New instability in relativistic cylindrically symmetric system

Ken-ichi Nakao,¹ Daisuke Ida,² and Yasunari Kurita³

¹Department of Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

²Department of Physics, Gakushuin University, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan

³Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

(Received 1 November 2007; published 14 February 2008)

We investigate an infinitesimally thin cylindrical shell composed of counter-rotating dust particles. This system was studied by Apostolatos and Thorne in terms of the C-energy for a bounded domain. In this paper, we reanalyze this system by evaluating the C-energy on the future null infinity. We find that some class of momentarily static and radiation-free initial data does not settle down into static equilibrium configurations, and an otherwise infinite amount of the gravitational radiation is emitted to the future null infinity. Our result implies the existence of an instability in this system. In the framework of the Newtonian gravity, a cylindrical shell composed of counter-rotating dust particles can be in a steady state with oscillation by the gravitational attraction and centrifugal repulsion, and hence a static state is not necessarily realized as a final state. By contrast, in the framework of general relativity, the steady oscillating state will be impossible since the gravitational radiation will carry the energy of the oscillation to infinity. Thus, this instability has no counterpart in the Newtonian gravity.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.77.044021

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.30.-w, 04.40.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to understand the relativistic gravitational phenomena, the existence of isometries is often or usually assumed, since the Einstein equations are a very complicated system of quasilinear partial differential equations. The simplest but useful assumption in relativistic astrophysical situations is that of spherical symmetry. A shortcoming of this assumption is that there is no freedom of gravitational radiation. It is hardly possible to extract any effects of gravitational radiation within spherically symmetric systems. In contrast, although the cylindrical symmetry might rarely appear in relativistic astrophysical situations, it has a degree of freedom of gravitational radiation known as Einstein-Rosen gravitational waves [1]. This system has been studied in connection with the gravitational waves [2–4].

The cylindrically symmetric system has been investigated also in connection with the hoop conjecture which states that black holes with horizons form when and only when a mass M gets compacted into a region whose circumference C in every direction is $C \leq 4\pi M$ [5]. This conjecture might come from the well-known difference between the spherically symmetric and the cylindrically symmetric systems; the spherical gravitational collapse forms a horizon, whereas the cylindrical gravitational collapse does not, if energy conditions on material fields are satisfied [5,6]. If the hoop conjecture is correct, the following statement also holds: if mass M does not get compacted in some direction, there is no horizon. This means that if the spacetime singularity forms but its mass M does not get compacted in some direction, the spacetime singularity will be naked. Thus this conjecture is deeply related also to the cosmic censorship [7]. In order to confirm the hoop conjecture, Shapiro and Teukolsky performed a numerical simulation for the gravitational collapse of dust matter with spindlelike distributions [8], which is a relativistic counterpart of Lin-Mestel-Shu collapse [9]. Their result implies that a spacetime singularity without a horizon forms from a highly elongated distribution of matter. This is a strong candidate for a counter-example of the cosmic censorship conjecture,¹ whereas based on the study about an infinitesimally thin cylindrical shell, Apostolatos and Thorne argued that the effect of the rotation will halt the spindle gravitational collapse [13]. Any complete answer for this issue has not been given yet [14,15].

In this paper, we reanalyze the cylindrical shell model originally studied by Apostolatos and Thorne. Our study is based on the evaluation of the C-energy at the future null infinity, whereas the argument by Apostolatos and Thorne is on the C-energy for bounded domains. Because of the difference between our study and theirs, the conclusions are rather different from each other.

In this paper, we adopt the geometrized units c = 1 = G, and our notation follows the textbook of Hawking and Ellis [16].

^{*}knakao@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

daisuke.ida@gakushuin.ac.jp

^{*}kurita@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

¹Even though this example is really a counter-example of the cosmic censorship, the appearance of naked singularity in the framework of general relativity does not necessarily imply a disaster in the real world. In a large curvature region, quantum effects will be important and the divergences of physical quantities will not be realized (see, for example, [10,11]). Thus we should consider that the naked singularity formation in the framework of general relativity implies the occurrence of observable quantum phenomena in strong gravity [12].

II. CYLINDRICAL SHELL COMPOSED OF COUNTER-ROTATING PARTICLES

A. Spacetime with whole cylinder symmetry

In this section, we review the infinitesimally thin cylindrical shell model studied by Apostolatos and Thorne [13]. Hereafter, we refer to this shell as the Apostolatos-Thorne (AT)-shell. The AT-shell is composed of dust particles with an identical rest mass and an absolute value of angular momentum, and one half of those has a positive angular momentum, whereas the other half has a negative one, such that the net angular momentum is zero, and all the particles remain to be on a cylindrical shell. In this case, the spacetime (M, g) has the whole cylinder symmetry or equivalently has the metric tensor with the local form [17,18]

$$g = e^{2(\gamma^* - \psi)} (-dt^* \otimes dt^* + dr^* \otimes dr^*) + r^2 e^{-2\psi} d\varphi$$
$$\otimes d\varphi + e^{2\psi} dz \otimes dz, \tag{1}$$

where γ^* , ψ , and *r* depend on t^* and r^* . The ranges of coordinates are given by $-\infty < t^*$, $z < +\infty$, $0 \le r^* < +\infty$, $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$. The axis of rotational symmetry is located at $r^* = 0$. The coordinate basis $\partial/\partial \varphi$ and $\partial/\partial z$ are the rotational and translational Killing vectors, respectively.

If the stress-energy tensor, **T**, satisfies the condition

$$-\mathbf{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^*}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t^*}\right) + \mathbf{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^*}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r^*}\right) = 0, \qquad (2)$$

then the Einstein equations impose the wave equation in the 2-dimensional Minkowski spacetime on the radial coordinate function as

$$\partial_{t^*}^2 r - \partial_{r^*}^2 r = 0. \tag{3}$$

The solution of the above equation takes a form

$$r = f(v^*) + g(w^*),$$
 (4)

where

$$v^* = t^* + r^*, \qquad w^* = t^* - r^*,$$
 (5)

and *f* and *g* are arbitrary functions. Here we restrict ourselves to the case that the r = [const] hypersurface is timelike, i.e., the inequality $(\partial_{t*}r)^2 < (\partial_{r*}r)^2$ holds. Then we adopt the metric variable, *r*, as a new radial coordinate, and further adopt a function defined by

$$t = f(v^*) - g(w^*)$$
(6)

as the new time coordinate. The metric in this new coordinate system can be expressed as

$$g = e^{2(\gamma - \psi)} (-dt \otimes dt + dr \otimes dr) + r^2 e^{-2\psi} d\varphi \otimes d\varphi + e^{2\psi} dz \otimes dz,$$
(7)

where

$$\gamma = \gamma^* - \frac{1}{2} \ln[(\partial_{r^*} r)^2 - (\partial_{t^*} r)^2]$$
(8)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 77, 044021 (2008)

has been defined. Here only a pair of metric variables, γ and ψ , appears.

We assume that the AT-shell is put in the vacuum spacetime. Therefore, the metric takes the form of Eq. (7) in both the inside and outside regions of the AT-shell. The Einstein equations lead to the equations for γ and ψ as

$$\partial_t \gamma = 2r(\partial_t \psi) \partial_r \psi, \tag{9}$$

$$\partial_r \gamma = r[(\partial_t \psi)^2 + (\partial_r \psi)^2], \qquad (10)$$

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r}\partial_r\right)\psi = 0.$$
(11)

We also assume that the space is not closed in r direction, i.e.,

$$re^{\psi} > 0 \quad \text{for } r > 0. \tag{12}$$

B. Description of the AT-shell

The trajectory of an AT-shell in the spacetime is a timelike hypersurface, Σ_{AT} . Though the spacetime is singular on Σ_{AT} , it can consistently be treated by Israel's metric junction method [19–21]. The AT-shell, Σ_{AT} , divides the spacetime into two regions. We refer to the inside region of $\Sigma_{\rm AT}$ as M_{-} and the outside one as M_{+} . Even if $\Sigma_{\rm AT}$ is singular, we can require that the metric tensor g and the Killing vectors $\partial/\partial \varphi$, $\partial/\partial z$ are continuous at Σ_{AT} . It can be easily seen that the continuity of $g(\partial/\partial \varphi, \partial/\partial \varphi)$ and $g(\partial/\partial z, \partial/\partial z)$ implies the continuity of the coordinate function, r, and the metric variable, ψ , across Σ_{AT} . By contrast, the continuity of γ across Σ_{AT} is not guaranteed and this means that the coordinate function, t, and accordingly, the coordinate basis, $\partial/\partial t$, may not be continuous across Σ_{AT} . Then, the evolution of the cylindrical AT-shell is characterized by its radial coordinate $r = R(\tau)$, where τ is the proper time naturally defined on the AT-shell. The circumferential radius of the AT-shell is given by $\mathcal{R}(\tau) =$ $e^{-\psi_{\rm s}(\tau)}R(\tau)$, where $\psi_{\rm s}(\tau)$ is the value of ψ evaluated on the AT-shell.

We introduce the proper reference frame of an observer riding on the AT-shell as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}_{U} = X_{\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\pm}} + V \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \text{four velocity of the shell,}$$
(13)

$$\mathbf{E}_{N} = V \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\pm}} + X_{\pm} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$$

= outward unit vector normal to the shell, (14)

$$\mathbf{E}_{\varphi} = \frac{e^{\psi_{s}}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi},\tag{15}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{z} = e^{-\psi_{s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z},\tag{16}$$

where

$$V := \frac{dR}{d\tau},\tag{17}$$

$$X_{\pm} := \frac{dt_{\pm}}{d\tau} = \sqrt{e^{-2(\gamma_{\pm} - \psi_{s})} + V^{2}}.$$
 (18)

The subscripts + and - are used to denote quantities evaluated on the outer and inner faces of the AT-shell, respectively, if necessary.

As mentioned above, the AT-shell is made of counterrotating dust particles which move along timelike geodesics whose tangents are denoted by **u**. By virtue of the rotational isometry generated by $\partial/\partial \varphi$, the specific angular momentum (the angular momentum per unit rest mass) of each particle is conserved. Therefore, the component of **u** in the direction tangent to \mathbf{E}_{φ} is given by

$$g(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{E}_{\varphi}) = \pm \frac{\alpha}{\mathcal{R}} = :\pm u,$$
 (19)

where $\alpha > 0$ is a positive constant corresponding to an absolute value of the specific angular momentum. Since the rest mass of each particle is a conserved quantity, the shell's rest mass per unit Killing length is also conserved, which we denote by λ . We assume that λ is positive, i.e., $\lambda > 0$ holds. Then the surface stress-energy tensor, **S**, of the AT-shell is given by

$$\mathbf{S} = \sigma \left(\mathbf{E}_U \otimes \mathbf{E}_U + \frac{u^2}{1+u^2} \mathbf{E}_\phi \otimes \mathbf{E}_\phi \right), \qquad (20)$$

where we have defined

$$\sigma := \frac{\lambda \sqrt{1+u^2}}{2\pi R} \tag{21}$$

as the surface energy density of the AT-shell.

In accordance with Israel's prescription, the Einstein equations for the AT-shell reduce to

$$\mathbf{K}_{+} - \mathbf{K}_{-} = 8\pi \left[\mathbf{S} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathrm{Tr}\mathbf{S}) \boldsymbol{h} \right], \qquad (22)$$

where \mathbf{K}_+ and \mathbf{K}_- are the extrinsic curvatures of the ATshell relative to the external region M_+ and the internal region M_- , respectively. The above equation leads to the junction conditions on the metric variables as

$$\mathbf{E}_N \psi_+ - \mathbf{E}_N \psi_- = -\frac{2\lambda}{R\sqrt{1+u^2}},\qquad(23)$$

$$X_{+} - X_{-} = -4\lambda\sqrt{1 + u^2},$$
 (24)

and

$$\frac{dV}{d\tau} = V \mathbf{E}_U \psi_{\rm s} - R[(\mathbf{E}_U \psi_{\rm s})^2 + (\mathbf{E}_N \psi_-)^2] + \frac{X_- \mathbf{E}_N \psi_-}{1 + u^2} - \frac{X_- \lambda}{R(1 + u^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{X_- X_+ u^2}{R(1 + u^2)},$$
(25)

where

$$\mathbf{E}_{U}f_{s} = X_{\pm} \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial t_{\pm}} + V \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial r} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{N}f_{\pm} = V \frac{\partial f_{\pm}}{\partial t_{\pm}} + X_{\pm} \frac{\partial f_{\pm}}{\partial r}.$$
(26)

C. Momentarily static and radiation-free initial data

Here we consider the initial data with the momentarily static and radiation-free (MSRF) conditions

$$V = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \psi = 0 = \partial_t^2 \psi. \tag{27}$$

By solving the Einstein Eqs. (9)–(11), the metric variables in M_+ are given by

$$\gamma = \gamma_+ + \kappa^2 \ln(r/R), \qquad (28)$$

$$\psi = \psi_{\rm i} - \kappa \ln(r/R), \tag{29}$$

where γ_+ , ψ_i , and κ are integration constants. In contrast, the solutions in M_- should satisfy the regularity condition at the symmetry axis, r = 0, as

$$\gamma|_{r=0} = 0, \qquad \partial_r \psi|_{r=0} = 0.$$
 (30)

Since ψ should be continuous across the AT-shell, the metric variables in M_{-} are given by

$$\gamma = 0, \qquad \psi = \psi_{\rm i}. \tag{31}$$

The junction conditions (23) and (24) give relationships between the integration constants γ_+ , κ , ψ_i and the quantities characterizing the AT-shell as

$$\gamma_{+} = -\ln(1 - 4\Lambda\sqrt{1 + u^2}),$$
 (32)

$$\kappa = \frac{2\Lambda}{(1 - 4\Lambda\sqrt{1 + u^2})\sqrt{1 + u^2}},$$
(33)

where $\Lambda := \lambda e^{-\psi_i}$ is the rest mass per unit proper length. Here note that in order that the space is not closed in the *r* direction, $\Lambda\sqrt{1+u^2} < 1/4$ must be satisfied. This condition guarantees the positivity of κ , i.e., $\kappa > 0$.

As shown by Apostolatos and Thorne, Eq. (25) is rewritten in the form

$$\frac{dV}{d\tau} = (\text{positive quantity}) \times [\Lambda_{\text{eq}} - \Lambda], \quad (34)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\rm eq}(u) := \frac{u^2 \sqrt{1+u^2}}{(1+2u^2)^2}.$$
(35)

The above equation shows that if the rest mass per proper length Λ is greater than Λ_{eq} , the MSRF AT-shell starts contracting, whereas if Λ is smaller than Λ_{eq} , it starts expanding. The initial data of $\Lambda = \Lambda_{eq}$ corresponds to the static configuration. We can easily see from Eq. (33) that $\kappa = 2u^2$ in the static case.

III. C-ENERGY ARGUMENT FOR THE FINAL CONFIGURATION

A. C-energy

The C-energy E has been proposed by Thorne as a quasilocal energy which is the energy included within the cylinder with finite radius and with unit Killing length [22].

$$E := \frac{1}{4} \left[\gamma^* - \frac{1}{2} \ln\{(\partial_{r^*} r)^2 - (\partial_{t^*} r)^2\} \right].$$
(36)

Because the external region of the AT-shell is vacuum spacetime, the expression for the C-energy reduces to

$$E := \frac{\gamma}{4}.$$
 (37)

As shown in the preceding section, the metric function, γ , diverges logarithmically in the limit of $r \rightarrow +\infty$ for the MSRF initial data. This means that $E(t, +\infty)$ of MSRF initial data is necessarily infinite and thus the value of the "total" energy per unit Killing length is meaningless. Similar situations also appear in the Newtonian gravity; the depth of gravitational potential produced by cylindrically distributed matter is infinite. However, the temporal variation of the total energy per unit Killing length is meaningful and crucial for a later discussion.

The advanced and retarded time coordinates v and w, defined by

$$v = t + r, \qquad w = t - r, \tag{38}$$

are convenient to express the C-energy carried by the gravitational waves. We refer to the null hypersurface, $v \rightarrow +\infty$, at infinity as the future null infinity, I^+ . (For general arguments on the future null infinity in the spacetime with a space-translation Killing field, see Refs. [23,24].) Because of the gravitational emissions, the C-energy will vary with w on the null hypersurface, v = [const]. The retarded time function w plays a role of a time function on the null hypersurface given by v = [const]. From Eqs. (9) and (10), the derivative of E with respect to w becomes

$$\partial_{w}E = \frac{1}{4}\partial_{w}\gamma = -\frac{r}{2}(\partial_{w}\psi)^{2} \le 0.$$
(39)

Taking the limit of $v \rightarrow +\infty$, it can be seen that the Cenergy is a nonincreasing function on I^+ with respect to w, which was shown by Stachel by the argument in terms of the news function [3].

Here we study the time variation of the C-energy on I^+ , which will be caused by the gravitational waves from the AT-shell initially in the MSRF state. Let the MSRF initial Cauchy surface be located at $t = t_i$ in terms of both the external and internal time coordinates, and let us refer to this Cauchy surface by Σ_i . The initial radial coordinate of the AT-shell, $\Sigma_{AT} \cap \Sigma_i$, is denoted by $R = R_i$. Then the causal future of the initial location of the AT-shell, $J^+(\Sigma_{AT} \cap \Sigma_i)$, is given by the condition, $v_+ \ge v_i$ and $w_- \ge w_i$, where v_i and w_i are given by

$$v_i := t_i + R_i, \qquad w_i := t_i - R_i.$$
 (40)

The portion of the spacetime, $D^+(\Sigma_i) - J^+(\Sigma_{AT} \cap \Sigma_i)$, remains static, while the region $J^+(\Sigma_{AT} \cap \Sigma_i)$ will be dynamical due to the gravitational waves generated by the motion of the AT-shell.

Let us describe the evolution of ψ on M_+ as

$$\psi = \psi_{i} - \kappa \ln \frac{r}{R_{i}} + \delta_{\psi}.$$
 (41)

By virtue of the linearity of Eq. (11), δ_{ψ} is subject to the same equation as that for ψ as

$$\left(\partial_t^2 - \partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r}\partial_r\right)\delta_{\psi} = 0.$$
(42)

Because the region $D^+(\Sigma_i) - J^+(\Sigma_{AT} \cap \Sigma_i)$ remains static, the solution in M_+ of our interest takes the form [25]

$$\delta_{\psi} = \int_{-\infty}^{t-r} \frac{p(\xi)}{\sqrt{(t-\xi)^2 - r^2}} d\xi$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{p(\xi)}{\sqrt{(v-\xi)(w-\xi)}} d\xi, \tag{43}$$

where $p(\xi)$ is a function which vanishes for $\xi < w_i$ and will decay for $\xi \to +\infty$. The derivative of δ_{ψ} with respect to w is given by

$$\partial_{w}\delta_{\psi} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{w+\epsilon} \frac{p(\xi)}{\sqrt{(v-\xi)(w+\epsilon-\xi)}} d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{p(\xi)}{\sqrt{(v-\xi)(w-\xi)}} d\xi \right]$$
$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{p(\xi+\epsilon)}{\sqrt{(v-\xi-\epsilon)(w-\xi)}} d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{p(\xi)}{\sqrt{(v-\xi)(w-\xi)}} d\xi \right]$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w-\xi}} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\frac{p(\xi)}{\sqrt{v-\xi}} \right) d\xi.$$
(44)

Substituting the above results into Eq. (39), we have

$$\lim_{v \to +\infty} \partial_w E = -\frac{P^2(w)}{2},\tag{45}$$

where

$$P(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{1}{\sqrt{w - \xi}} \frac{dp}{d\xi}(\xi) d\xi$$
(46)

has been defined. Provided that P(w) is finite, the rate of change in E is also finite on I^+ .

In order to define the quasilocal energy finite even in the limit of $r \rightarrow \infty$ for the system with whole cylinder symmetry, Thorne introduced an alternative definition of the C-energy as

$$E^{(\text{new})} := \frac{1}{8}(1 - e^{-8E}).$$
 (47)

For the MSRF initial data, this new version of the C-energy always becomes 1/8 in the limit of $r \rightarrow \infty$. For $t > t_i$, γ in M_+ is written in the form

$$\gamma = \gamma_{+} + \kappa^2 \ln \frac{r}{R_{\rm i}} + \delta_{\gamma}. \tag{48}$$

From Eqs. (37) and (45), we find that δ_{γ} at I^+ is determined by

$$\lim_{v \to +\infty} \partial_w \delta_\gamma = -2P^2(w), \tag{49}$$

and therefore, we have

$$\lim_{w \to +\infty} \delta_{\gamma} = -2\theta(w - w_{\rm i}) \int_{w_{\rm i}}^{w} P^2(\xi) d\xi, \qquad (50)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside's step function. From the above results, it can be seen that δ_{γ} is finite. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{v \to +\infty} \partial_{w} E^{(\text{new})} = \lim_{v \to +\infty} \left(\frac{2R_{i}}{v-w}\right)^{2\kappa^{2}} e^{-2(\gamma_{+}+\delta_{\gamma})} \partial_{w} E = 0.$$
(51)

Thus, $E^{(\text{new})}$ is constant on I^+ and this is useless for discussing how much energy is released into the future null infinity, I^+ . For this reason, we adopt the original definition for the C-energy, *E*.

B. Final static configuration

The MSRF initial data with $\Lambda = \Lambda_i \neq \Lambda_{eq}$ dynamically evolves. At first glance, the system seems to settle down into a static configuration with $\Lambda = \Lambda_{eq}$ by emitting or absorbing the gravitational waves. Thus, at first, we assume that the final configuration is static and consider the relation between MSRF initial data and the final configuration.

The C-energy of the initial configuration E_i and that of the final configuration E_f are given by

$$E_{\rm i} = \frac{1}{4} \bigg[-\ln(1 - 4\Lambda_{\rm i}\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm i}^2}) + \kappa_{\rm i}^2 \ln\frac{r}{R_{\rm i}} \bigg], \qquad (52)$$

$$E_{\rm f} = \frac{1}{4} \left[-\ln(1 - 4\Lambda_{\rm eq}(u_{\rm f})\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm f}^2}) + \kappa_{\rm f}^2 \ln\frac{r}{R_{\rm f}} \right].$$
(53)

Here and hereafter, quantities with a subscript "i" denote those of initial data, whereas those with subscript "f" denote those of the final configuration. Note that the Cenergy at $w = w_i$ is given by $E = E_i$. After the system settles down in a static configuration, the C-energy in the causal future of the static AT-shell is given by Eq. (53). Thus the difference between the initial C-energy at $w = w_i$ and the final one is estimated on I^+ as

$$\Delta E = \lim_{v \to +\infty} \int_{w_{i}}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial E}{\partial w} dw$$

=
$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{4} \left[\ln \frac{1 - 4\Lambda_{i}\sqrt{1 + u_{i}^{2}}}{1 - 4\Lambda_{eq}(u_{f})\sqrt{1 + u_{f}^{2}}} + (\kappa_{f}^{2} - \kappa_{i}^{2})\ln r - \kappa_{f}^{2}\ln R_{f} + \kappa_{i}^{2}\ln R_{i} \right].$$
(54)

We can see from the above equation that if κ_f^2 is different from κ_i^2 , the energy difference ΔE is infinite. However, we can see from Eqs. (48) and (50) that δ_{γ} is finite and thus the coefficients, κ , in the logarithmic term are unchanged by the gravitational emissions, i.e., $\kappa_i = \kappa_f = \kappa$ hold (this was pointed out by Marder [2]). This fact is very important. On this ground, we can uniquely specify the static configuration realized from given MSRF initial data.

By virtue of the freedom of the constant scaling, we can assume that the initial value of ψ_s , i.e., ψ_i , is zero, without loss of generality. Then, the initial rest mass per unit proper length Λ_i equals λ . The final static configuration is characterized only by $u = u_f$ and $\psi_s = \psi_f$, noting that the specific angular momentum α and the rest mass per unit Killing length λ are conserved quantities. Since the final configuration is static,

$$\kappa = 2u_{\rm f}^2 \tag{55}$$

holds. Substituting this relation into Eq. (33), we obtain

$$u_{\rm f} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{(1 - 4\lambda\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm i}^2})\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm i}^2}}}.$$
 (56)

The final values of \mathcal{R} and ψ_s are given by using u_f in the form,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm f} = \frac{\alpha}{u_{\rm f}},\tag{57}$$

$$\psi_{\rm f} = \ln \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda_{\rm eq}(u_{\rm f})}.$$
(58)

Substituting Eq. (56) into the above equations, the final values of \mathcal{R}_{f} and ψ_{f} can be evaluated as functions of u_{i} .

Here we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1 Consider the MSRF initial data of the ATshell composed of dust particles with nonzero specific angular momenta, i.e., $\alpha > 0$ holds. If gravitational emissions from the AT-shell per unit Killing length are finite, and if the initial circumferential radius \mathcal{R}_i of the AT-shell is greater than the critical value given by KEN-ICHI NAKAO, DAISUKE IDA, AND YASUNARI KURITA

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm c}(u_{\rm i};\alpha,\lambda) := \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda}} (1 - 4\lambda\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm i}^2})\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm i}^2}, \quad (59)$$

where $u_i = \alpha / \mathcal{R}_i$, i.e., $\mathcal{R}_i > \mathcal{R}_c$ holds, then the AT-shell does not settle down into a static configuration.

Proof: Suppose that the MNRS initial data of the ATshell with the circumferential radius $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_i$ settles down into a static configuration with the circumferential radius \mathcal{R}_f . Provided that the gravitational emissions from the ATshell are finite, Eqs. (56) and (57) imply that the final circumferential radius \mathcal{R}_f is equal to \mathcal{R}_c . Since κ remains constant, the energy difference ΔE takes the form

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{4}(A+B),\tag{60}$$

where

$$A = \ln \frac{1 - 4\lambda \sqrt{1 + u_{\rm i}^2}}{1 - 4\Lambda_{\rm eq}(u_{\rm f})\sqrt{1 + u_{\rm f}^2}},$$
 (61)

$$B = -2u_{\rm f}^2 \ln \frac{R_{\rm f}}{R_{\rm i}}.$$
(62)

Using Eqs. (56), we rewrite A in the form

$$A = \ln \left[1 - \frac{4u_{i}^{4}\hat{\lambda}(1-\hat{\lambda})}{1+4u_{i}^{2}(1+u_{i}^{2})(1-\hat{\lambda})} \right], \quad (63)$$

where $\hat{\lambda} := \lambda / \Lambda_{eq}(u_i)$.

The condition that the space is not closed in r direction leads to the following inequality:

$$1 - 4\lambda \sqrt{1 + u_i^2} > 0,$$
 (64)

which is equivalent to

$$1 + 4u_{i}^{2}(1 + u_{i}^{2})(1 - \hat{\lambda}) > 0.$$
(65)

From Eq. (63) and the above inequality, A is positive if $\hat{\lambda} > 1$ holds. This condition, $\hat{\lambda} > 1$, is equivalent to the condition $\mathcal{R}_{f} < \mathcal{R}_{i}$, which immediately follows from the equation

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_{\rm f}^2}{\mathcal{R}_{\rm i}^2} = \frac{u_{\rm i}^2}{u_{\rm f}^2} = 1 + \frac{(1+2u_{\rm i}^2)^2}{\hat{\lambda}}(1-\hat{\lambda}),\tag{66}$$

where Eq. (56) has been used. Hence A is positive for $\mathcal{R}_{f} < \mathcal{R}_{i}$.

Next, from the definition of \mathcal{R} and Eq. (58), we have

$$\frac{R_{\rm f}}{R_{\rm i}} = \frac{\lambda \mathcal{R}_{\rm f}}{\Lambda_{\rm eq}(u_{\rm f})\mathcal{R}_{\rm i}} = \hat{\lambda} \frac{u_{\rm i} \Lambda_{\rm eq}(u_{\rm i})}{u_{\rm f} \Lambda_{\rm eq}(u_{\rm f})}.$$
(67)

From Eq. (66), we have

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{4(1+u_{\rm i}^2) + 1/u_{\rm i}^2}{4(1+u_{\rm i}^2) + 1/u_{\rm f}^2}.$$
(68)

Substituting the above equation into Eq. (67), we have

$$\frac{R_{\rm f}}{R_{\rm i}} = \frac{4(1+u_{\rm i}^2)+1/u_{\rm i}^2}{4(1+u_{\rm i}^2)+1/u_{\rm f}^2} \cdot \frac{(1+2u_{\rm f}^2)^2}{(1+2u_{\rm i}^2)^2} \cdot \frac{u_{\rm i}^3\sqrt{1+u_{\rm i}^2}}{u_{\rm f}^3\sqrt{1+u_{\rm f}^2}}.$$
 (69)

It is easily seen from the above equation that $R_f = R_i$ holds, if $u_f = u_i$ or equivalently $\mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{R}_i$ holds. The partial derivative of R_f/R_i with respect to u_f with u_i fixed becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\rm f}} \left(\frac{R_{\rm f}}{R_{\rm i}} \right) = -\frac{4(1+u_{\rm i}^2) + 1/u_{\rm i}^2}{[4(1+u_{\rm i}^2) + 1/u_{\rm f}^2]^2} \cdot \frac{1+2u_{\rm f}^2}{u_{\rm f}^6(1+u_{\rm f}^2)^{3/2}} \\ \cdot \frac{u_{\rm i}^3 \sqrt{1+u_{\rm i}^2}}{(1+2u_{\rm i}^2)^2} [4(1+u_{\rm i}^2)u_{\rm f}^4 + 4(2+3u_{\rm i}^2)u_{\rm f}^2 + 1].$$
(70)

Therefore, R_f/R_i is a decreasing function of u_f for fixed u_i , in the domain, $u_i > 0$. This implies that $R_f < R_i$ holds, if $u_f > u_i$ or equivalently $\mathcal{R}_f < \mathcal{R}_i$ holds. Hence *B* is positive, if $\mathcal{R}_f < \mathcal{R}_i$ is satisfied.

Combining the above results, it is concluded that $\Delta E > 0$ holds, i.e., the C-energy on I^+ increases, if $\mathcal{R}_i > \mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{R}_c$ holds. However this is impossible, since the C-energy is a nonincreasing function on I^+ .

Finally, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2 There exists an MSRF initial data set of an AT-shell composed of dust particles with nonzero specific angular momenta, $\alpha > 0$, which does not settle down into the static configuration.

Proof: We shall show that the MSRF initial data with the condition $\mathcal{R}_i > \mathcal{R}_c$ exists. The condition $\mathcal{R}_i > \mathcal{R}_c$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\lambda}{u_{\rm i}^2(1-4\lambda\sqrt{1+u_{\rm i}^2})\sqrt{1+u_{\rm i}^2}} > 1.$$
(71)

We rewrite the above inequality in the form

$$F(u_i^2) > 0,$$
 (72)

where

$$F(x) = \lambda^2 (2x+1)^4 - x^2 (1+x).$$
(73)

In order that the space is not closed in r direction, the inequality

$$u_i^2 < \frac{1}{16\lambda^2} - 1 \tag{74}$$

must be satisfied. (In order that this condition is satisfied, λ must be smaller than 1/4.) Here we investigate whether Eq. (72) is possible in the domain $0 < u_i < \sqrt{1/16\lambda^2 - 1}$. It is easy to see

$$\lim_{u_{i} \to 0} F(u_{i}^{2}) = \lambda^{2} > 0.$$
(75)

Since F(x) is continuous, the intersection between the

domain $0 < u_i < \sqrt{1/16\lambda^2 - 1}$ and the open neighborhood of $u_i = 0$ is not empty, in which the inequality (72) is satisfied.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Apostolatos and Thorne showed that the C-energy within a bounded domain $r < r_0$ of an MSRF configuration is minimized by the equilibrium configuration with both α and λ fixed and further with $\psi(r_0) = 0$. They also proved that the C-energy within the domain $r < r_0$ of a dynamical configuration is always greater than that of an MSRF configuration that has the same α , λ , R, and $\psi(r_0) = 0$, but different V, $\psi(r)$, and $\partial_t \psi(r)$. By noticing that the gravitational radiation carries the C-energy from a bounded domain to outside of it, they inferred from the above two facts that the energy of the oscillating AT-shell is released by the gravitational emissions, and then the ATshell will settle down into a static equilibrium state. Their speculation seems to be physically reasonable, but rigorous proof has not been given there.

In this paper, by assuming that gravitational radiation carries finite C-energy from the AT-shell to the future null infinity, we have shown that the MSRF initial data of the AT-shell does not necessarily settle down into the static state. Our argument is based on the nonincreasing nature of the C-energy on the future null infinity. If the initial circumferential radius of the AT-shell is greater than that of the expected final static state, then the AT-shell cannot settle down into the static state. By contrast, it is not forbidden by this C-energy argument that the AT-shell with an initial circumferential radius smaller than the expected final static value settles down to the equilibrium configuration. We expect from this fact that the outward motion of the AT-shell is caused by gravitational emissions. If this expectation is real, the AT-shell with $\mathcal{R}_i >$ \mathcal{R}_{c} will go to infinity by the secular outward motion. Thus

the static equilibrium configuration of the AT-shell will be unstable for the outward displacement. Since this secular motion may be ascribed to gravitational radiation, there is no Newtonian counterpart of this instability.

At first glance, this behavior of the AT-shell is somewhat terrible, but it might not be so if we consider a cylinder of infinitesimally thin shell composed of dust particles but with finite length L which is initially much greater than its circumferential radius \mathcal{R} . The motion of this finite cylindrical thin shell will be well approximated by the AT-shell model. Then, due to the instability mentioned above, \mathcal{R} of the central part of the cylinder might become larger and larger, but finally its motion cannot be approximated by the AT-shell when \mathcal{R} becomes comparable to L. In other words, the initial cylindrical shape might approach to the spherical shape by the gravitational emission. If this expectation is real, our situation seems to be similar to the well-known phenomenon that the initial eccentric orbit of a compact star binary approaches to the circular orbit by the gravitational emissions [26]. However, it has not been rigorously shown that the above scenario is true. Rather, at present, there remains a possibility that the AT-shell collapses to form a naked singularity by this instability. In order to see whether the scenario of outward secular motion is true, we have got to investigate directly the solution, but this is a future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is our pleasure to thank Hideki Ishihara for his valuable discussion. We are also grateful to colleagues in the astrophysics and gravity group of Osaka City University for helpful discussion and criticism. Y. K. is supported by the 21st Century COE "Constitution of wide-angle mathematical basis focused on knots" from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

- A. Einstein and N. Rosen, J. Franklin Inst. 223, 43 (1937). Stachel [3] pointed out that the cylindrical wave solutions of the Einstein's equation was first discovered by Beck; G. Beck, Z. Phys. 33, 713 (1925).
- [2] L. Marder, Proc. R. Soc. A 244, 524 (1958).
- [3] J.J. Stachel, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 7, 1321 (1966).
- [4] T. Piran, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1085 (1978).
- [5] K. S. Thorne, in *Magic Without Magic; John Archibald Wheeler*, edited by J. Klauder (Frieman, San Francisco, 1972), p. 231.
- [6] S.A. Hayward, Classical Quantum Gravity **17**, 1749 (2000).
- [7] R. Penrose, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 1, 252 (1969).
- [8] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 994

(1991).

- [9] C.C. Lin, L. Mestel, and F.H. Shu, Astrophys. J. 142, 1431 (1965).
- [10] T. Vachaspati and D. Stojkovic, Phys. Rev. D 76, 024005 (2007).
- [11] T. Vachaspati and D. Stojkovic, arXiv:gr-qc/0701096.
- [12] T. Harada and K. Nakao, Phys. Rev. D 70, 041501 (2004).
- [13] T. A. Apostolatos and K. S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. D 46, 2435 (1992).
- [14] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. D 45, 2006 (1992).
- [15] M. A. Scheel, S. L. Shapiro, and S. A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. D 48, 592 (1993).

- [16] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge university Press, Cambridge, 1973).
- [17] M.A. Melvin, Phys. Lett. 8, 65 (1964).
- [18] M.A. Melvin, Phys. Rev. 139, B225 (1965).
- [19] W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento B 44, 1 (1966).
- [20] W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento B 48, 463 (1967).
- [21] W. Israel, Phys. Rev. 153, 1388 (1967).

- [22] K.S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. 138, B251 (1965).
- [23] A. Ashtekar, J. Bičák, and B. G. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 55, 669 (1997).
- [24] A. Ashtekar, J. Bičák, and B. G. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 55, 687 (1997).
- [25] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2000), section 71.
- [26] P.C. Peters, Phys. Rev. 136, B1224 (1964).