNP part is same as the expression shown in Eq. (11) (11) (11) . In the framework of the $TC2$ model, The H_0 part can be written as [\[27\]](#page-12-0):

$$
H_0 = \frac{\alpha G_F}{\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \{ C_9^{\text{eff}}(\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu l + C_{10} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 l - 2 \frac{C_7^{\text{eff}}}{q^2} m_b (\bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu P_R b) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu l \}.
$$
 (21)

Here q_{μ} is the sum of 4-momenta of l^{+} and l^{-} . The Wilson coefficients C_7^{eff} , C_9^{eff} and C_{10} contain two parts of contributions from the SM and the TC2 model.

Similar to the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$, the nonun-
resal gauge boson Z' give contributions to the Wilson iversal gauge boson Z' give contributions to the Wilson coefficients C_9^{eff} and C_{10} , the relevant Feynman diagrams are same as Fig. [1](#page-2-0) and the relevant functions $Y^{TC}(x_t)$ and $Z^{TC}(x_t)$ have same expressions as shown in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-0).

The charged top-pions π_t^{\pm} can give contributions to the Wilson coefficients C_7^{eff} and C_9^{eff} . The relevant Feynman diagrams are similar to Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) However, these penguin diagrams are induced by γ penguins, gluon penguins, and chromomagnetic penguins. The coefficients C_7^{eff} and C_9^{eff} can be expressed in terms of the corresponding functions $D_1(x_s)$, $E_1(x_s)$, and $E'_1(x_s)$, which are added to the corresponding SM functions $D_2(x) E_2(x)$ and $E'(x)$ [28] corresponding SM functions $D_0(x_t)$, $E_0(x_t)$ and $E'_0(x_t)$ [[28\]](#page-12-0).
The detailed expression forms of the these functions are The detailed expression forms of the these functions are [\[36\]](#page-12-0):

$$
D_1(x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}G_F F_\pi} \left(\frac{47 - 79x + 38x^2}{108(1 - x)^3} + \frac{3 - 6x^2 + 4x^3}{18(1 - x)^4} \ln(x)\right),\tag{22}
$$

$$
E_1(x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}G_F F_\pi} \left(\frac{7 - 29x + 16x^2}{36(1 - x)^3} - \frac{3x^2 - 2x^3}{6(1 - x)^4} \ln(x)\right),\tag{23}
$$

$$
E_1'(x) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}G_F F_\pi} \left(\frac{5 - 19x + 20x^2}{6(1 - x)^3} - \frac{x^2 - 2x^3}{(1 - x)^4} \ln(x) \right). \tag{24}
$$

We can obtain the corrected Wilson coefficients C_7^{eff} , C_9^{eff} and C_{10} with these corrected functions using the relevant expressions of these coefficients in Refs. [10[,36](#page-12-0)], which are listed in Appendix C. The neutral top-pion π_t^0 and top-Higgs h_t^0 can also give contributions to these decay processes through the SPNP operators, and the expression forms of $R_S(R_P)$ are same as those shown in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-0).

The branching ratios $Br(B \to Kl^+l^-)$ $(l = e, \mu \text{ and } \tau)$
ptributed by the gauge boson Z' are plotted in Fig. 7 as a contributed by the gauge boson Z' are plotted in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) as a function of the mass parameter M_{Z} for two values of K_1 , in which we have multiplied the factor 10^{-1} and 10^{-2} to the branching ratios of decays $B \to K \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \to K \tau^+ \tau^-$
respectively. From this figure one can see that the values of respectively. From this figure one can see that the values of the branching ratios for $l = e$, μ , and τ increase as the parameter $M_{\text{c}l}$ is decreasing. However, the branching raparameter M_{Z} is decreasing. However, the branching ratios for $l = e$ are not sensitive to the parameter M_{Z} as shown in these figures. The values of the branching ratios for $l = e$ and μ are not sensitive to the parameter K_1 . For $K_1 = 0.4$ and 1000 GeV $\leq M_{Z'} \leq 2000$ GeV, the values of $Br(B \to Ke^+e^-)$ and $Br(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-)$ are in the range of $6.1 \times 10^{-8} \sim 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ and $3.0 \times 10^{-7} \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ respectively 10^{-7} , respectively.

The branching ratios of the decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$
ptributed by the scalars $(\pi^{0,\pm} h^0)$ are plotted in Fig. 8.38 contributed by the scalars $(\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0)$ are plotted in Fig. [8](#page-7-0) as
function of the mass parameter M_c for $s = 0.04$ and 0.08 function of the mass parameter M_S for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and 0.08, in which we have multiplied the factors 10^{-1} to the branching ratio of $B \to K\mu^+\mu^-$. From these figures, one can see that the values of the branching ratios of these decay processes increase as the parameter M_S decreasing. All of their values are not sensitive to the parameter ε . The contributions of the scalars for $l = e$ and μ are comparable to those of the nonuniversal gauge boson Z' , the values of the branching ratios of $B \to Ke^+e^-$ and $B \to K\mu^+\mu^$ contributed by both the scalars and the nonuniversal gauge boson can reach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$, which give an explanation to the deviation between the experimental data and the SM predictions in Ref. [\[8](#page-12-0)]. While the scalar's contribution to the decay process $B \to K \tau^+ \tau^-$ is smaller than that of the nonuniversal gauge boson Z' by two order of magnitude nonuniversal gauge boson Z' by two order of magnitude and therefore can be neglected. When the Z' mass is in the range of 1000 GeV \sim 2000 GeV, the values of Br(B \rightarrow $K\tau^+\tau^-$ are in the range of $7.0 \times 10^{-6} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$. This result is 2 orders of magnitude larger than the e and u result is 2 orders of magnitude larger than the e and μ channel, which is because of the large coupling of Z' to the third-generation fermions.

The normalized forward-backward (FB) asymmetry can be defined as [[4](#page-12-0)]:

$$
A_{\rm FB}(z) = \frac{\int_0^1 d\cos\theta \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dz d\cos\theta} - \int_{-1}^0 d\cos\theta \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dz d\cos\theta}}{\int_0^1 d\cos\theta \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dz d\cos\theta} + \int_{-1}^0 d\cos\theta \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dz d\cos\theta}}.
$$
 (25)

FIG. 7. The branching ratios of $B \to K l^+ l^-$ as function of the parameter $M_{Z'}$ for $K_1 = 0.4$ (a) and $K_1 = 0.8$ (b).

After the integral calculation of FB asymmetry gives,

$$
\langle A_{\rm FB} \rangle = \frac{2\tau_B \Gamma_0 \hat{m}_l \beta_\mu^2 R_S \int dz a_1(z) \phi(1, k^2, z)}{\text{Br}(B \to K l^+ l^-)},\qquad(26)
$$

where τ_B is the lifetime of B meson and $Br(B \to K l^+ l^-)$ is
the total branching ratio of $B \to K l^+ l^-$ and Γ_0 is the total the total branching ratio of $B \to K l^+ l^-$ and Γ_0 is the total
width of the B meson, which can be written as: width of the B meson, which can be written as:

$$
\Gamma_0 = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{2^9 \pi^5} |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 m_B^5, \tag{27}
$$

Other relevant functions such as $\phi(1, k^2, z)$ are listed in Appendix C. The form factors f_+, f_0 , and f_T are defined in the relevant matrix elements as:

$$
\langle K(p')|\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}b|B(p)\rangle = (2p-q)_{\mu}f_{+}(z)
$$

$$
+\left(\frac{1-k^{2}}{z}\right)q_{\mu}[f_{0}(z)-f_{+}(z)],
$$
\n(29)

FIG. 8. The branching ratios of $B \to Kl^+l^-$ as function of the parameter M_s for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

FIG. 9. In the TC2 model, the forward-backward asymmetry in $B \to K l^+ l^-$ as function of M_s for the parameter $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b) $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

$$
\langle K(p')| \bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} b |B(p) \rangle = -[(2p - q)_{\mu} q^2 - (m_B^2 - m_K^2) q_{\mu}] \frac{f_T(z)}{m_B + m_K},
$$
\n(30)

$$
\langle K(p')| \bar{s}b |B(p) \rangle = \frac{m_B(1 - k^2)}{\hat{m}_b} f_0(z). \tag{31}
$$

Here, $k \equiv m_K/m_B$, $z \equiv q^2/m_B^2$, and $\hat{m}_b \equiv m_b/m_B$. The form factors f_a , and f_a can be calculated by using form factors f_+ , f_0 , and f_T can be calculated by using the light cone QCD approach. Their particular forms can be found in Ref. [[27](#page-12-0)]. In this paper, we assume $\hat{m}_b = 1$.
The production of the FR asymmetries are only sensitive

The production of the FB asymmetries are only sensitive to SPNP operators. From Eq. ([26](#page-7-0)), one can see that the nonuniversal gauge boson Z' has no contribution to the FB asymmetry, so we only discuss the contributions coming from the scalars $(\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0)$.
The FB asymmetry A_{FD} of

The FB asymmetry A_{FB} of leptons in the decay processes $B \to K l^+ l^-$ are plotted in Fig. 9 as function of the parameter M_c for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and 0.08 in which we have multiplied ter M_S for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and 0.08, in which we have multiplied the factors 10⁵ and 10 to the value of $A_{FB}(B \to Ke^+e^-)$ and $A_{FB}(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-)$ respectively. From this figure one can see that the value of A_{FB} is smaller than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ in most of the parameter spaces. Comparing its experimental measurement value, this value is not large enough to be observed in experiments. One can see that the contributions of the TC2 model to the FB asymmetry in these decay processes are smaller than those of the SUSY models. Considering the uncertainty in measurements, it is very difficult to detect the signals of the TC2 model through measuring the FB asymmetry about these decay processes.

V. THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE LHT MODEL TO THE RARE DECAY PROCESSES $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$

The LHT model [14] is based on an $SU(5)/SO(5)$ global symmetry breaking pattern. A subgroup $[SU(2) \times$
 $U(1)$, \times $[SU(2) \times U(1)]$, of the $SU(5)$ global symmetry $[U(1)]_1 \times [SU(2) \times U(1)]_2$ of the SU(5) global symmetry
is gauged, and at the scale f it is broken into the SM is gauged, and at the scale f it is broken into the SM electroweak symmetry $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. T-parity is an automorphism which exchanges the $\left[\frac{SU(2)}{SU(2)} \times \frac{U(1)}{U(1)}\right]$ and automorphism which exchanges the $[SU(2) \times U(1)]_1$ and $[SU(2) \times U(1)]_2$ gauge symmetries. The T-even combina- $[SU(2) \times U(1)]_2$ gauge symmetries. The T-even combina-
tions of the gauge fields are the SM electroweak gauge tions of the gauge fields are the SM electroweak gauge bosons W^a_μ and B_μ . The T-odd combinations are T-parity partners of the SM electroweak gauge bosons.

After taking into account EWSB, at the order of ν^2/f^2 , the masses of the T-odd set of the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge
hosons are given as: bosons are given as:

$$
M_{B_H} = \frac{g'f}{\sqrt{5}} \left[1 - \frac{5\nu^2}{8f^2} \right],
$$

\n
$$
M_{Z_H} \approx M_{W_H} = gf \left[1 - \frac{\nu^2}{8f^2} \right],
$$
\n(32)

where f is the scale parameter of the gauge symmetry breaking of the LHT model. g' is the SM $U(1)_Y$ gauge coupling constants. Because of the smallness of g' , the Todd gauge boson B_H is the lightest T-odd particle, which can be seen as an attractive dark matter candidate [[37](#page-12-0)]. To avoid severe constraints and simultaneously implement Tparity, it is necessary to double the SM fermion doublet spectrum [14[,38\]](#page-13-0). The T-even combination is associated with the $SU(2)_L$ doublet, while the T-odd combination is its T-parity partner. The masses of the T-odd fermions can be written in a unified manner as:

WEI LIU, CHONG-XING YUE, AND HUI-DI YANG PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 034008 (2009)

$$
M_{F_i} = \sqrt{2}k_i f,\tag{33}
$$

where k_i are the eigenvalues of the mass matrix k and their values are generally dependent on the fermion species i.

The mirror fermions (T-odd quarks and T-odd leptons) have new flavor violating interactions with the SM fermions mediated by the new gauge bosons (B_H, W_H^{\pm}) , or Z_H), which are parametrized by four CKM-like unitary mixing matrices, two for mirror quarks and two for mirror leptons [\[39,40\]](#page-13-0):

$$
V_{Hu}, \qquad V_{Hd}, \qquad V_{Hl}, \qquad V_{H\nu}.\tag{34}
$$

They satisfy:

$$
V_{Hu}^+ V_{Hd} = V_{CKM}, \qquad V_{H\nu}^+ V_{Hl} = V_{PMNS}.
$$
 (35)

Where the CKM matrix V_{CKM} is defined through flavor mixing in the down-type quark sector, while the PMNS matrix V_{PMNS} is defined through neutrino mixing.

The contributions of the LHT model to the rare decay processes $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$ are mainly coming from the corrections to the Wilson coefficients, which related to the SM tions to the Wilson coefficients, which related to the SM Inami-Lim functions [[28](#page-12-0)]. The branching ratios of the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ in the SM depend on a func-
tion Y_{ext} and the LHT effects enter through the modification Y_{SM} and the LHT effects enter through the modification of the function Y_{SM} [\[39](#page-13-0)]. With the LHT effects Y_{SM} is replaced by [[15](#page-12-0)]:

$$
Y_s = Y_{\rm SM} + \bar{Y}^{\rm even} + \frac{\bar{Y}_s^{\rm odd}}{\lambda_t^{(s)}},\tag{36}
$$

where \bar{Y}^{even} and \bar{Y}^{odd}_{s} represent the effects from T-even and T-odd particles, respectively. The branching ratios normalized to the SM predictions are then given by:

$$
\frac{\text{Br}(B_s \to l^+l^-)}{\text{Br}(B_s \to l^+l^-)_{\text{SM}}} = \left| \frac{Y_s}{Y_{\text{SM}}} \right|^2, \tag{37}
$$

which $Br(B_s \to l^+l^-)_{SM}$ are the branching ratios predicted
by the SM. Their particular numerical values of the branchby the SM. Their particular numerical values of the branching ratios for the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ in the LHT model are listed as follows: model are listed as follows:

$$
Br(Bs \to e+ e-) = (1.36 \pm 0.05) \times 10-13, \qquad (38)
$$

$$
Br(Bs \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.79 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}, \qquad (39)
$$

$$
Br(Bs \to \tau^+ \tau^-) = (1.23 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}.
$$
 (40)

The branching ratios of the decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$
the SM depend on the functions $Y_{\Omega k}$, $Z_{\Omega k}$ and $D^l(x)$ in the SM depend on the functions Y_{SM} , Z_{SM} and $D'_0(x_t)$
($D'(x)$) is same as in $B \to X \sim [15]$) the I HT effects enter $(D'_0(x_t))$ is same as in $B \to X_s \gamma$ [[15](#page-12-0)]), the LHT effects enter
through the modification of these functions. The modificathrough the modification of these functions. The modifications of the function Y_{SM} has been given above, and the modifications of the function Z_{SM} is given by [\[15,](#page-12-0)[39\]](#page-13-0):

$$
Z_s = Z_{\rm SM} + \bar{Z}^{\rm even} + \frac{\bar{Z}_s^{\rm odd}}{\lambda_t^{(s)}},\tag{41}
$$

where \bar{Z}^{even} and \bar{Z}_s^{odd} represent the effects coming from Teven and T-odd particles, respectively. Similar with Sec. IV, we can calculate the contributions of the LHT model to the decay processes $B \to K l^+ l^-$. With reasonable values of the free parameters in the framework of the LHT values of the free parameters in the framework of the LHT model, the maximum values of the branching ratios for the rare decays $B \to K l^+ l^-$ are:

$$
Br(B \to Ke^+e^-) = 9.66 \times 10^{-6}, \tag{42}
$$

$$
Br(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-) = 6.56 \times 10^{-6}, \tag{43}
$$

$$
Br(B \to K\tau^+\tau^-) = 2.99 \times 10^{-7}.
$$
 (44)

These numerical results are obtained by calculating the relative correction to the SM predictions in the framework of the LHT model, while the SM predictions exist the uncertainty coming from the next-to-leading logarithmic (NLO) contributions and the long-distance contributions, for which the $Br(B \to Kl^+l^-)$ are a little disparity away
from their respective experimental upper limits [41] from their respective experimental upper limits [[41\]](#page-13-0). However, there is no disagreement with experiment in some parameter ranges while the corrected effects is no more than 15%.

The contributions of the LHT model to the asymmetry observables A_{FB} and A_{LP} in the rare decay processes $b \rightarrow$ $s l^{+} l^{-}$ mainly come from the new neutral scalar particles. For the B_s meson, there is an unitarity relation of the V_{Hd} matrix [[39](#page-13-0)]:

$$
\xi_1^{(s)} + \xi_2^{(s)} + \xi_3^{(s)} = 0,\t(45)
$$

where $\xi_i^{(s)} = V_{Hd}^{*ib} V_{Hd}^{is}$. Considering this relation, the cal-
culations of the relevant Eevanan diagrams similar to culations of the relevant Feynman diagrams similar to Fig. [3](#page-3-0) equal to zero. Hence, in the framework of the LHT model, the total contributions induced by the neutral scalars are equal to zero. The contributions to the A_{FB} and A_{LP} is close to the predictions in the SM.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The SM is a very successful theory but it can only be an effective theory below some high energy scales. To completely avoid the problems arising from the elementary Higgs field in the SM various kinds of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking models have been proposed, among which the topcolor scenario is attractive because it can explain the large top quark mass and provide a possible EWSB mechanism. The TC2 model has all essential features of the topcolor scenario. It is expected that the possible signals of the TC2 model should be detected in the future high energy collider experiments.

In this paper we consider the contributions of the $TC2$ model to observables related to the decay processes $B_s \rightarrow$ l^+l^- and $B \to Kl^+l^-$. We find that the TC2 model can
enhance the branching ratios of the SM predictions for enhance the branching ratios of the SM predictions for these decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$. In wide ranges of the free parameter space it is possible to wide ranges of the free parameter space, it is possible to enhance the values of $Br(B_s \to l^+l^-)$ and $Br(B \to Kl^+l^-)$
by one order of magnitude. In the *TC*? model, the nonu by one order of magnitude. In the *TC*2 model, the nonuniversal gauge boson Z' gives main contributions to $Br(B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-)$, while the contributions of Z' to $Br(B_s \to e^+e^-)$ and $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ are comparable with those of e^+e^-) and Br($B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$) are comparable with those of the new scalars $(\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0)$. For the decay processes $B \to K e^+ e^-$ and $B \to K u^+ u^-$ the contributions of Z' are Ke^+e^- and $B \to K\mu^+\mu^-$, the contributions of Z' are comparable with those of the scalars. While the contributions of the *TC*2 model to $Br(B \to K\tau^+\tau^-)$ mainly come from *7'* from Z' .

The production of the asymmetries are only sensitive to SPNP operators, so there are no contributions of Z^t to the relevant observables. We further calculate the contributions of the new scalars predicted by the TC2 model to the asymmetry observables A_{FB} and A_{LP} of leptons in the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$. Our numeri-
cal results show that when the mass of the scalars gets to cal results show that, when the mass of the scalars gets to 200 GeV, the values of the asymmetry A_{LP} in the decay processes $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ can reach 4%. We
hope that the values of A_{12} for $l = \mu^- \tau$ can approach the hope that the values of A_{LP} for $l = \mu$, τ can approach the detectability threshold of the near future experiments detectability threshold of the near future experiments. However, the contributions of these new scalars to A_{FR} are around $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ in most of the parameter space, which are not large enough to be detected.

The LHT model is one of the attractive little Higgs models, which satisfies the electroweak precision data in most of the parameter space. This model can produce rich phenomenology at present and in future high energy experiments. New particles predicted by this model give contributions to the branching ratios of the rare decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$. Reference [\[15\]](#page-12-0)
has shown that comparing with their SM predictions the has shown that, comparing with their SM predictions, the branching ratios of the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$ can be enhanced by at most 50% and 15% $B \to K l^+ l^-$ can be enhanced by at most 50% and 15%,
respectively. For comparison, we give a brief description respectively. For comparison, we give a brief description and particular numerical results about these rare decays. In addition, we show that the neutral scalars predicted by this model can not give contributions to the asymmetry observables A_{FB} and A_{LP} .

In conclusion, the effects of the TC2 model on the branching ratios and asymmetry observables related to the rare decay processes $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$ can give positive contributions to the SM predictions. The numerical results tributions to the SM predictions. The numerical results show that the branching ratios for these decays are much close to the experimental data, such as $Br(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$. The value of $Br(B \to K\tau^+\tau^-)$ is larger than the SM pre-
diction by one order of magnitude, which is honed to be diction by one order of magnitude, which is hoped to be observed in the future high accuracy experiments, or the future experimental results may give constraints on the free parameters of the TC2 model. Hence, it is indicated that the possible signals of the TC2 model may be observed through the above decay processes in future experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 10675057, Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (SRFDP) (No. 200801650002), the Natural Science Foundation of the Liaoning Scientific Committee (No. 20082148), and Foundation of Liaoning Educational Committee (No. 2007T086).

APPENDIX A: RELEVANT FUNCTIONS IN THE SM

In this Appendix we list the functions in the SM that entered the present study of rare B decays.

$$
Y^{SM}(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{x-4}{x-1} + \frac{3x}{(x-1)^2} \log x \right],
$$
 (A1)

$$
Z^{SM}(x_t) = -\frac{1}{9} \log x_t + \frac{18x_t^4 - 163x_t^3 + 259x_t^2 - 108x_t}{144(x_t - 1)^3} + \frac{32x_t^4 - 38x_t^3 - 15x_t^2 + 18x_t}{72(x_t - 1)^4} \log x_t,
$$
 (A2)

$$
D_0(y) = -\frac{4}{9} \log y + \frac{-19y^3 + 25y^2}{36(y-1)^3} + \frac{y^2(5y^2 - 2y - 6)}{18(y-1)^4}
$$

× logy, (A3)

$$
E_0(y) = -\frac{2}{3}\log y + \frac{y^2(15 - 16y + 4y^2)}{6(y - 1)^4}\log y + \frac{y(18 - 11y - y^2)}{12(1 - y)^3},
$$
 (A4)

$$
D'_0(y) = -\frac{(3y^3 - 2y^2)}{2(y - 1)^4} \log y + \frac{(8y^3 + 5y^2 - 7y)}{12(y - 1)^3}, \quad (A5)
$$

$$
E'_0(y) = \frac{3y^2}{2(y-1)^4} \log y + \frac{(y^3 - 5y^2 - 2y)}{4(y-1)^3}.
$$
 (A6)

APPENDIX B: RELEVANT FUNCTIONS IN THE TC2 MODEL

In this Appendix we list the functions that entered the present study of rare B decays in the framework of the TC2 model.

$$
C_{ab}(x) = -\frac{2g^2c_w^2F_1(x)}{3g_2^2(\nu_d + a_d)},
$$
 (B1)

$$
C_c(x) = \frac{2f^2c_w^2}{g_2^2} \left(\frac{2F_2(x)}{3(v_u + a_u)} + \frac{F_3(x)}{6(v_u - a_u)} \right), \quad (B2)
$$

WEI LIU, CHONG-XING YUE, AND HUI-DI YANG PHYSICAL REVIEW D 79, 034008 (2009)

$$
C_d(x) = \frac{2f^2c_w^2}{g_2^2} \left(\frac{2F_4(x)}{3(v_u + a_u)} + \frac{F_5(x)}{6(v_u - a_u)} \right),
$$
 (B3)

$$
C(x) = \frac{F_1(x)}{-(0.5(Q-1)s_w^2 + 0.25)}.
$$
 (B4)

Here the variables are defined as: $g = \sqrt{4\pi K_1}$, $v_{u,d} =$
 $I_2 = 2Q$, s^2 , $s = \sin\theta$, $g_v = I_2$, where u_{nd} represent $I_3 - 2Q_{u,d} s_w^2$, $s_w = \sin \theta_w$, $a_{u,d} = I_3$, where u, d represent
the up and down-type quarks, respectively the up and down-type quarks, respectively.

$$
F_1(x) = -(0.5(Q - 1)s_w^2 + 0.25)(x^2 \ln(x)/(x - 1)^2 - x/(x - 1) - x(0.5(-0.5772 + \ln(4\pi)) - \ln(M_w^2)) + 0.75 - 0.5(x^2 \ln(x)/(x - 1)^2 - 1/(x - 1))))
$$
\n(B5)

$$
F_2(x) = (0.5Qs_w^2 - 0.25)(x^2 \ln(x)/(x - 1)^2 - 2x \ln(x)/(x - 1)^2 + x/(x - 1)),
$$
 (B6)

$$
F_3(x) = -Qs_w^2(x/(x-1) - x\ln(x)/(x-1)^2),
$$
 (B7)

$$
F_4(x) = 0.25(4s_w^2/3 - 1)(x^2 \ln(x)/(x - 1)^2 - x - x/(x - 1)),
$$
 (B8)

$$
F_5(x) = -0.25Qs_w^2x(-0.5772 + \ln(4\pi) - \ln(M_W^2)
$$

+ 1 - x\ln(x)/(x - 1)) - s_w^2/6(x² ln(x)/(x - 1)²
- x - x/(x - 1)). (B9)

APPENDIX C: RELEVANT EXPRESSIONS IN OUR **CALCULATION**

In this Appendix we list the functions that entered the present study of rare B decays and some expressions of the relevant coefficients.

$$
M(B \to K l^+ l^-) = \frac{\alpha G_F}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \Big[\langle K(p') | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu b | B(p) \rangle \{ C_9^{\text{eff}} \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_\mu v(p_-) + C_{10} \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 v(p_-) \} - 2 \frac{C_7^{\text{eff}}}{q^2} m_b \langle K(p') | \bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu b | B(p) \rangle \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_\mu v(p_-) + \langle K(p') | \bar{s} b | B(p) \rangle \{ R_S \bar{u}(p_+) v(p_-) + R_P \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_5 v(p_-) \} \Big], \tag{C1}
$$

$$
\frac{d^2\Gamma}{dz d\cos\theta} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{2^9 \pi^5} |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 m_B^5 \phi^{1/2} (1, k^2, z) \beta_\mu \left[(|A|^2 \beta_\mu^2 + |B|^2) z + \frac{1}{4} \phi (1, k^2, z) (|C|^2 + |D|^2) (1 - \beta_\mu^2 \cos^2 \theta) + 2\hat{m}_l (1 - k^2 + z) \text{Re}(BC^*) + 4\hat{m}_l^2 |C|^2 + 2\hat{m}_l \phi^{1/2} (1, k^2, z) \beta_\mu \text{Re}(AD^*) \cos \theta \right],
$$
\n(C2)

$$
A = \frac{1}{2}(1 - k^2)f_0(z)R_S,
$$

\n
$$
B = -\hat{m}_lC_{10}\Big\{f_+(z) - \frac{1 - k^2}{z}(f_0(z) - f_+(z))\Big\}
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2}(1 - k^2)f_0(z)R_P,
$$

\n
$$
C = C_{10}f_+(z),
$$

\n
$$
D = C_9^{\text{eff}}f_+(z) + 2C_7^{\text{eff}}\frac{f_T(z)}{1 + k},
$$

\n
$$
\phi(1, k^2, z) = 1 + k^4 + z^2 - 2(k^2 + k^2z + z),
$$

\n
$$
\beta_\mu = \left(1 - \frac{4\hat{m}_l^2}{z}\right).
$$
 (C3)

In place of C_7 , one defines an effective coefficient $C_7^{(0)$ eff which is renormalization scheme independent [\[42\]](#page-13-0):

$$
C_7^{(0)eff}(\mu_b) = \eta^{16/23} C_7^{(0)}(\mu_W) + \frac{8}{3} (\eta^{14/23} - \eta^{16/23})
$$

$$
\times C_8^{(0)}(\mu_W) + C_2^{(0)}(\mu_W) \sum_{i=1}^8 h_i \eta^{\alpha_i}
$$
 (C4)

where
$$
\eta = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu_b)}
$$
, and

$$
C_2^{(0)}(\mu_W) = 1, \qquad C_7^{(0)}(\mu_W) = -\frac{1}{2}D'(x_t),
$$

$$
C_8^{(0)}(\mu_W) = -\frac{1}{2}E'(x_t);
$$
 (C5)

the superscript (0) stays for leading logarithm approximation, which is not displayed in the text. Furthermore:

$$
\alpha_1 = \frac{14}{23} \qquad \alpha_2 = \frac{16}{23} \qquad \alpha_3 = \frac{6}{23} \qquad \alpha_4 = -\frac{12}{23}
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_5 = 0.4086 \qquad \alpha_6 = -0.4230 \qquad \alpha_7 = -0.8994
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_8 = -0.1456 \qquad h_1 = 2.996 \qquad h_2 = -1.0880
$$

\n
$$
h_3 = -\frac{3}{7} \qquad h_4 = -\frac{1}{14} \qquad h_5 = -0.649
$$

\n
$$
h_6 = -0.0380 \qquad h_7 = -0.0185 \qquad h_8 = -0.0057.
$$

\n(C6)

In the Naive dimensional regularization (NDR) scheme

one has

$$
C_9(\mu) = P_0^{\text{NDR}} + \frac{Y(x_t)}{s_w^2} - 4Z(x_t) + P_E E(x_t), \qquad (C7)
$$

where $P_0^{\text{NDR}} = 2.60 \pm 0.25$ [\[42\]](#page-13-0) and the last term is nu-
merically negligible merically negligible.

 C_{10} is μ independent and is given by

$$
C_{10} = -\frac{Y(x_t)}{s_w^2}.
$$
 (C8)

The normalization scale is fixed to $\mu = \mu_b \approx 5$ GeV.

- [1] G. Buchalla et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 57, 309 (2008).
- [2] C. W. Chiang, arXiv:0808.1336.
- [3] L. T. Handoko, C. S. Kim, and T. Yoshikawa, Phys. Rev. D 65, 077506 (2002).
- [4] A. Ali, T. Mannel, and T. Morozumi, Phys. Lett. B 273, 505 (1991).
- [5] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 101802 (2008).
- [6] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 73, 092001 (2006).
- [7] A. Ishikawa et al. (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 261601 (2003).
- [8] E. Lunghi, arXiv:hep-ph/0210379.
- [9] P. Herczeg, Phys. Rev. D 27, 1512 (1983); F. J. Botella and C. S. Lim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1651 (1986); C. Q. Geng and J. N. Ng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2645 (1989); G. Ecker and A. Pich, Nucl. Phys. B366, 189 (1991).
- [10] V. Bashiry, M. Bayar, and K. Azizi, Phys. Rev. D 78, 035010 (2008); A. Saddique, M. J. Aslam, and C. D. Lu, Eur. Phys. J. C 56, 267 (2008).
- [11] M. A. Paracha, I. Ahmed, and M. J. Aslam, Eur. Phys. J. C 52, 967 (2007); I. Ahmed, M. A. Paracha, and M. J. Aslam, Eur. Phys. J. C 54, 591 (2008).
- [12] Y. G. Xu, R. M. Wang, and Y. D. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 74, 114019 (2006).
- [13] A. Ali, P. Ball, L. T. Handoko, and G. Hiller, Phys. Rev. D. 61, 074024 (2000); C. S. Huang, W. Liao, Q. S. Yan, and S. H. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 63, 114021 (2001); S. R. Choudhury, N. Gaur, A. S. Cornell, and G. C. Joshi, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054016 (2003); A. S. Cornell and N. Gaur, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2003) 030; S. R. Choudhury, N. Gaur, A. S. Cornell, and G. C. Joshi, Phys. Rev. D 69, 054018 (2004); A. Mir, F. Tahir, and K. Ahmed, arXiv:0707.2268.
- [14] H. C. Cheng and I. Low, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2003) 051; 08 (2004) 061; I. Low, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2004) 067.
- [15] M. Blanke et al., J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2007) 066.
- [16] C. T. Hill, Phys. Lett. B **345**, 483 (1995); K. Lane and T. Eichten, Phys. Lett. B 352, 382 (1995); K. Lane, Phys. Lett. B 433, 96 (1998); G. Cvetič, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 513 (1999).
- [17] G. Buchalla, G. Burdman, C.T. Hill, and D. Kominis, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5185 (1996).
- [18] Z.J. Xiao *et al.*, Commun. Theor. Phys. **33**, 269 (2000); Z. H. Xiong and J. M. Yang, Phys. Lett. B 546, 221 (2002).
- [19] Z. H. Xiong and J. M. Yang, Nucl. Phys. **B602**, 289 (2001).
- [20] C. T. Hill and E. H. Simmons, Phys. Rep. 381, 235 (2003); 390, 553(E) (2004).
- [21] C. X. Yue, Y. P. Kuang, and G. R. Lu, J. Phys. G 23, 163 (1997).
- [22] S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D. 2, 1285 (1970).
- [23] H. J. He and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 28 (1999); G. Burdman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2888 (1999).
- [24] H. J. He, S. Kanemura, and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 101803 (2002).
- [25] C. T. Hill, arXiv:hep-ph/9702320.
- [26] C.X. Yue, L.H. Wang, and W. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 74, 115018 (2006).
- [27] A. K. Alok, A. Dighe, and S. U. Sankar, Phys. Rev. D 78, 034020 (2008).
- [28] T. Inami and C. S. Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65, 297 (1981); A. J. Buras, arXiv:hep-ph/9806471.
- [29] W. M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- [30] P. B. Mackenzie, arXiv:hep-ph/0606034.
- [31] W. Loinaz and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D 60, 015005 (1999); C. X. Yue, Y. P. Kuang, X. L. Wang, and W. B. Li, Phys. Rev. D 62, 055005 (2000).
- [32] A.A. Andrianov, P. Osland, A.A. Pankov, N.V. Romanenko, and J. Sirkka, Phys. Rev. D 58, 075001 (1998); K. R. Lynch, S. Mrenna, M. Narain, and E. H. Simmons, Phys. Rev. D 63, 035006 (2001).
- [33] E.H. Simmons, Phys. Lett. B **526**, 365 (2002).
- [34] R.S. Chivukula and E.H. Simmons, Phys. Rev. D 66, 015006 (2002).
- [35] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 241802 (2006).
- [36] Z.J. Xiao et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 18, 681 (2001).
- [37] A. Birkedal, A. Noble, M. Perelstein, and A. Spray, Phys. Rev. D 74, 035002 (2006); M. Asano, S. Matsumoto, N. Okada, and Y. Okada, Phys. Rev. D 75, 063506 (2007); C. S. Chen, K. Cheung, and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B 644,

158 (2007); M. Perelstein and A. Spray, Phys. Rev. D 75, 083519 (2007).

- [38] J. Hubisz and P. Meade, Phys. Rev. D 71, 035016 (2005); J. Hubisz et al., J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2006) 135.
- [39] M. Blanke et al., J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2006) 003.
- [40] J. Hubisz, S. J. Lee, and G. Paz, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2006) 041; A. Freitas and D. Wyler, J. High Energy Phys.

11 (2006) 061; M. Blanke et al., Phys. Lett. B ⁶⁴⁶, 253 (2007).

- [41] A. Ali, E. Lunghi, C. Greub, and G. Hiller, Phys. Rev. D 66, 034002 (2002).
- [42] A. J. Buras, M. Misiak, M. Munz, and S. Pokorski, Nucl. Phys. B424, 374 (1994).