Multigluon scattering in open superstring theory

Stephan Stieberger

Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics, Department für Physik, Ludwig Maximilians Universität München, Theresienstraße 37, 80333 München, Germany

Tomasz R. Taylor

Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA (Received 6 October 2006; published 15 December 2006)

We discuss the amplitudes describing *N*-gluon scattering in type I superstring theory, on a disk world sheet. After reviewing the general structure of amplitudes and the complications created by the presence of a large number of vertices at the boundary, we focus on the most promising case of maximally helicity violating (MHV) configurations because in this case, the zero Regge slope limit ($\alpha' \rightarrow 0$) is particularly simple. We obtain the full-fledged MHV disk amplitudes for N = 4, 5, and N = 6 gluons, expressed in terms of one, two and six functions of kinematic invariants, respectively. These functions represent certain boundary integrals—generalized Euler integrals—which for $N \ge 6$ correspond to multiple hypergeometric series (generalized Kampé de Fériet functions). Their α' expansions lead to Euler-Zagier sums. For arbitrary *N*, we show that the leading string corrections to the Yang-Mills amplitude, of order $O(\alpha'^2)$, originate from the well-known $\alpha'^2 \operatorname{Tr} F^4$ effective interactions of four gauge field strength tensors. By using iteration based on the soft gluon limit, we derive a simple formula valid to that order for arbitrary *N*. We argue that such a procedure can be extended to all orders in α' . If nature gracefully picked a sufficiently low string mass scale, our results would be important for studying string effects in multijet production at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC).

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.74.126007

PACS numbers: 11.25.Wx, 11.30.Pb, 12.38.Bx

I. INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW

Since Rutherford's times, elementary particle physics relies on scattering experiments. The physical cross sections, determined by the scattering amplitudes, reflect the properties of underlying interactions. In the framework of the standard model, high energy scattering experiments allow probing inside hadrons, into the gauge interactions of quarks and gluons. Because of the asymptotic freedom of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the corresponding amplitudes can be computed perturbatively, order by order in the QCD coupling constant. Already at the tree level, such computations can be quite complicated, especially when a large number of external particles is involved, like in the scattering processes describing multijet production at hadron colliders. After more than 30 years of steady progress in perturbative QCD, we have a very good understanding of the tree-level scattering, completely sufficient for studying jet physics in the upcoming Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiments at CERN. Hopefully, LHC will reach beyond the standard model, and the signals of new physics will rise above the QCD background.

Although many scenarios have been proposed beyond the standard model, there is no clear prediction for the energy scale of new physics. Even if no spectacular effect like, say, a direct production of Kaluza-Klein particles, is discovered at LHC, some subthreshold effects could be observed, due to the presence of contact interactions induced by virtual particles too heavy to be produced onshell. In this paper, we investigate such effects in superstring-based scenarios, where the scale of new physics is determined by the Regge slope α' of mass dimension -2. Massless gauge bosons are separated by a mass gap of $1/\sqrt{\alpha'}$ from the massive string modes. Traditionally, the Regge slope and the respective string mass scale had been tied to the Planck mass, however more recently, some serious consideration was given to D-brane models with much lower string mass scale, possibly even within the reach of LHC [1,2]. The full-fledged string amplitudes depend on α' , resulting in large corrections to Yang-Mills (YM) amplitudes once some kinematic invariants characterizing energy scales involved in the scattering process become comparable to $1/\sqrt{\alpha'}$.

We work in the framework of open type I superstring theory compactified to four dimensions, with gluons being open string excitations. In the tree approximation, the multigluon amplitudes are computed on a disk world sheet, with the vertices inserted at the boundary. They do not depend on the compactification manifold because they are completely determined by two-dimensional superconformal field theory describing four space-time string coordinates of the world sheet. In particular, it does not matter whether supersymmetry is broken or not by compactification. Note that the $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ limit is completely determined by pure Yang-Mills theory.

An important feature of open string (disk) computations is that they yield gluon amplitudes in a very particular, *color-decomposed* form:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{disk}}(\{k_i, \lambda_i, a_i\}) = g^{N-2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N / \mathbb{Z}_N} \text{Tr}(T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots T^{a_{\sigma(N)}}) \times A(\sigma(1^{\lambda_1}), \dots, \sigma(N^{\lambda_N})),$$
(1)

where g is the gauge coupling $(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} = \alpha_s)$, k_i , λ_i are the gluon momenta and helicities, and T^{a_i} are matrices in the fundamental representation of the gauge group [3], describing the color states of N gluons. We consider amplitudes with all momenta directed *inward*. Each color trace factor is associated by Chan-Paton rules to one *partial amplitude* $A(\sigma(1^{\lambda_1}), \ldots, \sigma(N^{\lambda_N}))$ containing all the kinematical information. S_N is the set of all permutations of N objects, while \mathbb{Z}_N is the subgroup of cyclic permutations that preserve the trace; one sums over the coset S_N/\mathbb{Z}_N in order to include all orderings of gluon vertices, sweeping out all distinct cyclic orderings in the trace. A similar color decomposition is routinely used for multigluon amplitudes in QCD [4,5].

In QCD, there exists a subclass of amplitudes that are described, at the tree-level, by a simple analytic formula valid for arbitrary number N of gluons. Assume that two gluons, with the momenta k_1 and k_2 , in the color states described by the matrices T^{a_1} and T^{a_2} , respectively, carry negative helicities while the rest of gluons, with the mo-

menta and color charges $(k_3, T^{a_3}), \ldots, (k_N, T^{a_N})$, respectively, carry positive helicities. Then the partial amplitude for such a "maximally helicity violating" (MHV) configuration, associated to the Tr $(T^{a_1} \cdots T^{a_N})$ Chan-Paton factor, is given by [6,7]

$$A_{\rm YM}(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, \dots, N^+) = i \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \cdots \langle N1 \rangle}$$

= $\mathfrak{M}_{\rm YM}^{(N)}$. (2)

where $\langle ij \rangle$ are the standard spinor products associated to the momenta k_i , k_j , in the notation of [4,5]. Other partial amplitudes can be obtained from Eq. (2) by applying appropriate permutations to the cyclic denominator $\langle 12 \rangle \times$ $\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \cdots \langle N1 \rangle$. For example,

$$A_{\rm YM}(1^-, 3^+, 2^-, \dots, N^+) = i \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 32 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle \cdots \langle N1 \rangle}.$$
 (3)

Thus the full MHV amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm YM}^{\rm tree}(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, \dots, N^+) = ig^{N-2} \langle 12 \rangle^4 \sum_{\sigma \in S_N/\mathbb{Z}_N} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(T^{a_1}\sigma \cdots T^{a_N}\sigma)}{\langle 1_\sigma 2_\sigma \rangle \langle 2_\sigma 3_\sigma \rangle \langle 3_\sigma 4_\sigma \rangle \cdots \langle N_\sigma 1_\sigma \rangle},\tag{4}$$

where $i_{\sigma} \equiv \sigma(i)$. The origin of the striking simplicity of MHV amplitudes is not clear. Most likely, it is related to some (partial) integrability properties of QCD. There is also an interesting duality between Yang-Mills theory and twistor strings [8] that led to a new interpretation of Eq. (2) and turned out very useful for developing more efficient computational techniques in perturbative QCD [9,10]. The amplitudes describing non-MHV helicity configurations are known to be more complicated. Thus when studying multigluon scattering in string theory, it is natural to use MHV configurations as a starting point, in order to find out if the full-fledged string amplitudes can also be described by some simple analytic formulas valid to all orders in α' . Our results show that this is indeed the case.

In order to write down the amplitudes in a concise way, it is convenient to introduce the following notation for the kinematic invariants characterizing *N*-particle scattering:

$$[[i]]_n = \alpha'(k_i + k_{i+1} + \ldots + k_{i+n})^2,$$
 (5)

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(i, j, m, n) = \alpha^{\prime 2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} k_i^{\alpha} k_j^{\beta} k_m^{\mu} k_n^{\nu}, \qquad (6)$$

where k_i denotes the momentum of *i*-th particle, with the cyclic identification $i + N \equiv i$, and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the fourdimensional Levi-Civita symbol. The factors of α' render the above invariants dimensionless. Note that the momenta are always subject to the momentum conservation constraint, $\sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i = 0$, and all gluons are on-shell, $k_i^2 = [[i]]_0 = 0$. It is also convenient to introduce

$$s_{ij} = 2\alpha' k_i k_j. \tag{7}$$

By using momentum conservation, these scalar products can be always expressed in terms of N(N - 3)/2 invariants (5). This is done for $N \le 6$ in Appendix A. Note however, that for $N \ge 6$, the number of independent invariants of type (5) is smaller than N(N - 3)/2, as explained in Sec. V.

The amplitude for four-gluon scattering has been known for a long time [11-13]. All string effects are summarized in one Beta function (Veneziano amplitude)

$$V^{(4)}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = V^{(4)}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\Gamma(1+s_1)\Gamma(1+s_2)}{\Gamma(1+s_1+s_2)},$$
(8)

where $s_1 \equiv [[1]]_1 = s_{12}$, $s_2 \equiv [[2]]_1 = s_{23}$, as the form-factor of Yang-Mills amplitude:

$$A(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}) = V^{(4)}(s_1, s_2)\mathfrak{M}^{(4)}_{YM},$$
(9)

with $\mathfrak{M}_{YM}^{(N)}$ defined in Eq. (2). An obvious but very important property of the Veneziano formfactor $V^{(4)}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$ is its invariance under the cyclic permutations of the momenta. All other partial amplitudes can be obtained by applying the coset permutations σ to the right hand side of Eq. (9), c.f. Eq. (4), now including also the cyclic formfactor. Thus the full four-point amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{disk}}(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}) = ig^{2}\langle 12 \rangle^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{4}/\mathbb{Z}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(T^{a_{1_{\sigma}}}T^{a_{2_{\sigma}}}T^{a_{3_{\sigma}}}T^{a_{4_{\sigma}}})V^{(4)}(k_{1_{\sigma}}, k_{2_{\sigma}}, k_{3_{\sigma}}, k_{4_{\sigma}})}{\langle 1_{\sigma}2_{\sigma} \rangle \langle 2_{\sigma}3_{\sigma} \rangle \langle 3_{\sigma}4_{\sigma} \rangle \langle 4_{\sigma}1_{\sigma} \rangle}.$$
(10)

In fact, for four (and five) gluons, all non-MHV amplitudes are vanishing [6,14], therefore the above expression captures the full amplitude. It can be expanded in powers of α' by using

$$V^{(4)}(s_1, s_2) \approx 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} s_1 s_2 + \zeta(3) s_1 s_2(s_1 + s_2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4).$$
(11)

The leading string correction to the Yang-Mills amplitude, which originates from the second term in the above expansion, of order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$, has been extensively discussed in the literature [11–13]. It is due to the following contact interaction term of four gauge field strength tensors:

$$I_{F^{4}} = -\frac{\alpha'^{2}\pi^{2}}{6} \operatorname{Tr} \left(F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}F_{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}F_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}F_{\mu_{4}\mu_{1}} + 2F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}F_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}F_{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}F_{\mu_{4}\mu_{1}} - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}F_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}F_{\mu_{2}\mu_{1}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}F_{\mu_{2}\mu_{1}}F_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}}F_{\nu_{2}\nu_{1}} \right),$$
(12)

where the color trace is taken with the tensors $F_{\mu\nu}$ in the fundamental representation. This interaction term will play an important role in the further discussion of *N*-point amplitudes. Formally, it can be obtained from the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2 F^4)$ term appearing in the low-energy expansion of the Born-Infeld Lagrangian of nonlinear electrodynamics, by applying to it Tseytlin's "symmetrized trace" prescription [15]. Note that for Abelian gauge bosons, the pure Yang-Mills part of the amplitude (10) cancels after summing over all coset permutations and the low-energy expansion begins with the Born-Infeld contribution.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give a brief description of the formalism used for calculating multigluon amplitudes on a disk world sheet. Integrations over the vertex positions yield certain generalized hypergeometric functions, their number increasing dramatically with the number of gluons, therefore in addition to handling a cumbersome algebra, one has to figure out how to construct a proper basis of the boundary integrals. In Sec. III, we rewrite the five-gluon amplitude in an MHV form similar to four gluons, c.f. Eq. (9), in terms of two independent (hypergeometric) functions of kinematic invariants. One of them plays the role of the Veneziano formfactor, while the second is associated to the I_{F^4} contact term (12). In Sec. IV, we extract the MHV part of the six-gluon amplitude. Here, all kinematic information is contained in six "triple" generalized hypergeometric functions. We discuss the low-energy behavior of the amplitude and check that it satisfies all constraints based on permutation symmetries and soft/collinear limits. We show that it is possible to reconstruct the result, obtained from tedious calculations, by imposing these constraints on a general ansatz. In Sec. V, we proceed to the general N-gluon case. We show that all $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{\prime 2})$ order string corrections to MHV Yang-Mills amplitudes originate from the interactions associated to the I_{F^4} effective action term. By iteration, we obtain a simple N-gluon formula valid to that order and outline a recursive procedure that could make possible a complete determination of all MHV amplitudes, to all orders in α' . In the conclusions, we discuss our results in a broader context of QCD and superstring theory. The paper contains three appendices. In Appendix A we summarize some aspects of the scattering kinematics for N =4, 5, and 6 gluons. In Appendices B and C we discuss various properties of the generalized (triple) hypergeometric functions describing the six-gluon amplitude.

Some results of this work have been already reported in our paper [16].

II. MULTI-GLUON SCATTERING ON THE DISK

In this section, we review the general structure of multigluon string amplitudes, focusing on the computational problems related to a large number of vertices at the boundary. It can be skipped by readers who are not interested in technical details.

We are interested in superstring theory with gluons coming from open strings. A variety of four-dimensional models can be constructed, each of them described by a two-dimensional superconformal field theory (SCFT). At the disk (tree) level, the details of the "internal" part of SCFT associated to the compactification space do not affect the scattering amplitudes of four-dimensional gauge bosons. Furthermore, the entire disk boundary is attached to a single stack of D branes. Thus without losing generality, we can consider type I theory with D9 branes and 16 supercharges. Nevertheless, our discussion holds for both type I or type IIA/B theories with Dp branes and any gauge group. Space-time supersymmetry can be preserved or broken by the internal space or by D-brane configurations.

Gluons originate from the excitations of string spacetime coordinates X^{μ} and their SCFT partners ψ^{μ} , satisfying Neumann boundary conditions on the world sheet. From all other SCFT fields, only the reparametrization ghost *c* and the scalar ϕ bosonizing the superghost system will enter explicitly into our computations. In the (-1)-ghost picture, the vertex operator for a gluon with momentum *k*, polarization ξ (or helicity λ) and color state *a* is given by

$$V^{(-1)}(z, \{k, \xi, a\}) = T^a \xi_{\mu} e^{-\phi(z)} \psi^{\mu}(z) e^{ik_{\rho} X^{\rho}(z)}, \quad (13)$$

where z is the vertex position at the disk boundary. Note that the color state a is represented by the matrix T^a in the fundamental representation of the gauge group. In the zero-ghost picture, this vertex operator is given by

$$V^{(0)}(z, \{k, \xi, a\}) = T^a \xi_{\mu} [\partial X^{\mu}(z) + i(k\psi)\psi^{\mu}(z)] e^{ik_{\rho}X^{\rho}(z)}.$$
(14)

The disk may be conformally mapped to the upper half plane $\text{Im}_z \ge 0$ with the real axis as its boundary. Hence all vertex positions are located on the real axis. The *N*-gluon disk amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{disk}}(\{k_{i}, \xi_{i}, a_{i}\}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N}/\mathbb{Z}_{N}} \operatorname{Tr}(T^{a_{1_{\sigma}}} \cdots T^{a_{N_{\sigma}}}) V_{\text{CKG}}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{1_{\sigma}} \int_{z_{1_{\sigma}}}^{\infty} dz_{2_{\sigma}} \dots \int_{z_{(N-1)_{\sigma}}}^{\infty} dz_{N_{\sigma}} \times \langle V^{(-1)}(z_{1}) V^{(-1)}(z_{2}) V^{(0)}(z_{3}) \dots V^{(0)}(z_{N}) \rangle,$$
(15)

where the color part of the vertices has been factored out by following the Chan-Paton rule. In the above expression, V_{CKG} is the volume of the conformal Killing group $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ which leaves the boundary [Im(z) = 0] of the disk fixed. It will be canceled by fixing three positions and introducing the respective *c*-ghost correlator. Note that two vertices are inserted in the (-1)-ghost picture in order to cancel the background ghost charge.

By comparing Eq. (15) with the color-decomposed form of $\mathcal{A}^{\text{disk}}$, see Eq. (1), we see that the partial amplitude $A(\sigma(1^{\lambda_1}), \ldots, \sigma(N^{\lambda_N}))$ is obtained by integrating the correlator of the vertex operators over the region $\{-\infty < z_{1_{\sigma}} < z_{2_{\sigma}} < \ldots < z_{N_{\sigma}} < \infty\}$. In the following, we shall concentrate on the Chan-Paton factor $\text{Tr}(T^{a_1} \cdots T^{a_N})$, i.e. in Eq. (15) we pick up the integration region $\mathcal{R} = \{-\infty < z_1 < z_2 < \ldots < z_N < \infty\}$ and compute

$$A(1^{\lambda_1}, \dots, N^{\lambda_N}) = V_{\text{CKG}}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left(\prod_{r=1}^N dz_r \right) \langle V^{(-1)}(z_1) V^{(-1)}(z_2) \\ \times V^{(0)}(z_3) \dots V^{(0)}(z_N) \rangle.$$
(16)

Because of the $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariance on the disk, we can fix three positions of the vertex operators. A convenient choice is

$$z_1 = -z_{\infty} = -\infty, \qquad z_2 = 0, \qquad z_3 = 1, \qquad (17)$$

which implies the ghost factor $\langle c(z_1)c(z_2)c(z_3)\rangle = -z_{\infty}^2$. The remaining N - 3 vertex positions z_4, \ldots, z_N take arbitrary values inside the integration domain \mathcal{R} . It is convenient to use the following parameterization:

$$z_4 = x_1^{-1}, \qquad z_5 = (x_1 x_2)^{-1}, \qquad z_6 = (x_1 x_2 x_3)^{-1}, \dots,$$

 $z_N = \prod_{i=1}^{N-3} x_i^{-1}, \qquad (18)$

with $0 < x_i < 1$. The corresponding Jacobian is $|\partial z_i / \partial x_j| = \prod_{r=1}^{N-3} x_r^{1+r-N}$.

The correlator of vertex operators in Eq. (15) is evaluated by performing all possible Wick contractions. It decomposes into products of two-point functions, introducing kinematic factors consisting of the scalar products of momentum and polarization vectors, of the form $k_i k_j$, $\xi_i k_j$ and $\xi_i \xi_j$. Schematically, one obtains

$$A(1^{\lambda_1},\ldots,N^{\lambda_N}) = \sum_I \mathcal{K}_I F \begin{bmatrix} n_a^I \\ n_{ab}^I \end{bmatrix},$$
 (19)

where each \mathcal{K}_I consists of products of such kinematic factors while the respective integrals can be written as

$$F\begin{bmatrix}n_a\\n_{ab}\end{bmatrix} \equiv \int_0^1 dx_1 \dots \int_0^1 dx_{N-3} \prod_{a=1}^{N-3} x_a^{1+a-N+n_a} \prod_{b=a}^{N-3} x_a^{2\alpha' k_{b+3}(k_1 + \sum_{j=a+3}^{b+2} k_j)} \left(1 - \prod_{j=a}^b x_j\right)^{2\alpha' k_{2+a}k_{3+b}+n_{ab}}$$
(20)

with the indices $b \ge a = 1, 2, ..., N - 3$, and the integers n_a, n_{ab} taking values $0, \pm 1$ or ± 2 . By convention, the sum in the exponent is zero for b = a. The integral involves N(N-3)/2 different Laurent polynomials in x_a . Their integer powers n_a, n_{ab} control the physical poles of the amplitude, in N(N-3)/2 invariant masses of dual resonance channels involving 2, 3, ..., $E(\frac{N}{2})$ external particles (*E* denotes the integer part).

For N = 4, the integral (20) yields the Beta function

$$F\begin{bmatrix}n_1\\n_{11}\end{bmatrix} = \int_0^1 dx_1 x_1^{-2+s_{23}+n_1} (1-x_1)^{s_{12}+n_{11}}$$
$$= \frac{{}_2F_1\begin{bmatrix}s_{23}+n_1-1,-s_{12}-n_{11}\\s_{23}+n_1\end{bmatrix}}{s_{23}+n_1-1}.$$
(21)

For N = 5, one obtains the hypergeometric function $_{3}F_{2}$ [17]:

$$F\begin{bmatrix}n_{1}, n_{2}\\n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{22}\end{bmatrix} = \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2} x_{1}^{-3+s_{23}+n_{1}} x_{2}^{-2+s_{15}+n_{2}} (1-x_{1})^{s_{34}+n_{11}} (1-x_{2})^{s_{45}+n_{22}} (1-x_{1}x_{2})^{s_{35}+n_{12}}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(s_{23}+n_{1}-2)\Gamma(s_{15}+n_{2}-1)\Gamma(s_{34}+n_{11}+1)\Gamma(s_{45}+n_{22}+1)}{\Gamma(s_{23}+s_{34}+n_{1}+n_{11}-1)\Gamma(s_{15}+s_{45}+n_{2}+n_{22})}$$

$$\times {}_{3}F_{2}\begin{bmatrix}s_{23}+n_{1}-2, s_{15}+n_{2}-1, -s_{35}-n_{12}\\s_{23}+s_{34}+n_{1}+n_{11}-1, s_{15}+s_{45}+n_{2}+n_{22}\end{bmatrix}; 1$$
(22)

Both integrals (21) and (22) boil down to hypergeometric functions of one variable, i.e. some ${}_{p}F_{q}\begin{bmatrix}a_{1},...,a_{p}\\b_{1},...,b_{q}\end{bmatrix}$; u = 1]. However, this pattern does not persist beyond N = 5, due to the form of the integrand (20) that does not fit into any hypergeometric function of one variable u. In general, one obtains multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series, more precisely certain generalized Kampé de Fériet functions [18]. For example, for N = 6, the integral

$$F\begin{bmatrix}n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\\n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{22}, n_{13}, n_{23}, n_{33}\end{bmatrix} = \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{3} x_{1}^{-4+s_{23}+n_{1}} x_{2}^{-3+\alpha'(k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4})^{2}+n_{2}} x_{3}^{-2+s_{16}+n_{3}} (1-x_{1})^{s_{34}+n_{11}} \times (1-x_{2})^{s_{45}+n_{22}} (1-x_{3})^{s_{56}+n_{33}} (1-x_{1}x_{2})^{s_{35}+n_{12}} (1-x_{2}x_{3})^{s_{46}+n_{23}} (1-x_{1}x_{2}x_{3})^{s_{36}+n_{13}}$$

$$(23)$$

can be expressed in terms of the triple hypergeometric function $F^{(3)}$ [19].

A very important part of the discussion of scattering amplitudes is the examination of their low-energy behavior. To that end, the integrals (20) must be expanded in powers of α' . One can first expand the integrand and then integrate the series term after term. A typical, but by far not the most general, class of integrals that appear in this way are

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{s_j-1}}{\Gamma(s_j)}\right) \int_0^1 dx_1 \dots \int_0^1 dx_k \prod_{j=1}^k x_j^{k-j} \times \frac{(\ln x_j)^{s_j-1}}{1 - \prod_{j=1}^j x_j}.$$
(24)

They integrate to multiple zeta values of length k [20]:

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{n_j^{s_j}}$$
$$= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k = 1}^\infty \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=j}^k n_i\right)^{-s_j}, \qquad (25)$$

with $s_1 \ge 2, s_2, \ldots, s_k \ge 1$. Such integer series are completely sufficient for discussing the expansions of amplitudes involving four and five gluons however, as mentioned before, starting at N = 6, more general classes of integrals appear. Their expansions involve multiple harmonic series and generalized Euler-Zagier sums. We refer interested readers to Ref. [19] for a detailed account on the relation between multiple Gaussian hypergeometric functions and Euler-Zagier sums. Actually, the integer sums that appear in the context of multigluon string scattering play an important role in modern number theory [21].

The number of independent (with respect to the momentum conservation constraint) kinematic factors \mathcal{K}_I and of the associated functions $F\begin{bmatrix}n_{a}^{l}\\n_{ab}^{l}\end{bmatrix}$ entering into the N-gluon partial amplitude (19) grows with N. In our analysis, we encountered 77 functions for N = 5 and 1270 functions for N = 6, although these numbers may vary depending on the implementation of momentum conservation constraints etc. In fact, many functions are related by means of polynomial relations of their integrands or by partial integration. The only systematic way of handling them for arbitrary N is to find a basis, consisting of an a priori unknown number ν_N of functions, and to express all other functions as linear combinations of the basis elements with the coefficients given by some rational (homogeneous) functions of the kinematic invariants (5). This program has been successfully implemented in [17,22] for N = 5and in [19] for N = 6 and will be continued in [23]. For a given N, an efficient way of generating systems of equations relating the integrals (20), that can be used to find a minimal set of independent functions, is based on worldsheet supersymmetry [19]. It works in the following way. In Eq. (15), the two vertices in the (-1)-ghost picture were inserted, for convenience, at z_1 and z_2 . However, due to world-sheet supersymmetry, they could be inserted at any other two points, hence there are $\binom{N}{2}$ ways of computing the same amplitude that should give the same answer for the coefficients of all (independent) kinematic factors \mathcal{K}_{I} in Eq. (19). By comparing these coefficients, one obtains many relations among the integrals (20). The corresponding set of equations is always under-determined and may be solved by expressing all functions (20) in terms of a ν_N -dimensional basis. Of course, the dimension of the space of functions grows with the number of gluons: $\nu_4 =$ 1, $\nu_5 = 2$, $\nu_6 = 6$, ...

Although only one partial amplitude $A(1^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, N^{\lambda_N})$ has been discussed here explicitly, all other partial amplitudes $A(\sigma(1^{\lambda_1}), \ldots, \sigma(N^{\lambda_N}))$ can be obtained in exactly the same way. As we shall see in the following, a convenient choice of ν_N basis functions is dictated by various physical properties of the amplitude (1).

III. FIVE GLUONS

The purpose of this section is to summarize the results of five-gluon computations [17,22] and to rewrite the fivegluon amplitude in the four-dimensional helicity basis. Recall that up to five gluons, the amplitudes are purely MHV. Here, five invariants are necessary to specify the kinematics. They can be chosen as $s_i \equiv [[i]]_1$, i = 1, ..., 5, i.e. as the cyclic orbit of $[[1]]_1$ obtained by the action of \mathbb{Z}_5 subgroup of cyclic permutations, generated by $i \rightarrow i + 1 \mod 5$ [24].

The integrals over two vertex positions have the form (22), specified by five integers n_1 , n_2 , n_{11} , n_{12} , n_{22} . One finds [19,22] that all integrals can be expressed in terms of just two functions:

$$f_1 = F\begin{bmatrix} 2, 1\\ 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $f_2 = F\begin{bmatrix} 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0 \end{bmatrix}$. (26)

By means of simple algebraic operations and partial integrations it is easy to see that these functions transform in the following way under the \mathbb{Z}_5 generator $i \rightarrow i + 1 \mod 5$:

$$f_{1} \rightarrow F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 2\\ -1, -1, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

= $\frac{1}{s_{1}s_{3}}[s_{2}s_{5}f_{1} + (s_{2}s_{3} - s_{3}s_{4} - s_{1}s_{5} + s_{4}s_{5})f_{2}],$
(27)

$$f_2 \to f_2.$$
 (28)

In the notation of [22], the partial amplitude

$$A(1^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, 3^{\lambda_3}, 4^{\lambda_4}, 5^{\lambda_5}) = T \cdot A_{\rm YM}(1^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, 3^{\lambda_3}, 4^{\lambda_4}, 5^{\lambda_5}) + K_3 \cdot A_{F^4}(1^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, 3^{\lambda_3}, 4^{\lambda_4}, 5^{\lambda_5}),$$
(29)

where

$$T(s_i) = s_2 s_5 f_1 + (s_2 s_3 + s_4 s_5) f_2 \quad \text{and} \\ K_3(s_i) = f_2.$$
(30)

In Eq. (29), $A_{\rm YM}$ is the tree-level Yang-Mills amplitude while A_{F^4} is generated by the I_{F^4} interaction term (12) discussed in the introduction. There are two Feynman diagrams, shown in Fig. 1, that combine to A_{F^4} : the diagram with I_{F^4} four-gluon vertex including one off-shell gluon decaying into two external gluons via the standard three-gluon Yang-Mills interaction, and the diagram with I_{F^4} five-gluon vertex. The function K_3 in Eq. (29) can then be interpreted as a string "form factor" of $\alpha'^2 \,{\rm Tr}F^4$ interactions, playing role similar to the Yang-Mills formfactor T.



FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams contributing to the A_{F^4} part of the five-gluon amplitude involve a single four- or five-gluon vertex due to the I_{F^4} effective interaction, represented here by the blob.

The amplitudes $A_{\rm YM}$ and A_{F^4} , as well as the functions $T(s_i)$ and $K_3(s_i)$ are invariant under cyclic permutations, therefore the amplitude (29) is cyclic invariant. Furthermore, it has the correct factorization properties into four-gluon amplitudes, in the limit of zero (soft) momentum of one gluon and in the limit of two parallel momenta [22]. The low-energy behavior of the amplitude is determined, up to the order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^3)$, by the following expansions:

$$f_{1} = \frac{1}{s_{2}s_{5}} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \left(\frac{s_{4}}{s_{2}} + \frac{s_{3}}{s_{5}} \right) + \zeta(3) \left(-s_{1} + s_{3} + s_{4} + \frac{s_{4}^{2}}{s_{2}} + \frac{s_{2}s_{3}}{s_{5}} + \frac{s_{3}^{2}}{s_{5}} + \frac{s_{4}s_{5}}{s_{2}} \right) + \dots,$$
(31)

$$f_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \zeta(3)(s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_5) + \dots$$
(32)

In order to rewrite the amplitude (29) in the MHV form, we evaluate it for the specific configuration of the polarization vectors, choosing a gauge with the most convenient "reference momenta" [4,5]. We choose the reference momenta k_5 for $\xi^-(1, 2)$ and k_1 for $\xi^+(3, 4, 5)$:

$$\xi_{\mu}^{-}(i) = -\frac{\langle k_{5}^{+} | \gamma_{\mu} | k_{i}^{+} \rangle}{\sqrt{2} [5i]} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \\ \xi_{\mu}^{+}(j) = \frac{\langle k_{1}^{-} | \gamma_{\mu} | k_{j}^{-} \rangle}{\sqrt{2} \langle 1j \rangle} \quad \text{for } j = 3, 4, 5.$$
(33)

Indeed, with such a choice, the only nonvanishing scalar products of the polarization vectors are

$$\xi^{-}(2) \cdot \xi^{+}(3) = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle [35]}{\langle 13 \rangle [25]} \quad \text{and} \\ \xi^{-}(2) \cdot \xi^{+}(4) = -\frac{\langle 12 \rangle [45]}{\langle 14 \rangle [25]}.$$
(34)

In this gauge, the respective kinematic factors \mathcal{K}_I , see Eq. (19), contain only one $\xi_i \xi_j$ factor while the remaining three polarization vectors are contracted with the momenta.

The computation consists of manipulations with spinor products, involving a repeated use of the momentum conservation law and of Schouten identity [4,5]. A very useful check is provided by the cancellation of unphysical poles $[5i]^{-1}$ and $\langle 1j \rangle^{-1}$ introduced by the choice (33) of the reference momenta. After factorizing out the Yang-Mills MHV amplitude $\mathfrak{M}_{YM}^{(5)}$, c.f. Eq. (2), the remaining spinor products can be either expressed in terms of kinematic invariants s_i or they form the products [4,5]

$$\alpha^{\prime 2} T[i, j, l, m] \equiv \alpha^{\prime 2} \langle ij \rangle [jl] \langle lm \rangle [mi]$$

= $\alpha^{\prime 2} \operatorname{tr}(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5) k_i k_j k_l k_m)$ (35)

$$= \frac{1}{2} [s_{ij} s_{lm} - s_{il} s_{jm} + s_{im} s_{jl} - 4i \epsilon(i, j, l, m)].$$
(36)

The new feature, as compared to four gluons, is the appearance of Levi-Civita pseudoscalars. They originate from the A_{F^4} part of the amplitude only. For five gluons, the momentum conservation law allows expressing all such pseudoscalars in terms of one of them, that can be chosen to be $\epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4)$. The final result is

$$A(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}, 5^{+}) = [V^{(5)}(s_j) - 2iP^{(5)}(s_j)\epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4)]\mathfrak{M}_{YM}^{(5)},$$
(37)

where

$$V^{(5)}(s_i) = s_2 s_5 f_1 + \frac{1}{2} (s_2 s_3 + s_4 s_5 - s_1 s_2 - s_3 s_4 - s_1 s_5) f_2 \text{ and} P^{(5)}(s_i) = f_2.$$
(38)

The above functions, as well as the pseudoscalar $\epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4)$, are invariant under cyclic permutations [25], thus the factor multiplying the Yang-Mills amplitude in Eq. (37) is cyclic invariant.

The low-energy behavior of the amplitude (37) is determined by the expansions (31):

$$V^{(5)}(s_i) = 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{12} \{s_1 s_2\} + \frac{\zeta(3)}{2} (\{s_1^2 s_2\} + \{s_1 s_2^2\} + \{s_1 s_3 s_5\}) + \dots,$$
(39)
$$P^{(5)}(s_i) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \zeta(3) \{s_1\} + \dots,$$

where the curly brackets enclosing kinematic invariants imply the summation over all distinct elements of the respective cyclic orbit [26].

The connection to the four-gluon amplitude (9) can be established by considering the soft limit, say one $k_i \rightarrow 0$, see Appendix A. Then the pseudoscalar part of the factor disappears due to the momentum conservation while the function

$$V^{(5)}(s_i) \underset{k_i=0}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\Gamma(1+s_1)\Gamma(1+s_2)}{\Gamma(1+s_1+s_2)}$$
(40)

reproduces the Veneziano formfactor in Eq. (8).

All other partial amplitudes $A(\sigma(1^{-}), \sigma(2^{-}), \sigma(3^{+}), \sigma(4^{+}), \sigma(5^{+}))$, which according to Eq. (1) are necessary for constructing the full MHV amplitude $\mathcal{A}^{\text{disk}}(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}, 5^{+})$ are obtained from $A(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}, 5^{+})$ by simply applying the coset permutations σ to the right hand side of Eq. (37).

IV. SIX GLUONS

The step from five to six gluons is highly nontrivial. Even in QCD, the original calculation [27] used some of the most advanced tools available at that time, like extended supersymmetry [14], a special choice of the color factor basis etc. In addition to algebraic complications due to large numbers of Wick contractions and of the associated kinematic factors, there is a new physics element appearing at the six-gluon level: the scattering amplitudes allow also some non-MHV helicity configurations. Furthermore, each kinematic factor brings an integral over three vertex positions. The new challenge is to find relations between more than 1000 of such integrals and to express them in a suitable basis. Before discussing this problem, we review the six-particle kinematics (see also Appendix A), which also exhibits some new features as compared to the five-particle case.

A. Six-particle kinematics

In five and more dimensions, the number of independent kinematic invariants in a six-particle scattering process can be counted by using the momentum conservation law. There are nine invariants that can be grouped into two irreducible representations of the \mathbb{Z}_6 cyclic group generated by $i \rightarrow i + 1 \mod 6$:

$$s_i \equiv [[i]]_1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, 6, t_j \equiv [[j]]_2, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3,$$
(41)

i.e. the \mathbb{Z}_6 orbits of $[[1]]_1$ and $[[1]]_2$ [24]. In four dimensions, however, these variables are subject to a fifth-order polynomial constraint [28] that reduces the number of independent invariants from nine to eight. This is due to the trivial fact that in four dimensions, at most four-momentum vectors can be linearly independent. Then the columns of the five by five Gram matrix *s* built of the elements s_{ij} , $1 \le i$, $j \le 5$, cannot be linearly independent, therefore dets = 0.

The vanishing of the Gram determinant is closely related to the following identity involving the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$:

$$2g_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = g_{\mu\alpha}\epsilon_{\nu\beta\gamma\delta} + g_{\mu\beta}\epsilon_{\alpha\nu\gamma\delta} + g_{\mu\gamma}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\nu\delta} + g_{\mu\delta}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} + (\mu\leftrightarrow\nu).$$
(42)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 74, 126007 (2006)

One can eliminate one four-momentum, say k_6 , by using momentum conservation, and define the following pseudoscalars:

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon(2, 3, 4, 5), \qquad \epsilon_2 = \epsilon(1, 3, 4, 5), \qquad \epsilon_3 = \epsilon(1, 2, 4, 5), \qquad \epsilon_4 = \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 5), \qquad \epsilon_5 = \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4),$$
(43)

and further define the five-component vector $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3, -\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_5)$. Then the identity (42) implies

$$v \equiv \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{s} = \begin{pmatrix} -s_1 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 - (s_1 + s_2 - t_1) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 - (s_2 + s_5 - t_1 - t_2) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4 - (s_5 + s_6 - t_2) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_5 \\ s_1 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 + s_2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 + (s_2 + s_3 - t_2) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4 + (s_3 + s_6 - t_2 - t_3) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_5 \\ -(s_1 + s_2 - t_1) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 - s_2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 - s_3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4 - (s_3 + s_4 - t_3) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_5 \\ (s_2 + s_5 - t_1 - t_2) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 + (s_2 + s_3 - t_2) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 + s_3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 + s_4 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_5 \\ -(s_5 + s_6 - t_2) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 - (s_3 + s_6 - t_2 - t_3) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 - (s_3 + s_4 - t_3) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 - s_4 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (44)$$

where we introduced the vector $v = (v^1, v^2, v^3, v^4, v^5)$ with all components vanishing due to $\epsilon \cdot s = 0$. Thus the vanishing of the Gram determinant, dets = 0, ensures selfconsistency of the above identity. Although Eq. (44) will be important for understanding how six-gluon amplitudes transform under cyclic permutations, it is convenient to keep as many scalars and pseudoscalars as allowed by momentum conservation, without using the Gram determinant constraint or Eq. (44) explicitly to eliminate the redundant invariants.

B. Integrals and their six-element basis

The integrals (20) over three vertex positions have the form (23), with nine integers n_1 , n_2 , n_3 , n_{11} , n_{12} , n_{22} , n_{13} , n_{23} , n_{33} . Now six functions are necessary to form the integral basis. A convenient basis to start with is

$$F_{1} = F\begin{bmatrix} 3, 2, 1\\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_{3} = F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_{5} = F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, -1, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_{2} = F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 1\\ 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_{4} = F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 4, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, 0, -1, 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_{6} = F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(45)

In choosing the above functions, we were guided by their low-energy power expansions in α' , by their soft limits and by their transformation properties under cyclic permutations. The α' expansion of F_1 , derived in Appendix B, is

$$F_{1} = \frac{1}{s_{2}s_{6}t_{2}} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \left(\frac{s_{4}}{s_{2}s_{6}} + \frac{s_{5}}{s_{2}t_{2}} + \frac{s_{3}}{s_{6}t_{2}} \right) + \zeta(3) \left(\frac{s_{4} + s_{5} - t_{1}}{s_{2}} + \frac{s_{3} + s_{4} - t_{3}}{s_{6}} + \frac{s_{4}^{2} + s_{4}t_{2}}{s_{2}s_{6}} + \frac{s_{5}^{2} + s_{5}s_{6}}{s_{2}t_{2}} + \frac{s_{2}s_{3} + s_{3}^{2}}{s_{6}t_{2}} \right) + \dots,$$
(46)

while the expansion of F_2 , see also Appendix B, starts with a single pole:

$$F_2 = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \frac{1}{s_6} - \zeta(3) \frac{s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + t_2 + t_3}{s_6} + \dots$$
(47)

These functions are related by the soft limit $k_6 \rightarrow 0$ [29] to the five-gluon functions f_1 and f_2 of Eq. (26):

$$s_2 s_6 t_2 F_1 \xrightarrow[k_6=0]{} s_2 s_5 f_1, \qquad s_6 F_2 \xrightarrow[k_6=0]{} f_2.$$
 (48)

In fact, the expansions (46) and (47) are very similar to (31) and (32), respectively. The remaining four functions have no poles. In particular, the low-energy expansion of F_3 begins with the constant $\zeta(3)$:

$$F_3 = \zeta(3) - \frac{1}{4}\zeta(4)(s_1 + 4s_2 + 3s_3 + 2s_4 + 3s_5 + 4s_6 + t_1 + 4t_2 + t_3) + \dots$$
(49)

This function is not cyclic invariant; three additional functions, F_4 , F_5 , and F_6 , are necessary in order to form a closed representation of \mathbb{Z}_6 . Under the generator $i \rightarrow i + 1$ mod 6, they transform in the following way:

$$F_3 \rightarrow -F_3 + F_5, \qquad F_6 \rightarrow F_5,$$

$$F_5 \rightarrow F_4, \qquad F_4 \rightarrow F_6.$$
(50)

The low-energy expansions of the functions F_4 , F_5 , and F_6 also begin with $\zeta(3)$:

$$F_{4} = 2\zeta(3) - \frac{1}{4}\zeta(4)(7s_{1} + 5s_{2} + 5s_{3} + 7s_{4} + 5s_{5} + 5s_{6} + 5t_{1} + 2t_{2} + 5t_{3}) + \dots,$$

$$F_{5} = 2\zeta(3) - \frac{1}{4}\zeta(4)(5s_{1} + 5s_{2} + 7s_{3} + 5s_{4} + 5s_{5} + 7s_{6} + 2t_{1} + 5t_{2} + 5t_{3}) + \dots,$$

$$F_{6} = 2\zeta(3) - \frac{1}{4}\zeta(4)(5s_{1} + 7s_{2} + 5s_{3} + 5s_{4} + 7s_{5} + 5s_{6} + 5t_{1} + 5t_{2} + 2t_{3}) + \dots,$$
(51)

For completeness, we list here also the cyclic transformations of F_1 and F_2 :

$$s_{2}s_{6}t_{2}F_{1} \rightarrow s_{2}s_{6}t_{2}F_{1} + s_{6}(s_{2}s_{3} - s_{3}s_{4} - s_{1}s_{6} + s_{5}s_{6} + s_{4}t_{2} - s_{5}t_{3})F_{2} - s_{3}s_{6}(s_{4} + s_{5} - t_{1}) \times (F_{4} - F_{6}) - s_{3}t_{3}(s_{2} + s_{5} - t_{1} - t_{2}) \times (F_{3} - F_{5}) - s_{6}t_{2}(s_{1} + s_{4} - t_{1} - t_{3})F_{3} - (s_{1}s_{6} - s_{5}s_{6} + s_{5}t_{3} - t_{2}t_{3}) \times [(s_{4} + s_{5} - t_{1})(F_{4} - F_{6}) + (s_{1} - s_{3} + s_{5} - t_{1})(F_{3} - F_{5}) + (s_{2} + s_{4} - s_{6} - t_{1})F_{3}],$$
(52)

$$s_6F_2 \rightarrow s_6F_2 + s_6(F_4 - F_6) + (s_2 - t_1)(F_3 + F_4 - F_5) + (s_5 - t_2)(F_3 + F_4 - F_5 - F_6).$$
(53)

Although all six-gluon integrals can be expressed in terms of the basis (45), we will see that the actual amplitude involves certain combinations that assume a simpler form when written in the original notation of Eq. (23). In Appendix C, we will express the relevant integrals in terms of F_k , k = 1, ..., 6.

C. MHV amplitude

The results of [19] allow expressing the full six-gluon string amplitude in terms of six generalized hypergeometric functions (45), with each function multiplying a long combination of kinematic factors involving all possible contractions among the momentum and polarization vectors. Unlike in the five-gluon case, the amplitude cannot be simply separated into parts associated to some functions like *T* and K_3 that can be attributed to distinct effective interactions, see Eq. (29). Experience with QCD suggests that the complications are related to the existence of the non-MHV part, with (--+++) helicity configurations. It is reasonable, however, to expect that the (--++++) MHV amplitude can be simplified. To that end, we substitute to the general expression [19] the following polarization vectors:

$$\xi_{\mu}^{-}(i) = -\frac{\langle k_{6}^{+} | \gamma_{\mu} | k_{i}^{+} \rangle}{\sqrt{2}[6i]} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and} \\ \xi_{\mu}^{+}(j) = \frac{\langle k_{1}^{-} | \gamma_{\mu} | k_{j}^{-} \rangle}{\sqrt{2} \langle 1j \rangle} \quad \text{for } j = 3, 4, 5, 6.$$
(54)

The kinematic terms surviving in such a configuration contain only one $\xi_i \xi_j$ factor, and the remaining four polarization vectors are contracted with the momenta. A generic term has the form

$$\frac{\langle 12\rangle\langle 1j\rangle\langle 1k\rangle\langle 1m\rangle\langle 1n\rangle}{\langle 13\rangle\langle 14\rangle\langle 15\rangle\langle 16\rangle} \frac{[j6][k6][\alpha 6][\beta m][\gamma n]}{[16][26]}, \text{ with} \\ \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma = 3, 4, 5; \quad j, k, m, n = 2, 3, 4, 5$$
(55)

times a linear combination of the six basis functions, with the coefficients being rational functions of scalar invariants. There are more than 1000 of such terms, so it is quite a tedious task to simplify the answer. The final result can be written as

$$A(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}, 5^{+}, 6^{+}) = \left[V^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) - 2i \sum_{k=1}^{k=5} \epsilon_{k} P_{k}^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \right] \mathfrak{M}_{\rm YM}^{(6)}, \quad (56)$$

with the functions:

$$\begin{split} P_{1}^{(6)} &= s_{1}F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, -1, -1, 0\end{bmatrix} + (s_{2} + s_{5} - t_{1} - t_{2})F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, -1, 0, 0\end{bmatrix} + (s_{5} + s_{6} - s_{1} - t_{2})F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 4, 3\\ 0, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0\end{bmatrix}, \\ P_{2}^{(6)} &= s_{2}F\begin{bmatrix} 3, 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, 0, -1, 0\end{bmatrix} + (s_{3} + s_{6} - t_{2} - t_{3})F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 4, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, 0, -1, 0\end{bmatrix}, \\ P_{3}^{(6)} &= s_{3}F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ -1, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0\end{bmatrix} + (s_{1} + s_{4} - t_{1} - t_{3})F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 4, 3\\ 0, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0\end{bmatrix}, \\ P_{4}^{(6)} &= s_{4}F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0\end{bmatrix} + (s_{2} + s_{3} - s_{4} - t_{2})F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0\end{bmatrix}, \\ P_{5}^{(6)} &= s_{5}F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, -1\end{bmatrix} + (s_{3} + s_{4} - s_{5} - t_{3})F\begin{bmatrix} 4, 3, 2\\ 0, -1, 0, -1, 0, 0\end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$(57)$$

$$V^{(6)} = s_2 s_5 t_2 F \begin{bmatrix} 3, 2, 2\\ 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} (s_2 s_3 - s_3 s_4 + s_3 s_6 + s_4 t_2 - s_2 t_3 - t_2 t_3) P_1^{(6)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} (-s_2 s_3 + s_1 s_4 - s_4 s_5 - s_3 s_6 + s_3 t_1 - s_4 t_2 + s_2 t_3 + s_5 t_3 - t_1 t_3 + t_2 t_3) P_2^{(6)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} (s_2 s_3 - s_1 s_4 + s_2 s_5 + s_3 s_6 + s_5 s_6 - s_3 t_1 - s_6 t_1 - s_2 t_3 - s_5 t_3 + t_1 t_2 + t_1 t_3 - t_2 t_3) P_3^{(6)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} (-s_2 s_3 + s_1 s_4 - s_2 s_5 - s_1 s_6 + s_3 t_1 + s_6 t_1 + s_1 t_2 + s_2 t_3 - t_1 t_2 - t_1 t_3) P_4^{(6)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} (-s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_3 + s_2 s_5 - s_3 t_1 - s_1 t_2 + t_1 t_2) P_5^{(6)} - s_5 s_3 P_2^{(6)} + s_5 (s_3 - t_2) P_3^{(6)}.$$
(58)

The result can be expressed in the basis of functions introduced in Sec. IV B by using the formulas written in Appendix C.

Although the six-gluon V and P functions appear complicated, they have very simple transformation properties under cyclic permutations. After expressing them in terms of the basis functions F_k , k = 1, ..., 6, see Appendix C, and using the transformation properties (50), (52), and (53), it is easy to see that $V^{(6)}(s_i, t_i)$ is cyclic invariant. Furthermore, the functions $P^{(6)}(s_i, t_i)$ transform among themselves in such a way that the imaginary part of the Yang-Mills formfactor in Eq. (56) is also invariant. This can be seen in the following way. Let us put the five functions $P_i^{(6)}$ into the vector $P^{(6)} = (P_1^{(6)}, -P_2^{(6)}, P_3^{(6)}, -P_4^{(6)}, P_5^{(6)})$. Then the action of $i \rightarrow i + 1 \mod 6$ on ϵ and $P^{(6)}$ can be written as

$$\epsilon \longrightarrow \epsilon M, \qquad P^{(6)} \longrightarrow P^{(6)}(M^t)^{-1} + \Delta F_3, \qquad (59)$$

with the unimodular matrix M and the vector Δ :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Delta^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} -s_{1} - s_{2} + s_{6} + t_{1} \\ -s_{1} + s_{2} - s_{6} + t_{3} \\ s_{1} + s_{4} - t_{1} - t_{3} \\ s_{3} - s_{4} - s_{5} + t_{1} \\ -s_{3} - s_{4} + s_{5} + t_{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(60)

However, with Eq. (44), we find:

$$\epsilon \Delta^t = v^1 + v^2 + v^4 + v^5 = 0, \tag{61}$$

thus $P^{(6)} \epsilon^t \to P^{(6)} \epsilon^t$. As a result, similarly to the case of four and five gluons, the full string formfactor of the MHV sizgluon amplitude (56) is cyclic invariant. It also has the correct soft limits [29] when any momentum goes to zero:

$$V^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) \underset{k_j = 0}{\longrightarrow} V^{(5)}(s_j) \qquad \sum_{l=1}^{5} (-1)^{l+1} P_l^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) \underset{k_6 = 0}{\longrightarrow} P^{(5)}(s_j), \qquad P_l^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) \underset{k_l = 0}{\longrightarrow} P^{(5)}(s_j) \quad \text{for } l \le 5.$$
(62)

Furthermore, it has the right collinear limits [29], when the momenta of adjoining gluons, k_i and k_{i+1} , with $i + 1 \mod 6$, become parallel:

$$V^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) \underset{k_i || k_{i+1}}{\longrightarrow} V^{(5)}(s_j), \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{5} \epsilon_k P_k^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) \underset{k_i || k_{i+1}}{\longrightarrow} \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4) P^{(5)}(s_j).$$
(63)

The low-energy behavior of the amplitude (56) is determined, up to the order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{\prime 3})$, by the following expansions:

$$V^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \approx 1 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} (\{s_{1}s_{2}\} - \{s_{1}s_{4}\} + \{t_{1}t_{2}\}) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{2} (\{s_{1}s_{2}^{2}\} + \{s_{1}^{2}s_{2}\} - \{s_{1}^{2}s_{4}\} + \{s_{1}s_{2}t_{1}\} - \{s_{1}s_{4}t_{1}\} - \{s_{2}s_{5}t_{1}\} - 3\{s_{1}s_{4}t_{2}\} + \{s_{1}t_{1}t_{3}\} + \{t_{1}t_{2}^{2}\} + \{t_{1}^{2}t_{2}\} + 3t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}\},$$

$$P_{1}^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \approx \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \zeta(3)(s_{1} + 2s_{2} - s_{3} - s_{4} + 2s_{5} + s_{6} - 3t_{1} - 3t_{2} - t_{3}),$$

$$P_{2}^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \approx \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \zeta(3)(2s_{2} + 2s_{3} - s_{4} - s_{5} + s_{6} - t_{1} - 3t_{2} - 2t_{3}),$$

$$P_{3}^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \approx \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \zeta(3)(2s_{3} + s_{4} - s_{5} - s_{6} - t_{1} - t_{2} - 2t_{3}),$$

$$P_{4}^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \approx \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \zeta(3)(-s_{1} + s_{3} + s_{4} - s_{6} - t_{1} - t_{2} - 2t_{3}),$$

$$P_{5}^{(6)}(s_{i}, t_{i}) \approx \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \zeta(3)(-s_{1} - s_{2} + s_{3} + 2s_{4} - t_{1} - t_{2} - 2t_{3}).$$
(64)

All other partial amplitudes $A(\sigma(1^-), \sigma(2^-), \sigma(3^+), \sigma(4^+), \sigma(5^+), \sigma(6^+))$ can be obtained from $A(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+, 5^+, 6^+)$ by simply applying the S_6/\mathbb{Z}_6 coset permutations σ to the right hand side of Eq. (56).

D. Reconstructing the amplitude from first principles

After checking that the amplitude (56) satisfies all selfconsistency conditions following from cyclic symmetry and soft/collinear limits, we would like to proceed in reverse, in order to understand to what extent the form of the string factor is determined by these conditions. To that end, we make the following ansatz for the function $V^{(6)}(s_i, t_i)$:

$$\tilde{V}^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) = s_2 s_6 t_2 F_1 + (s_6 F_2) \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le 9} \lambda_{ij} s_i s_j + \sum_{l=3}^6 F_l \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le 9} \lambda_{ijk}^l s_i s_j s_k,$$
(65)

in the basis of six functions (45), with 45 and 660 real constant coefficients λ_{ij} and λ_{ijk}^l , respectively. We will try to fix these constants by imposing the above self-consistency conditions. For convenience, we use the notation $s_7 \equiv t_1$, $s_8 \equiv t_2$, $s_9 \equiv t_3$. The leading term in the α' expansion of the ansatz (65) which, according to Eq. (46), is equal to 1, is dictated by the zero slope (Yang-Mills) limit, $V^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) \approx 1$. The next-to-leading order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$, with the common $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ factor, is governed by the constants λ_{ij} . They are completely determined by the cyclic symmetry and soft limits. Finally, after imposing the right collinear limits, all remaining constants λ_{ijk}^l can be expressed in terms of one of them, λ_{789}^4 . In this way, the ansatz (65) becomes

$$\tilde{V}^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) = V^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) + \lambda_{789}^4(t_1 t_2 t_3 - \{s_1 s_4 t_2\}) \times (F_4 + F_5 + F_6),$$
(66)

thus the real part of the string factor is completely determined by the self-consistency conditions, up to one constant. The respective term is cyclic invariant and vanishes in both soft and collinear limits.

In order to examine the imaginary part of the string factor, we assume that it has the form $\sum_{m=1}^{5} \epsilon_m \tilde{P}_m^{(6)}(s_i, t_j)$, with the following ansatz

$$\tilde{P}_{m}^{(6)}(s_{i},t_{i}) = s_{6}F_{2} + \sum_{l=3}^{6}F_{l}\sum_{k=1}^{9}\mu_{mk}^{l}s_{k}$$
(67)

for the five functions $P_m^{(6)}(s_i, t_i)$. Here again, we try to fix 180 real constants μ_{mk}^l by demanding that the sum $\sum_{m=1}^{5} \epsilon_m \tilde{P}_m^{(6)}$ be cyclic invariant and that it has the correct soft/collinear limits. The latter requirement fixes 156 of 180 constants. By further imposing cyclic invariance, one ends up with only four arbitrary constants, μ_{56}^4 , μ_{57}^4 , μ_{58}^4 , and μ_{58}^3 . Finally, after using the relations between pseudoscalar invariants, written as v = 0 in Eq. (44), one finds

$$\sum_{m=1}^{5} \epsilon_m \tilde{P}_m^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) = \sum_{m=1}^{5} \epsilon_m P_m^{(6)}(s_i, t_i) - (3\mu_{58}^3 + 1)$$
$$\times [(s_6 - t_2)\epsilon_5 + (s_2 - t_2)\epsilon_4 + s_2\epsilon_3]$$
$$\times (F_6 + F_5 - F_4 - 2F_3),$$

thus also the imaginary part of the string factor is determined up to one constant.

There is one more constraint available. In the Abelian case, the leading term in the α' expansion must vanish because it is entirely due to Yang-Mills gluon self-interactions. The next-to-leading term, which is associated to the I_{F^4} interaction, must also vanish: while four Abelian gauge bosons interact via the corresponding Born-Infeld term, they cannot spread via Yang-Mills interactions, like in the left diagram on Fig. 1. By requiring that the α' expansion of the Abelian amplitude starts at order higher than $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^3)$, we obtain $\lambda_{789}^4 = 0$ and $\mu_{58}^3 = -1/3$ [23].

With this constraint, the Abelian amplitude starts at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4)$ [with the common factor of $\zeta(4)$]. We conclude that the six-gluon MHV amplitude can be uniquely determined from first principles. It is worth mentioning that in the simpler five-gluon case, the cyclic symmetry and soft limit are completely sufficient to determine the amplitude, similarly to the constants λ_{ij} that govern the next-to-leading $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ contribution to the six-gluon amplitude. The reason, to be elaborated in the next section, is that all $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ terms originate from I_{F^4} interactions.

V. N GLUONS

It is clear from the discussion of N = 5, and especially of N = 6, that the computational complexity increases steeply with N. The integrals (20) become more complicated and the number of independent functions grows. The functions emerging in the step from N - 1 to N have lowenergy expansions starting at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{N-3})$ [with a common factor of $\zeta(N-3)$]. On the other hand, the simple, factorized forms of Eqs. (9), (37), and (56), strongly suggest that MHV configurations enjoy a special status. The fact that N = 5 as well as N = 6 MHV amplitudes can be reconstructed from first principles, by using very simple physical constraints, is very encouraging because it opens way to an iterative procedure suitable for larger numbers of gluons. It also indicates the existence of some recursion relations similar to those in QCD [7]. A recursive construction of the amplitudes requires however a better understanding of the space of generalized hypergeometric integrals (20). This ingredient will have to wait until completion of Ref. [23]. Nevertheless, already at this point, we can determine the leading $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^{\prime 2})$ string corrections.

An *N*-gluon scattering process can be parameterized in terms of N(N-3)/2 kinematic invariants which can be chosen as the cyclic orbits of $[[1]]_k$, $k = 1, ..., E(\frac{N}{2} - 1)$, where *E* denotes the integer part. Recall that the cyclic \mathbb{Z}_N group is generated by the shift of indices labeling gluons from $i \rightarrow i + 1 \mod N$. Note that for *N* odd, the last orbit contains *N* elements, while for *N* even their number is reduced by the momentum conservation to N/2. As in the case of N = 6, we can ignore the four-dimensional Gram determinant constraints [28] that reduce the number of independent invariants to 3N - 10. We also keep the pseudoscalars $\epsilon(k, l, m, n)$, with k < l < m < n < N, which are independent as far as the momentum conservation is concerned but are related by equations similar to (44).

Let us first collect all known $O(\alpha'^2)$ terms and rewrite the leading and next-to-leading terms in the low-energy expansions of N = 4, 5, 6 MHV amplitudes as

$$A(1^{-}, 2^{-}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}, \cdots, N^{+}) = \left[1 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{12} Q^{(N)}\right] \mathfrak{M}_{YM}^{(N)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^{3}), \qquad (68)$$

where $Q^{(N)}$ are the following Lorentz-invariant, homoge-



FIG. 2. Feynman diagrams contributing the leading $\mathcal{O}(\alpha'^2)$ string corrections to *N*-gluon YM amplitudes involve one I_{F^4} effective interaction vertex, while the remaining vertices are due to the tree-level YM interactions.

nous of degree four, functions of the momenta:

$$Q^{(4)} = s_1 s_2,$$

$$Q^{(5)} = s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_3 + s_3 s_4 + s_4 s_5 + s_5 s_1 + 4i\epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4),$$

$$Q^{(6)} = s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_3 + s_3 s_4 + s_4 s_5 + s_5 s_6 + s_6 s_1 + t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_3 + t_3 t_1 - s_1 s_4 - s_2 s_5 - s_3 s_6 + 4i[\epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4) + \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 5) + \epsilon(1, 2, 4, 5) + \epsilon(1, 3, 4, 5) + \epsilon(2, 3, 4, 5)].$$
(69)

At this order, the corrections are generated by gluonic tree diagrams involving only one I_{F^4} vertex, c.f. Eq. (12), and a number of standard Yang-Mills interactions. A typical diagram contributing to *N*-gluon scattering is shown in Fig. 2. In order to determine $Q^{(N)}$ for arbitrary *N* one can either calculate the sum of such Feynman diagrams or apply iteration utilizing the soft limit and \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry. Although it is possible to formulate the latter as a formal recursion relation, we prefer to apply the iterative procedure explicitly, step by step, starting from $Q^{(7)}$. Here again, it will be very convenient to use the notation introduced in Sec. III: an expression enclosed inside curly brackets $\{\cdot \cdot \cdot\}$ denotes the sum over all distinct elements of its \mathbb{Z}_N cyclic permutation group orbit. Thus, for example,

$$Q^{(6)} = \{s_1 s_2\} + \{t_1 t_2\} - \{s_1 s_4\} + 4i \sum_{k < l < m < n < 6} \epsilon(k, l, m, n).$$
(70)

For N = 7, the 14 invariants are $s_i \equiv [[i]]_1$, i = 1, ..., 7and $t_j \equiv [[j]]_2$, j = 1, ..., 7. The real part of $Q^{(7)}$ must be a cyclic invariant, quadratic form in s_i , t_j . There are 15 quadratic cyclic invariants, but there exists only one linear combination,

$$\operatorname{Re} Q^{(7)} = \{s_1 s_2\} + \{t_1 t_2\} - \{s_1 t_4\}$$
(71)

that gives $\operatorname{Re}Q^{(6)}$ in the soft limit

$$k_7 \to 0: \ s_6 \to 0, \qquad s_7 \to 0, \qquad t_4 \to t_1, \qquad t_5 \to s_5,$$
$$t_6 \to s_6, \qquad t_7 \to s_1. \tag{72}$$

In order to determine the imaginary part, we first note that although there are 15 linearly independent pseudoscalars, there are only 3 \mathbb{Z}_7 -invariant combinations. We list them below, together with their $k_7 \rightarrow 0$ limits:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4) + \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 6) + \epsilon(1, 2, 5, 6) + \epsilon(1, 4, 5, 6) + \epsilon(3, 4, 5, 6) &\rightarrow \epsilon(2, 3, 4, 5), \\ \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 5) + \epsilon(1, 2, 4, 6) + \epsilon(1, 3, 5, 6) + \epsilon(2, 3, 4, 5) + \epsilon(2, 4, 5, 6) &\rightarrow \epsilon(1, 3, 4, 5) + \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4), \\ \epsilon(1, 2, 4, 5) + \epsilon(1, 3, 4, 5) + \epsilon(1, 3, 4, 6) + \epsilon(2, 3, 4, 6) + \epsilon(2, 3, 5, 6) &\rightarrow \epsilon(1, 2, 3, 5) + \epsilon(1, 2, 4, 5). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the imaginary part of $Q^{(7)}$ is also uniquely determined to be

Im
$$Q^{(7)} = 4 \sum_{k < l < m < n < 7} \epsilon(k, l, m, n).$$
 (73)

The above iteration can be continued to a larger number of gluons, with the unique answer:

$$Q^{(N)} = \sum_{k=1}^{E((N/2)-1)} \{ [[1]]_k [[2]]_k \} - \sum_{k=3}^{E((N/2)-1)} \{ [[1]]_k [[2]]_{k-2} \} + C^{(N)} + 4i \sum_{k

$$C^{(N)} = \begin{cases} -\{ [[1]]_{((N/2)-1)} [[\frac{N}{2} + 1]]_{((N/2)-1)} \} & N > 4, \text{ even} \\ -\{ [[1]]_{N-5/2} [[\frac{N+1}{2}]]_{N-3/2} \} & N > 5, \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$
(74)$$

It is very interesting that Eq. (74) bears a striking resemblance to the one-loop all positive helicity amplitudes of QCD [30]. The resemblance originates at the five-gluon level, c.f. π^2 terms in our Eq. (39) *vis-à-vis* Eq. (5) of Ref. [30], and then propagates to N gluons because in both cases the multipluon results are uniquely determined by the permutation symmetry and soft limits.

Work on the recursive construction of MHV amplitudes to all orders in α' is in progress [23].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The main result of this paper, in addition to the specific formulas for scattering amplitudes, is the demonstration of a striking simplicity hidden in multigluon scattering, persisting at the full-fledged string level. The maximally helicity violating configurations retain their special status even after the tree diagrams are replaced by a disk world sheet. The string effects are succinctly summarized in a number of kinematic functions, extending the well-known result for four gluons to an arbitrary number of gluons. We argued that the soft and collinear factorization properties, combined with the Abelian limit, are completely sufficient to determine all *N*-gluon MHV amplitudes, however a completely recursive construction requires a better understanding of the boundary integrals determining the kinematic functions. Work in this direction is in progress [23].

In superstring theory, it is often possible to describe a single physical process in several ways, by using various dualities. We believe that the simplicity of MHV amplitudes reflects the existence of an underlying integrable structure not only in QCD, but also in type I string theory. Hence it would be very interesting to understand if there is any room in the twistor formulation of string theory [8] that would allow accommodating open string corrections to YM scattering amplitudes.

Another duality relevant to the present work is the type I-heterotic duality [31,32]. Here, the disk-level interactions of 2n gauge field strength tensors in type I theory are dual to the heterotic (n-1)-loop interactions. In this context, it would be interesting to investigate a possible relation of our results to Ref. [30] and to the recent computations of all one-loop MHV amplitudes in QCD [33]. Our results should also help in explaining why the heterotic six-gluon amplitudes are not compatible at the two-loop level with a semiclassical type I description in terms of a naïve extension of Born-Infeld electrodynamics [34,35]. With some more work, the six-gluon amplitudes presented here could be used to extract the complete type I effective action and could shed more light on the long-standing problem how to construct a non-Abelian generalization of the Born-Infeld Lagrangian.

When looking at the simple formulas describing multigluon superstring scattering, incorporating infinitely many

interactions among infinite number of particles, one cannot stop wondering if the effective field theory is really the right framework for describing low-energy string physics. For instance, the five-gluon amplitude (37) was used in [22] to determine the complete $O(\alpha'^3)$ string corrections. The effective action consists of hundreds of terms and does not give justice to Eq. (37). The advantage of using the effective field theoretical description is that, in principle, it allows going off-shell and studying the modifications of classical field equations. However, it is certainly not the most efficient way of recording the *S*-matrix. Historically, string theory grew out from *S*-matrix theory but its formalism has evolved more and more towards Lagrangian quantum field theory. We need a better formalism, somewhere halfway between *S*-matrix and Lagrangian theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Lance Dixon for very useful correspondence. In addition, we wish to thank K. S. Narain and Dan Oprisa for discussions. This work is supported in part by the European Commission under Project No. MRTN-CT-2004-005104. The research of T. R. T. is supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation Grants No. PHY-0242834 and PHY-0600304. T. R. T. is grateful to Dieter Lüst, to Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics at Ludwig Maximilians University, and to Max-Planck-Institut in Munich, for their kind hospitality. He would like to also thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality and INFN for partial support during completion of this work. The Feynman diagrams have been created by using the program JaxoDraw [36]. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

APPENDIX A: KINEMATIC INVARIANTS, SOFT LIMITS AND COLLINEAR LIMITS

1. Kinematic invariants

The tables below contain the scalar products $s_{ij} \equiv 2\alpha' k_i k_j$, with *i* and *j* labeling rows and columns, expressed in terms of the kinematic invariants of type (5), used in the paper to describe multigluon scattering processes, for N = 4, 5, and 6 gluons.

		N = 4		
	2	3	4	
1	s_1	$-s_1 - s_2$	<i>s</i> ₂	1
2		<i>s</i> ₂	$-s_1 - s_2$	2
3			<i>s</i> ₁	3

			N = 5		
	2	3	4	5	
1	<i>s</i> ₁	$-s_1 - s_2 + s_4$	$s_2 - s_4 - s_5$	<i>S</i> ₅	1
2		<i>s</i> ₂	$-s_2 - s_3 + s_5$	$-s_1 + s_3 - s_5$	2
3			<i>s</i> ₃	$s_1 - s_3 - s_4$	3
4				s_4	4

			N = 6			
	2	3	4	5	6	
1	<i>s</i> ₁	$-s_1 - s_2 + t_1$	$s_2 + s_5 - t_1 - t_2$	$-s_5 - s_6 + t_2$	<i>s</i> ₆	1
2		<i>s</i> ₂	$-s_2 - s_3 + t_2$	$s_3 + s_6 - t_2 - t_3$	$-s_1 - s_6 + t_3$	2
3			<i>s</i> ₃	$-s_3 - s_4 + t_3$	$s_1 + s_4 - t_1 - t_3$	3
4				s_4	$-s_4 - s_5 + t_1$	4
5					<i>s</i> ₅	5

2. Soft limits

The soft limit is defined as $k_i \rightarrow 0$ for some *i*. For a cyclic invariant function of the momenta, it is sufficient to consider only one soft momentum, say $k_N \rightarrow 0$. Then the scalar invariants describing *N*-gluon kinematics have the following limits in terms of the invariants describing N - 1 gluons, for N = 5 and 6:

				N =	5				
$k_5 \rightarrow 0$		$\frac{s_1}{s_1}$		$s_2 s_2$	S S	'3 '1	${s_4 \atop 0}$		$s_5 \\ 0$
				N =	6				
$k_6 \rightarrow 0$	$\frac{s_1}{s_1}$	$s_2 \\ s_2$	<i>s</i> ₃ <i>s</i> ₃	s_4 s_4	s_5		t_1 s_4	t_2 s_5	t_3 s_1

As $k_5 \rightarrow 0$, the five-gluon Levi-Civita pseudoscalar invariant $\epsilon(1, 2, 3, 4) \equiv \epsilon \rightarrow 0$. For N = 6, the soft limits of pseudoscalar invariants defined in Eq. (43) are written below:

N = 6									
	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1$	ϵ_2	ϵ_3	ϵ_4	ϵ_5				
$k_6 \rightarrow 0$	ε	$-\varepsilon$	3	$-\varepsilon$	ε				

3. Collinear limits

The collinear limit is defined as two adjoining momenta k_i and k_{i+1} , with $i + 1 \mod N$, becoming parallel. Because of cyclic symmetry, these can be chosen as k_{N-1} and k_N , with k_{N-1} carrying the fraction x of the combined momentum $k_{N-1} + k_N \rightarrow k_{N-1}$. Formally,

$$k_{N-1} \rightarrow x k_{N-1}, \qquad k_N \rightarrow (1-x) k_{N-1},$$

where the momenta appearing in the limits describe the scattering of N - 1 gluons. For N = 6, the collinear limits of scalar invariants, written in terms of the invariants describing N = 5 scattering, are

N = 6									
$k_5 \rightarrow x k_5, k_6 \rightarrow (1-x) k_5$	s_1 s_1	$s_2 \\ s_2$	s ₃ s ₃	s_4 xs_4	${}^{s_5}_0$	$\frac{s_6}{(1-x)s_5}$	t_1 s_4	<i>t</i> ₂ <i>s</i> ₅	$\begin{array}{c}t_3\\xs_1+(1-x)s_3\end{array}$

The collinear limits of pseudoscalar invariants are written below:

		N = 6			
	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1$	ϵ_2	ϵ_3	$oldsymbol{\epsilon}_4$	ϵ_5
$k_5 \to xk_5, k_6 \to (1-x)k_5$	3	$-x\varepsilon$	xε	$-x\varepsilon$	xε

APPENDIX B: α' EXPANSIONS OF TRIPLE HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Most of the α' expansions of triple hypergeometric functions (23) presented in Ref. [19] apply to nonsingular functions without poles, like F_3 , see Eq. (49). In that case, the expansions of the integrals (23) can be directly mapped to convergent Euler-Zagier sums. In this appendix we derive the expansions (46) and (47) for the singular functions F_1 and F_2 , respectively.

1. *F*₁: Triple hypergeometric function with a triple pole

Let us divide the integral defining F_1 into two parts, I_1 and I_2 :

$$F\begin{bmatrix}3, 2, 1\\0, 0, 0, 0, 0\end{bmatrix} = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \, x^{s_2 - 1} y^{t_2 - 1} z^{s_6 - 1} (1 - x)^{s_3} (1 - y)^{s_4} (1 - z)^{s_5} (1 - xy)^{t_3 - s_3 - s_4} (1 - yz)^{t_1 - s_4 - s_5} \times (1 - xyz)^{s_1 + s_4 - t_1 - t_3} = I_1 + I_2,$$
(B1)

with

$$I_{1} = \underbrace{\left(\int_{0}^{1} dx \, x^{s_{2}-1} (1-x)^{s_{3}}\right)}_{=\frac{\Gamma(s_{2})\Gamma(1+s_{3})}{\Gamma(1+s_{2}+s_{3})}} \underbrace{\left(\int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dz \, y^{t_{2}-1} z^{s_{6}-1} (1-y)^{s_{4}} (1-z)^{s_{5}} (1-yz)^{t_{1}-s_{4}-s_{5}}\right)}_{=\frac{\Gamma(s_{6})\Gamma(t_{2})\Gamma(1+s_{4}+t_{2})\Gamma(1+s_{5})}{\Gamma(1+s_{4}+t_{2})\Gamma(1+s_{5}+s_{6})} F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} s_{6}, t_{2}, s_{4}+s_{5}-t_{1}\\ s_{5}-t_{6}-t_{5}+s_{6}-t_{5$$

The first integral I_1 involves the Beta function (21) and the hypergeometric function ${}_{3}F_2$ (22). In fact, the latter integral is f_1 of Eq. (26) with appropriate arguments and its α' expansion can be found in Eq. (31). On the other hand, expanding the Beta function is straightforward, so altogether we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(s_2)\Gamma(1+s_3)}{\Gamma(1+s_2+s_3)} = \frac{1}{s_2} - \zeta(2)s_3 + \zeta(3)s_3(s_2+s_3) + \dots,
\frac{\Gamma(s_6)\Gamma(t_2)\Gamma(1+s_4)\Gamma(1+s_5)}{\Gamma(1+s_4+t_2)\Gamma(1+s_5+s_6)} {}_3F_2 \begin{bmatrix} s_6, t_2, s_4+s_5-t_1\\ 1+s_5+s_6, 1+s_4+t_2 \end{bmatrix}; 1 \\
= \frac{1}{s_6t_2} - \zeta(2) \left(\frac{s_4}{s_6} + \frac{s_5}{t_2}\right) + \zeta(3) \left(s_4+s_5-t_1 + \frac{s_4(s_4+t_2)}{s_6} + \frac{s_5(s_5+s_6)}{t_2}\right) + \dots.$$
(B3)

Hence, we obtain the following α' —expansion for the integral I_1 :

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{s_{2}s_{6}t_{2}} - \zeta(2)\left(\frac{s_{4}}{s_{2}s_{6}} + \frac{s_{5}}{s_{2}t_{2}} + \frac{s_{3}}{s_{6}t_{2}}\right) + \zeta(3)\left(\frac{s_{4} + s_{5} - t_{1}}{s_{2}} + \frac{s_{4}^{2} + s_{4}t_{2}}{s_{2}s_{6}} + \frac{s_{5}^{2} + s_{5}s_{6}}{s_{2}t_{2}} + \frac{s_{2}s_{3} + s_{3}^{2}}{s_{6}t_{2}}\right) + \dots$$
(B4)

The second integral I_2 has a single pole in s_6 originating from $z \rightarrow 0$ in the integrand. Its expansion in α' amounts to expanding it in powers of s_6 :

$$s_{6}^{-1}: -(s_{3} + s_{4} - t_{3}) \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dz \, z^{s_{6}-1} \frac{\ln(1-xy)}{xy} = \frac{(s_{3} + s_{4} - t_{3})}{s_{6}} \zeta(3),$$

$$-\frac{s_{3} + s_{4} - t_{3}}{s_{6}} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln(1-xy)}{xy} [s_{3}\ln(1-x) + s_{2}\ln(x) + s_{4}\ln(1-y) + t_{2}\ln(y)]$$

$$+\frac{(s_{3} + s_{4} - t_{3})^{2}}{2s_{6}} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln(1-xy)^{2}}{xy} = -\frac{\zeta(4)}{4} \frac{(s_{3} + s_{4} - t_{3})}{s_{6}} [4(s_{2} + s_{3} + s_{4} + t_{2}) + t_{3}],$$

$$s_{6}^{0}: (s_{1} + s_{4} - t_{1} - t_{3}) \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dz \frac{\ln(1-xyz)}{xyz} = -(s_{1} + s_{4} - t_{1} - t_{3})\zeta(4).$$
(B5)

Here, we have applied the following basic Euler integrals of the type (24):

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln x \ln(1 - xy)}{xy} = \zeta(4), \qquad \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln(1 - x) \ln(1 - xy)}{xy} = \frac{5}{4} \zeta(4),$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln(1 - xy)^{2}}{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \zeta(4), \qquad \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{\ln(1 - xy)}{xy} = -\zeta(3),$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dz \frac{\ln(1 - xyz)}{xyz} = -\zeta(4).$$
(B6)

In this way, we obtain

$$I_2 = \frac{(s_3 + s_4 - t_3)}{s_6} \zeta(3) - \frac{\zeta(4)}{4} \frac{(s_3 + s_4 - t_3)}{s_6} [4(s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + t_2) + t_3] - (s_1 + s_4 - t_1 - t_3)\zeta(4) + \dots$$
(B7)

Finally, after putting together (B4) and (B7), we obtain Eq. (46).

2. F_2 : Triple hypergeometric function with a single pole

Here again, we divide the integral defining F_2 into two parts, I_1 and I_2 :

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 74, 126007 (2006)

$$F\begin{bmatrix}4,3,1\\0,-1,0,0,0,0\end{bmatrix} = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \, x^{s_2} y^{t_2} z^{s_6-1} (1-x)^{s_3} (1-y)^{s_4} (1-z)^{s_5} (1-xy)^{t_3-s_3-s_4-1} (1-yz)^{t_1-s_4-s_5} \times (1-xyz)^{s_1+s_4-t_1-t_3} = I_1 + I_2$$
(B8)

with

$$I_{1} = \underbrace{\left(\int_{0}^{1} dx \, z^{s_{6}-1}(1-z)^{s_{5}}\right)}_{=\frac{\Gamma(1+s_{5})\Gamma(s_{6})}{\Gamma(1+s_{5}+s_{6})}} \underbrace{\left(\int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \, x^{s_{2}} y^{t_{2}}(1-x)^{s_{3}}(1-y)^{s_{4}}(1-xy)^{t_{3}-s_{3}-s_{4}-1}\right)}_{=\frac{\Gamma(1+s_{2})\Gamma(1+s_{3})\Gamma(1+s_{4})\Gamma(1+t_{2})}{\Gamma(2+s_{2}+s_{3})\Gamma(2+s_{4}+t_{2})}} F_{2}\left[^{1+s_{2},1+t_{2},1+s_{3}+s_{4}-t_{3}};1\right]}$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \int_{0}^{1} dz \, x^{s_{2}} y^{t_{2}} z^{s_{6}-1}(1-x)^{s_{3}}(1-y)^{s_{4}}(1-z)^{s_{5}}(1-xy)^{t_{3}-s_{3}-s_{4}-1}\left[(1-yz)^{t_{1}-s_{4}-s_{5}}(1-xyz)^{s_{1}+s_{4}-t_{1}-t_{3}}-1\right]}.$$
(B9)

The first integral I_1 involves the Beta function (21) and the hypergeometric function ${}_{3}F_2$ (22). In fact, the latter integral is f_2 of Eq. (26) with appropriate arguments and its α' expansion can be found in Eq. (31). On the other hand, expanding the Beta function is straightforward, so altogether we obtain:

$$\frac{\Gamma(s_6)\Gamma(1+s_5)}{\Gamma(1+s_5+s_6)} = \frac{1}{s_6} - \zeta(2)s_5 + \zeta(3)s_5(s_5+s_6) + \dots,
\frac{\Gamma(1+s_2)\Gamma(1+s_3)\Gamma(1+s_4)\Gamma(1+t_2)}{\Gamma(2+s_2+s_3)\Gamma(2+s_4+t_2)} {}_3F_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1+s_2, 1+t_2, 1+s_3+s_4-t_3\\ 2+s_2+s_3, 2+s_4+t_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B10)

$$= \zeta(2) - \zeta(3)(s_2+s_3+s_4+t_2+t_3) + \dots.$$

Hence, we obtain the following α' expansion for the integral I_1 :

$$I_1 = \frac{\zeta(2)}{s_6} - \zeta(3)\frac{s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + t_2 + t_3}{s_6} + \dots$$
(B11)

The integrand of the second integral I_2 remains finite for $z \rightarrow 0$. Up to the first leading order, it involves the following finite subintegrals:

$$\int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \frac{\ln(1-yz)}{z(1-xy)} = -\frac{5}{4}\zeta(4),$$
$$\int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \frac{\ln(1-xyz)}{(1-xy)z} = -\frac{3}{4}\zeta(4).$$

With this information we obtain

$$I_2 = \frac{5}{4}(s_4 + s_5 - t_1)\zeta(4) - \frac{3}{4}(s_1 + s_4 - t_1 - t_3)\zeta(4) + \dots$$
(B12)

After putting together (B11) and (B12) we obtain Eq. (47).

Finally, the four functions F_3 , F_4 , F_5 , and F_6 do not contain any poles in the kinematic invariants (5). Hence their α' expansions can be obtained by the methods described in [19], i.e. by evaluating the relevant Euler-Zagier sums.

APPENDIX C. FUNCTIONS $V^{(6)}$ AND $P_i^{(6)}$ EXPRESSED IN THE BASIS $F_k, k = 1, ..., 6$

The functions $P_i^{(6)}$ and $V^{(6)}$ governing the six-gluon MHV amplitude (56) are expressed in Eqs. (57) and (58) in terms of certain generalized hypergeometric integrals, in the notation of Eq. (23). In Sec. IV B, we introduced a basis of six functions, see Eq. (45), which is very convenient for studying cyclic properties and low-energy limits. The integrals appearing in Eqs. (57) and (58) can be expressed in this basis by using the relations obtained in Ref. [19] as a combined result of partial integrations, use of world-sheet supersymmetry etc. The functions that enter Eq. (57) are:

г

1 1 2

$$\begin{split} F \bigg[\begin{array}{c} 4, 3, 2 \\ 0, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0 \end{array} \bigg] &= -F_3 + F_6, \\ s_1 F \bigg[\begin{array}{c} 4, 3, 2 \\ 0, -1, 0, -1, -1, 0 \end{array} \bigg] &= s_6 (F_2 + F_4) + (s_2 + s_5 - t_1 - t_2) (F_3 + F_4 - F_5) + (s_1 - s_5 - s_6 + t_2) F_6, \\ s_2 F \bigg[\begin{array}{c} 3, 3, 2 \\ 0, -1, 0, 0, -1, 0 \end{array} \bigg] &= s_6 (F_2 - F_3) + (s_2 - s_3 + s_5 - t_1 + t_3) (F_3 + F_4 - F_6) - (s_1 - s_3 + s_5 - t_1) F_5 \\ &+ (s_1 + s_2 - t_1) F_6, \\ s_3 F \bigg[\begin{array}{c} 4, 3, 2 \\ -1, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0 \end{array} \bigg] &= s_6 (F_2 - F_3) + (s_1 + s_2 - t_1) F_3 - s_3 (F_3 - F_6) - (s_1 - s_3 + s_5 - t_1) F_5 \\ &+ (s_4 + s_5 - t_1) (F_3 + F_4 - F_6), \\ s_4 F \bigg[\begin{array}{c} 4, 3, 2 \\ 0, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0 \end{array} \bigg] &= s_6 F_2 + (s_4 - s_5 - s_6 + t_2) F_3 + (s_4 + s_5 - t_1) F_4 + (s_1 - s_3 + s_5 - t_1) (F_3 - F_5), \\ s_5 F \bigg[\begin{array}{c} 4, 3, 2 \\ 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, -1 \end{array} \bigg] &= s_6 F_2 + (s_1 + s_4 - t_1 - t_3) (F_3 - F_5) + (s_4 + s_5 - t_1) F_4. \end{split}$$

The additional function that enters Eq. (58) is

$$s_{2}s_{5}F\begin{bmatrix}3, 2, 2\\0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1\end{bmatrix} = s_{2}s_{6}F_{1} - s_{2}(s_{1} - s_{5} - t_{3})F_{3} + (s_{4} + s_{5} - t_{1})[s_{6}(F_{2} - F_{3}) - (s_{3} - s_{5} + t_{1} - t_{3})(F_{3} + F_{4}) - (s_{1} - s_{3} + s_{5} - t_{1})F_{5} + (s_{1} + s_{3} - s_{5} - t_{3})F_{6}].$$

- [1] J.D. Lykken, Phys. Rev. D 54, R3693 (1996).
- [2] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 436, 257 (1998).
- [3] These matrices are normalized as in [5], according to $Tr(T^aT^b) = \delta^{ab}$, in order to avoid a proliferation of $\sqrt{2}$'s in partial amplitudes.
- [4] M.L. Mangano and S.J. Parke, Phys. Rep. 200, 301 (1991).
- [5] L.J. Dixon, hep-ph/9601359.
- [6] S.J. Parke and T.R. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2459 (1986).
- [7] F.A. Berends and W.T. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B306, 759 (1988).
- [8] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 252, 189 (2004).
- [9] L.J. Dixon, AIP Conf. Proc. 792, 61 (2005).
- [10] F. Cachazo and P. Svrcek, PoS RTN2005 (2005) 004.
- [11] M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B198, 252 (1982).
- [12] J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Rep. 89, 223 (1982).
- [13] A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B276, 391 (1986).
- [14] S.J. Parke and T.R. Taylor, Phys. Lett. B 157, 81 (1985).
- [15] A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9908105.
- [16] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 211601 (2006).

- [17] R. Medina, F.T. Brandt, and F.R. Machado, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 071.
- [18] H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, England, 1985).
- [19] D. Oprisa and S. Stieberger, hep-th/0509042.
- [20] J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, D.J. Broadhurst, and P. Lisonek, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353, 907 (2001); D.J. Broadhurst, J. M. Borwein, and D. M. Bradley, Electronic J. Combinatorics 4(2), R5 (1997).
- [21] D. Zagier, Values of Zeta Functions and Their Applications, First European Congress of Mathematics, Paris, 1992, Vol. II, Edited by A. Joseph et al. (Birkhauser, Basel, 1994, pp. 497-512.
- [22] L.A. Barreiro and R. Medina, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2005) 055.
- [23] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor (unpublished).
- [24] See Appendix A for the scalar products s_{ii} (7) expressed in terms of these kinematic invariants as well as other aspects of multigluon kinematics.
- [25] In discussing cyclic transformations, we always take into account the \mathbb{Z}_N -invariant momentum conservation constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} k_i = 0.$
- [26] Thus, for example, $\{s_1\} = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_5$ and $\{s_1s_2s_3s_4s_5\} = s_1s_2s_3s_4s_5.$

- [27] S.J. Parke and T.R. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. **B269**, 410 (1986).
- [28] V. E. Asribekov, Sov. Phys. JETP 15, 394 (1962); N. Byers and C. N. Yang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 595 (1964).
- [29] The soft and collinear limits of all invariants are given in Appendix A.
- [30] Z. Bern, G. Chalmers, L.J. Dixon, and D.A. Kosower, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2134 (1994).
- [31] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B443, 85 (1995).

- [32] J. Polchinski and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B460, 525 (1996).
- [33] C.F. Berger, Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon, D. Forde, and D.A. Kosower, hep-ph/0607014.
- [34] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. **B647**, 49 (2002).
- [35] S. Stieberger and T.R. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B B648, 3 (2003).
- [36] D. Binosi and L. Theussl, Comput. Phys. Commun. 161, 76 (2004).