Colliding branes in heterotic M theory

Jean-Luc Lehners,^{1,*} Paul McFadden,^{2,†} and Neil Turok^{1,‡}

¹DAMTP, CMS, Wilberforce Road, CB3 0WA, Cambridge, United Kingdom ²ITFA, Valckenierstraat 65, 1018XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Received 12 February 2007; published 31 May 2007)

We study the collision of two flat, parallel end-of-the-world branes in heterotic M theory. By insisting that there is no divergence in the Riemann curvature as the collision approaches, we are able to single out a unique solution possessing the local geometry of (2d compactified Milne)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}_3$, times a finite-volume Calabi-Yau manifold in the vicinity of the collision. At a finite time before and after the collision, a second type of singularity appears momentarily on the negative-tension brane, representing its bouncing off a zero of the bulk warp factor. We find this singularity to be remarkably mild and easily regularized. The various different cosmological solutions to heterotic M theory previously found by other authors are shown to merely represent different portions of a unique flat cosmological solution to heterotic M theory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.75.103510

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

The riddle of the initial singularity is one of the most basic challenges in cosmology. In standard fourdimensional general relativity, the Riemann curvature diverges at the big bang signaling an irretrievable breakdown of the theory. In higher-dimensional string and M theory, however, the nature of the initial singularity is significantly altered. Within the higher-dimensional picture, the Riemann curvature may remain small all the way to the singularity. In this situation, string and M theory corrections to the background geometry will be negligible to a first approximation, allowing one to attempt to study the propagation of strings and branes right up to, and perhaps even across, the singularity.

In this paper, we wish to study such a model of the cosmological background spacetime, within an especially well-motivated theoretical framework. Heterotic M theory is built on the profound correspondence between supergravity and strongly coupled heterotic string theory [1,2], and it remains one of the most promising approaches to the unification of particle physics and gravitation. From the perspective of particle phenomenology, heterotic M theory makes full use of branes and of the extra M theory dimension, in order to solve the fundamental puzzles of chirality and of the difference between the grand unified theory (GUT) and Planck scales. From a cosmological perspective, heterotic M theory provides a setup in which standard model matter is localized on branes, allowing the background density of such matter to remain finite at the initial singularity.

We consider the collision of two flat, parallel end-of-theworld branes within heterotic M theory [3,4]. From the standpoint of the four-dimensional effective theory, this event is a cosmological singularity of the usual catastrophic type. Yet from the higher-dimensional standpoint, the situation is far less singular. The density of standard model matter remains finite. Moreover, even the bulk spacetime between the branes is relatively well behaved: as we shall show, the Riemann curvature remains finite for all times away from the collision event itself. In the case of trivial, toroidal compactifications of M theory, this phenomenon is well known. Here, the colliding-brane spacetime is locally flat, a product of two-dimensional compactified Milne spacetime, modded out by \mathbb{Z}_2 , with nine-dimensional flat space. At the collision itself, the spacetime is non-Hausdorff since one dimension momentarily disappears. Nevertheless, the low-energy degrees of freedom, described near the collision by winding M2branes (or, equivalently, weakly coupled heterotic strings), possess a regular evolution across the singularity [5].

In more realistic models, six spatial dimensions are compactified on a Calabi-Yau manifold. The dynamics are then described by a five-dimensional effective field theory known as heterotic M theory, which is a consistent truncation of 11-dimensional supergravity coupled to the boundary branes [6,7]. Recently, an improved formulation has been developed which avoids problematic terms involving squares of delta functions [8,9], giving one greater confidence that classical solutions of the five-dimensional effective theory do indeed provide consistent M theory backgrounds. In this paper, we shall show that these equations possess a unique global solution representing the collision of two flat end-of-the-world branes which, in the vicinity of the collision, reduces to (2d compactified Milne)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}_3$, times a finite-volume Calabi-Yau manifold. In this solution, the Riemann curvature is again bounded at all times away from the collision event itself. Our solution offers the intriguing prospect of modeling the big bang as a brane collision in a setup with a high degree of physical realism.

The idea that the big bang was a brane collision in heterotic M theory was first proposed in [3,4]. However, the solution representing the approach and collision of two

^{*}E-mail: j.lehners@damtp.cam.ac.uk

[†]E-mail: mcfadden@science.uva.nl

^{*}E-mail: n.g.turok@damtp.cam.ac.uk

branes has not so far been given in complete form. Important steps towards such a solution were taken by Chamblin and Reall [10], who found a static solution for the bulk geometry in which moving, spatially flat branes can be consistently embedded. The bulk geometry possesses a timelike virtual naked singularity lying "beyond" the negative-tension boundary brane. Two qualitatively different solutions then exist according to whether the boundary branes move through the static bulk in the same, or in opposing, directions. Both of these solutions, together with their time-reverses, are naturally combined within our global solution. In a more recent paper [11], Chen et al. considered the solution where both the positiveand negative-tension boundary branes move towards the virtual singularity. They found an exact solution in a convenient alternative coordinate system which is comoving with the branes. However, Chen et al. found that both branes encounter the naked singularity, resulting in the annihilation of the entire spacetime.

In this paper, we shall argue for a different fate of the Chen *et al.* solution. We shall show that the naked singularity is "repulsive" as far as the negative-tension brane is concerned, so the brane approaches it with vanishing speed. The ensuing singularity is extremely mild and is easily regulated, for example, by introducing an arbitrarily small amount of matter on the negative-tension brane. The result is a smooth bounce, at finite Calabi-Yau volume, so that the negative-tension brane recoils from the singularity and collides with the positive-tension brane. The end-ofthe-world brane collision is locally (2d compactified Milne)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}_3$, with a finite-volume Calabi-Yau manifold. Assuming that M theory can deal with this second singularity in the manner described by [5], we can follow the system through. The M theory dimension then reappears and the negative-tension brane is thrown back towards the naked singularity. A second bounce of the negative-tension brane occurs before the system continues into the future with both branes expanding. The complete solution is illustrated in the Kruskal diagram shown in Fig. 1.

We will present the single, global solution in two different coordinate systems, each of which has some merit. In the first system, which comoves with the branes so that they are kept at fixed coordinate locations, only the bulk is dynamic. Here, we are able to derive the solution as a series expansion in the relative rapidity of the branes at the collision. This method has been previously applied to solve for the bulk geometry and cosmological perturbations of the Randall-Sundrum model [12]. The chief virtue of this brane-comoving coordinate system is that it simplifies the junction conditions on the branes, and allows for a manageable treatment of cosmological perturbations.

In the second coordinate system, the branes move whereas the bulk is static. The boundary conditions that we impose at the moment of the collision, in conjunction with the assumed cosmological symmetry and spatial flat-



FIG. 1 (color online). A Kruskal plot of the entire solution, in the spirit of Chen *et al.* [11]. The exact trajectories of the positive- and negative-tension branes are plotted in dark and light shades respectively (red and green online), as they move through a static bulk spacetime containing a naked singularity (indicated by the thick black lines). Note, however, that only a slice of this bulk spacetime, namely, that sandwiched between the two branes, is actually present in the orbifold compactification. Representative orbits of the bulk Killing vector field are shown with dashed lines, while the solid straight lines indicate the Boyer axes. The "bounces" of the negative-tension brane off the naked singularity, as well as the collision of the branes themselves, are shown at a magnified scale in the inset. In this plot, we have chosen the relative rapidity of the brane collision to be $2y_0 = 1$. Analogous plots for greater collision rapidities may be found in Fig. 4.

COLLIDING BRANES IN HETEROTIC M THEORY

ness of the branes, allows us to derive a modified Birkhoff theorem singling out a unique solution. Away from the brane collision, this solution has finite Riemann curvature throughout, at least after suitable regularization of the bounce of the negative-tension brane. The ensuing evolution, before and after the latter event, is described by Chamblin and Reall's two solutions [10], the second of which Chen *et al.* succeeded in rewriting in a branecomoving coordinate system.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we review the standard static solution of heterotic M theory and fix notation. In Sec. III, we derive the colliding-brane solution in brane-comoving coordinates, as an expansion in the rapidity of the brane collision. In Sec. IV, we discuss the same solution in a coordinate system in which the bulk is static and the branes are moving. Considering the relevant modified Friedmann equation, we then show how the negative-tension brane bounces when an arbitrarily small amount of matter is present on the brane. Three appendices are devoted to technical matters. The first proves a Birkhoff-like theorem for the bulk. The second derives the equations governing the motion of branes in the bulk, and the third discusses the Kruskal extension of the bulk geometry. These calculations are used to make accurate plots of the brane trajectories, like that shown in Fig. 1.

II. HETEROTIC M THEORY

Eleven-dimensional supergravity can be compactified on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold to give a minimal five-dimensional supergravity theory [1,2,6,7]. Although the dimensional reduction of the graviton and the 4-form flux generates a large number of fields, it is consistent to retain only the five-dimensional graviton, and a scalar ϕ parametrizing the volume of the Calabi-Yau manifold (namely, $V_{CY} =$ e^{ϕ}). This theory can be consistently coupled to two fourdimensional boundary brane actions, provided that one keeps, in addition to gravity and the scalar, the components of the 4-form flux with all indices pointing in the Calabi-Yau directions. This flux thus appears as a scalar in five dimensions (albeit a constant one, as can be seen from its Bianchi identity [6,7]), and it leads to a potential for ϕ . The resulting dimensionally reduced effective action is given by

$$S = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^{2} - 6\alpha^{2} e^{-2\phi} \right]$$

+ $12\alpha \int_{y=-y_{0}} d^{4}x \sqrt{-g^{-}} e^{-\phi}$
- $12\alpha \int_{y=+y_{0}} d^{4}x \sqrt{-g^{+}} e^{-\phi},$ (2.1)

where α is related to the number of units of 4-form flux,¹

and where we have placed branes of opposite tensions at $y = \pm y_0$ (y being the coordinate transverse to the branes). In brane-comoving coordinates, the resulting equations of motion are

$$G_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ab}\phi^{,c}\phi_{,c} - 3g_{ab}\alpha^{2}e^{-2\phi} + 6\alpha[\delta(y+y_{0}) - \delta(y-y_{0})]\delta^{\mu}_{a}\delta^{\nu}_{b}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{yy}}}e^{-\phi},$$
(2.2)

$$= -12\alpha^{2}e^{-2\phi} + 12\alpha[\delta(y+y_{0}) - \delta(y-y_{0})]\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{yy}}}e^{-\phi}, \quad (2.3)$$

 $\Box \phi$

where Latin indices run over all five dimensions, but Greek indices run over only the four dimensions to which the y coordinate is normal. [The corresponding junction conditions follow in (3.4)]. The static domain wall vacuum solution [6,7] is given by

$$ds^{2} = h^{2/5}(y)(\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + dy^{2}), \qquad e^{\phi} = h^{6/5}(y),$$

$$h(y) = 5\alpha(y + y_{0}) + c, \qquad -y_{0} \le y \le y_{0}.$$
 (2.4)

The *y* coordinate is taken to span the orbifold S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 , with fixed points at $\pm y_0$. In an "extended" picture of the solution, obtained by \mathbb{Z}_2 reflecting the solution across the branes, there is a downward-pointing kink at $y = -y_0$ and an upward-pointing kink at $y = +y_0$. These ensure that the appropriate Israel matching conditions are satisfied, with the negative-tension brane being located at $y = -y_0$ and the positive-tension brane at $y = +y_0$. The integration constant *c* is arbitrary.

III. A COSMOLOGICAL SOLUTION WITH COLLIDING BRANES

We now wish to generalize the static solution above to allow for time dependence. In particular, we are interested in cosmological solutions in which the two boundary branes collide, with the five-dimensional spacetime geometry about the collision reducing to (2d compactified Milne)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. We will therefore take as our metric ansatz

$$ds^{2} = n^{2}(t, y)(-dt^{2} + t^{2}dy^{2}) + b^{2}(t, y)d\vec{x}^{2}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where the x^i span the three-dimensional spatial worldvolume of the branes, which we are assuming to be flat. This ansatz is in fact the most general form consistent with three-dimensional spatial homogeneity and isotropy, after we have made use of our freedom to write the (t, y) part of the metric in conformally flat Milne form. In the above, and throughout this section, we will take the branes to be fixed at the constant coordinate locations $y = \pm y_0$.

¹Compared to [6,7], we have rescaled α such that $\alpha = (\alpha_{\text{LOSW}}/3\sqrt{2})$.

JEAN-LUC LEHNERS, PAUL MCFADDEN, AND NEIL TUROK

The functions *n* and *b*, as well as the scalar field ϕ , are arbitrary functions² of *t* and *y*. In order that the collision be the "least singular" possible, we require that the brane scale factors, as well as the Calabi-Yau volume, be finite and nonzero at the collision. By an appropriate choice of units, we will therefore demand that *n*, *b* and e^{ϕ} all tend to unity as $t \rightarrow 0$. In this way the geometry about the collision reduces to the desired (2d compactified Milne)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ times a finite-volume Calabi-Yau manifold. Since the five-dimensional part of this geometry is flat, our solution will be protected from higher-derivative string or M theory corrections in the vicinity of the collision.

To solve the relevant equations of motion, (2.2) and (2.3), we will perform a perturbative expansion in the rapidity of the brane collision. This latter quantity is given by $2y_0$ (see, e.g., [14]), and so we are interested in the case in which $y_0 \ll 1$, i.e., the case in which the relative velocity of the branes at the collision is small. (See [12] for an analogous procedure in the case of the Randall-Sundrum model.)

To implement this expansion, we first introduce the rescaled time and orbifold coordinates

$$\omega = y/y_0, \qquad T = y_0 t, \qquad (3.2)$$

so that the branes are now located at $\omega = \pm 1$, independent of the rapidity of the brane collision. For convenience, we will also adopt the convention that primes denote derivatives with respect to ω and dots denote derivatives with respect to $\ln T$, i.e.,

$${}^{\prime} \equiv \partial_{\omega}, \qquad {}^{\cdot} \equiv T \partial_T. \tag{3.3}$$

In order to write down the junction conditions and the Einstein equations, it is useful to work with the variables $e^{\beta} = b^3$ and $e^{\nu} = y_0 nt = nT$. The junction conditions [15], valid when evaluated at $\omega = -1^+$ or $\omega = +1^-$, are then

$$\nu' = \alpha e^{\nu - \phi}, \qquad \beta' = 3\alpha e^{\nu - \phi}, \qquad \phi' = 6\alpha e^{\nu - \phi}.$$
(3.4)

Evaluating the ϕ , $G_0^0 + G_5^5$, G_5^5 , $G_0^0 + G_5^5 - (1/2)G_i^i$, and G_{05} equations in the bulk, we obtain the following set of equations:

$$\phi'' + \beta' \phi' + 12\alpha^2 e^{2\nu - 2\phi} = y_0^2 (\ddot{\phi} + \dot{\beta} \, \dot{\phi}), \qquad (3.5)$$

$$\beta'' + \beta'^2 + 6\alpha^2 e^{2\nu - 2\phi} = y_0^2 (\ddot{\beta} + \dot{\beta}^2), \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{3}\beta^{\prime 2} + \beta^{\prime}\nu^{\prime} - \frac{1}{4}\phi^{\prime 2} + 3\alpha^{2}e^{2\nu - 2\phi}$$
$$= y_{0}^{2} \left(\ddot{\beta} + \frac{2}{3}\dot{\beta}^{2} - \dot{\beta}\dot{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\dot{\phi}^{2}\right), \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\nu'' - \frac{1}{3}\beta'^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\phi'^{2} - \alpha^{2}e^{2\nu - 2\phi}$$

= $y_{0}^{2} \Big(\ddot{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\dot{\beta}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\dot{\phi}^{2}\Big),$ (3.8)

$$\dot{\beta}' + \frac{1}{3}\dot{\beta}\beta' - \dot{\nu}\beta' - \nu'\dot{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}\phi' = 0.$$
(3.9)

In the above, both the G_5^5 equation (3.7) and the G_{05} equation (3.9) involve only single derivatives with respect to ω . Applying the junction conditions, we find that both left-hand sides vanish when evaluated on the branes. The G_{05} equation is then trivially satisfied on the branes, while the G_5^5 equation yields the relation

$$\frac{b_{,TT}}{b} + \frac{b_{,T}^2}{b^2} - \frac{b_{,T}n_{,T}}{bn} + \frac{1}{12}\phi_{,T}^2 = 0, \qquad (3.10)$$

valid on both branes. Introducing the brane conformal time τ , defined on either brane via $by_0 d\tau = n dT$, this relation can be reexpressed as

$$\frac{b_{,\tau\tau}}{b} + \frac{1}{12}\phi_{,\tau}^2 = 0.$$
(3.11)

Notice that in the Einstein equations (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8), all terms involving time derivatives appear at $O(y_0^2)$ higher than the terms involving derivatives along the orbifold direction. Thus, solving the equations of motion perturbatively in powers of y_0^2 (and assuming that the time dependence is not anomalously large), at zeroth order we have a set of ordinary differential equations in ω . Integrating these differential equations, we obtain the ω dependence of the solution at leading order, along with three arbitrary functions of time. How these functions are determined will be explained below in Sec. III B. Then, at next-to-leading order, we have a set of ordinary differential equations for the ω dependence of the solution at $O(y_0^2)$, involving source terms constructed from the time dependence at leading order. Thus, solving the Einstein equations is reduced to an iterative procedure involving the solution of a finite number of ordinary differential equations at each new order in y_0^2 .

A. Initial conditions

In order to fix the initial conditions for our expansion in y_0^2 , it is useful to solve for the bulk geometry about the collision as a series expansion in *t* (in this section we revert briefly to our original *t* and *y* coordinates). Up to terms of order t^3 , the solution corresponding to the Kaluza-Klein zero mode is:

²Note, in particular, that we are not assuming a factorized metric ansatz (e.g., $n(t, y) = n_1(t)n_2(y)$), since this generically leads to brane collisions in which the Calabi-Yau manifold shrinks to zero size at the moment of collision [13].

$$n = 1 + \alpha (\operatorname{sech} y_0 \sinh y)t + \frac{\alpha^2}{8} \operatorname{sech}^2 y_0 (9 - \cosh 2y_0 - 8 \cosh 2y)t^2, \qquad (3.12)$$

$$b = 1 + \alpha (\operatorname{sech} y_0 \sinh y)t + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \operatorname{sech}^2 y_0 (3 + \cosh 2y_0 - 4 \cosh 2y)t^2, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$e^{\phi} = 1 + 6\alpha(\operatorname{sech}_{y_0} \operatorname{sinh} y)t$$
$$-\frac{3\alpha^2}{2}\operatorname{sech}^2 y_0(2 - \cosh 2y_0 - \cosh 2y)t^2,$$
(3.14)

where we have used the junction conditions to fix the arbitrary constants arising in the integration of the bulk equations with respect to y. The brane conformal times τ_{\pm} (where the subscript \pm refers to the brane locations $\omega = \pm 1$, or equivalently, to their tensions) are then given by

$$\tau_{\pm} = \int \frac{n_{\pm}}{b_{\pm}} dt = t + O(t^3), \qquad (3.15)$$

in terms of which the brane scale factors b_{\pm} are

$$b_{\pm} = 1 \pm \alpha \tau_{\pm} \tanh y_0 - \frac{3}{2} \alpha^2 \tau_{\pm}^2 \tanh^2 y_0 + O(\tau_{\pm})^3.$$
(3.16)

B. A conserved quantity

As explained above, every time we integrate the bulk Einstein equations at a given order in y_0^2 with respect to ω we pick up three arbitrary functions of time. Two of these three functions may be determined with the help of the G_5^5 equation evaluated on both branes, namely, (3.11). To fix the third arbitrary function, however, a further equation is needed, which we derive below.

Introducing the variable $\chi = \phi - 2\beta$, upon subtracting twice (3.6) from (3.5) we find

$$(\chi' e^{\beta})' = y_0^2(\dot{\chi} e^{\beta}),$$
 (3.17)

which is the massless wave equation $\Box \chi = 0$ in this background.³ Since the junction conditions imply $\chi' = 0$ on the branes, the left-hand side vanishes upon integrating over ω . A second integration over $\ln T$ then yields

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} \dot{\chi} e^{\beta} \mathrm{d}\omega = \gamma, \qquad (3.18)$$

for some constant γ , which we can set to zero since our initial conditions are such that $\dot{\chi}e^{\beta} \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow 0$.

Let us now consider solving (3.17) for χ , as a perturbation expansion in y_0^2 . Setting $\chi = \chi_0 + y_0^2 \chi_1 + O(y_0^4)$, and similarly for β , at zeroth order we have $(\chi'_0 e^{\beta_0})' = 0$. Integrating with respect to ω introduces an arbitrary function of *T* which we can immediately set to zero using the boundary condition on the branes, which, when evaluated to this order, read $\chi'_0 = 0$. This tells us that $\chi'_0 = 0$ throughout the bulk; χ_0 is then a function of *T* only, and can be taken outside the integral in (3.18). Since $\gamma = 0$, yet the integral of e^{β} across the bulk cannot vanish, it follows that χ_0 must be a constant.

At order y_0^2 , the right-hand side of (3.17) evaluates to $y_0^2(\dot{\chi}_0 e^{\beta_0})$, which vanishes. Evaluating the left-hand side, we have $(\chi_1' e^{\beta_0})' = 0$, and hence, by a sequence of steps analogous to those above, we find that χ_1 must also be constant. It is easy to see that this behavior continues to all orders in y_0^2 . We therefore deduce that $\chi = \phi - 2\beta$ is exactly constant. Since both ϕ and β tend to zero as $T \rightarrow 0$, this constant must be zero, and so we find

$$\phi = 2\beta. \tag{3.19}$$

The essence of this result is that a perturbative solution in powers of y_0^2 exists only when χ is in the Kaluza-Klein zero mode. This may be seen from (3.17), which reduces, in the limit where $T \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta \rightarrow 0$, to $\chi'' = y_0^2 \ddot{\chi}$. The existence of a perturbative expansion in y_0^2 requires the right-hand side of this equation to vanish at leading order. This is only the case, however, for the Kaluza-Klein zero mode: all the higher modes have a rapid oscillatory time dependence such that the right-hand side *does* contribute at leading order. For these higher Kaluza-Klein modes, therefore, a gradient expansion does not exist.

Setting $\phi = 2\beta$ from now on, returning to (3.11) and recalling that $\beta = 3 \ln b$, we immediately obtain the equivalent of the Friedmann equation on the branes, namely,

$$\left(\frac{b_{,\tau}}{b}\right)_{,\tau} + 4\left(\frac{b_{,\tau}}{b}\right)^2 = 0.$$
(3.20)

Integrating, the brane scale factors are given by

$$b_{\pm} = \bar{A}_{\pm} (\tau_{\pm} - c_{\pm})^{1/4}.$$
 (3.21)

To fix the arbitrary constants \bar{A}_{\pm} and c_{\pm} , we need only to expand the above in powers of τ_{\pm} and compare with (3.16). We find

$$b_{\pm} = [1 \pm (4\alpha \tanh y_0)\tau_{\pm}]^{1/4}.$$
 (3.22)

This equation determines the brane scale factors to all orders in y_0^2 in terms of the conformal time on each brane. (As a straightforward check, it is easy to confirm that the $O(\tau_{\pm}^2)$ terms in (3.16) are correctly reproduced.)

³For branes with nonzero spatial curvature, however, there is an additional source term in this equation, invalidating the argument that follows.

C. The scaling solution

We are now in a position to solve the bulk equations of motion perturbatively in y_0^2 . Setting

$$\beta = \beta_0 + y_0^2 \beta_1 + O(y_0^4),$$

$$\nu = \nu_0 + y_0^2 \nu_1 + O(y_0^4),$$
(3.23)

the leading terms of this expansion (namely, β_0 and ν_0) constitute a *scaling solution*, whose form is independent of y_0 for all $y_0 \ll 1$. To determine the ω dependence of this scaling solution, we must solve the bulk equations of motion at zeroth order in y_0^2 . Evaluating the linear combination (3.6) - 3[(3.7) + (3.8)], noting that at this order the right-hand sides all vanish automatically, we find

$$((\beta_0' - 3\nu_0')e^{\beta_0})' = 0.$$
(3.24)

Using the boundary condition $\beta'_0 - 3\nu'_0 = 0$ on the branes, we then obtain $\beta_0 = 3\nu_0 + f(T)$, for some arbitrary function f(T). Substituting back into (3.7) and taking the square root then yields

$$\nu_0' = \alpha e^{-5\nu_0 - 2f},\tag{3.25}$$

where consistency with the junction conditions (3.4) forced us to take the positive root. Integrating a second time, and rewriting $e^f = B^{-15}$, we find

$$e^{\nu_0} = B^6(T)h^{1/5}, \qquad e^{\beta_0} = B^3(T)h^{3/5},$$
 (3.26)

where $h = 5\alpha\omega + C(T)$, with C(T) arbitrary.

In the special case where *B* and *C* are both constant, we recover the exact static domain wall solution (2.4), up to a trivial coordinate transformation. In general, however, these two moduli will be time-dependent. Inverting the relation $b_{\pm}^5 = B^5(\pm 5\alpha + C)$ to reexpress *B* and *C* in terms of the brane scale factors $b_{\pm}(T)$, we find

$$B^{5} = \frac{1}{10\alpha} (b_{+}^{5} - b_{-}^{5}), \qquad C = 5\alpha \left(\frac{b_{+}^{5} + b_{-}^{5}}{b_{+}^{5} - b_{-}^{5}}\right). \quad (3.27)$$

Furthermore, at zeroth order in y_0^2 , the conformal times on both branes are equal, since

$$y_0 d\tau = \frac{n}{b} dT = e^{\nu_0 - \beta_0/3} \frac{dT}{T} = B^5(T) \frac{dT}{T}$$
 (3.28)

is independent of ω . To this order then, (3.22) reduces to

$$b_{\pm} = (1 \pm 4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{1/4}, \qquad (3.29)$$

allowing us to express the moduli in terms of τ as

$$B^{5}(\tau) = \frac{1}{10\alpha} \left[(1 + 4\alpha y_{0}\tau)^{5/4} - (1 - 4\alpha y_{0}\tau)^{5/4} \right], \quad (3.30)$$

$$C(\tau) = 5\alpha \left[\frac{(1+4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4} + (1-4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4}}{(1+4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4} - (1-4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4}} \right].$$
 (3.31)

The brane conformal time τ and the Milne time T are then related by

$$\ln T = 10\alpha y_0 \int [(1 + 4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4} - (1 - 4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4}]^{-1} d\tau.$$
(3.32)

Rather than attempting to evaluate this integral analytically, we will simply adopt τ as our time coordinate⁴ in place of *T*. The complete scaling solution is then given by

$$ds^{2} = h^{2/5}(\tau, \omega)[B^{2}(\tau)(-d\tau^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2}) + B^{12}(\tau)d\omega^{2}],$$

$$e^{\phi} = B^{6}(\tau)h^{6/5}(\tau, \omega), \qquad h(\tau, \omega) = 5\alpha\omega + C(\tau),$$
(3.33)

with $B(\tau)$ and $C(\tau)$ given in (3.30) and (3.31). This scaling solution solves the bulk Einstein equations and the junction conditions to leading order in our expansion in y_0^2 . The subleading corrections at y_0^2 higher order may be obtained in an analogous fashion, although we will not pursue them here.

The expressions above for the scaling solution can also be used to calculate the slope of the "warp factor" appearing in the metric (3.33), namely, hB^5 . The slope, which from the Israel matching condition represents the effective strength of the two brane tensions, is given by

$$(hB^5)' = \frac{1}{2} [(1 + 4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4} - (1 - 4\alpha y_0 \tau)^{5/4}]. \quad (3.34)$$

Thus we can picture the effective brane tensions to be evolving in time. In particular, note that what was a downward-pointing kink before the collision turns into an upward-pointing kink after the collision (and vice versa), while at the collision itself the slope is zero. Thus the tension of the branes swaps over at the collision, with both branes becoming effectively tensionless at the collision itself. (This is another indication that the collision represents a rather mild singularity.)

The scaling solution is valid for times in the range $-(4\alpha y_0)^{-1} < \tau < (4\alpha y_0)^{-1}$. At $\tau = \pm (4\alpha y_0)^{-1}$, however, the scale factor on the negative-tension brane vanishes from (3.29) (recalling that the tension of the branes is reversed for $\tau < 0$). Since the physical interpretation of these events is much clearer in the alternative coordinate system in which the bulk is static and the branes are moving, we will postpone a full discussion until Sec. IVA. (In fact, it will turn out that to continue the solution to times $\tau > (4\alpha y_0)^{-1}$, we simply need to introduce absolute value signs around all factors of $(1 - 4\alpha y_0\tau)$.)

A further quantity of interest, the distance between the branes, evolves as

⁴Note that the relation between T and τ is monotonic. At small times $T = y_0 \tau + (\alpha^2/4) y_0^3 \tau^3 + O(y_0^5 \tau^5)$.

$$d = \int_{-1}^{+1} h^{1/5} B^{6} d\omega$$

= $\frac{1}{6\alpha} [(1 + 4\alpha y_{0}\tau)^{3/2} - (1 - 4\alpha y_{0}\tau)^{3/2}].$ (3.35)

Thus, for small τ we have $d \simeq 2y_0 \tau$, independent of α , and for large τ (imposing an absolute value on the second term), we have $d \simeq (y_0 \tau / \alpha)^{1/2}$.

D. Lifting to 11 dimensions

It is straightforward to lift the scaling solution to 11 dimensions, where the five-dimensional metric and scalar field are both part of the 11-dimensional metric. The 4-form field strength has a nonzero component in the Calabi-Yau directions only:

$$G_{abcd} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{ef}_{abcd} \omega_{ef}, \qquad y_0 < y < y_0, \qquad (3.36)$$

where ω_{ef} is the Kähler form on the Calabi-Yau. In the extended picture, the sign of G_{abcd} reverses across the branes. The metric in 11 dimensions reads

$$ds_{11}^{2} = e^{-2\phi/3}ds_{5}^{2} + e^{\phi/3}ds_{CY}^{2}$$

= $h^{-2/5}B^{-2}(-d\tau^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2}) + h^{-2/5}B^{8}d\omega^{2}$
+ $h^{2/5}B^{2}ds_{CY}^{2}$, (3.37)

and the 4-form flux is proportional to α when all its indices are pointing in a Calabi-Yau direction. Thus the 11dimensional distance between the branes is given, to leading order in y_0^2 , by

$$d_{11} = B^4 \int_{-1}^{+1} h^{-1/5} \mathrm{d}\omega = 2y_0 \tau. \tag{3.38}$$

This simple relationship underlies the utility of τ as a time coordinate.

Finally, the volume of the Calabi-Yau manifold, averaged over the orbifold, is

$$\langle e^{\phi} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} B^{6} \int_{-1}^{+1} h^{6/5} d\omega$$

= $\frac{5}{11} \left[\frac{(1 + 4\alpha y_{0}\tau)^{11/4} - |1 - 4\alpha y_{0}\tau|^{11/4}}{(1 + 4\alpha y_{0}\tau)^{5/4} - |1 - 4\alpha y_{0}\tau|^{5/4}} \right], (3.39)$

where we have imposed the absolute values to permit a continuation to late times, as will be explained in Sec. IVA. Thus the radius of the Calabi-Yau grows at large times as $\langle e^{\phi/6} \rangle \sim \tau^{1/4}$, whereas the distance between the branes d_{11} grows linearly in τ . A phenomenologically acceptable configuration where $d_{11} \simeq 30e^{\phi/6}$ [16] is therefore quite naturally obtained, assuming that both the distance between the branes and the Calabi-Yau volume modulus are stabilized by an interbrane potential when they reach large values. We will leave a more detailed investigation of the 11-dimensional properties of our solution to future work.

IV. AN ALTERNATIVE PERSPECTIVE: MOVING BRANES IN A STATIC BULK

Let us now consider an alternative coordinate system in which the bulk is static and the branes are moving. To find the bulk metric in this coordinate system we can make use of a modified version of Birkhoff's theorem, as shown in Appendix A. Assuming only three-dimensional homogeneity and isotropy, as consistent with cosmological symmetry on the branes, in addition to the exact relation $\phi = 2\beta$ (motivated in Sec. III B through considerations of regularity at the brane collision), the bulk Einstein equations can be integrated exactly. One can then choose the static parametrization:

$$ds^{2} = -fdt^{2} + \frac{r^{12}}{f}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\vec{x}^{2},$$

$$f(r) = \alpha^{2}r^{2} - \mu r^{4}, \qquad e^{\phi} = r^{6},$$
(4.1)

where $0 \le r \le \sqrt{\mu}/\alpha$, and the coordinate *t* is unrelated to the Milne time appearing in the previous section. Physically, this solution describes a timelike naked singularity located at r = 0, and was first discovered by Chamblin and Reall in [10] (who instead looked directly for solutions in which the bulk was static). Since the coordinates above do not cover the whole spacetime manifold, the maximal extension may easily be constructed following the usual Kruskal procedure, as detailed in Appendix C.

To find the trajectories of a pair of positive- and negative-tension branes embedded in this static bulk spacetime we solve the Israel matching conditions in the usual fashion. After performing this calculation (see Appendix B, and also [10]), one finds that the induced brane metrics are indeed cosmological, with scale factors given by

$$b_{\pm} = (1 \pm 4\sqrt{\mu}\tau_{\pm})^{1/4}, \qquad (4.2)$$

where τ_{\pm} is the brane conformal time, and we have rescaled b_{\pm} to unity at the collision, which is taken to occur at $\tau_{\pm} = 0$.

Upon setting $\mu = 0$, we immediately recover the static domain wall solution (2.4), after a suitable change of coordinates. More generally, we require $\mu \ge 0$ to avoid the appearance of imaginary scale factors. Through comparison with our earlier result (3.22), we also find

$$\sqrt{\mu} = \alpha \tanh y_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, for $\mu > 0$, after starting off coincident, the two branes proceed to separate. However, while the positivetension brane travels out to large radii unchecked, the negative-tension brane reaches the naked singularity (at which b_- and e^{ϕ} tend to zero) in a finite brane conformal time $\tau_- = (4\sqrt{\mu})^{-1}$.

In the following section we will argue that the resulting singularity is extremely mild, and simple to regularize. If almost any type of well-behaved matter is present on the negative-tension brane — even in only vanishing quantities—then, rather than hitting the singularity, the negativetension brane will instead undergo a bounce at some small finite value of the scale factor and move away from the singularity.

A. The bounce of the negative-tension brane

The Friedmann equation for the negative-tension brane is derived in Appendix B. For the case in which a timedependent scalar field (with an e^{ϕ} coupling to the Calabi-Yau volume scalar) is present on the brane, this equation takes the form [see (B13)]

$$H_{-}^{2} = \frac{\dot{b}_{-}^{2}}{b_{-}^{2}} = -2\alpha \frac{A_{-}}{b_{-}^{18}} + \frac{A_{-}^{2}}{b_{-}^{24}} + \frac{\mu}{b_{-}^{10}}, \qquad (4.4)$$

where the constant A_{-} parametrizes the scalar kinetic energy density.

The key feature of this equation is the negative sign in front of the first term on the right-hand side, reflecting the fact that matter on the negative-tension brane couples to gravity with the wrong sign. (This property is in fact a general feature of braneworld models, see, e.g., [17,18].) For sufficiently large values of the scale factor the righthand side is dominated by the μb_{-}^{-10} term. If we further assume that the matter density on the branes is small compared to the brane tension⁵ (i.e., $A_{-} \ll \alpha$), so that the term linear in A_{-} dominates over the quadratic term, then it follows that at some small value of the scale factor the entire right-hand side must vanish. Thus a negativetension brane, initially traveling towards the singularity, will generically undergo a smooth bounce at some small value of the scale factor. After this bounce the brane travels away from the singularity back towards large values of the scale factor. This behavior is specific to the negativetension brane, and moreover, persists even in the limit in which A_{-} (and hence the initial matter density) is negligibly small.⁶

In fact, even in the complete absence of matter on the negative-tension brane, the bounce off the naked singularity is still a relatively smooth event: converting to Kruskal coordinates so that light rays are at $\pm \pi/4$ angles, using our exact solution we show in Appendix C that the trajectory of the negative-tension brane becomes precisely tangential to the singularity at the moment of the bounce. In these



FIG. 2. Continuation of the scaling solution (accurate to leading order in y_0) beyond the bounce of the negative-tension brane at $\alpha y_0 \tau = 1/4$, using the absolute value prescription. Contours of constant ω are plotted against the fourth power of the threedimensional scale factor *b* and the brane conformal time τ . The contours shown are, from left to right, $\omega = -1, -0.75, -0.5, 0$, 0.5, and 1. Thus the left-most and right-most trajectories correspond to the negative- and positive-tension branes, respectively, (for which $b_{\pm}^4 = |1 \pm 4\alpha y_0 \tau|$), with the region in between representing the bulk.

coordinates then, the negative-tension brane merely grazes the singularity with zero velocity, before moving away again according to a well-defined smooth continuation. The complete solution is illustrated in Figs. 1 and 4.

In this solution, the scale factor on the negative-tension brane is given exactly for all times post-collision by

$$b_{-} = |1 - 4\sqrt{\mu}\tau_{-}|^{1/4}, \qquad (4.5)$$

where τ_{-} is the conformal time on the negative-tension brane. This result shows us how to continue our earlier scaling solution in brane-comoving coordinates (see Sec. III C) to times after the bounce of the negative-tension brane: we simply insert an absolute value sign into the expression (3.29) for b_{-} (recalling that τ is indeed the brane conformal time in these coordinates). The moduli $B(\tau)$ and $C(\tau)$ then follow from (3.27), which amounts to replacing all factors of $(1 - 4\alpha y_0 \tau)$ in (3.30) and (3.31) with $|1 - 4\alpha y_0 \tau|$. It is easy to check that this continuation does not affect the smooth evolution of quantities defined on the positive-tension brane, and that the range of the coordinate ω along the extra dimension is unaltered by this continuation (see Fig. 2). Nevertheless, this branecomoving coordinate system is not particularly well adapted to deal with the actual moment of the bounce itself, as evidenced by the "kinks" in Fig. 2. With the presence of regulatory matter on the negative-tension brane, however, the bounce will occur at some small nonzero b_{-} , smoothing out these kinks.

To check that the scaling solution is qualitatively correct near the bounce of the negative-tension brane, it is interesting to examine the leading behavior of the exact bulk

⁵As is in any case necessary for the existence of a fourdimensional effective description, see, e.g., [19].

⁶In the simplest version of heterotic M theory, the scalars present on the negative-tension brane do not couple to ϕ [7]. Nevertheless, in the limit of small matter density on the brane, it can be shown that the brane bounces in a manner identical to the case described above. When the scalars do not couple to ϕ , there are corrections to the bulk geometry in the vicinity of the bounce, but these become negligibly small as the scalar field density decreases to zero.

metric about the singularity. Setting $r^5 = 5\rho + c$, for some constant c, the metric (4.1) becomes

$$ds^{2} = (5\rho + c)^{2/5} \bigg[-(\alpha^{2} - \mu(5\rho + c)^{2/5}) dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} + \frac{d\rho^{2}}{\alpha^{2} - \mu(5\rho + c)^{2/5}} \bigg],$$
(4.6)

which, after trivial rescalings, to leading order in r reads

$$ds^{2} = (5\rho + c)^{2/5} [-dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} + d\rho^{2}].$$
(4.7)

This is precisely the form of our scaling solution (3.33) at a given instant in time.

Note also that in the exact solution, the proper velocity of the negative-tension brane vanishes as it approaches the naked singularity: from (4.1) the proper velocity is $r^{6}dr/(fdt)$, which scales as $r^{4}dr/dt$ at small r. Yet from (C5), dr/dt scales as $f/r^{5} \sim 1/r^{3}$, and so the proper velocity tends to zero linearly with r. This result, that the proper velocity of the negative-tension brane tends to zero linearly with r as it approaches the naked singularity, is also found in Kruskal coordinates, as shown in (C14).

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a cosmological solution describing the collision of the two flat boundary branes in heterotic M theory. This solution is a significant step towards our goal of describing the cosmic singularity as a brane collision within the well-motivated framework of Hořava-Witten theory. Requiring the collision to be the "least singular" possible, i.e., that the metric tends towards (2d compactified Milne)/ $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{R}_3$ times a finite-volume Calabi-Yau, has two important consequences. First, it selects a single solution to the equations of motion. Second, it shifts the singularity in the Calabi-Yau volume that one might have naively expected at the brane collision to two spacetime events before and after the brane collision. We have shown these two events to be very mild singularities, which are easily removed by including an arbitrarily small amount of matter (for example scalar field kinetic energy) on the negative-tension brane. Before the initial bounce of the negative-tension brane, and after the final bounce, the solution presented here can be identified with that described by Chen *et al.* [11].

When the branes move at a small velocity, we expect to be able to accurately describe the solution using a fourdimensional effective theory (see, e.g., [19-23] and also [12]). We shall present such a description in a companion publication [24].

If our colliding-brane solution is to successfully describe the universe, we must also add potentials capable of stabilizing the moduli; in particular, the volume of the Calabi-Yau manifold, which determines the value of gauge couplings, and the distance between the branes, which determines Newton's constant of gravitation. These potentials also permit us to generate an interesting spectrum of cosmological perturbations. Although the required potentials cannot yet be derived from first principles, we can study the consequences of various simple assumed forms. The results will be presented elsewhere [25].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mariusz Dabrowski, Gary Gibbons, André Lukas, Ian Moss, Chris Pope, Paul Steinhardt, Kelly Stelle and Andrew Tolley for useful discussions. J.-L. L. and N. T. acknowledge the support of PPARC and of the Centre for Theoretical Cosmology, in Cambridge. P. M. acknowledges the support of NWO, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, and of the Marie Curie Research Training Network "Superstrings," Contract No. MRTN-CT-2004-512194.

APPENDIX A: A MODIFIED BIRKHOFF THEOREM

In this appendix we show how the assumption of cosmological symmetry on the branes, coupled with the exact result $\phi = 2\beta$ (derived in Sec. III B following from our assumption that the Riemann curvature remains bounded as we approach the collision), is sufficient to uniquely determine the bulk metric. Moreover, with an appropriate choice of time-slicing, the bulk geometry can be written in static form. Our derivation closely parallels the analogous case for the Randall-Sundrum model [26].

We start with the fully general metric ansatz

$$ds^{2} = e^{2\sigma - 2\psi/3}(-dt^{2} + dy^{2}) + e^{2\psi/3}d\Omega_{3}^{2},$$
 (A1)

where $\sigma(t, y)$ and $\psi(t, y)$ are arbitrary functions, and the coordinates *t* and *y* are fresh, unrelated to those appearing earlier. To allow for the possibility of open, flat or closed brane geometries, we will take

$$d\Omega_3^2 = (1 - k\rho^2)^{-1} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_2^2,$$
 (A2)

where k = -1, 0 or +1 respectively, and $d\Omega_2^2$ denotes the usual line element on a unit two-sphere. The above choice represents the most general bulk metric consistent with three-dimensional spatial homogeneity and isotropy, after we have made use of our freedom to write the (t, y) part of the metric in a conformally flat form.

In light of Sec. III B, we will additionally set $\phi = 2\psi$. Then, evaluating the Einstein equations (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9) in the bulk, after taking appropriate linear combinations we find

$$\psi_{,ty} = \sigma_{,y}\psi_{,t} + \sigma_{,t}\psi_{,y} - 3\psi_{,t}\psi_{,y}, \qquad (A3)$$

$$\psi_{,tt} + \psi_{,yy} = 2\sigma_{,t}\psi_{,t} + 2\sigma_{,y}\psi_{,y} - 3\psi_{,t}^2 - 3\psi_{,y}^2, \quad (A4)$$

$$\psi_{,tt} - \psi_{,yy} = -\psi_{,t}^2 + \psi_{,y}^2 + 6\alpha^2 e^{2\sigma - 14\psi/3},$$
 (A5)

JEAN-LUC LEHNERS, PAUL MCFADDEN, AND NEIL TUROK

$$\sigma_{,tt} - \sigma_{,yy} = -\psi_{,t}^2 + \psi_{,y}^2 + \alpha^2 e^{2\sigma - 14\psi/3} + 2ke^{2\sigma - 4\psi/3}.$$
(A6)

Switching to the light-cone coordinates,

$$u = \frac{1}{2}(t - y), \qquad v = \frac{1}{2}(t + y),$$
 (A7)

these become

$$\psi_{,uu} = 2\sigma_{,u}\psi_{,u} - 3\psi_{,u}^2, \tag{A8}$$

$$\psi_{,vv} = 2\sigma_{,v}\psi_{,v} - 3\psi_{,v}^2, \tag{A9}$$

$$\psi_{,uv} = -\psi_{,u}\psi_{,v} + 6\alpha^2 e^{2\sigma - 14\psi/3},$$
 (A10)

$$\sigma_{,uv} = -\psi_{,u}\psi_{,v} + \alpha^2 e^{2\sigma - 14\psi/3} + 2ke^{2\sigma - 4\psi/3}.$$
 (A11)

Integrating the first two equations, we find

$$e^{2\sigma - 3\psi} = \psi_{,u} V'(v) = \psi_{,v} U'(u),$$
 (A12)

where U'(u) and V'(v) are arbitrary nonzero functions, and we will use primes to denote ordinary differentiation wherever the argument of a function is unique. It follows that ψ and σ take the form

$$\psi = \psi(U(u) + V(v)), \qquad e^{2\sigma - 3\psi} = \psi' U' V'.$$
 (A13)

Consistency between (A10) and (A11) then requires k = 0, and so only spatially flat three-geometries are permitted.⁷ Integrating once, we find

$$\psi' e^{\psi} = 9\mu - 9\alpha^2 e^{-2\psi/3}, \tag{A14}$$

where μ is an arbitrary constant.

The metric

$$ds^{2} = -4\psi' e^{7\psi/3} dU dV + e^{2\psi/3} d\vec{x}^{2}, \qquad (A15)$$

upon changing coordinates to

$$r = e^{\psi/3}, \qquad t = 3(V - U),$$
 (A16)

then takes the static form

$$ds^{2} = -(\alpha^{2}r^{2} - \mu r^{4})dt^{2} + \frac{r^{12}dr^{2}}{(\alpha^{2}r^{2} - \mu r^{4})} + r^{2}d\vec{x}^{2}.$$
(A17)

The corresponding Calabi-Yau volume is given by

$$e^{\phi} = r^6. \tag{A18}$$

APPENDIX B: BRANE TRAJECTORIES

In this appendix we embed a pair of moving branes into the static bulk spacetime (A17) and derive the Friedmann equations describing their trajectories. This is accomplished by solving the Israel matching conditions [15]:

$$K_{ab}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(T_{ab}^{\pm} - \frac{1}{3} g_{ab}^{\pm} T^{\pm} \right), \tag{B1}$$

where K_{ab}^{\pm} , T_{ab}^{\pm} and g_{ab}^{\pm} denote the brane extrinsic curvature, stress tensor and induced metric, respectively, and we are assuming a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry about each brane.

Parametrizing the trajectory of a given brane as $t = T(t_p)$ and $r = R(t_p)$, where t_p is the brane proper time, the induced metric is

$$ds^{2} = -dt_{p}^{2} + R^{2}(t_{p})d\vec{x}^{2}, \qquad (B2)$$

hence *R* may be associated with the relevant brane scale factor b_{\pm} . The brane 4-velocity is then $u^a = (\dot{T}, \dot{R}, \vec{0})$, where, throughout this appendix, we will use dots to indicate differentiation with respect to t_p . The constraint $u^a u_a = -1$ yields the additional relation $\dot{T} = (f + R^{12}\dot{R}^2)^{1/2}/f$. Similarly, the unit normal vector n^a is given by

$$n_a = \pm \left(R^6 \dot{R}, -\frac{R^6}{f} (f + R^{12} \dot{R}^2)^{1/2}, \vec{0} \right), \qquad (B3)$$

where the function f(r) is as defined in (4.1). (Note that the choice of sign corresponds to our choice of which side of the bulk we keep prior to imposing the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry. Keeping the side for which $r \leq R(t_p)$ leads to the creation of a positive-tension brane, with normal pointing in the direction of decreasing r, requiring the positive sign for n_a . Conversely, if we retain the $r \geq R(t_p)$ side of the bulk creating a negative-tension brane, the normal points in the direction of increasing r and we must take the negative sign for n_a .)

The three-spatial components of the brane extrinsic curvature are then

$$K_{ij}^{\pm} = \nabla_i n_j^{\pm} = \mp R^{-7} (f + R^{12} \dot{R}^2)^{1/2} g_{ij}^{\pm}, \qquad (B4)$$

while the brane stress-energy is given by $T_{ab}^{\pm} = \pm 6\alpha e^{-\phi} g_{ab}^{\pm}$. The Israel matching condition then yields the Friedmann equation $H_{\pm}^2 = \dot{R}^2/R^2 = \dot{b}_{\pm}^2/b_{\pm}^2 = \mu/b_{\pm}^{10}$. Integrating, we find [10]

$$b_{\pm} = (1 \pm 5\sqrt{\mu}t_p^{\pm})^{1/5},$$
 (B5)

where the constants of integration have been fixed by rescaling b_{\pm} to unity at the collision, which is taken to occur at $t_p^{\pm} = 0$. In terms of brane conformal time τ_{\pm} , this relation reads

$$b_{\pm} = (1 \pm 4\sqrt{\mu}\tau_{\pm})^{1/4},$$
 (B6)

where the origins of τ_{\pm} are chosen so that the branes collide at $\tau_{\pm} = 0$.

This result may easily be generalized to scenarios in which matter is incorporated on one or both of the branes. For example, in the case where we add a scalar field χ on each brane, allowing for an arbitrary coupling $F(\phi)$ to the Calabi-Yau volume modulus ϕ , the action should be aug-

 $^{^{7}}$ Similar conclusions were reached by Chamblin and Reall in [10].

mented by the terms

$$-\int_{\omega=\pm 1} \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g^{\pm}} \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 F(\phi). \tag{B7}$$

The brane stress-energy is now

$$T_{ab}^{\pm} = \mp 6\alpha e^{-\phi} g_{ab}^{\pm} + \frac{1}{2} F(\phi) \bigg[\chi_{,a} \chi_{,b} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab}^{\pm} (\partial \chi)^2 \bigg].$$
(B8)

Since we are interested in cosmological solutions, we will consider the scalar field χ to be a function of time only. Evaluating the three-spatial components of (B1) then yields the modified Friedmann equation

$$H_{\pm}^{2} = \pm \frac{\alpha}{12} \frac{\dot{\chi}^{2} F}{b_{\pm}^{6}} + \frac{\dot{\chi}^{4} F^{2}}{24^{2}} + \frac{\mu}{b_{\pm}^{10}}.$$
 (B9)

To find the dependence of χ on the scale factor b_{\pm} , it is necessary to evaluate the $t_p t_p$ component of (B1). This yields the equivalent of the usual cosmological energy conservation equations. We start with

$$K_{t_p t_p} = K_{ab} u^a u^b = u^a u^b \nabla_a n_b = -n_c a^c, \qquad (B10)$$

where the acceleration $a^c = u^b \nabla_b u^c$. Since $a^c u_c = 0$, the acceleration may also be written as $a^c = an^c$, where $a = -K_{t_p t_p}$. Then, since ∂_t is a Killing vector of the background,⁸ we have $a = \dot{u}_t/n_t$. Thus (B1) reads

$$K_{t_p t_p}^{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{R^6 \dot{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t_p} \left(\alpha R \pm \frac{1}{24} R^7 \dot{\chi}^2 F \right) = \pm \frac{\alpha}{R^6} - \frac{5}{24} \dot{\chi}^2 F,$$
(B11)

where we have used (B9). This leads to

$$R(\dot{\chi}^2 F)_{,R} = -12\dot{\chi}^2 F,$$
 (B12)

i.e., we have $\dot{\chi}^2 F = 24A_{\pm}R^{-12}$, for some constant A_{\pm} . Thus the Friedmann equation becomes

$$H_{\pm}^{2} = \pm \frac{2\alpha A_{\pm}}{b_{\pm}^{18}} + \frac{A_{\pm}^{2}}{b_{\pm}^{24}} + \frac{\mu}{b_{\pm}^{10}}.$$
 (B13)

We must also satisfy the junction condition arising from the ϕ equation of motion. This is given by

$$2n^{a}\partial_{a}\phi_{\pm} = \mp 12\alpha e_{\pm}^{-\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\chi}^{2}F_{,\phi}, \qquad (B14)$$

which leads to

$$H_{\pm}^{2} = \pm \frac{\alpha}{12} \frac{\dot{\chi}^{2} F_{,\phi}}{b_{\pm}^{6}} + \frac{\dot{\chi}^{4} F_{,\phi}^{2}}{24^{2}} + \frac{\mu}{b_{\pm}^{10}}.$$
 (B15)

Consistency with (B9) then requires the coupling to be

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 75, 103510 (2007)

$$F(\phi) = e^{\phi}.$$
 (B16)

It can be verified that this coupling is also consistent with the equation of motion for χ .

Another form of brane-bound matter that alters the Friedmann equations on the branes, while remaining consistent with the bulk geometry, is a cosmological constant Λ with $e^{-\phi}$ coupling to the Calabi-Yau volume scalar. In this case, the modified Friedmann equation is given by

$$H_{\pm}^{2} = \pm \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{\Lambda}{b_{\pm}^{12}} + \frac{1}{36} \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{b_{\pm}^{12}} + \frac{\mu}{b_{-}^{10}}.$$
 (B17)

We will use the modified Friedmann equations [which are generally similar in form to (B13)] in Sec. IVA, to understand the bounce of the negative-tension brane in the vicinity of the singularity.

APPENDIX C: KRUSKAL EXTENSION OF THE BULK GEOMETRY

In this appendix we consider the maximal extension of the bulk geometry (4.1), beyond the range $0 \le r \le \sqrt{\mu}/\alpha$ for which $f \ge 0$. These calculations will lead us to the Kruskal diagram in Fig. 1. Following Chen *et al.* [11], we start with the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates u and v, defined via

$$du = 4\alpha^2 \left(dt + \frac{r^6}{f} dr \right), \qquad dv = 4\alpha^2 \left(dt - \frac{r^6}{f} dr \right).$$
(C1)

The entire spacetime manifold is then covered by the Kruskal coordinates

$$U = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}u\right), \qquad V = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{4}v\right),$$
(C2)

UV



FIG. 3. *UV* plotted as a function of \bar{r} , according to (C4) (taking $\lambda = 1$). Note, in particular, the smooth continuation to $\bar{r} > 1$ generated by the sign flip in σ . The surfaces of constant \bar{r} correspond to the hyperbolas *UV* = constant, with this constant being positive for $0 \le \bar{r} < 1$, and negative for $\bar{r} > 1$.

⁸For a Killing vector ξ^c , $an_{\xi} = an_c\xi^c = a_c\xi^c = \xi^c u^b \nabla_b u_c = u^b \nabla_b (u_c\xi^c) - u^c u^b \nabla_b \xi_c$, where the last term vanishes by Killing's equation, $\nabla_{(b}\xi_{c)} = 0$, hence $an_{\xi} = u^b \partial_b u_{\xi} = \dot{u}_{\xi}$.

where, for later convenience, we have introduced the constant $\lambda = \sqrt{\mu}/\alpha = \tanh y_0$. In these coordinates, the metric then reads

$$\mathrm{d}\,s^2 = \frac{f}{\alpha^4 \lambda^4} \frac{\mathrm{d}U\mathrm{d}V}{UV} + r^2 \mathrm{d}\vec{x}^2. \tag{C3}$$

Note that r should be understood here as a function of UV, given implicitly by

$$UV = \sigma \exp\left[\frac{2}{\lambda^3} \left(\bar{r} + \frac{1}{3}\bar{r}^3 - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left|\frac{1+\bar{r}}{1-\bar{r}}\right|\right)\right], \quad (C4)$$

where the rescaled radial coordinate $\bar{r} = \lambda r$, and σ is defined such that $\sigma = +1$ for $0 \le \bar{r} \le 1$, while $\sigma = -1$ for $\bar{r} > 1$. (This choice generates the smooth continuation illustrated in Fig. 3.) Surfaces of constant \bar{r} thus correspond to the hyperbolas UV = constant. In particular, we have chosen the constant of integration deriving from (C1) such that the singularity at $\bar{r} = 0$ maps to the hyperbola UV =1. The second constant of integration implicit in (C1) may then be fixed by requiring that the time slice t = 0 corresponds to the line U = V for $0 \le \bar{r} \le 1$, in which case $V/U = \exp(2\lambda^2 \alpha^2 t)$.

From the results of the preceding appendix, the trajectory of a brane (in the absence of any additional matter) is given, for $0 \le \bar{r} \le 1$, by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}t} = \pm \frac{\lambda f}{r^5},\tag{C5}$$

with the upper and lower signs corresponding, respectively, to a brane moving away from, and a brane moving towards, the singularity. Specializing to the case of two branes moving in opposite directions, upon integrating we find

$$\mp 2\lambda^5 \alpha^2 t = \bar{r}^2 + \ln(1 - \bar{r}^2) - \lambda^2 - \ln(1 - \lambda^2), \quad (C6)$$

where we have fixed the constants of integration by requiring that the brane scale factors are unity at the collision (hence $\bar{r} = \lambda$ at t = 0).

Converting now to Kruskal coordinates, we find the trajectories of the branes are given parametrically, for all $0 \le \bar{r} < \infty$, by

$$U = \mathcal{F}(\bar{r}), \qquad V = \mathcal{G}(\bar{r}) \qquad (\text{outgoing}); \qquad (C7)$$

$$U = \mathcal{G}(\bar{r}), \qquad V = \mathcal{F}(\bar{r}) \qquad \text{(infalling)}; \qquad \text{(C8)}$$

where the smooth functions

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{r}) = \sigma \exp\left[\frac{1}{\lambda^3} \left(\bar{r} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{r}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\bar{r}^3 + \ln|1 - \bar{r}| -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - \lambda^2)\right)\right],$$
(C9)

$$G(\bar{r}) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{\lambda^3} \left(\bar{r} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{r}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\bar{r}^3 - \ln(1+\bar{r}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\ln(1-\lambda^2)\right)\right].$$
(C10)

As previously, $\sigma = +1$ for $0 \le \overline{r} \le 1$, but $\sigma = -1$ for $\overline{r} > 1$, ensuring that UV < 0 for the portion of the trajectories parametrized by $\overline{r} > 1$.

To extend the brane trajectories beyond the bounce off the naked singularity, we first of all write down the "extended" trajectories in terms of the original \bar{r} and t coordinates. Altering the constants of integration in (C6) so that the different branches of the trajectories match up at the bounce, we find

$$\overline{+} 2\lambda^5 \alpha^2 t = \overline{r}^2 + \ln(1 - \overline{r}^2) + \lambda^2 + \ln(1 - \lambda^2), \quad (C11)$$



FIG. 4 (color online). Kruskal diagrams illustrating the brane trajectories for different collision rapidities. In the upper plot $y_0 = 1$, and in the lower plot $y_0 = 1.5$. The corresponding plot for $y_0 = 0.5$ appeared previously in Fig. 1.

for $0 \le \overline{r} \le 1$, where the upper and lower signs correspond, respectively, to an outgoing, and an infalling, brane. Converting to Kruskal coordinates, this becomes

$$U = \mathcal{F}(\bar{r})\mathcal{G}^2(0), \qquad V = \mathcal{G}(\bar{r})\mathcal{F}^2(0) \qquad \text{(outgoing)};$$
(C12)

$$U = \mathcal{G}(\bar{r})\mathcal{F}^2(0), \qquad V = \mathcal{F}(\bar{r})\mathcal{G}^2(0) \qquad \text{(infalling)};$$
(C13)

where \bar{r} now takes values in the entire range $0 \le r < \infty$. Finally, plotting (C7), (C8), (C12), and (C13), we obtain the full Kruskal diagrams shown in Fig. 1 (for $y_0 = 0.5$), and in Fig. 4 (for $y_0 = 1$ and 1.5). An interesting feature of the brane trajectories revealed by these plots are the points of inflection that occur whenever the brane trajectories intersect the Boyer axes UV = 0 (see Fig. 1 especially). These may be understood as a consequence of the vanishing of f in (C5) whenever $\bar{r} = 1$.

Note also that at the singularity ($\bar{r} = 0$ corresponding to UV = 1), the slope of the negative-tension brane trajectory

is exactly $-1/U^2$. For example, using (C7),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}U} = -\left(\frac{1-\bar{r}}{1+\bar{r}}\right)\frac{V}{U},\tag{C14}$$

which reduces to $-1/U^2$ when $\bar{r} = 0$ and UV = 1. A similar conclusion applies for each of the other solution branches (C8), (C12), and (C13). Consequently, the brane trajectory at the bounce is tangent to the singularity itself. This means that the brane simply grazes the singularity with vanishing normal velocity. Similarly for the Calabi-Yau volume at the branes, $V_{CY} = r^6$, we find

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{CY}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \pm 6\lambda f = \pm 6\lambda \alpha^2 r^2 + O(r^4), \qquad (C15)$$

and hence the rate of change of the Calabi-Yau volume on the negative-tension brane, as it bounces off the singularity, is zero. The above considerations suggest that the bounce of the negative-tension brane is a relatively smooth event, even in the absence of regulatory matter on the brane.

- [1] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B460, 506 (1996).
- [2] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B475, 94 (1996).
- [3] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P.J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 64, 123522 (2001).
- [4] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, N. Seiberg, P. J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D 65, 086007 (2002).
- [5] N. Turok, M. Perry, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D 70, 106004 (2004).
- [6] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. S. Stelle, and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D 59, 086001 (1999).
- [7] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. S. Stelle, and D. Waldram, Nucl. Phys. B552, 246 (1999).
- [8] I.G. Moss, Phys. Lett. B 577, 71 (2003).
- [9] I.G. Moss, Nucl. Phys. B729, 179 (2005).
- [10] H. A. Chamblin and H. S. Reall, Nucl. Phys. B562, 133 (1999).
- [11] W. Chen, Z. W. Chong, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, Nucl. Phys. B732, 118 (2006).
- [12] P. L. McFadden, N. Turok, and P. J. Steinhardt, arXiv:hepth/0512123.
- [13] J.-L. Lehners and K.S. Stelle, Nucl. Phys. B661, 273 (2003).

- [14] A. J. Tolley, N. Turok, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D 69, 106005 (2004).
- [15] W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento B 44S10, 1 (1966).
- [16] T. Banks and M. Dine, Nucl. Phys. B479, 173 (1996).
- [17] T. Shiromizu, K.-i. Maeda, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D 62, 024012 (2000).
- [18] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2778 (2000).
- [19] S. Kanno, Phys. Rev. D 72, 024009 (2005).
- [20] J.E. Kim, G.B. Tupper, and R.D. Viollier, Phys. Lett. B 612, 293 (2005).
- [21] G. A. Palma and A.-C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D 70, 106003 (2004).
- [22] G.A. Palma and A.-C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D 70, 064021 (2004).
- [23] F. Arroja and K. Koyama, Classical Quantum Gravity 23, 4249 (2006).
- [24] J.-L. Lehners, P. McFadden, and N. Turok, arXiv:hep-th/ 0612026.
- [25] J.-L. Lehners, P. McFadden, N. Turok, and P. J. Steinhardt, arXiv:hep-th/0702153.
- [26] P. Bowcock, C. Charmousis, and R. Gregory, Classical Quantum Gravity 17, 4745 (2000).