## Dynamical eightfold way mesons in strongly coupled lattice QCD

Antônio Francisco Neto\*

Núcleo de Física, Campus Prof. Alberto Carvalho-UFS 49500-000 Itabaiana SE, Brazil

# Michael O'Carroll and Paulo A. Faria da Veiga<sup>†</sup>

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada e Estatística, ICMC-USP C.P. 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil (Received 9 November 2007; published 27 March 2008)

We consider a 3 + 1 lattice QCD model with three quark flavors, local SU(3)<sub>c</sub> gauge symmetry, global  $SU(3)_f$  flavor symmetry, in an imaginary-time formulation and with strong coupling (a small hopping parameter  $\kappa > 0$  and a plaquette coupling  $\beta > 0, 0 < \beta \ll \kappa \ll 1$ ). Associated with the model there is an underlying physical quantum mechanical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which, via a Feynman-Kac formula, enables us to derive spectral representations for correlations and obtain the low-lying energy-momentum spectrum exactly. Using the decoupling of hyperplane method and concentrating on the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_e \subset \mathcal{H}$  of vectors with an even number of quarks, we obtain the one-particle spectrum showing the existence of 36 meson states from dynamical first principles, i.e. directly from the quark-gluon dynamics. The particles are detected by isolated dispersion curves  $w(\vec{p})$  in the energy-momentum spectrum. Besides the SU(3)<sub>f</sub> quantum numbers (total hypercharge, quadratic Casimir  $C_2$ , total isospin and its 3rd component), the basic excitations also carry spin labels. The total spin operator J and its z-component  $J_z$  are defined using  $\pi/2$ rotations about the spatial coordinate axes and agree with the infinitesimal generators of the continuum for improper zero-momentum meson states. The eightfold way meson particles are given by linear combinations of these 36 states and can be grouped into three  $SU(3)_f$  nonets associated with the vector mesons  $(J = 1, J_z = 0, \pm 1)$  and one nonet associated with the pseudoscalar mesons (J = 0). Each nonet admits a further decomposition into a SU(3)<sub>f</sub> singlet ( $C_2 = 0$ ) and an octet ( $C_2 = 3$ ). For  $\beta = 0$ , the particle dispersion curves are all of the form  $w(\vec{p}) = -2\ln\kappa - 3\kappa^2/2 + (1/4)\kappa^2\sum_{j=1}^3 2(1 - \cos p^j) + \kappa^4 r(\kappa, \vec{p}),$ with  $\vec{p} \in (-\pi, \pi]^3$  and  $|r(\kappa, \vec{p})| \leq \text{const.}$  For the pseudoscalar mesons,  $r(\kappa, \vec{p})$  is jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $p^{j}$ , for  $|\kappa|$  and  $|Imp^{j}|$  small. At  $\beta = 0$  the meson masses are given by  $m(\kappa) = -2\ln\kappa - 3\kappa^{2}/2 +$  $\kappa^4 r(\kappa)$ , with  $r(0) \neq 0$  and  $r(\kappa)$  real analytic; for  $\beta \neq 0$  the nonsingular part of the mass,  $m(\kappa, \beta) + 2 \ln \kappa$ , is jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ . For a fixed nonet, the mass of the vector mesons are independent of  $J_{\tau}$  and are all equal within each octet. All singlet masses are also equal for the vector mesons. For  $\beta = 0$ , up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$ , for each nonet, the masses of the octet and the singlet are found to be equal. All members of each octet have identical dispersions. Other dispersion curves may differ. Indeed, there is a pseudoscalar, vector meson mass splitting (between J = 0 and J = 1) given by  $2\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$  at  $\beta = 0$ , analytic in  $\beta$  and the splitting persists for  $\beta \ll \kappa$ . Using a correlation subtraction method, we show the 36 meson states give the only spectrum in  $\mathcal{H}_e$  up to near the two-meson threshold of  $\approx -4 \ln \kappa$ . Combining our present result with a similar one for baryons (of asymptotic mass  $-3 \ln \kappa$ ) shows that the model does exhibit confinement up to near the two-meson threshold.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.054503

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 02.30.Tb, 11.10.St, 24.85.+p

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental classification scheme in elementary particle physics is the eightfold way group theoretical construction based on the global quark flavor symmetry  $SU(3)_f$  by Gell'Mann-Ne'eman (see Refs. [1,2]). This scheme is expected to be incorporated in the dynamical  $SU(3)_c$  local gauge QCD model describing the interaction of quarks and gluons. To understand the low-lying energymomentum (E-M) spectrum and confinement in QCD is a challenging problem. In Ref. [3], these problems were approached by adopting a lattice approximation in an imaginary-time functional integral formulation. The use of the lattice in different contexts turned out to be very fruitful. For example, the strong coupling expansion was employed to determine the particle content of the model and to give answers to questions not attainable using perturbation theory (see Refs. [3-5]).

A mathematically rigorous treatment in an imaginarytime lattice formulation was devised in Ref. [6] where the quantum mechanical physical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and E-M operators were constructed. A Feynman-Kac (F-K) formula was also established making an explicit connection between the E-M operators and inner products in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and classical statistical mechanics correlations for lattice QCD.

The low-lying E-M spectrum (one-particle and twoparticle bound states) was rigorously determined exactly in Refs. [7,8] for increasingly complex SU(3)<sub>c</sub> lattice QCD models, with one and two flavors in the strong coupling regime, i.e., we consider the model in the region of small hopping parameter  $\kappa$  and much smaller plaquette parame-

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: Campus Alto Paraopeba, UFSJ, 36.420-000 Ouro Branco, MG, Brazil.

afneto@fisica.ufmg.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>veiga@icmc.usp.br

ter  $\beta \equiv 1/g_0^2$  (i.e., with a large glueball mass). In this regime, more recently, the  $SU(3)_f$  scheme was validated in Refs. [9,10] by obtaining the spectrum of all the 56 gauge-invariant eightfold way baryons (of asymptotic mass  $-3\ln\kappa$ ), and their antiparticles exactly, from the quark-gluon dynamics in 3 + 1 dimensional SU(3)<sub>c</sub> lattice QCD with three flavors. These baryons can be grouped into four decuplets of total spin J = 3/2,  $J_z = \pm 3/2$ ,  $\pm 1/2$ , and two octets  $(J = 1/2, J_z = \pm 1/2)$ . Considering the subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{a} \subset \mathcal{H}$  of vectors with an *odd* number of quark fields the eightfold way baryon and antibaryon spectrum is shown to be the only spectrum in  $\mathcal{H}_{o}$ , up to near the meson-baryon energy threshold (  $\approx -5 \ln \kappa$ ). Hence, confinement is proven in  $\mathcal{H}_{a}$ . The baryon masses are given by convergent expansions in the coupling parameters. The reason for the restriction  $\beta < <\kappa$  is that in this region of parameters the hadron spectrum is the low-lying spectrum. If, on the other hand,  $\beta \gg \kappa$  then the low-lying E-M spectrum consists of only glueballs and their excitations (see Ref. [11]).

We point out that even for  $\beta = 0$  (no plaquette terms in the action) there is still a nontrivial dependence on the gauge field in the quadratic in Fermi fields hopping term. One manifestation of this dependence is that the meson dispersion curves have a small momentum behavior approximately proportional to  $\kappa^2 \vec{p}^2$ . For free fermions (such as setting the gauge group elements equal to the identity) the behavior is proportional to  $\kappa \vec{p}^2$  and the free fermion mass is asymptotically  $-\ln \kappa$ .

Starting from a F-K formula, these results are obtained from spectral representations for the two-baryon functions. We emphasize that claiming spectral results based solely on the behavior of a correlation function or its Fourier transform unfortunately tells us nothing about the E-M spectrum of the associated quantum mechanical version of the model unless a connection is established between the correlation functions and the energy-momentum operators of the associated model. It is unfortunate that this basic fact is not always taken into account. Moreover, we point out that only establishing exponential decay of correlations, in principle, says nothing about the spectrum and, even in cases where the associated mass turns out to be a spectral point, this procedure says nothing about what happens in the spectrum above this mass. This is not enough to show the upper gap property and to ensure that the dispersion curves defining the particles are isolated.

We remark that earlier works (see Refs. [12,13]) devoted to the determination of the low-lying energy-momentum spectrum in lattice QCD, via the zeros of uncontrolled expansions in the denominator of the Fourier transform of approximate propagators, leaves unanswered the question of the nature and the existence of the supposed singularity which is identified with the mass.

Here, working also in the strong coupling regime, explicitly  $0 \le \beta \ll \kappa \ll 1$ , we complete the exact determi-

nation of the one-particle E-M spectrum by considering the *even* subspace  $\mathcal{H}_e$  and show the existence of the eightfold way mesons (of asymptotic mass  $-2 \ln \kappa$ ). The three-flavor model with global SU(3)<sub>f</sub> and local SU(3)<sub>c</sub> gauge symmetries that we consider is defined by the Wilson action

$$S = \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha,f}(u) \Gamma^{\sigma e^{\mu}}_{\alpha\beta}(g_{u,u+\sigma e^{\mu}})_{ab} \psi_{b,\beta,f}(u+\sigma e^{\mu})$$
$$+ \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{o}^{4}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha,f}(u) M_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{a,\beta,f}(u) - \frac{1}{g_{0}^{2}} \sum_{p} \chi(g_{p}).$$
(1)

The partition function is  $Z = \int e^{-S(\psi,\bar{\psi},g)} d\psi d\bar{\psi} d\mu(g)$ , and for  $F(\bar{\psi}, \psi, g)$ , the normalized correlations are denoted by  $\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{7} \int F(\bar{\psi}, \psi, g) e^{-S(\psi, \bar{\psi}, g)} d\psi d\bar{\psi} d\mu(g)$ . In Eq. (1), besides the sum over repeated indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$  (spin), a = 1, 2, 3 (color), and  $f = 1, 2, 3 \equiv u, d, s$  (flavor), the first sum runs over  $u = (u^0, \vec{u}) = (u^0, u^1, u^2, u^3) \in \mathbb{Z}_0^4 \equiv$  $\{\pm 1/2, \pm 3/2, \pm 5/2...\} \times \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $\sigma = \pm 1$  and  $\mu = 0, 1, 2,$ 3. Here, 0 labels the time component and the direction 3 is sometimes called the z-direction.  $e^{\mu=0,1,2,3}$  are lattice unit vectors. At a site  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_o^4$ ,  $\hat{\psi}_{a\alpha f}(u)$  are fermionic Grassmann fields (the hat means the presence or absence of a bar) and we refer to  $\alpha = 1, 2$  as *upper* spin indices and  $\alpha = 3, 4$  (equivalently, + or - respectively) as *lower* ones. For  $\kappa = 0$ ,  $\langle \psi_{\ell_1}(x)\bar{\psi}_{\ell_2}(y)\rangle = \delta_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\delta_{f_1f_2}\delta(x-y)$ , and the Grassmann integral of monomials is given by Wick's theorem. For each nearest neighbor oriented bond  $\langle u, u \pm$  $e^{\mu}$  there is an SU(3)<sub>c</sub> matrix  $U(g_{u,u\pm e^{\mu}})$  parametrized by the gauge group element  $g_{u,u\pm e^{\mu}}$  and satisfying  $U(g_{u,u+e^{\mu}})^{-1} = U(g_{u+e^{\mu},u})$ . We sometimes drop the U from the notation.  $\chi(U(g_p))$  is a plaquette term.  $M \equiv$  $M(m, \kappa) = m + 2\kappa$ . Given  $\kappa, m > 0$  is chosen such that  $M_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ , i.e.  $m = 1 - 2\kappa \leq 1$ . For more details about notation and conventions, see Ref. [9].

The physical quantum mechanical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and the E-M operators H and  $P^j$ , j = 1, 2, 3, are defined via Feynman-Kac as in Refs. [6,7]. Polymer expansion methods (see Refs. [6,14,15]) ensure the thermodynamic limit of correlations exists and truncated correlations have exponential tree decay. The limiting correlations are lattice translational invariant and extend to analytic functions in the global coupling parameters  $\kappa$  and  $\beta = 1/g_0^2$ , and also in any finite number of local coupling parameters. In particular, there exist  $\kappa_0$  and  $\beta_0$  sufficiently small, and satisfying  $\beta_0 \ll \kappa_0$ , such that the correlation functions are analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$  for all  $|\kappa| < \kappa_0$  and  $|\beta| < \beta_0, \beta \ll \kappa$ . For gauge-invariant F and G restricted to  $u^0 = 1/2$ , we have the F-K formula

$$(G, \check{T}_{0}^{x^{0}}\check{T}_{1}^{x^{1}}\check{T}_{2}^{x^{2}}\check{T}_{3}^{x^{3}}F)_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle [T_{0}^{x^{0}}\vec{T}^{\vec{x}}F]\Theta G \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where  $T_0^{x^0}$ ,  $T_i^{x^i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3, denote translation of the functions of Grassmann and gauge variables by  $x^0 = 0, 1, ...,$  $\vec{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ,  $T^{\vec{x}} = T_1^{x^1} T_2^{x^2} T_3^{x^3}$  and  $\Theta$  is an antilinear, order reversing operator which involves time reflection (see Ref. [6]). In Eq. (2), we do not distinguish between Grassmann, gauge variables (rhs) and their associated Hilbert space vectors (lhs) in our notation. As linear operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\check{T}_{\mu=0,1,2,3}$  are mutually commuting;  $\check{T}_0$  is self-adjoint, with  $-1 \leq \check{T}_0 \leq 1$ , and  $\check{T}_{j=1,2,3}$  are unitary. So,  $\check{T}_j = e^{iP^j}$  defines the self-adjoint momentum operator  $\vec{P} = (P^1, P^2, P^3)$  with spectral points  $\vec{p} \in \mathbf{T}^3 \equiv (-\pi, \pi]^3$ and  $\check{T}_0^2 = e^{-2H} \geq 0$  defines the self-adjoint energy operator  $H \geq 0$ . We call a point in the E-M spectrum with  $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$ a mass. Also, we let  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda^0, \vec{\lambda})$  be the product of the spectral families of  $\check{T}_0, P^1, P^2$ , and  $P^3$ .

We now briefly state our results. We show the existence of 36 eightfold way meson states (of asymptotic mass  $-2\ln\kappa$ ) and determine their masses and dispersion curves exactly. Besides the usual quantum numbers associated with the  $SU(3)_f$  symmetry (total isospin *I*, its third component  $I_3$ , total hypercharge Y and quadratic Casimir  $C_2$ ), these states also carry spin labels. To define the components of the total spin operator in the Grassmann algebra, we use the symmetries of  $\pi/2$  rotations about the spatial coordinate axes. Acting on improper zero-momentum meson states these agree with the infinitesimal generators of the continuum. The total spin J and its z-component  $J_z$  are the spin labels. The 36 eightfold way mesons are comprised of the spin 0 pseudoscalar meson flavor singlet and octet and the spin 1 vector meson flavor singlet and octet. Charge conjugation C leaves invariant each of the singlets and octets so that these multiplets contain their antiparticles. The mesons have asymptotic mass of  $\approx -2 \ln \kappa$ , and their existence is manifested by isolated dispersion curves in the E-M spectrum. Moreover, we show the meson spectrum is the only spectrum in  $\mathcal{H}_e$  up to near the twomeson threshold of  $\approx -4 \ln \kappa$ . Up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$ , within the pseudoscalar meson flavor singlet and octet the masses are equal; the states in the vector meson flavor singlet and octet also have the same mass. However, by an explicit calculation at  $\beta = 0$ , there is a state independent  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$  mass splitting between the vector and pseudoscalar states for each member of the octet and singlet. Using joint analyticity in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$  the splitting persists for  $\beta \neq 0$ . The same mass splitting was obtained by Wilson in Ref. [3] using a low order approximation for the correlation functions and agrees with our result identifying the hopping parameter K in Ref. [3] with our  $\kappa/2$ . We use a correlation subtraction method to show that the only spectrum in  $\mathcal{H}_{e}$ , up to near the two-meson threshold, is the one generated by the eightfold way mesons. Our result, combined with the one for the baryon sector of Refs. [9,10], shows that the only spectrum in all  $\mathcal{H}$  is generated by the eightfold way hadrons. Thus, we have shown confinement up to near the two-meson threshold  $(\approx -4\ln\kappa).$ 

It is worth noting that, as in Refs. [9,10], our results are rigorously obtained using the hyperplane decoupling method and a spectral representation for the two-point function for the composite meson fields. For a pedagogical presentation of the basic principles of the hyperplane decoupling method, see Ref. [15]. Besides, in our method, the form, the multiplicities, and also the gauge invariance (manifestation of confinement) of the mesonic excitations emerge naturally from the QCD dynamics without any *a priori* guesswork. Also, we show that the nonsingular part of the masses  $m(\kappa, \beta) + 2 \ln \kappa$  are given by convergent expansions and, in fact, analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ ; thus we have controlled the expansion to all orders in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$  ( $\kappa$  small, and  $\beta \ll \kappa$ ). We emphasize we have determined the exact inverse propagator and have related the singularities of the Fourier transform of the propagator to points in the energy-momentum spectrum via the F-K formula.

We explain in more detail how we control the expansion of the masses to all orders in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ . By our methods, using the joint analyticity in  $\kappa$ ,  $\beta$  of the correlation function and its convolution inverse as well as an auxiliary function method (see Ref. [15]) we show, by casting the problem into the framework of the analytic implicit function theorem (see Ref. [16]) that  $m(\kappa, \beta) + 2\ln \kappa =$  $r(\kappa, \beta)$ , the nonsingular part of the mass, is also jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ . The Taylor series coefficients admit a Cauchy integral formula representation and Cauchy estimates can then be used to bound the coefficients. To show the pseudoscalar-vector meson mass splitting it is sufficient to calculate the splitting in the lowest order in  $\kappa$ ; by analyticity it persists for  $\beta \neq 0$ . In fact, taking into account the decay of the correlation function to find the coefficient of  $\kappa^n$  of the mass we only need to consider a finite volume model, roughly a cube of side length  $2\kappa^{n/2}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we use the decoupling of hyperplane method to reveal the basic excitation states. We also introduce a spectral representation for the two-point correlation and explain our strategy to detect points in the energy-momentum spectrum. In Sec. III, we pass, by an orthogonal transformation, from the basic excitation state basis, to a new basis, namely, the particle basis, and identify the new states with the eightfold way mesons. In Sec. IV, we obtain convergent expansions for the mesons masses, dispersion curves and their multiplicities. In Sec. V, using a correlation subtraction method, we show that the only spectrum in all  $\mathcal{H}_e$  is generated by the eightfold way mesons. Finally, in Sec. VI we make some concluding remarks.

# **II. BASIC EXCITATION MESON STATES**

We use the hyperplane decoupling method to obtain the basic excitation meson fields. Appropriate linear combinations of these fields are later identified with the eightfold way mesons. Also, we outline our strategy to find an appropriate two-point function and to detect particle masses and dispersion curves. We begin by defining the general truncated two-point function, for arbitrary  $M, L \in \mathcal{H}_{e}$ ,

NETO, O'CARROLL, AND FARIA DA VEIGA

$$G_{ML}(u, v) = \langle M(u)L(v) \rangle_T$$
  
=  $\langle M(u)L(v) \rangle - \langle M(u) \rangle \langle L(v) \rangle.$  (3)

We apply the decoupling of hyperplane method to the correlation of Eq. (3). This method consists of replacing, in the action of Eq. (1), the coupling parameters for each term with connections (fermion bonds or gauge connections from the plaquettes) crossing a given temporal hyperplane. In the hopping terms with connections crossing the temporal hyperplane indexed by p, and separating  $u^0$ and  $v^0$ ,  $\kappa$  is replaced by  $\kappa_p \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\beta$  is replaced by  $\beta_p \in$  $\mathbb{C}$ . We expand  $\mathcal{G}_{ML}(u, v)$  in  $\kappa_p$  and  $\beta_p$  and we show that the coefficients of  $\kappa_p^0$  and  $\kappa_p^1$  are zero. The terms containing powers of  $\beta_p$  are seen to be subdominant and lead to plaquette fields as creators of glueballs of asymptotic mass  $-4 \ln \beta$ . As seen below, the second  $\kappa_p$  derivative of  $\mathcal{G}_{ML}$  at  $\kappa_p = 0$  reveals the form of the basic excitation fields and the appropriate two-point function G. Intuitively, we pick up a decay factor of  $\kappa_p$  for each vanishing  $\kappa_p$  derivative at  $\kappa_p = 0$ . Given the appropriate G, this shows an analyticity domain in  $p^0$  in the strip  $|Imp^0| < -(2 - \epsilon) \ln \kappa$ . We introduce  $\Lambda$ , the convolution inverse of G and show that it has a faster temporal decay than G. Thus, its Fourier transform  $\overline{\Lambda}(p)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(p)\overline{\Lambda}(p) = 1$ , has a larger analyticity domain in  $p^0$  than G(p), which turns out to be the strip  $|Imp^0| < -(4 - \epsilon) \ln \kappa$ . Then,

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(p) = [\operatorname{cof} \tilde{\Lambda}(p)]^t / \operatorname{det} [\tilde{\Lambda}(p)],$$

provides a meromorphic extension of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(p)$ . The singularities of  $\tilde{\Lambda}^{-1}(p)$  are solutions  $\omega(\vec{p})$  of the equation

$$\det[\tilde{\Lambda}(p^0 = iw(\vec{p}), \vec{p})] = 0.$$
(4)

The solutions  $w(\vec{p})$  will be shown to be the meson dispersion curves and the masses correspond to  $w(\vec{p} = \vec{0})$ .

To apply the hyperplane decoupling method, it is more convenient to use a duplicate of variable representation (see for more details Ref. [14]) for the truncated two-point function of Eq. (3). Letting  $\hat{\psi}'$  and g' denote the duplicate field variables, we have, for  $S \equiv S(\psi, \bar{\psi}, g)$  and  $S' \equiv$  $S(\psi', \bar{\psi}', g')$ ,

$$G_{ML}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2Z^2} \int [M(u) - M'(u)][L(v) - L'(v)]$$

$$\times e^{-S - S'} d\psi d\bar{\psi} d\mu(g) d\psi' d\bar{\psi}' d\mu(g')$$

$$\equiv \langle \langle [M(u) - M'(u)][L(v) - L'(v)] \rangle \rangle.$$
(5)

Expanding the rhs of Eq. (5) in  $\kappa_p$ , we get  $\mathcal{G}_{ML}^{(0)}(u, v) = 0$ [the coefficient of  $\kappa_p^r$  at  $\beta_p = 0$  is denoted by the superscript (*r*)], since the fields are on different sides of the hyperplane decouple. Next,  $\mathcal{G}_{ML}^{(1)}(u, v) = 0$ , noting that each expectation factorizes and each factor has an odd number of fermion fields. Finally, considering the second derivative of  $\mathcal{G}$ , using the gauge integral (Peter-Weyl)

$$I_2 \equiv \int g_{a_1b_1} g_{a_2b_2}^{-1} d\mu(g) = (1/3)\delta_{a_1b_2}\delta_{a_2b_1}, \quad (6)$$

and, for the time ordering  $u^0 \le p < v^0$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}_{ML}^{(2)}(u,v) = \sum_{\vec{w}} \langle [M(u) - \langle M(u) \rangle] \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\gamma}\vec{g}}(p,\vec{w}) \rangle^{(0)} \\
\times \langle \mathcal{M}_{\vec{\gamma}\vec{g}}(p+1,\vec{w}) [L(v) - \langle L(v) \rangle] \rangle^{(0)},$$
(7)

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\ \vec{\gamma}\,\vec{g}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\gamma_{\ell},g_1} \psi_{a,\gamma_{u},g_2}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{\ \vec{\gamma}\,\vec{g}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_{a,\gamma_{\ell},g_1} \bar{\psi}_{a,\gamma_{u},g_2}$$
(8)

and  $\gamma_u$  ( $\gamma_\ell$ ) refers to upper (lower) spin indices,  $\vec{\gamma} =$  $(\gamma_{\ell}, \gamma_{u})$  and  $\vec{g} = (g_{1}, g_{2})$ . Note that the fields in Eq. (8) are gauge-invariant (colorless) local composites of fermion fields. It will be seen that the fields  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  of Eq. (8) are basic excitation creating fields. We refer to the fields  $\mathcal{M}$  as auxiliary fields. Linear combinations of these fields correspond to meson particles. We refer to the basis generated by the  $\mathcal{M}$  as the individual spin and isospin basis (individual basis, for short) and denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \subset \mathcal{H}_e$  the subspace generated by the  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ 's [see Eq. (12)]. Charge conjugation C, which is a symmetry of the model, transforms the  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  as follows;  $C\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{31,f_1f_2} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{42,f_2f_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{42,f_1f_2} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{31,f_2f_1}, \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{41,f_1f_2} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{41,f_2f_1}, \mathcal{C}\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{32,f_1f_2} =$  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{32,f_2f_1}$ . From this, we see that  $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the set of the eightfold way mesons coincides with the one of their antiparticles.

From the vanishing of the zeroth and first hyperplane derivatives, using joint analyticity in all  $\kappa_p$ 's and Cauchy estimates, we obtain the global bound

$$|\mathcal{G}_{ML}(u,v)| \le \operatorname{const}|\kappa|^{2|u^0 - v^0| + 2|\vec{u} - \vec{v}|},\tag{9}$$

where  $|\vec{u} - \vec{v}| = \sum_{i=1}^{3} |u^i - v^i|$  and we recall that G is jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ . The spatial decay is obtained by applying the hyperplane method to spatial hyperplanes. From Eq. (9), the analyticity of  $\tilde{G}_{ML}(p)$  in the  $p^0$ -strip  $|Imp^0| < -(2 - \epsilon) \ln \kappa$  follows.

For closure, meaning that correlations on the lhs and rhs of Eq. (7) are the same, we take the fields  $M = \mathcal{M}_{\vec{a}\vec{f}}$  and  $L = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\beta}\vec{h}}$  in Eq. (7) to obtain, for  $u^0 \leq p < v^0$ , using that  $\langle \bar{\mathcal{M}}(u) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{M}(u) \rangle = 0$  (by parity symmetry  $\mathcal{P}$  of Ref. [7]),

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_{\vec{\alpha}\,\vec{f}}(u)\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\beta}\,\vec{h}}(v)\rangle^{(2)} = \sum_{\vec{w}} \langle \mathcal{M}_{\vec{\alpha}\,\vec{f}}(u)\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\gamma}\,\vec{g}}(p,\vec{w})\rangle^{(0)} \\ \times \langle \mathcal{M}_{\vec{\gamma}\,\vec{g}}(p+1,\vec{w})\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\beta}\,\vec{h}}(v)\rangle^{(0)},$$

$$(10)$$

which we call the product structure property and write schematically as  $G_{ML}^{(2)}(u, v) = [G_{M\bar{M}}^{(0)} \circ G_{ML}^{(0)}](u, v)$ . A

similar expression is obtained for the other time ordering, i.e. for  $u^0 > p \ge v^0$ . The importance of the product structure is that it feeds into the formula for the second  $\kappa_p$ derivative of  $\Lambda$  showing that it is zero, giving rise to the faster decay of  $\Lambda$ , as compared to G.

The appropriate two-point function for the excitation fields is given by  $[\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}_{\ell}}\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell'}(u, v) \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell'}(u, v) = \mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell'}(x = u - v)],$ 

$$\mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell'}(x) = \langle \mathcal{M}_{\ell}(u) \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell'}(v) \rangle \chi_{u^0 \leq v^0} \\
+ \langle \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell}(u) \mathcal{M}_{\ell'}(v) \rangle^* \chi_{u^0 > v^0}, \qquad (11)$$

and  $\ell' = (\vec{\beta}, \vec{h})$  are collective indices, and here  $\chi$  is the characteristic function. Time-reversal  $\mathcal{T}$  and parity  $\mathcal{P}$  symmetries of Ref. [7], for fixed u and v, shows that  $\mathcal{G}(u, v)$  is self-adjoint.

Note also that, for fixed u and v, the dimension of the matrix G is  $36 = (2 \times 3)^2$  where 2 is the spin space dimension and 3 is the number of flavors.

By the F-K formula with  $u^0 \neq v^0$  and using the spectral representation of the E-M operators, G(x) can be written as  $(x^0 \neq 0), \ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell} \equiv \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell}(1/2, \vec{0}),$ 

$$\mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell'}(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{T^d} \lambda_0^{|x^0|-1} e^{i\vec{\lambda}.\vec{x}} d(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\ell, \mathcal{E}(\lambda_0, \vec{\lambda})\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell'})_{\mathcal{H}},$$
(12)

and is an even function of  $\vec{x}$  by parity symmetry. From Eq. (12), we see that the composite field  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$  creates particles. After separating the equal time contribution, the Fourier transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell\ell'}(p) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \mathcal{G}_{\ell\ell'}(x) e^{-ip.x}$ , satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell\ell'}(p) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\ell\ell'}(\vec{p}) + (2\pi)^3 \int_{-1}^{1} f(p^0, \lambda^0) d_{\lambda^0} d\alpha_{\vec{p},\ell\ell'}(\lambda^0),$$
(13)

where

$$d\alpha_{\vec{p},\ell\ell'}(\lambda^0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{\lambda}) d_{\lambda^0} d_{\vec{\lambda}}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\ell,\mathcal{E}(\lambda^0,\vec{\lambda})\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell'})_{\mathcal{H}},$$
  
with  $f(x,y) = (a^{ix} - y)^{-1} + (a^{-ix} - y)^{-1}$  and we have

with  $f(x, y) = (e^{ix} - y)^{-1} + (e^{-ix} - y)^{-1}$ , and we have set  $\tilde{G}(\vec{p}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} e^{-i\vec{p}.\vec{x}} G(x^0 = 0, \vec{x})$ .

From Eq. (13), we see that singularities on the imaginary  $p^0$  axis of  $\tilde{G}_{\ell\ell'}(p)$  are points in the E-M spectrum, and are determined by the solutions of Eq. (4). The convolution inverse  $\Lambda$  of G is defined by the Neumann series  $\Lambda = (1 + G_d^{-1}G_n)^{-1}G_d^{-1} = \sum_i (-1)^i [G_d^{-1}G_n]^i G_d^{-1}$  where  $G_d$  is given by  $G_{d,\ell\ell'}(u, v) = G_{d,\ell\ell'}(u, u)\delta_{\ell\ell'}\delta_{u,v}$ , with  $G = G_d + G_n$ .  $\Lambda$  is well defined using the global bound of Eq. (9) and noting that  $G_d$  is 1 at  $\kappa = \beta = 0$  by the normalization of the  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  fields of Eq. (8).

Taking the hyperplane derivatives, using the relation  $G\Lambda = 1 = \Lambda G$ , the Leibniz formula  $\partial^r \Lambda = -\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} {r \choose s} \Lambda \times \partial^{r-s} G \partial^s \Lambda$ , we obtain  $\Lambda^{(r=0,1)}(u, v) = 0$ , for  $|u^0 - v^0| \ge 1$ . Furthermore,  $\Lambda^{(2)}(u, v) = -[\Lambda^{(0)} G^{(2)} \Lambda^{(0)}](u, v)$  and, by the product structure of Eq. (10), is zero for  $|u^0 - v^0| > 1$ . Also, by imbalance on the number of barred and unbarred fermion fields,  $G^{(3)}(u, v) = 0$ , leading to  $\Lambda^{(3)}(u, v) = 0$ , for  $|u^0 - v^0| > 1$ . From this follows the global bound

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda(u,v)| &\leq c |\kappa|^2 |\kappa|^{4(|u^0 - v^0| - 1) + 2|\vec{u} - \vec{v}|}, \\ |u^0 - v^0| &\geq 1, \end{aligned}$$
(14)

with the rhs replaced by const  $\kappa^{2|\vec{u}-\vec{v}|}$ , if  $u^0 = v^0$ , and the analyticity of  $\tilde{\Lambda}(p)$  in the larger  $p^0$ -strip  $|Imp^0| < -(4 - \epsilon) \ln \kappa$ . Also,  $\Lambda$  is jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ .

## III. PARTICLE BASIS: PSEUDOSCALAR AND VECTOR MESON

We now consider operators associated with the  $SU(3)_f$ symmetry and spin operators. For F a function of the Grassmann fields, we define  $I_j$ , the *j*th (j = 1, 2, 3) component of total isospin, by  $A_i = \lim_{\theta \searrow 0} (W(U)F - U)$  $F/(i\theta)$ , with  $\mathcal{W}(U)F = F(\{U\bar{\psi}\}, \{\bar{U}\psi\})$ , where  $U_i \equiv$  $U_i(\theta) = \exp(i\lambda_i\theta/2), \quad j = 1, \dots, 8$ , is an element of  $SU(3)_f$  and the  $\lambda_i$  are the usual Gell'Mann matrices of Refs. [1,2]. For example, if  $F = \bar{\psi}\psi$  and letting  $i_i =$  $\lambda_i/2$  then  $I_iF = (i_i\bar{\psi})\psi - \bar{\psi}(\bar{i}_i\psi)$ , where  $\bar{i}_i$  is the complex conjugate of the matrix  $i_i$ . The total hypercharge Y is defined as  $2A_8/\sqrt{3}$  and the quadratic Casimir by  $C_2 =$  $\sum_{i=1}^{8} A_i^2$ . The linear operator  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  lifts to a unitary operator  $\mathcal{W}(U)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by using the F-K formula and the  $SU(3)_f$  symmetry. The generators  $\check{A}_j = \lim_{\theta \searrow 0} (\check{W}(U)F F/(i\theta)$  of the eight one-parameter subgroups are selfadjoint operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\check{I}_3$ ,  $\check{I}^2 = \check{I}_1^2 + \check{I}_2^2 + \check{I}_3^2$ ,  $\check{Y}$ ,  $\check{C}_2$  are mutually commuting and their eigenvalues are quantum numbers which are used to label the states. More precisely,  $\check{I}_1, \check{I}_2, \check{I}_3$  obey the usual momentum angular algebra, and I of the eigenvalue I(I + 1) of  $\check{I}_2$  is used as a state label. The total spin operators  $J_x$ ,  $J_y$ , and  $J_z$  are also defined similarly on the Grassmann algebra only, with  $U = U_2 \oplus U_2$ ,  $U_2 =$  $\exp(i\theta\sigma^j/2) \in SU(2)$ . On improper zero-momentum states of Eq. (15) this infinitesimal generator definition agrees with that obtained by taking  $(2/i\pi) \ln W(U)$ , with  $\theta = \pi/2$ . For the rotation angle  $\theta = \pi/2$  we have a lattice symmetry and the transformation of the spin components of the  $\hat{\psi}$ 's agrees with the continuum. The eigenvalues of  $J_z$ and J of the eigenvalue J(J + 1) of  $\vec{J}^2 = J_x^2 + J_y^2 + J_z^2$  are also used to label the states.

We recall that the mesons dispersion relations  $w(\vec{p})$  are given by the solutions of Eq. (4) (for  $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$  we have the masses). We remark that, due to the determinant in Eq. (4), we are free to take any new basis related to the individual spin or isospin basis by a real orthogonal transformation. By fully exploiting the SU(3)<sub>f</sub> symmetry and additional symmetries (more details ahead) the pseudoscalar and vector mesons emerge from our analysis as linear combinations of the basic excitation fields in Eq. (8). The linear

#### NETO, O'CARROLL, AND FARIA DA VEIGA

combinations are associated with a real orthogonal transformation that diagonalizes  $\tilde{G}(p^0, \vec{p} = \vec{0})$ . In the sequel, we introduce a new isospin and spin basis and show the conventional connection with the pseudoscalar and vector mesons. For this purpose, for fixed  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_{\ell}, \alpha_u)$ , we decompose the individual isospin basis into the direct sum of irreducible representations of SU(3)<sub>f</sub>. Precisely, we have a 1-dimensional flavor singlet (denoted by  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^0_{\vec{\alpha}}$ , with  $C_2 =$ 0) and an 8-dimensional octet ({ $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^k_{\vec{\alpha}}$ }, with  $C_2 =$  3), and the labeling distinguishes between them. The new basis elements are listed below:

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{0}_{\bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{3} (\bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},u} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},u} + \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},d} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},d} + \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},s} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},s}), \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{1}_{\bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},u} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},u} + \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},d} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},d} - 2\bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},s} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},s}), \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{2}_{\bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},u} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},u} - \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},d} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},d}), \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{3}_{\bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},u} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},d}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{4}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},u} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},s}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{5}_{\bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},d} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},s}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{6}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},d} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},u}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{7}_{\bar{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},s} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},u}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}^{8}_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\psi}_{a,\alpha_{\ell},s} \psi_{a,\alpha_{u},d}. \end{split}$$
(15)

Using isospin orthogonality relations, the vectors in Eq. (15) are eigenvectors of the generators  $\check{I}_3$ ,  $\check{I}^2$ ,  $\check{Y}$ ,  $\check{C}_2$ and the superscript  $k = (I_3, I, Y, C_2)$  is a collective index for the eigenvalues of those generators. More explicitly, we have the identifications: (0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 3), (0, 1, 0, 3), (1, 1, 0, 3), (1/2, 1/2, 1, 3), (-1/2, 1/2, 1, 3), (-1, 1, 0, 3),(-1/2, 1/2, -1, 3), and (1/2, 1/2, -1, 3), for k = $0, 1, \ldots, 8$ , respectively. We refer to this basis as the total isospin, individual spin basis. With fixed  $\vec{\alpha}$ , using the  $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{\alpha},\vec{t}}$ ordering  $\vec{f} = (u, u), (d, d), (s, s), (u, d), (u, s), (d, s), (d, u),$ (s, u), (s, d), the transformation matrix from the individual basis to this new basis is explicitly given by  $B = B_3 \oplus I_6$ with  $(B_3)_{11} = (B_3)_{12} = (B_3)_{13} = 1/\sqrt{3}, (B_3)_{21} = (B_3)_{22} =$  $-\sqrt{2}(B_3)_{23} = 1/\sqrt{6},$   $(B_3)_{31} = -(B_3)_{32} = 1/\sqrt{2},$  and  $(B_3)_{33} = 0$ . The two-point function in the total isospin, individual spin basis decomposes into the direct sum of 8 identical  $4 \times 4$  blocks and one  $4 \times 4$  block, associated with the flavor octet and singlet, respectively. The product structure still holds in the new basis, as well as the global bound of Eq. (14).

The 4 × 4 block can be further reduced by using  $G_p$ , a generalized local G-parity symmetry, which is a composition of charge conjugation C and discrete SU(3)<sub>f</sub> symmetry, namely, permutation of flavor indices. More explicitly, for k = 0, 1, 2, we have  $G_p = C$ , since the isospin index for the antiquark and quark pair in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^k$  is the same. For the other vectors,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^k$  (k = 3, ..., 8), in Eq. (15) we need to compose C with a permutation matrix  $P \in SU(3)_f$ . For

example, for  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^3$ , the nonvanishing elements of P are  $(P)_{12} = (P)_{21} = -(P)_{33} = 1$ . We decompose the space  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}^k_{\vec{\alpha}}$  into eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{G}_p$  given by (suppressing all but the spin index)  $(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{31} + \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{42})/\sqrt{2}$  with eigenvalue 1 and  $\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{32}, (\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{31} - \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{42})/\sqrt{2}, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{41}\}$  with eigenvalue -1. With this decomposition, the 36 × 36 two-point function matrix, for fixed u and v, reduces to a direct sum of nine 4 × 4 blocks (8 identical blocks for the octet states), each one decomposing as  $(1 \times 1) \oplus (3 \times 3)$ . We denote the eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{G}_p$  by  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{J}}$ , which are related to  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\alpha}}$  by a real 4 × 4 orthogonal transformation  $(A_4)$  with nonvanishing elements  $(A_4)_{11} = (A_4)_{12} = (A_4)_{31} = -(A_4)_{32} = 1/\sqrt{2}, (A_4)_{24} = (A_4)_{43} = 1$ . Here, we are adopting the following ordering of  $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_\ell, \gamma_u)$ : (3, 1), (4, 2), (4, 1), (3, 2).

It turns out that the decomposition provided by  $G_p$  is precisely the decomposition into the total spin pseudoscalar and vector excitations. The vectors  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{J}}$  are eigenvectors of  $\overline{J}^2$  and  $J_z$ , with eigenvalues (0, 0), (1, 1), (1, 0), (1, -1), respectively.  $G_p$  does not distinguish between the states  $(J, J_z) = (1, 1)$ , (1, 0), (1, -1), but spin does. We will label the vectors  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{J}}$  of the total isospin, total spin basis by  $\mathcal{J} = (J, J_z)$  in the order given above and denote the two-point correlation in this basis by  $G_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(x)$ and its convolution inverse by  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(x)$ . We note that the same global bounds of Eq. (14) hold for  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(x)$  as for  $\Lambda(x)$ as again only orthogonal basis transformations are involved.

We obtain further relations between the elements of  $G_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}'}(x)$  by exploiting a new local antilinear symmetry which we call spin flip, denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_s$  (see Ref. [9]). This symmetry is the composition  $-i\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}T$  of nonlocal, linear time reflection T, local linear charge conjugation Cand nonlocal antilinear time-reversal  $\mathcal{T}$  symmetries. Using the spin flip symmetry, we obtain the structure  $(G_{\mathcal{II}}) =$  $A_1 \oplus A_3$ , with  $A_1$  real associated with J = 0, and  $A_3$  a 3  $\times$ 3 self-adjoint matrix associated with J = 1 and obeying  $(A_3)_{11} = (A_3)_{33}$  and  $(A_3)_{12} = (A_3)_{23}$ . This matrix structure carries over to  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}'}$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}'}(p^0 = i\chi, \vec{p})$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}'}(p^0 = i\chi, \vec{p})$  $i\chi$ ,  $\vec{p}$ ) ( $\chi$  real). Summarizing, the matrix-valued two-point correlation and its convolution inverse reduce to a direct sum of nine  $4 \times 4$  blocks (eight identical ones associated to the octet states), each one decomposing as  $(1 \times 1) \oplus (3 \times 3)$ , corresponding to total spin J = 0 and J = 1, respectively.

We make some remarks concerning the conventional connection with particles, i.e. the pseudoscalar and vector mesons. For the pseudoscalar mesons,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}$ , we have the identifications  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^0 = \eta'$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^1 = \eta$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^2 = \pi^0$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^3 = \pi^+$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^4 = K^+$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^5 = K^0$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^6 = \pi^-$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^7 = K^-$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(0,0)}^8 = \bar{K}^0$ , recalling the fields of Eq. (15). In a similar way, we can identify the vector mesons, such as  $\rho^{0\pm}$ ,  $K^{*\pm}$ ,  $K^{*0}$ , and  $\bar{K}^{*0}$ , except for  $\phi$  and  $\omega$  which seem to be best described as strong mixtures of  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(1,J_c)}^0$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{(1,J_c)}^1$ . The procedure just described per-

mits us to label each eightfold way meson state by the quantum numbers  $(I, I_3, Y)$  which coincide e.g. with those depicted in Fig. 5.11 of Ref. [2]. Note that  $\eta'$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\pi^0$  are invariant under charge conjugation. Also,  $C\pi^{\pm} = \pi^{\mp}$ ,  $CK^{\pm} = K^{\mp}$  and  $CK^0 = \bar{K}^0$ . Hence, charge conjugation C changes the sign of the hypercharge Y and the third component of isospin  $I_3$  of a pseudoscalar state.

# IV. EIGHTFOLD WAY MESON MASSES AND DISPERSION CURVES

We now turn to the exact determination of the eightfold way meson dispersion curves and masses. The argument is given first for  $\beta = 0$ , then we give the modifications needed for  $\beta \neq 0$ . We determine explicitly the masses (dispersion curves) up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$  ( $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^2)$ ) and  $\beta = 0$ . For this, we need the low order in  $\kappa$ , short distance behavior of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\Lambda$ , which is shown to be independent of isospin. Hence, fixing a member of the octet or singlet the mass-determining equation is det $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(p^0 = iM, \vec{0}) = 0$ .  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}$  is seen to be diagonal by using the symmetry of  $\pi/2$  rotations about the  $e^3$  axis. For each factor, we have the single equation  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}} = 0$  and for notational simplicity we write  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}}$ . The relation  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{(1,1)} =$  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{(1,-1)}$  can also be obtained using  $e^1$  reflections instead of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .

The solution of det  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ , for all  $\vec{p}$ , runs out to infinity as  $\kappa$  goes to zero. To find the solutions of det  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}} = 0$ without approximation, we employ the auxiliary function method (see Ref. [15]). We make a nonlinear transformation from  $p^0$  to an auxiliary variable w and introduce an auxiliary matrix function  $H_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(w, \kappa, \vec{p})$  (for the masses we take  $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$ ) to bring the solution for the nonsingular part  $w(\vec{p}) + 2 \ln \kappa$  of the dispersion curves from infinity to close to w = 0, for small  $\kappa$ . With this function, we can cast the problem of determining dispersion curves and masses into the framework of the analytic implicit function theorem. To this end, with  $c_2(\vec{p}) \equiv (1/4) \sum_{j=1}^3 2 \cos p^j$ , we introduce the new variable

$$w = 1 - c_2(\vec{p})\kappa^2 - \kappa^2 e^{-ip^0},$$
(16)

and the auxiliary function  $H_{\mathcal{J}}(w, \kappa, \vec{p})$  such that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{J}}(p^0, \vec{p}) = H_{\mathcal{J}}(w = 1 - c_2(\vec{p})\kappa^2 - \kappa^2 e^{-ip^0}, \kappa, \vec{p})$ . Using  $\Gamma(x^0, \vec{x}) = \Gamma(-x^0, \vec{x})$ , which follows by time rever-

sal and parity symmetries, the matrix function  $H_{\mathcal{J}}(w, \kappa, \vec{p})$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(w,\,\kappa,\,\vec{p}) &= \sum_{\vec{x}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(0,\,\vec{x}) e^{-i\vec{p}.\vec{x}} + \sum_{n\geq 1,\vec{x}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}(n,\,\vec{x}) \\ &\times e^{-i\vec{p}.\vec{x}} \bigg[ \bigg( \frac{1-w-c_2(\vec{p})\kappa^2}{\kappa^2} \bigg)^n \\ &+ \bigg( \frac{\kappa^2}{1-w-c_2(\vec{p})\kappa^2} \bigg)^n \bigg], \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}'$ . By the global bounds of  $\Lambda$  of Eq. (14), *H* is jointly analytic in *w* and  $\kappa$ , for |w|,  $|\kappa|$  small.

The mass-determining equation becomes  $H_{\mathcal{T}}(w, \kappa) \equiv$  $H_{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}}(w, \kappa, \vec{p} = \vec{0}) = 0$ . In the sequel, we explicitly determine the masses up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$ . For this, we separate all terms in H up to  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$  and we need  $\Gamma(x^0 =$  $(n, \vec{x})/\kappa^{2n}$  up to and including order  $\kappa^4$ . The normalization condition  $G^{(0)}(x = 0) = 1$  implies  $\Gamma^{(0)}(x = 0) = 1$  and, by a simple argument, the product formula gives  $G(x = e^0) =$  $\kappa^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$ , which implies  $\Gamma(x = e^0) = -\kappa^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$ . Backtracking paths, such as  $0 \rightarrow e^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow e^0$ , do not contribute to  $G(e^0)$  using the property ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \epsilon =$ ±1):  $\Gamma^{\epsilon e^{\mu}}\Gamma^{-\epsilon e^{\mu}} = 0$ , which we call the *come and go* property. Other contributions are found by explicit calculation of the coefficients of the hopping parameter expansion of G(x) and arise from nonintersecting paths connecting the point 0 to x, and using the Neumann series for  $\Gamma(x)$ . In nonintersecting paths, each link of the path is composed of no more than two oppositely oriented bonds. Taking into account 2n bonds (n > 1), two by two oppositely oriented, this is so because we would have contractions of the fields in the expectations at 0 and x giving zero by the come and go property. For this purpose, we have derived a general formula for calculating nonintersecting path contributions given by (in the individual basis)

$$\langle \mathcal{M}_{\vec{\alpha}\vec{f}}(0)\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\vec{\beta}\vec{f}'}(x)\rangle = \frac{\kappa}{p} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{2L} \delta_{\vec{f}\vec{f}'} \Gamma^p_{\alpha_\ell\beta_\ell} \Gamma^{-p}_{\beta_u\alpha_u}$$
(17)

where  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_{\ell}, \alpha_{u}), \ \vec{\beta} = (\beta_{\ell}, \beta_{u}), \ \vec{f} = (f_{1}, f_{2}), \ \vec{f}' =$  $(f_3, f_4)$ , and L is the length of the path. In nonintersecting paths only the gauge integral  $I_2$  of Eq. (6) occurs. The subscript p in Eq. (17) above means that we take only the contribution coming from a nonintersecting path, with consecutive points of the path linked by two overlapping bonds of opposite orientation. The notation  $\Gamma^p_{\alpha\beta}$  ( $\Gamma^{-p}_{\alpha\beta}$ ) means the  $\alpha\beta$  element of the ordered product of (spin)  $\Gamma$ matrices arising from the hopping terms, along the path that connects 0 to x (x to 0). For example, if  $x = e^0 + e^1 + e^1$  $e^2$ , and the path is chosen such that  $0 \rightarrow e^0 \rightarrow e^0 + e^1 \rightarrow$  $e^{0} + e^{1} + e^{2}$ , we have  $\Gamma^{p} = \Gamma^{0}\Gamma^{1}\Gamma^{2}$ , where we have used the notation  $\Gamma^{\epsilon e^{\rho}} \equiv \Gamma^{\epsilon \rho}$ . For the reversed path, we take the product of the  $\Gamma$  matrices in the opposite direction, i.e.  $\Gamma^{-p} = \Gamma^{-2}\Gamma^{-1}\Gamma^{-0}$ . In general, if the path is determined by  $0 \to x_1 \to x_2 \to \dots \to x_n \to x$  then  $\Gamma^p \equiv \Gamma^{0 \to x} = \Gamma^{x_1} \Gamma^{x_2 - x_1} \dots \Gamma^{x_n - x_{n-1}} \Gamma^{x - x_n}$  and  $L = n + 1; \quad \Gamma^{-p} \equiv \Gamma^{x \to 0} = \Gamma^{-(x - x_n)} \Gamma^{-(x_n - x_{n-1})} \dots \Gamma^{-(x_2 - x_1)} \Gamma^{-x_1}$ . Using Eq. (17), for  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon' = \pm 1$ , j = 1, 2, 3, and  $\beta = 0$ , we get the short distance behaviors of (i = 1, 2 below)

$$G_{\mathcal{J}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{8}), & x = 0; \\ \kappa^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{6}), & x = \epsilon e^{0}; \\ (1/4)\kappa^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{6}), & x = \epsilon e^{j}; \\ \kappa^{4} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{8}), & x = 2\epsilon e^{0}; \\ (1/4)\kappa^{4} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{8}), & x = 2\epsilon e^{0}; \\ (1/2)\kappa^{4} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{8}), & x = \epsilon e^{0} + \epsilon' e^{j}; \\ (1/2)\kappa^{4} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{8}), & x = \epsilon e^{1} + \epsilon' e^{2}; \\ [(1/8) + \delta_{J_{z},0}(\delta_{J,0} - \delta_{J,1})]\kappa^{4} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{8}), & x = \epsilon e^{i} + \epsilon' e^{3}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, from the Neumann series,

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + (19/8)\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^8), & x = 0; \\ -\kappa^2 - \kappa^6 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^8), & x = \epsilon e^0; \\ -(1/4)\kappa^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6), & x = \epsilon e^j; \\ (-3/16)\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^8), & x = 2\epsilon e^j; \\ (-(1/4)\delta_{J_{z,0}} + 1/8)\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^8), & x = \epsilon e^1 + \epsilon' e^2; \\ \delta_{J_{z,0}}(\delta_{J,0} - \delta_{J,1})\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^8), & x = \epsilon e^i + \epsilon' e^3. \end{cases}$$

The other points listed in *G* but not appearing in  $\Gamma$  contribute to the matrix function *H* to  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$ . The improved decay for these points is due to explicit cancellations in the Neumann series and improve the global bounds obtained by the decoupling of hyperplane method.

Taking into account contributions of  $H_{\mathcal{J}}$  up to and including  $\mathcal{O}(\kappa^4)$ , and setting  $b_{\mathcal{J}} = 1/4$ ,  $a_{\mathcal{J}} = (-3\delta_{J,1} - \delta_{J,0} + 3/2)$ , we can write  $H_{\mathcal{J}}(w, \kappa)$  in the form

$$H_{\mathcal{J}} = w + b_{\mathcal{J}}\kappa^4 - \kappa^4/(1-w) + a_{\mathcal{J}}\kappa^4 + \kappa^6 r_{\mathcal{J}}(w,\kappa),$$
(18)

where  $a_{\mathcal{J}}$  is the *angle* contribution. These contributions come from paths of the form  $0 \rightarrow \epsilon e^i \rightarrow \epsilon e^i + \epsilon' e^j$ , ij =12, 13, 23,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon' = \pm 1$  in the  $\kappa$  expansion of G. Also,  $r_{\mathcal{J}}(w, \kappa)$  in Eq. (18) is jointly analytic in w and  $\kappa$ . We see that  $H_{\mathcal{J}}(0,0) = 0$  and  $(\partial H_{\mathcal{J}}/\partial w)(0,0) = 1$  so that the analytic implicit function theorem applies and yields the analytic function  $w_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa)$  such that  $H_{\mathcal{J}}(w_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa), \kappa) = 0$ . The solution  $w_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa)$  has the form  $w_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa) = \kappa^4 - (a_{\mathcal{J}} + b_{\mathcal{J}})\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$ . Returning to Eq. (16), the mass is given by

$$M_{\mathcal{J}} = \ln e^{-i(p^0 = iM_{\mathcal{J}})} = -2\ln\kappa + \ln(1 - w_{\mathcal{J}} - c_2(\vec{0})\kappa^2)$$
  
=  $-2\ln\kappa - (3/2)\kappa^2 + (-2 + a_{\mathcal{J}})\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6).$ 

Thus, we see that the angle contribution  $a_{\mathcal{J}}$  gives rise to a mass splitting between the total spin one and total spin zero states and is given by  $M_{(1,J_z)} - M_{(0,0)} = 2\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$ .

In what follows, suppressing the subscript  $\mathcal{J}$  from the notation, for each  $\mathcal{J}$ , the implicitly defined  $w_{\mathcal{J}}(\kappa)$  has an explicit representation in terms of the Cauchy integral,

$$w(\kappa) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w| < \alpha} \frac{w}{H(w,\kappa)} \frac{\partial H}{\partial w}(w,\kappa) dw, \qquad (19)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is sufficiently small (see Ref. [16]). From this

representation, we see that  $w(\kappa)$  is analytic in  $\kappa$ . We note that the integral formula of Eq. (19) permits us to deduce an explicit formula for the *n*th Taylor coefficient of the analytic function  $w(\kappa)$ . For the general procedure to obtain  $[(1/n!)d^nw/d\kappa^n](0)$  from Eq. (19), we refer the reader to Ref. [17]. In fact, tracing the  $\kappa$  dependence in the integral representation on  $\Gamma$  and G, the  $\kappa^n$  dependence of the mass only depends on  $\kappa^m$ ,  $m \leq n$ , of G. By taking into account the  $\kappa^{2|x|}$  decay of G(x), we only need to consider a finite volume model, roughly a cube of side length  $2\kappa^{n/2}$ .

We now pass to the discussion of meson mass determination in the  $\beta \neq 0$  case. This case is treated as above noting that  $H(w, \kappa, \beta)$  is jointly analytic in  $w, \kappa$ , and  $\beta$  by the joint analyticity of  $\Gamma(x, \kappa, \beta)$ . Also, H(0, 0, 0) = 1 and  $\partial H/\partial w(0, 0, 0) = 1$ , so that again the analytic implicit function theorem applies. It implies the existence of a jointly analytic function  $w(\kappa, \beta)$ . In turn, this implies that the nonsingular contribution to the meson mass,  $m(\kappa, \beta) + 2 \ln \kappa = r(\kappa, \beta)$ , is also jointly analytic. The Cauchy integral representation also holds by replacing  $H(w, \kappa)$  by  $H(w, \kappa, \beta)$ .

For the determination of the dispersion curves, we recall the block decomposition of  $(G_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}) = A_1 \oplus A_3$  which implies  $(\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}'}) = D_1 \oplus D_3$ , with  $D_n$  a  $n \times n$  matrix. Furthermore, by the formula for the inverse matrix, the matrix  $\tilde{D}_3(p^0 = i\chi, \vec{p})$  ( $\chi$  real) has the same structure as  $\tilde{A}_3(p^0 = i\chi, \vec{p})$ , which in turn is the same as that of  $A_3$ . The dispersion curves  $w_c(\vec{p})$  (c = p, v with p, v referring to the pseudoscalar and to any member of the vector mesons, respectively) are given by

$$w_c(\vec{p}) = -2\ln\kappa - (3/2)\kappa^2 + (1/4)\kappa^2 \sum_{j=1,2,3} 2(1 - \cos p^j) + \kappa^4 r_c(\kappa, \vec{p}),$$

 $|r_c(\kappa, \vec{p})| = \mathcal{O}(1)$ .  $r_p(\kappa, \vec{p})$  is jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and each

#### DYNAMICAL EIGHTFOLD WAY MESONS IN STRONGLY ...

 $p^{j}$  for  $|\vec{p}|$  small, and is obtained by the auxiliary function method (see Ref. [15]). We still do not know if the dispersion curves are the same for all the members of the flavor octet and even for the singlet and any member of the octet. This is so since, on the lattice, the spin operators only agree with the continuum for zero spatial momentum. For the  $D_3$ block, we have factorization of det<sub>3×3</sub> $\tilde{D}_3(i\chi, \vec{p}) = 0$  using Cardano's formula for the roots of a cubic equation. However, due to analytical difficulties, we cannot apply the auxiliary function method, but Rouché's theorem (principle of the argument) can be applied to  $det_{3\times 3}H(w, \kappa, \vec{p}) = 0$  to show that, for each fixed  $\vec{p}$ , there are exactly three solutions.

# V. EXTENSION OF THE SPECTRAL RESULTS TO ALL $\mathcal{H}_e$

Up to now, we have exactly determined the spectrum generated by vectors in  $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \subset \mathcal{H}_e$ . But, it can happen that other fields can generate spectrum in  $\mathcal{H}_e$  up to near the two-meson threshold ( $\approx -4 \ln \kappa$ ). As in Ref. [7], we use a correlation subtraction method to show that the eightfold way meson spectrum is the only spectrum in all  $\mathcal{H}_e$ , up to near the two-meson threshold of  $\approx -4 \ln \kappa$ . For  $u^0 \neq v^0$  and  $L \in \mathcal{H}_e$ , we have the spectral representation and F-K formula (with  $P_{\Omega}$  the projection onto the vacuum state  $\Omega \equiv 1$ )

$$((1-P_{\Omega})L,\check{T}_{0}^{|\upsilon^{0}-u^{0}|-1}\check{T}^{\check{\upsilon}-\check{u}}(1-P_{\Omega})L)_{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{G}(u,\upsilon),$$

where, with  $M = \Theta L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(u, v) &= \mathcal{G}_{ML}(u, v) \chi_{u^0 \le v^0} + \mathcal{G}_{ML}(u_t, v_t) \chi_{u^0 > v^0} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{ML}(u, v) \chi_{u^0 \le v^0} + \mathcal{G}^*_{LM}(u, v) \chi_{u^0 > v^0}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have used the notation  $z_t = (-z^0, \vec{z})$  if  $z = (z^0, \vec{z})$ .

L may have contributions to the energy spectrum in the interval  $(0, -(4 - \epsilon) \ln \kappa)$  that arise from states not in  $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}$ . We show this is not the case by considering the decay of the subtracted function

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{P}\Lambda \mathcal{Q} \tag{20}$$

where the kernels of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(u.w) &= \mathcal{G}_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(u,w)\chi_{w^{0}\leq u^{0}} + \mathcal{G}_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(u_{t},w_{t})\chi_{u^{0}>w^{0}} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(u,w)\chi_{u^{0}\leq w^{0}} + \mathcal{G}_{L\mathcal{M}}^{*}(u,w)\chi_{u^{0}>w^{0}}, \\ \mathcal{Q}(z,v) &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}L}(z,v)\chi_{z^{0}\leq v^{0}} + \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}L}(z_{t},v_{t})\chi_{z^{0}>v^{0}} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}L}(z,v)\chi_{z^{0}\leq v^{0}} + \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{M}}^{*}(z,v)\chi_{z^{0}>v^{0}}, \\ \mathcal{J}(w,z) &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(w,z)\chi_{w^{0}\leq z^{0}} + \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(w_{t},z_{t})\chi_{w^{0}>z^{0}} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(w,z)\chi_{w^{0}\leq z^{0}} + \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{M}}^{*}(w,z)\chi_{w^{0}>z^{0}}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\Lambda(w, z) = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(w, z)$ . The identities above are obtained using time reversal which gives

$$G_{ML}(u_t, v_t) = G_{LM}^*(u, v), G_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(u_t, w_t) = G_{L\mathcal{M}}^*(u, w),$$
  

$$G_{\mathcal{M}L}(z_t, v_t) = G_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}M}^*(z, v), G_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(w_t, z_t) = G_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}M}^*(w, z).$$

The motivation for the definitions of the kernels of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is such that time reflected points give the same value for the  $u^0 < v^0$  and  $u^0 > v^0$  definitions.

The kernels of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  also have spectral representations for noncoincident temporal points given by

$$(L, \check{T}_0^{|v^0-u^0|-1} \check{\vec{T}}^{\vec{v}-\vec{u}} \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{P}(u, v), \qquad u^0 \neq v^0$$
$$(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \check{T}_0^{|v^0-u^0|-1} \check{\vec{T}}^{\vec{v}-\vec{u}} L)_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{Q}(u, v), \qquad u^0 \neq v^0.$$

We remark that, in the two equations above, we made use of parity symmetry to write  $\langle \bar{\mathcal{M}}(u) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{M}(u) \rangle = 0$ . Again, in the context of the hyperplane decoupling method, it is sufficient to carry out the arguments at  $\beta_p =$ 0; the results extend to  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\beta \ll \kappa$ , by analyticity. Using the hyperplane decoupling method, we show below that  $\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(u, v) = 0$ , r = 0, 1, 2, 3, for  $|u^0 - v^0| > 2$  and  $\beta_p = 0$ . This implies that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(p)$  is analytic in  $p^0$  in the strip  $|Imp^0| \leq -(4-\epsilon)\ln\kappa.$ But  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(p) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(p) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(p)\tilde{\Lambda}(p)\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(p)$ , so that possible singularities of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(p)$  in the strip are canceled by those in the term  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(p)\tilde{\Lambda}(p)\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(p)$ . From their spectral representations, it is seen that  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(p)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(p)$  only have singularities at the one-meson particle spectrum. The same holds for  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(p)\tilde{\Lambda}(p)\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(p)$  since  $\tilde{\Lambda}(p)$  is analytic in the strip. Thus, the singularities of  $\tilde{G}(p)$  and the spectrum generated by L in the interval  $(0, -(4 - \epsilon) \ln \kappa)$  are contained in the one-meson spectrum.

Expanding  $\mathcal{F}$  in Eq. (20) in powers of  $\kappa_p$ , we get the result

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F} &= \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \kappa_p^0 + \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \kappa_p + \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \kappa_p^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa_p^3) \\ &= (\mathcal{G}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)}) \kappa_p^0 + (\mathcal{G}^{(1)} - \mathcal{P}^{(1)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)}) \\ &- \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(1)}) \kappa_p + (\mathcal{G}^{(2)} \\ &- \mathcal{P}^{(2)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(2)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(2)} \\ &- \mathcal{P}^{(1)} \Lambda^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}^{(1)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(1)} \\ &- \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(1)} \mathcal{Q}^{(1)}) \kappa_p^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa_p^3). \end{split}$$

That  $\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(u, v) = 0$  (r = 0, 1, 3) follows from gauge integration and imbalance of fermion fields appearing in the expectations. We now calculate the second derivative of  $\mathcal{F}(u, v)$  for the time ordering  $u^0 \le p < v^0$ 

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2)} = \mathcal{G}^{(2)} - \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(2)} - \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \Lambda^{(2)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} - \mathcal{P}^{(2)} \Lambda^{(0)} \mathcal{Q}^{(0)} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4.$$

We will use in the sequel, for  $r^0 \le p < s^0$ , the following special cases of Eq. (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{ML}^{(2)}(r,s) &= [G_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)} \circ G_{\mathcal{ML}}^{(0)}](r,s), \\
G_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(2)}(r,s) &= [G_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)} \circ G_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)}](r,s), \\
G_{\mathcal{ML}}^{(2)}(r,s) &= [G_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)} \circ G_{\mathcal{ML}}^{(0)}](r,s), \\
G_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(2)}(r,s) &= [G_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)} \circ G_{\mathcal{M}\bar{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)}](r,s).
\end{aligned}$$

For the term  $A_2$ , we have

$$A_{2} = -\sum_{w^{0}, z^{0} \leq p} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(u, w) \Lambda^{(0)}(w, z) [\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}L}^{(0)}](z, v)$$
  
$$= -\sum_{\vec{w}} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(u, (p, \vec{w})) \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}L}^{(0)}((p + 1, \vec{w}), v)$$
  
$$- [\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{(0)} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}L}^{(0)}](u, v) = -A_{1},$$

where, in the equation above, we have extended the sum to all z using the support properties of  $\Lambda^{(0)}$  and  $G^{(0)}$ .

For the term  $A_4$ , we get similarly  $A_4 = A_2$ . Now, we consider the term  $A_3$ :

$$A_3 = -\sum_{w^0 \le p, z^0 \ge p+1} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(u, w) \Lambda^{(2)}(w, z) \mathcal{Q}^{(0)}(z, v).$$

But, for  $w^0 \leq p$ ,  $z^0 \geq p + 1$ , we have  $\Lambda^{(2)}(w, z) = -[\Lambda^{(0)}\mathcal{J}^{(2)}\Lambda^{(0)}](w, z)$ , which is obtained taking the second derivative of the relation  $\Lambda \mathcal{J} = 1$ , and observing that  $[\Lambda^{(0)}\mathcal{J}^{(1)}\Lambda^{(1)}](w, z) = [\Lambda^{(1)}\mathcal{J}^{(1)}\Lambda^{(0)}](w, z) = 0$ , for  $w^0 \leq p, z^0 \geq p + 1$ . With these restrictions on the sums, we get

$$\mathcal{J}^{(2)}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(x, y) = [\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \circ \mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}](x, y),$$

so that

$$A_{3} = \sum_{\substack{w^{0}, v^{0} \leq p, \\ z^{0}, y^{0} \geq p+1}} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(u, w) \Lambda^{(0)}(w, x) [\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \circ \mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{M}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}]$$
$$\times (x, y) \Lambda^{(0)}(y, z) \mathcal{Q}^{(0)}(z, v).$$

Extending the sum to all  $x^0$  and  $y^0$ , we get

$$A_{3} = \sum_{\vec{w}} \mathcal{P}^{(0)}(u, (p, \vec{w})) \mathcal{Q}^{(0)}((p+1, \vec{w}), v)$$
$$= [\mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{M\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \circ \mathcal{G}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{M}L}](u, v) = A_{1}.$$

Collecting the results above, we obtain that  $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(u, v) = 0$ , for  $u^0 \le p < v^0$ . The treatment is similar for the other time ordering, i.e.  $u^0 \ge p > v^0$ , and we find that  $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(u, v) = 0$ , for  $u^0 > p + 1$  and  $v^0 < p$ . Finally, taking into account the two time orderings, we get  $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(u, v) = 0$  for time separations  $|u^0 - v^0| > 2$ . Similarly to the case  $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(u, v) = 0$ , by imbalance of fermion fields, the third derivative  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}(u, v)$  vanishes for  $|u^0 - v^0| \ge 1$ .

#### VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, concentrating our analysis in the even subspace  $\mathcal{H}_e$ , we determined the low-lying energymomentum spectrum exactly and showed the existence of all the eightfold way mesons (of asymptotic mass  $-2 \ln \kappa$ ) from dynamical first principles, in the strong coupling regime. It is shown that the masses admit representations which are jointly analytic in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$  and, in particular, expansions in this parameters are controlled to all orders. For  $\beta = 0$ , we obtained a pseudoscalar vector meson mass splitting explicitly given by  $2\kappa^4 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^6)$  and, calculate the splitting to lowest order in  $\kappa$ , by analyticity it persists for  $\beta \neq 0$ . Our result, combined with a similar one for baryons (of asymptotic mass  $-3 \ln \kappa$ ) (see Refs. [9,10]), shows that the model exhibits confinement up to near the two-meson threshold ( $\approx -4 \ln \kappa$ ).

We have found no isospin singlet, isospin octet mass splitting up to and including order  $\kappa^4$  at  $\beta = 0$ . Splitting may occur at higher orders in  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$  or may take place by breaking the SU(3)<sub>f</sub> symmetry with a heavier strange quark mass. For this splitting in the continuum model, see the U(1) problem in Ref. [18].

The difficulty encountered here in obtaining explicitly dispersion curves for the vector mesons disappears for the continuum if the vector fields transform under the Poincaré group where, the three identical dispersion curves are, of course, the relativistic ones.

In closing, we remark that the determination of the onemeson spectrum opens the way to attack interesting open questions, such as, the existence of tetraquarks and pentaquarks, for example, meson-meson and meson-baryon bound states.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by CNPq and FAPESP.

- [1] M. Gell'Mann and Y. Ne'eman, *The Eightfold Way* (Benjamin, New York, 1964).
- [2] D. Griffiths, *Introduction to Elementary Particles* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987).
- [3] K. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D 10, 2445 (1974); in New Phenomena in Subnuclear Physics, edited by A.

Zichichi (Plenum Press, NY, 1977), Part A.

 [4] T. Banks *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 1111 (1977); J. Fröhlich and C. King, Nucl. Phys. **B290**, 157 (1987); I. Montvay, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 263 (1987); F. Myhrer and J. Wroldsen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 629 (1988); D. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 5393 (1993); M. Creutz, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **94**, 219 (2001); R. Machleidt, Nucl. Phys. **A689**, 11c (2001); R. Machleidt, K. Holinde, and Ch. Elster, Phys. Rep. **149**, 1 (1987).

- [5] M. Creutz, Quarks, Gluons and Lattices (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983); I. Montvay and G. Münster, Quantum Fields on a Lattice (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [6] K. Osterwalder and E. Seiler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 110, 440 (1978); E. Seiler, *Gauge Theories as a Problem of Constructive Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, Lect. Notes in Phys. Vol. 159 (Springer, New York, 1982).
- [7] P.A. Faria da Veiga, M. O'Carroll, and R. Schor, Commun. Math. Phys. 245, 383 (2004); A. Francisco Neto, P.A. Faria da Veiga, and M. O'Carroll, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 45, 628 (2004).
- [8] P. A. Faria da Veiga, M. O'Carroll, and R. Schor, Phys. Rev. D 67, 017501 (2003); P. A. Faria da Veiga, M. O'Carroll, and R. Schor, Phys. Rev. D 68, 037501 (2003); P. A. Faria da Veiga, M. O'Carroll, and A. Francisco Neto, Phys. Rev. D 69, 097501 (2004); A. Francisco Neto, Phys. Rev. D 70, 037502 (2004); P. A. Faria da Veiga and M. O'Carroll, Phys. Rev. D 71, 017503 (2005); P. A. Faria da Veiga, M. O'Carroll, and A. Francisco Neto, Phys. Rev. D 72, 034507 (2005); P. A.

Faria da Veiga and M. O'Carroll, Phys. Lett. B **643**, 109 (2006); A. Francisco Neto, Phys. Scr. **75**, 484 (2007); P. A. Faria da Veiga and M. O'Carroll, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 074503 (2007).

- [9] P. A. Faria da Veiga and M. O'Carroll, "Eightfold Way From Dynamical First Principles in Strongly Coupled Lattice QCD" (unpublished).
- [10] P.A. Faria da Veiga and M. O'Carroll, arXiv:0707.1677.
- [11] R.S. Schor, Nucl. Phys. B222, 71 (1983); B231, 321 (1984).
- [12] J. Hoek, N. Kawamoto, and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B199, 495 (1982).
- [13] J. Hoek and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B263, 129 (1986).
- [14] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, Quantum Physics: A Functional Integral Point of View (Springer Verlag, New York, 1986).
- [15] B. Simon, *Statistical Mechanics of Lattice Models* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1994).
- [16] E. Hille, Analytic Function Theory (Blaisdell Publishing Co., New York, 1959), Vol. 1.
- [17] R. S. Schor and M. O'Carroll, Commun. Math. Phys. 103, 569 (1986).
- [18] S. Weinberg, in *The Quantum Theory of Fields* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1996), Vol. II.