## Erratum: *CP* violation in hadronic $\tau$ decays [Phys. Rev. D 75, 074007 (2007)]

Alakabha Datta, Ken Kiers, David London, Patrick J. O'Donnell, and Alejandro Szynkman (Received 24 August 2007; published 23 October 2007)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.76.079902

PACS numbers: 13.30.Eg, 13.35.Dx, 11.30.Er, 99.10.Cd

In Ref. [1] we examined *CP* violation in hadronic  $\tau$  decays, focusing on the modes  $\tau \to V \pi \nu_{\tau}$ , with  $V = \omega$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $a_1$ . Our numerical calculations examined the  $\omega$  and  $a_1$  cases. We also made comments regarding the  $\rho$  case. The hadronic current for the decay  $\tau(l) \to V(q_1)\pi(q_2)\nu_{\tau}(l')$  may be written as

$$J^{\mu} = \langle V(q_1)\pi(q_2)|H^{\mu}|0\rangle$$
  
=  $F_1(Q^2)(Q^2\epsilon_1^{\mu} - \epsilon_1 \cdot q_2Q^{\mu}) + F_2(Q^2)\epsilon_1 \cdot q_2\left(q_1^{\mu} - q_2^{\mu} - Q^{\mu}\frac{Q \cdot (q_1 - q_2)}{Q^2}\right) + iF_3(Q^2)\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\epsilon_{1\alpha}q_{1\beta}q_{2\gamma}$   
+  $F_4(Q^2)\epsilon_1 \cdot q_2Q^{\mu}.$  (1)

In Ref. [1] we claimed that, for the case  $V = \rho$ , this current was expected to be dominated by the  $F_3$  term. Thus, we expected that this case could lead to a triple product asymmetry, but not to a polarization-dependent asymmetry. An explicit calculation in Ref. [2] indicates that the  $F_3$  term is absent for  $\tau \rightarrow \rho \pi \nu_{\tau}$ , which would imply that the triple product asymmetry for this case would be zero (although the polarization-dependent asymmetry could be non-negligible).

- [1] A. Datta, K. Kiers, D. London, P.J. O'Donnell, and A. Szynkman, Phys. Rev. D 75, 074007 (2007).
- [2] H. Davoudiasl and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 53, 2523 (1996).