# Is there a paradox in *CP* asymmetries of $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{L,S} \pi^{\pm} \nu$ decays?

G. Calderón\*

Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato, C.P. 37150, León, Guanajuato, México

D. Delepine<sup>†</sup>

Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato, C.P. 37150, León, Guanajuato, México

G. López Castro

Departamento de Física, Cinvestav, A.P. 14-740, 07000 México D.F, México (Received 7 March 2007; published 20 April 2007)

Based on the description of unstable  $K_{L,S}$  particles in quantum field theory (QFT), we compute the time-dependent probabilities for transitions between asymptotic states in  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow [\pi^{+}\pi^{-}]_{K}\pi^{\pm}\nu$  decays, where the pair  $[\pi^{+}\pi^{-}]_{K}$  is the product of (intermediate state) neutral kaon decays. Then we propose a definition of  $\tau$  decays into  $K_{L}$  and  $K_{S}$  states, which reflects into the cancellation between their *CP* rate asymmetries, thus solving in a natural way the paradox pointed out in [I.I. Bigi and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Lett. B 625, 47 (2005).]. Since our definition of  $K_{L,S}$  final states in  $\tau$  decays is motivated on experimental grounds, our predictions for the integrated *CP* rate asymmetries can be tested in a dedicated experiment.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.75.076001

PACS numbers: 11.10.St, 11.30.Er, 13.35.Dx

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

In a recent paper, Bigi and Sanda [1] have pointed out that  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{L,S} \pi^{\pm} \nu_{\tau}$  decays exhibit a *CP* asymmetry of the same size as the one measured in the charge asymmetry of semileptonic  $K_L$  decays. The "known" *CP* rate asymmetries for  $K_S$  and  $K_L$  final states [1]

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau^+ \to K_S \pi^+ \bar{\nu}) - \Gamma(\tau^- \to K_S \pi^- \nu)}{\Gamma(\tau^+ \to K_S \pi^+ \bar{\nu}) + \Gamma(\tau^- \to K_S \pi^- \nu)} = |p|^2 - |q|^2,$$
(1)

$$\frac{\Gamma(\tau^+ \to K_L \pi^+ \bar{\nu}) - \Gamma(\tau^- \to K_L \pi^- \nu)}{\Gamma(\tau^+ \to K_L \pi^+ \bar{\nu}) + \Gamma(\tau^- \to K_L \pi^- \nu)} = |p|^2 - |q|^2,$$
(2)

turn out to be identical. If true, this would indicate a paradox because the total rates of  $\tau^+$  and  $\tau^-$  would be different, in contradiction with the *CPT* theorem.

Bigi and Sanda [1] proposed a solution to this contradiction by looking at the time evolution of the  $K^0(\bar{K}^0)$  state produced in  $\tau^+(\tau^-)$  decays at t = 0. They concluded that the sum of time integrated rates (from t = 0 to  $\infty$ ) over all the final states that can be reached by neutral kaon decays is free from such *CP* asymmetries, restoring the equality of the  $\tau^{\pm}$  lifetimes. In their discussion of the problem [1], the interference of the  $K_{S,L}$  states in the time-dependent rates plays an essential role in the cancellation of the contributions of pure  $K_L$  and  $K_S$  exponential decays.

In this paper we approach this problem from a different point of view. Based on the description of unstable  $K_{L,S}$ 

particles in quantum field theory (QFT), we compute the time evolution of transition amplitudes between physical (*in* and *out*) states. Let us point out that, in the evaluation of the matrix elements giving rise to the rates that enter Eqs. (1) and (2), neutral kaons ( $K_{L,S}$ ) are assumed to be *asymptotic* physical states (defined as *outgoing* states at  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ). Since unstable particles in QFT enter as intermediate states of the physical amplitudes, the paradox contained in Eqs. (1) and (2) does not appear. We compute the time-dependent probabilities for transitions between asymptotic states in  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow [\pi^+\pi^-]_K \pi^{\pm}\nu$ , where  $[\pi^+\pi^-]_K$  is the pair produced from neutral kaon decays. Then we propose a definition of  $\tau$  decays into  $K_{L,S}$  states, which reflects into a natural cancellation between the *CP* rate asymmetries defined in Eqs. (1) and (2).

Searches for *CP* violation effects in a double kinematical distribution of  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow K_S \pi^{\pm} \nu_{\tau}$  decays have been pursued recently by the CLEO Collaboration [2]. Prospects for improved experimental searches are interesting in the light of the larger data samples of  $\tau$  pairs accumulated at *B*-factories [3]. These exclusive decays can be used to provide further tests on the violation of the *CP* symmetry [1,2,4,5]. On the other hand, within the standard model, the *CP* rate asymmetry turns out to be negligibly small (of order  $10^{-12}$ ) in  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$  decays [5], opening a large window to consider the effects of New Physics contributions. Indeed, virtual effects of supersymmetric particles may enhance this *CP* rate asymmetry to the level of  $10^{-7} \sim 10^{-6}$  [6].

## II. TIME-DEPENDENT AMPLITUDES IN $\tau$ LEPTON DECAYS

In this section we focus on calculation of the time evolution amplitudes of  $\tau$  lepton decays into asymptotic

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: gecalde@gmail.com

Electronic address: delepine@fisica.ugto.mx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup>Electronic address: glopez@fis.cinvestav.mx

physical states, as dictated by the S-matrix formalism of QFT.

Let us start by defining, at time t = 0, the  $\tau$  lepton decays into virtual strange states of definite flavor ( $\tau^+ \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ \nu$  or  $\tau^- \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \bar{\nu}$ ). The decay amplitudes of these two processes are the same owing to the *CPT* invariance of weak interactions, and will be denoted by *A*. After being produced, initial kaon states of definite flavor evolve as a mixing of two *orthogonal* states of definite mass and width ( $K_L$  and  $K_S$ ) and decay a later time  $t = \tau$  into a final state *f*. For definiteness we will confine ourselves to  $f = \pi^+ \pi^-$ (see Fig. 1).

In order to introduce the *CP* violating effects, we change from the basis of definite flavor  $(K^0, \bar{K}^0)$  to the basis of definite *CP* parity  $(K_1, K_2)$  of neutral kaons

$$\binom{K_1}{K_2} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K^0\\ \bar{K}^0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

with the convention  $CP|K^0\rangle = |\bar{K}^0\rangle$ .

In momentum space, the transition amplitudes for the  $K_1 - \bar{K}_2$  system propagating with momentum *p* from t = 0 to  $t = \tau$ , are given by (see for example [7]):

$$D_R^{K_1-K_2}(p^2) = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_S^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d_L^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\epsilon \\ -\epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4)

where  $d_{S,L} \equiv p^2 - M_{S,L}^2 + iM_{S,L}\Gamma_{S,L}$ . Equivalently, the matrix  $D_D(p^2) = \text{diag}(d_S^{-1}, d_L^{-1})$  describes the propaga-



FIG. 1.  $\tau$  lepton decay into neutral kaon states of definite flavor at time t = 0. These unstable kaon states evolve as a mixing of two orthogonal states of definite mass and width ( $K_L$  and  $K_S$ ) and decay into a  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pair at time  $t = \tau$ .

tion of the two independent physical modes of definite mass  $(M_{L,S})$  and width  $(\Gamma_{L,S})$ . The complex parameter  $\epsilon$  that enters the (left and right) diagonalizing matrices of the  $K_1 - K_2$  propagator system, describes the *CP* violation induced by the mixing of neutral kaons [8]. Such forms for the left and right diagonalizing matrices are identical to the ones appearing in the definition of the direct and reciprocal basis for  $K_{L,S}$  states [9].

Following the procedure discussed in Ref. [7], we compute now the time-dependent transition amplitude of the whole  $\tau^-(q) \rightarrow \bar{K}^0(p_1 + p_2; t = 0)\pi^-(k)\nu(q') \rightarrow \pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\pi^-(k)\nu(q')$  decay process. The pair  $\pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)$  is the product of kaon decay at time  $t = \tau$ , thus we define  $E = p_1^0 + p_2^0$  as the total energy of the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pair and  $E_{S,L} = \sqrt{(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2 + M_{S,L}^2}$ . The time-dependent amplitude becomes

$$\mathcal{T}_{-}(\tau) = (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(q - k - q' - p_{1} - p_{2}) \frac{A}{1 - \epsilon} \mathcal{M}(K_{1} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) \\ \times e^{iE\tau} \bigg\{ \frac{1 + \chi_{+-}\epsilon}{2E_{S}} e^{-iE_{S}\tau} e^{-(1/2)\Gamma_{S}(M_{S}/E_{S})\tau} - \frac{\epsilon + \chi_{+-}}{2E_{L}} e^{-iE_{L}\tau} e^{-(1/2)\Gamma_{L}(M_{L}/E_{L})\tau} \bigg\}.$$
(5)

Similarly, we can obtain the corresponding time-dependent amplitude for the  $\tau^+(q) \rightarrow K^0(p_1 + p_2; t = 0)\pi^+(k)\bar{\nu}(q') \rightarrow \pi^+(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\pi^+(k)\bar{\nu}(q')$  decay

$$\mathcal{T}_{+}(\tau) = (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(q - k - q' - p_{1} - p_{2}) \frac{A}{1 + \epsilon} \mathcal{M}(K_{1} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) \\ \times e^{iE\tau} \bigg\{ \frac{1 + \chi_{+-}\epsilon}{2E_{S}} e^{-iE_{S}\tau} e^{-(1/2)\Gamma_{S}(M_{S}/E_{S})\tau} + \frac{\epsilon + \chi_{+-}}{2E_{L}} e^{-iE_{L}\tau} e^{-(1/2)\Gamma_{L}(M_{L}/E_{L})\tau} \bigg\}.$$
(6)

In the above expressions we have defined the direct *CP* violation parameter [7]

 $\chi_{+-} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{M}(K_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\mathcal{M}(K_1 \to \pi^+ \pi^-)},\tag{7}$ 

and  $\mathcal{M}(K_{1,2} \to \pi^+ \pi^-)$  denote the "instantaneous" decay amplitudes (at  $t = \tau$ ) of the *CP* eigenstates into a  $\pi^+ \pi^$ pair. Note that in Eqs. (5) and (6) we do not need to symmetrize the decay amplitudes because identical pions are produced at different space-time locations. For further use in the following discussion we introduce the usual *CP* violation parameter

$$\eta_{+-} = \frac{\epsilon + \chi_{+-}}{1 + \chi_{+-}\epsilon} = |\eta_{+-}|e^{i\phi_{+-}}.$$
(8)

The time-dependent amplitudes given in Eqs. (5) and (6) are defined in an arbitrary reference frame [7]. Now, we choose the center of mass frame of the pion pair produced at  $t = \tau$ , which means  $E_{S,L} = M_{S,L}$ . The expressions for the time-dependent probabilities (up to the second order in the *CP* violation parameter and neglecting direct *CP* vio

Is THERE A PARADOX IN CP ASYMMETRIES OF ...

lation, i.e.  $\chi_{+-} = 0$ ) are given by

Α

$$|\mathcal{T}_{-}(\tau)|^{2} \simeq \frac{B(1+2\operatorname{Re}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}])}{4M_{S}^{2}} \left[e^{-\Gamma_{S}\tau} + |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|^{2}e^{-\Gamma_{L}\tau} - 2|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|e^{-(1/2)(\Gamma_{S}+\Gamma_{L})\tau}\cos(\Delta m\tau - \boldsymbol{\phi}_{+-})\right]$$
(9)

$$|\mathcal{T}_{+}(\tau)|^{2} \simeq \frac{B(1-2\operatorname{Re}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}])}{4M_{S}^{2}} [e^{-\Gamma_{S}\tau} + |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|^{2}e^{-\Gamma_{L}\tau} + 2|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|e^{-(1/2)(\Gamma_{S}+\Gamma_{L})\tau}\cos(\Delta m\tau - \phi_{+-})],$$
(10)

where we have defined the common factor  $B = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(q - k - q' - p_1 - p_2) |A\mathcal{M}(K_1 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) e^{iE\tau}|^2$ and  $\Delta m = M_L - M_S$ . Such expressions for the timedependent probabilities are similar to the ones obtained in the framework of the usual Lee-Oehme-Yang formalism [10] and used in several experimental analysis (see for example [11]).

With the above expressions we can calculate the timedependent CP rate asymmetry for the processes under consideration. We get the result

$$_{+-}(\tau) = \frac{|\mathcal{T}_{-}(\tau)|^{2} - |\mathcal{T}_{+}(\tau)|^{2}}{|\mathcal{T}_{-}(\tau)|^{2} + |\mathcal{T}_{+}(\tau)|^{2}} \simeq 2 \operatorname{Re}[\epsilon] \left[ \frac{-\frac{1}{\cos\phi_{+-}} e^{-1/2(\Gamma_{S} + \Gamma_{L})\tau} \cos(\Delta m\tau - \phi_{+-}) + e^{-\Gamma_{S}\tau} + |\epsilon|^{2} e^{-\Gamma_{L}\tau}}{e^{-\Gamma_{S}\tau} + |\epsilon|^{2} e^{-\Gamma_{L}\tau}} \right].$$
(11)

Note that this *CP* asymmetry, defined for an arbitrary decay time  $\tau$  of neutral kaons, does not vanish.

## III. A DEFINITION FOR THE $K_{L,S}$ STATES

Strictly speaking, within the *S*-matrix formalism we cannot define physical amplitudes for final states containing unstable particles (they are not asymptotic states). Instead, unstable particles appear as intermediate states of the *S*-matrix amplitude connecting the production and decay processes. However, we can resort to a definition of  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{L,S} \pi^{\pm} \nu$  decay rates by introducing a time scale *T* which allows to separate neutral kaon decays that occur at short and long decay times.

Thus, in order to define the *CP* asymmetry for  $\tau$  decays into an specific *K* meson final state, one has first to adopt a

definition of the amplitude with  $K_{L,S}$  states. Because of the large difference between  $K_L(t_L)$  and  $K_S(t_S)$  lifetimes, it is possible to adopt a procedure to separate ' $K_L$ ' and ' $K_S$ ' events. We introduce a time scale T such that  $t_S \ll T \ll$  $t_L$ . In this way, decays of neutral kaons that occur for  $t \sim$  $O(t_S)$  can be identified as  $K_S$  events, while those taking place for  $t \sim O(t_L)$  would be identified as  $K_L$  events. As is usually done, to talk about a beam of  $K_L$  mesons we should look at  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pairs produced after a time  $t \ge T$ . Inversely, at short decay times ( $t \le T$ ), our  $\pi^+\pi^-$  pairs will originate mainly from decays of the  $K_S$  component.

Thus, in analogy with Ref. [1], we can define the *CP* rate asymmetry of  $\tau$  leptons into  $K_{S,L}\pi$  final states from our Eq. (11). The time integrated *CP* rate asymmetries for  $\tau$  decays into  $K_S$  (respectively  $K_L$ ) become

$$A_{CP}^{S} \approx \frac{\int_{0}^{T} (-2|\epsilon|e^{-1/2(\Gamma_{S}+\Gamma_{L})\tau}\cos(\Delta m\tau - \phi_{+-}) + 2\operatorname{Re}[\epsilon]e^{-\Gamma_{S}\tau})d\tau}{\int_{0}^{T} e^{-\Gamma_{S}\tau}d\tau},$$
(12)

$$A_{CP}^{L} \approx \frac{\int_{T}^{\infty} 2\operatorname{Re}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]e^{-\Gamma_{L}\tau}d\tau}{\int_{T}^{\infty} e^{-\Gamma_{L}\tau}d\tau},$$
(13)

where we have used the approximations  $\Gamma_S \gg \Gamma_L$ ,  $t_S \ll T \ll t_L$  and  $\tan \theta_{+-} \approx 2\Delta m/\Delta \Gamma$ , with  $\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S - \Gamma_L \approx \Gamma_S$ . Note also that in the approximation we are using of neglecting direct *CP* violation,  $\operatorname{Re}[\epsilon] = |\epsilon| \cos \theta_{+-}$  (see Eq. (8)). A straightforward evaluation of the *CP* asymmetries from Eqs. (12) and (13) gives

$$A_{CP}^{L} \approx -A_{CP}^{S} \approx 2 \operatorname{Re}[\epsilon].$$
(14)

In other words, the *CP* asymmetry integrated over all decay times for a *given* physical final state does not exhibit the paradox discussed in the Introduction. Furthermore, it comes out that the "experimentally motivated" definitions

of the *CP* asymmetries for  $\tau^{\pm}$  decays into  $K_L$  and  $K_S$  proposed above cancel each other.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In the framework of the *S*-matrix formalism of QFT, we compute the time evolution amplitudes for  $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow [\pi^+ \pi^-]_{\mathcal{K}} \pi^{\pm} \nu_{\tau}$  decays, where  $[\pi^+ \pi^-]_{\mathcal{K}}$  denote the decay products of a unstable neutral kaon. By introducing a definition of decay rates for short and long decay times of neutral unstable kaons, we show that the integrated *CP* rate asymmetries of these decays cancels each other in a natural way. Therefore, in the description of unstable states based on the *S*-matrix formalism there is not a paradox like the one described in Ref. [1]. Since our definition of  $K_{L,S}$  final states in  $\tau$  decays is motivated on experimental grounds, G. CALDERÓN, D. DELEPINE, AND G. LÓPEZ CASTRO

our predictions for the integrated CP rate asymmetries (Eqs. (14)) can be tested in a dedicated experiment.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.C. and D.D. want to thank the hospitality of the Physics Department at Cinvestav where part of this work

was done. The work of D. D. was supported by Conacyt (México) grants No. 46195 and PROMEP grant No. UGTO-PTC. G. L. C. acknowledges the financial support from Conacyt.

- [1] I.I. Bigi and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Lett. B 625, 47 (2005).
- [2] G. Bonvicini *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 111803 (2002).
- [3] O. Igonkina, hep-ex/0606009.
- [4] J. H. Kuhn and E. Mirkes, Phys. Lett. B 398, 407 (1997);
   Y. S. Tsai, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. C55, 293 (1997).
- [5] D. Delepine, G. Lopez Castro, and L. T. Lopez Lozano, Phys. Rev. D 72, 033009 (2005).
- [6] D. Delepine, G. Faisel, S. Khalil, and G. López Castro, Phys. Rev. D 74, 056004 (2006).
- [7] M. Beuthe, G. López Castro, and J. Pestieau, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 13, 3587 (1998).
- [8] R. G. Sachs, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 22, 239 (1963); R. Jacob and R. Sachs, Phys. Rev. 121, 350 (1961); D. Sudarsky,

Ph. D. thesis, Purdue Univ. 1989; D. Sudarsky, E. Fischbach, C. Talmadge, S. H. Aronson, and H. Y. Cheng, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **207**, 103 (1991).

- [9] L. Alvarez-Gaume, C. Kounnas, S. Lola, and P. Pavlopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 458, 347 (1999); J. P. Silva, Phys. Rev. D 62, 116008 (2000).
- [10] See for example: G. C. Branco, L. Lavoura, and J. P. Silva, *CP Violation* (Clarendon, Oxford, UK, 1999); I. I. Y. Bigi and A. I. Sanda, Cambridge Monogr. Part. Phys., Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. 9, 1 (2000).
- [11] R. Adler *et al.* (CPLEAR Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B
   363, 243 (1995); A. Angelopoulos *et al.* (CPLEAR Collaboration), Phys. Rep. 374, 165 (2003).