\*Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Research Fellow, 1972-74. Work supported in part by the Atomic Energy Commission, Grant No. AT(11-1)-2220, and by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. NSF GP 25303.

\*Deres en ent addres a

†Permanent address.

‡Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>1</sup>R. P. Feynman, unpublished report.

<sup>2</sup>K. G. Wilson, Cornell University Report No. CLNS-

131, 1970 (unpublished).

<sup>3</sup>A. H. Mueller, Phys. Rev. D <u>4</u>, 150 (1971).

<sup>4</sup>D. K. Campbell and S.-J. Chang, Phys. Rev. D <u>4</u>, 1151 (1971); Univ. of Illinois Report No. ILL-(TH)-71-11 (unpublished). See also the invited talk given by S.-J. Chang at APS meeting, Washington, D. C., 1972 (unpublished).

<sup>5</sup>T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D <u>6</u>, 3617 (1972).

<sup>6</sup>G. C. Wick, Phys. Rev. <u>96</u>, 1124 (1954); R. E. Cutkosky, Phys. Rev. 96, 1135 (1954).

<sup>7</sup>G. Tiktopoulos and S. B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. <u>135</u>, B711 (1964); <u>137</u>, B1597 (1965).

<sup>8</sup>N. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. <u>135</u>, B1430 (1964).

<sup>9</sup>J. L. Rosner, J. Math. Phys. 7, 875 (1966).

<sup>10</sup>H. W. Wyld, Phys. Rev. D <u>3</u>, <u>3090</u> (1971); and private communication.

<sup>11</sup>H. Cheng and T. T. Wu, Phys. Rev. D <u>5</u>, 3192 (1972).
 <sup>12</sup>See, for example, S.-J. Chang and T.-M. Yan, Phys.

Rev. D 4, 537 (1971); G. M. Cicuta and R. L. Sugar,

Phys. Rev. D 3, 970 (1971); B. Hasslacher and D. K.

Sinclair, Phys. Rev. D 3, 1770 (1971); S. Auerbach et al.,

Phys. Rev. D 6, 2216 (1972).

<sup>13</sup>M. Bander, Phys. Rev. D <u>6</u>, 164 (1972); R. Arnold, private communication.

<sup>14</sup>T. D. Lee, private communication.

<sup>15</sup>D. K. Campbell and S.-J. Chang, Phys. Rev. D <u>4</u>, 1151 (1971).

<sup>16</sup>S.-J. Chang, T.-M. Yan, and Y.-P. Yao, Phys. Rev. D 4, 3012 (1971).

<sup>17</sup>See, e.g., K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics* (Wiley, New York, 1963), Chap. 14.

<sup>18</sup>Note that we are concentrating on the pionization amplitude for final particles away from the kinematical boundary. The scale invariance is valid so long as the overall energy-momentum conservation is not significantly modified.

<sup>19</sup>The results quoted here are due to Cheng and Wu. Wyld's result gives rise to the same g dependence, but is less accurate in the numerical coefficient.

<sup>20</sup>S.-J. Chang and J. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D (to be published).

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 7, NUMBER 12

15 JUNE 1973

# Magnetic Dipole and Electric Quadrupole Moments of the $W^{\pm}$ Meson\*

Kwang Je Kim and Yung-Su Tsai

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 8 January 1973)

It is shown that the magnetic dipole and the electric quadrupole moments of the  $W^{\pm}$  meson must be equal to e/m and  $-e/m^2$ , respectively, if we demand either that the Drell-Hearn sum rule is satisfied up to order  $\alpha^2$  or that the helicity of  $W^{\pm}$  is conserved in the scattering from an arbitrary electromagnetic field at high energies and at small but finite scattering angles.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

 $W^{\pm}$  vector bosons, which are supposed to mediate the weak interactions,<sup>1</sup> have (in addition to the charge) a magnetic dipole moment and an electric quadrupole moment.<sup>2,3</sup> We assume that the electromagnetic interaction of the  $W^{\pm}$  bosons is invariant under the time-reversal and parity operations, hence the electric dipole moment <sup>4</sup> is zero. The values of these moments greatly affect the total production cross sections, the energy-angle distributions, and the decay correlations in the processes such as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ ,  ${}^5\gamma Z \rightarrow W^+W^-$  + anything,  ${}^6\nu_{\mu}Z \rightarrow \mu W$  + anything,  ${}^7$  etc. Therefore if  $W^{\pm}$  bosons are discovered it is relatively easy to find these moments. It is interesting to speculate what these moments should be.  $W^{\pm}$  bosons

are assumed to have no strong interactions,<sup>8</sup> hence the observable moments are expected to be not greatly affected by the radiative corrections, in analogy to the magnetic moment of an electron which<sup>9</sup> is given by

$$\mu_e = \frac{e}{2m_e} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} 0.328479 + \cdots \right)$$
$$\equiv \frac{e}{4m_e} g_e .$$

As is well known, this is the consequence of the quantum electrodynamics of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particle assuming no anomalous magnetic moment (Pauli term) in the Lagrangian. The absence of the Pauli term in the Lagrangian is commonly believed to be due to the fact that its presence would render

the theory unrenormalizable. Also the concept<sup>10</sup> of "principle of minimum interaction" was invented to describe the absence of the Pauli term in the leptons and the concept was widely applied to the electromagnetic interaction of spin-0 and spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  hadrons. For charged spin-1 particles,<sup>11</sup> the principle of minimum interactions does not yield a unique magnetic moment, but once the magnetic moment is given the electric quadrupole is determined, i.e., if  $\mu = e(1+\kappa)/(2m)$ , then  $Q = -e\kappa/m^2$ . Weinberg,<sup>12</sup> and many others after him, proposed a theory to unify the electromagnetic and weak interactions using the Higgs phenomenon. In this theory, the photon- $W^{\pm}$ -boson coupling is of the Yang-Mills type, which implies that to the lowest order in  $\alpha$  the magnetic moment and the electric quadrupole moment of  $W^{\pm}$  bosons are given respectively by  $(\kappa = 1)$ 

7

$$\mu = e/m$$
 and  $Q = -e/m^2$ , (1.1)

where e and m are the charge and the mass, respectively, of  $W^{\pm}$ .

In this paper we show two additional arguments which can be regarded as supporting the values of  $\mu$  and Q given by Eq. (1.1). The first is to consider the Drell-Hearn sum rule,<sup>13,14</sup>

$$\pi s \left(\frac{\mu}{e} - \frac{e}{m}\right)^2 = \int_0^\infty \left[\sigma_P(\omega) - \sigma_A(\omega)\right] \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \quad , \qquad (1.2)$$

where s is the spin of the particle (s = 1 for  $W^{\pm}$ ),  $\sigma_{P}(\omega)$  [or  $\sigma_{A}(\omega)$ ] is the total cross section for  $\gamma + W^{\pm}$  with the spins of  $\gamma$  and  $W^{\pm}$  parallel [or antiparallel] to each other in the laboratory system, and  $\omega$  is the incident photon energy. Now if the sum rule is true, it must also be true for each order in  $\alpha$ . The right-hand side starts with terms of order  $\alpha^{2}$  because the W is assumed to have no strong interaction. Thus as pointed out by Weinberg,<sup>14</sup> the term linear in  $\alpha$  in the left-hand side must vanish. This implies that to the lowest order in  $\alpha$ , all nonstrongly interacting particles have magnetic moments given by

$$\mu_0 = se/m \,. \tag{1.3}$$

Since the magnetic moments of a particle and an antiparticle must have the same magnitude and be opposite in sign, we must have

$$\mu = \frac{se}{m} \left( 1 + a_1 \alpha + a_2 \alpha^2 + \cdots \right) . \tag{1.4}$$

Substituting (1.4) into the left-hand side of (1.2), we obtain

$$\frac{4\pi^2\alpha^3 s}{m^2} (a_1 + \alpha a_2 + \cdots)^2,$$

which does not have terms proportional to  $\alpha^2$ . Hence terms proportional to  $\alpha^2$  in the right-hand side must vanish.<sup>15</sup>  $\alpha^2$  terms in the right-hand side of (1.2) are just the spin-dependent part of the lowest-order  $\gamma + W$  Compton scattering cross section, which in general depends upon  $\mu$  and Q. In Sec. II, we show that the integration in the right-hand side of (1.2) diverges if either  $\mu \neq e/m$ or  $Q \neq -e/m^2$ , but when  $\mu = e/m$  and  $Q = -e/m^2$ , the integration in the right-hand side of (1.2) yields zero.

Another argument, which we shall present in Sec. III, is helicity conservation.<sup>16</sup> The argument is not very convincing but interesting. Nature seems to like helicity conservation at high energies. We show that the helicity of  $W^{\pm}$  is conserved in the scattering of  $W^{\pm}$  from an arbitrary electromagnetic field at high energy and at small but finite angles, if and only if  $\mu = e/m$  and  $Q = -e/m^2$ . This argument gives also  $\mu = e/2m$  for an electron (or muon). However for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particle, the helicity is conserved at high energies and at all angles if and only if  $\mu = e/2m$ , whereas for a spin-1 particle, even if  $\mu = e/m$  and  $Q = -e/m^2$ , the helicity will not be conserved unless  $\theta \ll 1$ . This fact is due to the conservation of angular momentum. Therefore we cannot demand the helicity conservation in the electromagnetic scattering of a spin-1 particle unless  $\theta \ll 1$ .

In Appendix A, we discuss how to identify various form factors in the manifestly covariant vertex functions with the charge radius, magnetic dipole moment, and electric quadrupole moment commonly used in the nonrelativistic nuclear physics.

#### **II. DRELL-HEARN SUM RULE**

In this section, we study the Drell-Hearn sum rule (1.2) for  $W^{\pm}$  bosons with arbitrary magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments. We consider the sum rule in the order  $\alpha^2$ , in which case the total cross sections  $\sigma_P$  and  $\sigma_A$  in (1.2) come from the lowest-order Compton scattering. We shall show that the integral (1.2) converges if and only if  $\mu$  and Q are given by (1.1), and when it converges the integral vanishes.

The Feynman rules for the quantum electrodynamics of  $W^{\pm}$  bosons with arbitrary  $\mu$  and Q have been given by Aronson,<sup>17</sup> and are shown in Fig. 1. and Table I. There also exists a four-W direct coupling term, but this is not relevant in our calculation. When the W bosons represented by p and p' in Fig. 1 are on the mass shell, the vertex function V can be written in a simpler form as follows:

$$\begin{split} V_{\mu\alpha\beta} &= ie[g_{\alpha\beta}(p+p'+\lambda'p'\cdot qp-\lambda'p\cdot qp')_{\mu} - g_{\alpha\mu}(p-\kappa q+\lambda'p'\cdot qp-\lambda'p'\cdot pq)_{\beta} \\ &\quad -g_{\beta\mu}(p'+\kappa q-\lambda'p\cdot qp'+\lambda'p'\cdot pq)_{\alpha} - \lambda'p_{\mu}p'_{\alpha}q_{\beta} + \lambda'p'_{\mu}q_{\alpha}p_{\beta}] \\ &= ie[g_{\alpha\beta}(p+p')_{\mu} - g_{\alpha\mu}(p-q)_{\beta} - g_{\beta\mu}(p'+q)_{\alpha} + \eta(g_{\alpha\mu}q_{\beta} - g_{\beta\mu}q_{\alpha})] \\ &\quad +\lambda m^{-2}[g_{\alpha\beta}(p'\cdot qp_{\mu} - p\cdot qp'_{\mu}) - g_{\alpha\mu}(p'\cdot qp_{\beta} - p'\cdot pq_{\beta}) + g_{\beta\mu}(p\cdot qp'_{\alpha} - p'\cdot pq_{\alpha}) - p_{\mu}p'_{\alpha}q_{\beta} + p'_{\mu}q_{\alpha}p_{\beta}] \\ &\qquad U_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -ie^{2}(2g_{\mu\nu}g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu}) \end{split}$$

$$- ie^{2}\lambda' \{ g_{\mu\nu}g_{\alpha\beta}(q-q') \cdot (p'-p) - g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}(q\cdot p'+q'\cdot p) + g_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu}(q\cdot p+q'\cdot p') \\ - g_{\alpha\beta}[q_{\nu}(p'-p)_{\mu} - q'_{\mu}(p'-p)_{\nu}] + g_{\mu\nu}[p_{\beta}(q-q')_{\alpha} - p'_{\alpha}(q-q')_{\beta}] \\ + g_{\beta\nu}(q_{\alpha}p'_{\mu} + q'_{\alpha}p_{\mu} + q'_{\alpha}p'_{\mu} - q'_{\mu}p'_{\alpha}) - g_{\alpha\nu}(q'_{\beta}p_{\mu} - q'_{\mu}p_{\beta} + q_{\beta}p_{\mu} + q'_{\beta}p'_{\mu}) \\ - g_{\mu\beta}(p'_{\nu}q_{\alpha} - p'_{\alpha}q_{\nu} + p_{\nu}q_{\alpha} + p'_{\nu}q'_{\alpha}) + g_{\mu\alpha}(p_{\nu}q_{\beta} - p_{\beta}q_{\nu} + q_{\beta}p'_{\nu} + q'_{\beta}p_{\nu}) \} .$$

$$V_{\mu\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{p^{2}=m^{2}} ie \left\{ (p+p')_{\mu} \left[ g_{\alpha\beta} (1+\frac{1}{2}\lambda q^{2}/m^{2}) - \lambda m^{-2} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \right] + (1+\kappa+\lambda) (g_{\alpha\mu}q_{\beta} - g_{\beta\mu}q_{\alpha}) \right\}.$$
(2.1)

The matrix element of a current operator  $J_{\mu}(0)$  can be written as

$$\langle \vec{p}'h' | J_{\mu}(0) | \vec{p}h \rangle = i \langle \epsilon'_{h'} \rangle_{8}^{*} \epsilon_{h\alpha} V_{\mu\alpha\beta} \equiv \Gamma_{h'h}^{\mu}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $\epsilon'_{\lambda'}$  (or  $\epsilon_{\lambda}$ ) is the polarization vector of  $\vec{p}'$  (or  $\vec{p}$ ) with a helicity h' (or h). In the Appendix, we show that the parameters  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are related to the magnetic dipole moment by

$$\mu = e \left( 1 + \kappa + \lambda \right) / (2m) \tag{2.3}$$

and the electric quadrupole moment by

$$Q = -e \left(\kappa - \lambda\right)/m^2 \,. \tag{2.4}$$

We note that the expression for Q given by Aronson<sup>17</sup> contains an error. In the Appendix we also show that the mean square charge radius of a charged vector particle having a vertex function (2.1) is given by

$$R^2 = (\kappa + \lambda)/m^2 \,. \tag{2.5}$$

It can be shown from  $q_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu}_{h'h}=0$ , invariance under parity and time reversal and  $p \cdot \epsilon_{h}=p' \cdot \epsilon'_{h'}=0$  that  $\Gamma^{\mu}_{h'h}$  has a tensor structure

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{h'h} = -e[G_1(q^2)(p+p')_{\mu}\epsilon'_{h'} \cdot \epsilon_h + G_2(q^2)(\epsilon_h \cdot q\epsilon'_{h'\mu} - \epsilon'_{h'} \cdot q\epsilon_{h\mu}) + G_3(q^2)(p+p')_{\mu}(\epsilon'_{h'} \cdot q)(\epsilon_h \cdot q)m^{-2}],$$
(2.6)

where  $G_1(q^2)$ ,  $G_2(q^2)$ , and  $G_3(q^2)$  are real functions of  $q^2$ . Thus Eq. (2.1) can be regarded as a particular form of (2.6) due to a specific assumption about the form of the Lagrangian. Since W's are assumed to h have no strong interaction, the only quantity which can have the dimension of  $q^2$  is  $m^2$ ; hence the G's are in general functions of  $q^2/m^2$  and the dimensionless quantities such as  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$ . For example (2.1) gives

$$G_1(q^2) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda q^2/m^2,$$

$$G_2 = 1 + \kappa + \lambda,$$
and
$$(2.7)$$

 $G_3 = -\lambda$ .

If one assumes other forms of Lagrangian,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  may also be functions of  $q^2/m^2$  instead of being constants. These considerations are important when we discuss the helicity conservation in the next section.

The terms proportional to  $\lambda$  in Table I can be regarded as anomalous because they cannot be derived from the principle of the minimal interaction. As emphasized by T. D. Lee,<sup>11</sup> the terms proportional to  $\kappa$ should not be regarded as anomalous because the free Lagrangian  $L_{\text{free}}$ , is not uniquely defined for a spin-1 particle and one gets different values of  $\kappa$  from different expressions by  $L_{\text{free}}$  by replacing in  $L_{\text{free}}$ ,  $\partial/\partial x_{\mu}$  $-\partial/\partial x_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu}$ . Since the purpose of this paper is to show that  $\kappa = 1$  is more normal than other values, it is convenient to define a new parameter  $\eta \equiv \kappa - 1 . \tag{2.8}$ 

In terms of  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$ , the magnetic moment  $\mu$  and the quadrupole moment Q can be written, respectively, as

$$\mu = (2 + \eta + \lambda)e/(2m)$$

and

$$Q = -(1 + \eta - \lambda)e/m^2.$$

The Feynman diagrams for the Compton scattering are shown in Fig. 2, and the matrix elements can be written as  $\epsilon^{\alpha} \epsilon'^{*\beta} e^{\mu} e'^{*\nu} M_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ , where

$$M_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} = M^{a}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + M^{b}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + M^{c}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} . \qquad (2.9)$$

 $M^a$ ,  $M^b$ , and  $M^c$  correspond to the diagrams *a*, *b*, and *c*, respectively of Fig.2 and they can be written as follows:



FIG. 1. Feynman rules for the quantum electrodynamics of  $W^{\pm}$  bosons.

$$M_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}^{a} = \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ (k-p)_{\rho} g_{\mu\alpha} + \eta k_{\rho} g_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}} g_{\mu\alpha} [x(p-k)_{\rho} - m^{2}k_{\rho}] \right\}$$

$$\times \left( g_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{(p+k)_{\rho} (p'+k')_{\sigma}}{m^{2}} \right) \left( 2p'_{\nu} g_{\sigma\beta} - (p'-k')_{\sigma} g_{\nu\beta} - 2k'_{\beta} g_{\nu\sigma} + \eta (g_{\beta\nu}k'_{\sigma} - g_{\sigma\nu}k'_{\beta}) - \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}} \left\{ g_{\beta\nu} [x(p'-k')_{\sigma} - m^{2}k'_{\sigma}] + m^{2} g_{\nu\sigma}k'_{\beta} - p'_{\nu}p'_{\sigma}k'_{\beta} + p'_{\nu}k'_{\sigma}k'_{\beta} \right\} \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$M_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}^{b} = \frac{-1}{2y} \left( 2p_{\nu}g_{\alpha\rho} + 2k_{\alpha}'g_{\nu\rho} - (k'+p)_{\rho}g_{\nu\alpha} + \eta(g_{\rho\nu}k_{\alpha}' - g_{\alpha\nu}k_{\rho}') - \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}} \left\{ -m^{2}g_{\rho\nu}k_{\alpha}' + g_{\nu\alpha}[m^{2}k_{\rho}' - y(p+k')_{\rho}] + p_{\nu}k_{\alpha}'(p+k')_{\rho} \right\} \right) \\ \times \left( g_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{(p-k')_{\rho}(p'-k)_{\sigma}}{m^{2}} \right) \left( 2p_{\mu}'g_{\sigma\beta} - (p'+k)_{\sigma}g_{\mu\beta} + 2k_{\beta}g_{\mu\sigma} + \eta(g_{\sigma\mu}k_{\beta} - g_{\beta\mu}k_{\sigma}) - \frac{\lambda}{m^{2}} \left\{ g_{\beta\mu}[m^{2}k_{\sigma} - y(p'+k)_{\sigma}] - m^{2}g_{\mu\sigma}k_{\beta} + p_{\mu}'k_{\beta}(p'+k)_{\sigma} \right\} \right);$$
(2.11)

 $M^c$  is the seagull term U divided by  $ie^2$  in Table I. In the above equations, we have introduced the notations

$$x = k \cdot p = k' \cdot p'$$

and

$$v = k' \cdot p = k \cdot p' .$$

We have ignored the terms proportional to  $k_{\mu}$ ,  $p_{\alpha}$ ,  $k'_{\nu}$ , and  $p'_{\beta}$  because they yield zero after contractions with the polarization vectors. We have also ignored the terms proportional to  $k_{\alpha}$  and  $p_{\mu}$  because in the Drell-Hearn sum rule we are interested only in the polarization vectors of the initial photon and the target W boson, which are orthogonal to k and p. We choose the coordinate system in which the incoming photon direction is the z

axis and the scattering takes place in the xz plane:

 $k = (\omega, 0, 0, \omega),$   $k' = (\omega', \omega' \sin\theta, 0, \omega' \cos\theta),$  p = (m, 0, 0, 0), $x = m \omega,$ 

and

(2.12)



FIG. 2. Lowest-order Feynman diagrams for Compton scattering.

s = 0

(2.17)

$$y = m\omega' = m\omega/[1 + \omega m^{-1}(1 - \cos\theta)].$$

The helicity of the incident photon is chosen to be +1,

$$e = -(\frac{1}{2})^{1/2}(0, 1, i, 0),$$

and the spin of the target W boson is either parallel or antiparallel to the incident photon direction

 $\epsilon^{\pm} = \pm (\frac{1}{2})^{1/2} (0, 1, \pm i, 0)$ .

The relative phases between e,  $\epsilon^+$ , and  $\epsilon^-$  do not enter into our problem, hence we may let  $\epsilon^+ = e$  and  $\epsilon^- = e^*$ . The difference of the two differential cross sections is then (we have ignored the difference between upper and lower indices for simplicity)

$$\frac{d\sigma_{P}}{d\Omega} - \frac{d\sigma_{A}}{d\Omega} = e_{\mu}e_{\mu'}^{*}(e_{\alpha}e_{\alpha'}^{*} - e_{\alpha}^{*}e_{\alpha'})\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4m^{2}}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{2}$$
$$\times M_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}M_{\mu'\alpha'\nu'\beta'}^{*}\left(g_{\beta\beta'} - \frac{p_{\beta}'p_{\beta'}'}{m^{2}}\right) \quad .$$
(2.13)

We have done this calculation using the algebraic computer program written by Hearn.<sup>18</sup> The results are too long to be reproduced here. However for the case  $\eta = \lambda = 0$ , both the calculations and the results are fairly simple and we shall treat this case separately. When either  $\lambda \neq 0$  or  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\eta \neq 0$ , we need only to pick up the terms which are the most divergent and this can be done without using a computer. For this purpose, let us define

$$\chi_{\mu\mu'\alpha\alpha'} = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^2 M_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} M^*_{\mu'\alpha'\nu\beta'} \left(g_{\beta\beta'} - \frac{p_{\beta}'p_{\beta'}}{m^2}\right) (2.14)$$

and

$$\chi = e_{\mu} e_{\mu'}^{*} (e_{\alpha} e_{\alpha'}^{*} - e_{\alpha}^{*} e_{\alpha'}) \chi_{\mu \mu' \alpha \alpha'}. \qquad (2.15)$$

 $\chi$  is dimensionless and can be written as

$$\chi = \sum_{\substack{I+c+s=n\\c \ge 0\\s = 0,1}} C_{Ics} \frac{x^{I} y^{c} z^{s}}{m^{2n}} , \qquad (2.16)$$

where

$$z = |e \cdot k'|^2$$
$$= |e \cdot k'|^2$$
$$= |e \cdot p'|^2$$
$$= |e \cdot p'|^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\omega'^2 \sin^2\theta$$

 $C_{lcs}$  is a constant. The condition n = l + c + s is obvious from the dimensional consideration. The conditions  $l \ge -4$  and  $c \ge 0$  can be seen easily from the matrix element. s = 0, 1 can be understood in the following way: The fourth-rank tensor  $\chi_{\mu\mu'\alpha\alpha'}$ can be constructed from the metric tensor g and available vectors p, p', k, and k', of which only three are independent. Since p and k are orthogonal to e and  $e^*$ , we need to consider only the metric tensor g and the vector k'. The tensor  $k'_{\mu}k'_{\mu'}k'_{\alpha}k'_{\alpha'}$  yields zero after contraction with the polarization vectors in (2.15). Hence only s = 0and s = 1 contribute to  $\chi$  in (2.16).

In order to see the energy dependence of  $\sigma_{\mu} - \sigma_{A}$ , we integrate  $x^{l}y^{c}z^{s}/m^{2n}$  with respect to the solid angle. The results are

$$\int (x^{l}y^{c}/m^{2n}) d\cos\theta \sim_{\omega \to \infty} \begin{cases} (\omega/m)^{l+c-1}/(c-1) = (\omega/m)^{n-1}/(c-1) & \text{if } c > 1, \\ (\omega/m)^{l} \ln(2\omega/m) = (\omega/m)^{n-1} \ln(2\omega/m) & \text{if } c = 1, \\ 2(\omega/m)^{l} = 2(\omega/m)^{n} & \text{if } c = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$s = 1$$

$$\int (x^{l}y^{c}z/m^{2n}) d\cos\theta \sim_{\omega \to \infty} \begin{cases} (\omega/m)^{l+c}/(c^{2}+c) = (\omega/m)^{n-1}/(c^{2}+c) & \text{if } c > 0, \\ (\omega/m)^{l+c}/(c^{2}+c) = (\omega/m)^{n-1}/(c^{2}+c) & \text{if } c > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} (\omega/m)^{l} \ln(2\omega/m) = (\omega/m)^{n-1} \ln(2\omega/m) \quad \text{if } c = 0.$$

In general the most divergent terms are those with the maximum n and the minimum c. However, in the following we shall show that when s = 0 and c = 0, *n* is necessarily small,  $n \le 0$ , whereas the most divergent terms are  $n \ge 2$  unless  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\eta = 0$ . Therefore, the case represented by (2.17) can be dropped from the consideration. Now in all other cases the energy dependence is either  $\omega^{n-1}$  or  $\omega^{n-1} \ln(2\omega/m)$ , and these two energy

dependences cannot have mutual cancellations. Therefore, we need only to consider the terms with the maximum n without having to worry about the possible cancellations by terms which have a smaller n but with a different c.

We first establish the above mentioned fact that only terms with  $n \leq 0$  can have s = 0 and c = 0. Only the matrix element  $M_b$  can give c = 0. Since we are interested only in the terms with the largest *n* and the smallest *s*, we can ignore all terms containing  $m^2$ , y,  $k'_{\mu}$ ,  $p'_{\mu}$ ,  $k'_{\alpha}$ , and  $p'_{\alpha}$  in the numerators of  $M_b$ . With this simplification, the part of  $M_b$  which we are interested in is

$$\begin{split} M^{b}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} & \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2y} \left( 2 + \eta + \lambda \right) \left[ 2 p_{\nu} k_{\beta} g_{\alpha\mu} \right. \\ & \left. + x g_{\nu\alpha} g_{\beta\mu} (2 + \eta + \lambda) \right]. \end{split}$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (2.14), we note that terms contracted with  $g_{\beta\beta}$ , has  $n \le 0$ . In order to consider the terms contracted with  $p'_{\beta}p'_{\beta'}/m^2$ , we note that

$$p'_{\beta}M^{b}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2y} (2+\eta+\lambda)[2p_{\nu}yg_{\alpha\mu} + xg_{\nu\alpha}p'_{\mu}(2+\eta+\lambda)],$$
(2.19)

which does not contribute to the s = 0, c = 0 terms in x. This proves that only terms with  $n \le 0$  can have s = 0 and c = 0. This fact assures us that the most divergent terms in x are those with the maximum n if  $n_{max} \ge 2$ .

Our next task is then to pick up terms with the largest n. Before doing this, we note the following properties of the vertex function V given in Table I.

Let

$$V_{\mu\alpha\beta} = A_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \eta B_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \lambda m^{-2} C_{\mu\alpha\beta},$$

where  $A_{\mu\alpha\beta}$  is independent of  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$ . The following can be easily verified:

(a)  $p_{\alpha}C_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$  and  $p'_{\beta}C_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$ . These relations are true even when p' and p are off the mass shell. Hence the tensor  $p'_{\beta}$ ,  $p'_{\beta}/m^2$  in the spin sum in (2.14) as well as the similar terms in the W boson propagators,  $(p+k)_{\rho}(p'+k')_{\sigma}/m^2$  in (2.10) and  $(p-k')_{\rho}(p'-k)_{\sigma}/m^2$  in (2.11), do not contribute to the  $\lambda^4$  terms in  $\chi$ .

(b)  $p_{\alpha}B_{\mu\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ ,  $p'_{\beta}B_{\mu\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ , but  $p_{\alpha}p'_{\beta}B_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$  if  $q^2 = 0$ . This means that in considering the most divergent  $\eta^4$  terms in  $\chi$ , we may ignore  $p'_{\beta}p'_{\beta'}/m^2$  in (2.14) but have to retain  $(p+k)_{\rho}(p'+k')_{\sigma}/m^2$  in (2.10) and  $(p-k')_{\rho}(p'-k)_{\sigma}/m^2$  in (2.11). (c)  $p_{\alpha}A_{\mu\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ ,  $p'_{\beta}A_{\mu\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ , but  $p'_{\alpha}p_{\beta}A_{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$  if  $q^2 = 0$ .

Case  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Using (a), we see immediately that the most divergent terms, when  $\lambda \neq 0$ , are proportional to  $\lambda^4/m^8$  and the seagull diagram does not contribute terms of this order to  $\chi$ . After some simple calculation we find terms which are proportional to  $\lambda^4/m^8$  in  $\chi$  and the result can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_P}{d\Omega} - \frac{d\sigma_A}{d\Omega} = \frac{-\alpha^2 \lambda^4}{2m^2} \frac{y^2}{x^2} \left( \frac{x^4 - 6x^3y + 9x^2y^2 - 2xy^3}{m^8} \right) + \cdots$$
(2.20)

After integrating with respect to the solid angle, we obtain

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{p}}(\omega) - \sigma_{\mathbf{A}}(\omega) \underset{\omega \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{-\alpha^2 \pi}{2 m^2} \lambda^4 \left(\frac{\omega}{m}\right)^3 + O\left(\frac{\omega^2}{m^2}\right), \quad (2.21)$$

which shows that the integration (1.2) diverges when  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

Case  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$ . Using (b), we see immediately that the most divergent terms, when  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\eta \neq 0$ , are proportional to  $\eta^4/m^4$  and the seagull diagram does not contribute terms of this order to  $\chi$ . After some simple calculation we find the most divergent part of  $\chi$  and the result can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_P}{d\Omega} - \frac{d\sigma_A}{d\Omega} = \frac{-\alpha^2 \eta^4}{8m^2} \frac{y^2}{x^2} \frac{(x^2 - xy)}{m^4} + \cdots \qquad (2.22)$$

After integrating with respect to the solid angle, we obtain

$$\sigma_{P}(\omega) - \sigma_{A}(\omega) \underset{\omega \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{-\alpha^{2}}{8m^{2}} \eta^{4}\left(\frac{\omega}{m}\right), \qquad (2.23)$$

which shows that the integration (1.2) diverges when  $\eta \neq 0$ .

Case  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\eta = 0$ . In this case, the matrix elements are very simple and the cross section can be expressed as follows

$$\frac{d\sigma_{P}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{m^{2}} \frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}} \left[ \frac{2z^{2}}{y^{2}} - z \left( \frac{m^{2}}{y^{2}} + 4 \frac{x}{y^{2}} \right) + \frac{x^{2}}{y^{2}} \right], \quad (2.24)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{A}}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_{P}}{d\Omega} + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{m^{2}} \frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}} \left[ z \left( \frac{4}{x} - \frac{4}{y} + \frac{8x}{y^{2}} \right) + 6 - 4 \left( \frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x} \right) + 2 \frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}} \right]. \quad (2.25)$$

From these two equations one can show easily by an explicit calculation that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \int \left( \frac{d\sigma_{P}}{d\Omega} - \frac{d\sigma_{p}}{d\Omega} \right) d\Omega = 0.$$
 (2.26)

We conclude that both  $\eta$  and  $\lambda$  have to be zero in order that the Drell-Hearn sum rule is satisfied.

### **III. HELICITY CONSERVATION AT HIGH ENERGIES**

In this section we show that in the electromagnetic scattering of a charged spin-1 particle, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the helicity conservation at high energies and at small but finite scattering angles are  $\eta = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$ . This can be demonstrated by an explicit calculation for each helicity amplitude. In this section we shall

use the coordinate system shown in Fig. 3, where p, p', and q have the following components:

$$\begin{split} q &= (0, 0, 0, 2p \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta), \\ p &= (E, p \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta, 0, -p \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta), \\ p' &= (E, p \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta, 0, p \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta). \end{split}$$

The helicity states of the incident and outgoing W's can be represented by the vectors:

$$\begin{split} |\vec{\mathbf{p}}+\rangle &= \epsilon_{+} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(0, \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta, -i, \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta\right), \\ |\vec{\mathbf{p}}-\rangle &= \epsilon_{-} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(0, \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta, i, \cos\frac{1}{2}\theta\right), \\ |\vec{\mathbf{p}}0\rangle &= \epsilon_{0} \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{m}, \frac{E}{m}\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta, 0, -\frac{E}{m}\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta\right), \\ |\vec{\mathbf{p}}'+\rangle &= \epsilon'_{+} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(0, \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta, i, -\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta\right), \\ |\vec{\mathbf{p}}'-\rangle &= \epsilon'_{-} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(0, \sin\frac{1}{2}\theta, -i, -\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta\right), \\ |\vec{\mathbf{p}}'0\rangle &= \epsilon'_{0} \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{m}, \frac{E}{m}\cos\frac{1}{2}\theta, 0, \frac{E}{m}\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta\right). \end{split}$$

Let us define the helicity amplitudes by

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{h'h}^{(\mu)} &= e^{-1} \langle \, \ddot{\mathbf{p}}'h' \, | \, J_{\mu} | \ddot{\mathbf{p}}h \rangle \\ &= \epsilon_{h'\beta} \epsilon_{h\alpha} V_{\mu\alpha\beta} / (ie) \\ &= - (p + p')_{\mu} [G_1 \epsilon_{h}'^{*} \cdot \epsilon_h - \lambda m^{-2} (q \cdot \epsilon_{h}'^{*}) (q \cdot \epsilon_h)] \\ &- g (\epsilon_{h\mu} q \cdot \epsilon_{h}'^{*} - \epsilon_{h}'^{*} \mu q \cdot \epsilon_h), \end{split}$$
(3.1)

where

$$g = 1 + \kappa + \lambda,$$
  

$$G_{1} = 1 - 2\lambda p^{2} m^{-2} \sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\theta)$$

and  $V_{\mu\alpha\beta}$  is the vertex function defined by Eq. (2.1). In our frame  $q_0 = 0$ , hence from the current conservation  $q_0J_0 = q_3J_3$  we have  $J_3 = 0$ . Thus we need to consider only the matrix elements of  $J_0$ and  $J_{\pm} = \mp (J_{\pm} \pm i J_{\pm})/(2)^{1/2}$ . Because of the symmetries, not all 27 helicity amplitudes are independent. From the invariance under the reflection  $y \leftrightarrow -y$  we have

$$\Gamma^{0}_{h'h} = (-1)^{h-h'} \Gamma^{0}_{-h'-h}$$
(3.2)

and



FIG. 3. The coordinate system used in the discussion of helicity conservation.

$$\Gamma_{h'h}^{\pm} = (-1)^{h-h'+1} \Gamma_{-h'-h}^{\pm} . \tag{3.3}$$

This can be shown in the following way: Let us use  $|h\rangle$  and  $|h'\rangle$  to represent the spin states of the particles at rest. Then the helicity states can be written as:

$$\left|\tilde{\mathbf{p}}h\right\rangle \equiv e^{-i\left(\pi/2+\theta/2\right)}J_2e^{-i\xi K_3}\left|h\right\rangle , \qquad (3.4)$$

$$|\mathbf{\tilde{p}}'h'\rangle = e^{-i(\pi/2-\theta/2)}J_2e^{-i\xi K_3}|h'\rangle, \qquad (3.5)$$

where  $\xi = \sinh^{-1}(p/m)$ ,  $e^{-i\xi K_3}$  is the boost operator in the z direction which is the axis of quantization of the state, and  $e^{-i(\pi/2+\theta/2)J_2}$  is the operator to rotate the z axis to the direction of  $\tilde{p}$  for the initial state and  $\exp[-i(\pi/2-\theta/2)J_3]$  is the similar rotation operator for the final state. Under the operation  $Y = \mathcal{P}e^{-i\pi J_2}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is parity operator, we have

$$YJ_0Y^{-1} = J_0, (3.6)$$

$$YJ_{\pm}Y^{-1} = -J_{\pm}, \qquad (3.7)$$

$$Y|\mathbf{\bar{p}}h\rangle = \eta_{p}(-1)^{s-h}|\mathbf{\bar{p}}-h\rangle , \qquad (3.8)$$

and

$$Y|\vec{\mathbf{p}}'h'\rangle = \eta_{p}(-1)^{s-h'}|\vec{\mathbf{p}}'-h'\rangle, \qquad (3.9)$$

where  $\eta_p = -1$  is the parity and s = 1 is the spin of the particle. The last two equations come from the facts that Y commutes with  $\exp[-i(\pi/2 + \theta/2)J_2]$ ,  $e^{-i\xi K_3}$ , and  $\exp[-i(\pi/2 - \theta/2)J_2]$ , and

$$Y|h\rangle = \eta_{b}(-1)^{s-h}|-h\rangle \quad (3.10)$$

We note that (3.8) and (3.9) are satisfied by our polarization vectors  $\epsilon_h$  and  $\epsilon'_{h'}$ . For example, changing the sign of the y component of  $\epsilon_+$  yields  $-\epsilon_-$ . The desired relations (3.2) and (3.3) follow immediately from (3.6) through (3.9).

From the Hermiticity of the current operators  $J_0$ ,  $J_x$ , and  $J_y$  and the invariance under time reversal, we have

$$\Gamma^{0}_{h'h} = (-1)^{h-h'} \Gamma^{0}_{hh'}$$
(3.11)

and

$$\Gamma_{h'h}^{\pm} = (-1)^{h-h'} \Gamma_{hh'}^{\pm} . \qquad (3.12)$$

Let us derive these two relations in the following. Under the time reversal operation, we have

$$T(J_0, J_x, J_y)T^{-1} = (J_0, -J_x, -J_y)$$
(3.13)

and

$${}_{T}\langle h' \ddot{\mathbf{p}}' | T J_{\mu} T^{-1} | h \ddot{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{T} = \langle h' \ddot{\mathbf{p}}' | J_{\mu} | h \ddot{\mathbf{p}} \rangle^{*}$$
$$\equiv \Gamma_{h'h}^{\mu*}, \qquad (3.14)$$

where

$$|h\vec{p}\rangle_{T} \equiv T |h\vec{p}\rangle = e^{-i\pi J_{2}} |h\vec{p}\rangle.$$
(3.15)

Substituting (3.15) and (3.13) into the left-hand

side of (3.14) and using 
$$e^{i\pi J_2} = (I - I)e^{-i\pi J_2} = (I - I)e^{-$$

$$e^{-x^2}(J_0, -J_x, -J_y)e^{-x^2} = (J_0, J_x, -J_y), \quad (3.16)$$

**т** )

(0 1 0)

(3.17)

we obtain

 $\Gamma_{h}^{0} \star_{h} = \Gamma_{h'h}^{0} ,$  $\Gamma_{h}^{x} \star_{h} = \Gamma_{h'h}^{x} ,$ 

and

 $\Gamma_h^{y} *_h = -\Gamma_h^{y} *_h \ .$ 

On the other hand the Hermiticity  $J_{\mu}^{\dagger} = J_{\mu}$  gives the following results: If

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{h'h} \equiv \langle h' | e^{i \, \xi \, K_3} e^{i \, (\pi/2 - \theta/2) J_2} J_{\mu} e^{-i \, (\pi/2 + \theta/2) J_2} e^{-i \, \xi \, K_3} | h \rangle , \qquad (3.18)$$

then

$$\Gamma_{h}^{\mu*}{}_{h} = \langle h | e^{i \xi K_{3}} e^{i (\pi/2 + \theta/2)J_{2}} J_{\mu} e^{-i (\pi/2 - \theta/2)J_{2}} e^{-i \xi K_{3}} | h' \rangle .$$
(3.19)

In (3.19) the particle with the helicity h (or h') is moving in the direction of  $\vec{p}$  (or  $\vec{p}$ ). In the right-hand sides of the desired relations (3.11) and (3.12), the particle with the helicity h (or h') is moving in the direction of  $\vec{p}'$  (or  $\vec{p}$ ). If we rotate the coordinate system around the z axis by 180°,  $\vec{p}'$  becomes  $\vec{p}$  and vice versa. Inserting  $e^{iJ_3\pi}e^{-iJ_3\pi}$  between all adjacent factors in (3.19) and using

$$e^{-iJ_{3}\pi}(e^{i\xi K_{3}}, e^{i(\pi/2+\theta/2)J_{2}}, e^{-i(\pi/2-\theta/2)J_{2}}, J_{0}, J_{x}, J_{y})e^{iJ_{3}\pi} = (e^{i\xi K_{3}}, e^{-i(\pi/2+\theta/2)J_{2}}, e^{i(\pi/2-\theta/2)J_{2}}, J_{0}, -J_{x}, -J_{y}),$$
  
$$e^{-iJ_{2}\pi}(J_{0}, -J_{x}, -J_{y})e^{iJ_{2}\pi} = (J_{0}, J_{x}, -J_{y}),$$
  
and

$$e^{-iJ}\mathfrak{z}^{\pi}|h'\rangle = (-1)^{h'}|h'\rangle$$

we obtain

$$\Gamma_{h}^{0,x} = (-1)^{h-h'} \Gamma_{hh'}^{0,x}$$

and

$$\Gamma_h^{\mathbf{y}} = (-1)^{h-h'+1} \Gamma_{hh'}^{\mathbf{y}}$$

Combining (3.17) with (3.20), we obtain the desired relations (3.11) and (3.12). The consequence of the four symmetry relations given by (3.2), (3.3), (3.11), and (3.12) is that we need to consider only 10 amplitudes instead of 27; the relations between various amplitudes and the expression for all amplitudes are given below.

Helicity-conserving amplitudes (h = h'):

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{0}_{++} &= \Gamma^{0}_{--} = 2 \, E \left[ G_1 \cos^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{2 \, m^2} \, p^2 \sin^2\theta \right] \,, \\ \Gamma^{0}_{00} &= 2 \, E \left[ G_1 (1 - 2 \, E^2 \, m^{-2} \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta)) - 4 \lambda E^2 p^2 \, m^{-4} \sin^4(\frac{1}{2}\theta) \right] \,, \\ \Gamma^{+}_{++} &= -\Gamma^{-}_{--} = -2^{1/2} p \, \cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \left[ G_1 \cos^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta) + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2 \, m^2} \sin^2\theta \right] - 2^{-1/2} g p \, \sin\theta \, (\sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta + 1) \,, \\ \Gamma^{+}_{--} &= -\Gamma^{-}_{++} = -2^{1/2} p \, \cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \left[ G_1 \cos^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta) + \frac{\lambda p^2}{2 \, m^2} \sin^2\theta \right] - 2^{-1/2} g p \, \sin\theta \, (\sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta - 1) \,, \\ \Gamma^{+}_{00} &= -\Gamma^{-}_{00} = -2^{1/2} p \, \cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta \left[ G_1 \left( 1 - \frac{2 \, E^2}{m^2} \, \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta) \right) - \frac{4 \lambda E^2 p^2}{m^4} \, \sin^4(\frac{1}{2}\theta) \right] - \frac{2^{1/2} g E^2 p}{m^2} \, \sin^2\theta \, \sin\theta \,. \end{split}$$

Helicity-nonconserving amplitudes  $(h - h' \neq 0)$ : (a) Double helicity flip |h - h'| = 2:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{0}_{+-} &= \Gamma^{0}_{-+} = 2 E [G_1 \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda p^2 m^{-2} \sin^2\theta] , \\ \Gamma^{+}_{+-} &= \Gamma^{-}_{++} = -\Gamma^{-}_{++} = -2^{1/2} p \cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta [G_1 \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\theta) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda p^2 m^{-2} \sin^2\theta] + 2^{-1/2} g p \sin\theta \sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta . \end{split}$$

(b) Single helicity flip |h - h'| = 1:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{0+}^{0} &= -\Gamma_{0-}^{0} = -\Gamma_{0+}^{0} = \Gamma_{-0}^{0} \\ &= 2E[G_{1}2^{-1/2}Em^{-1}\sin\theta + \lambda 2^{1/2}Ep^{2}m^{-3}\sin\theta\sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\theta)] - 2^{-1/2}gp^{2}m^{-1}\sin\theta , \\ \Gamma_{+0}^{+} &= -\Gamma_{0+}^{+} = -\Gamma_{0-}^{-} = \Gamma_{-0}^{-} \\ &= 2^{1/2}p\cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta[G_{1}2^{-1/2}Em^{-1}\sin\theta + 2^{1/2}\lambda Ep^{2}m^{-3}\sin\theta\sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\theta)] - gEpm^{-1}\sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\left[1 - \sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta - 2\sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\theta)\right], \\ \Gamma_{-0}^{+} &= -\Gamma_{0-}^{+} = \Gamma_{-0}^{-} \\ &= -2^{1/2}p\cos^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta[G_{1}2^{-1/2}Em^{-1}\sin\theta + 2^{1/2}\lambda Ep^{2}m^{-3}\sin\theta\sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\theta)] + gEpm^{-1}\sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\left[1 + \sin^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta - 2\sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\theta)\right]. \end{split}$$

From these amplitudes we observe the following:

1. When  $\theta \ll m/E$ , all the helicity-nonconserving amplitudes become negligible compared with the helicity-conserving ones independent of values of g and  $\lambda$ . Hence no conditions on g and  $\lambda$  can be obtained under this condition.

2. If we demand that the helicity is conserved even when  $\theta \approx m/E \ll 1$ , then we obtain g = 2 and  $\lambda = 0$ . We note that the amplitudes with double helicity flip yield only the condition  $\lambda = 0$ , because the terms proportional to  $G_1$  and g are small as long as  $\theta \ll 1$ .

3. When g = 2 and  $\lambda = 0$ , the helicity is conserved as long as  $\theta \ll 1$  and  $m/E \ll 1$ .

This concludes the demonstration of the fact mentioned at the beginning of this section. We have considered the scattering of  $W^{\pm}$  by an electromagnetic field in the lowest order in  $\alpha$ . In the actual scattering, an infinite number of photons are exchanged. However in the electromagnetic scattering of a charged particle at a finite angle, it is most probable (because of  $1/q_n^2$  for each photon propagator and  $q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_n + \cdots = q$ ) that practically all the momentum transfer is carried by a single photon, and the rest of the photons (an infinite number of them) are soft (i.e., small-angle scattering). Now the small-angle scattering does not flip helicity, as observed in 1 above. Thus we expect our result to be true even if an infinite number of photons are exchanged. The restriction  $\theta \ll 1$  comes from the angular momentum conservation. In order to see this, let us consider the extreme case  $\theta = \pi$ , which corresponds to the brick-wall system discussed in the Appendix. In the brick-wall system, the conservation of angular momentum in the helicity amplitude  $\Gamma_{h'h}^{i}$   $(i=0,\pm 1)$  gives i+h+h'=0. Hence in order for the helicity to be conserved in the  $180^{\circ}$ scattering, we must have i = -2h, which is impossible if  $h = \pm 1$ . In the electromagnetic scatter ing of a charged spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particle, the helicity is

conserved at high energies even at  $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ , if  $\mu = e/2m$ .

#### **IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

We have shown that the special values of the magnetic dipole moment and the electric quadrupole moment given by (1.1) for the charged W boson have two desirable features: (1) satisfaction of the Drell-Hearn sum rule in the orders  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^2$ , and (2) helicity conservation at high energies in the electromagnetic scattering. These two features are also shared by the only known charged nonstrongly-interacting particles: the electron and the muon. However, it is quite possible that nature is more complicated than what we think it might be. For example,  $W^{\pm}$  bosons may have an electric dipole moment which violates both P and T invariances, or they may interact strongly among themselves. Indeed Salzman and Salzman<sup>4</sup> suggested that the small CP violation in the decay of  $K_2$  may be due to the existence of the electric dipole moment of  $W^{\pm}$  and many people<sup>8</sup> have considered the possibility of strong interactions among W's in order to overcome the divergence difficulties of the weak interaction. If P and T invariances are violated, the Drell-Hearn sum rule has to be rederived. If W's have strong interactions among themselves, then the right-hand side of Eq. (1.1) will be dominated by the terms of order  $\alpha$ , and in this case the magnetic dipole moment is no longer  $\mu = e/m$ . A large deviation from this value will indicate the existence of the strong interaction of W. The values of  $\mu$  and Q given by (1.1) are of course what the unified theory of weak and electromagnetic interaction of Weinberg et $al.^{12}$  gives. The arguments given in this paper can therefore be regarded as rendering some extra supports for such a theory.

## APPENDIX A: MAGNETIC DIPOLE AND ELECTRIC QUADRUPOLE MOMENTS AND MEAN SQUARE CHARGE RADIUS

In this appendix we discuss the problem of identifying various form factors in a relativistically covariant vertex function with the electric and magnetic multipole moments defined in the nonrelativistic nuclear physics. Fully relativistic multipole expansion of an electromagnetic vertex function has been treated by Durand, DeCelles, and Marr $^3$  (hereafter referred to as DDM) in the helicity formalism. However it is not immediately obvious how the multipole moments defined by DDM are related to the multipole moments commonly used in the nonrelativistic nuclear physics. Of course there is a one to one correspondence between the two, because in both cases the multipole moments are defined by the rotational properties of various irreducible tensor operators. Therefore in principle we need to know only the proportionality constants between the two conventions. In the nonrelativistic nuclear physics, the electric quadrupole moment Q is defined as

$$Q = \int \langle ss | (3z^2 - r^2)\rho(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) | ss \rangle d^3x$$
$$= 2 \int \langle ss | r^2 \rho(\vec{\mathbf{r}}) p_2(\cos\theta) | ss \rangle d^3x, \qquad (A1)$$

where  $|ss\rangle$  represents the state with spin s and  $s_{\varepsilon} = s$ , and  $\rho(\mathbf{\bar{x}}) \equiv J_0(0, \mathbf{\bar{x}})$  is the charge density operators normalized such that

$$\int \langle ss_{z} | \rho(\mathbf{\bar{x}}) | ss_{z} \rangle d^{3}x = e .$$
 (A2)

The mean square charge radius is given by

$$R^{2} \equiv \int \langle ss_{z} | r^{2} \rho(\mathbf{\bar{x}}) | ss_{z} \rangle d^{3}x / e .$$
 (A3)

The magnetic dipole moment  $\vec{\mu}$  is defined classically by its energy in the magnetic field  $\vec{B}$ ,

Energy = 
$$-\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$$
. (A4)

In quantum mechanics, the interaction energy between a current  $J_{\mu}(0, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$  and electromagnetic field  $A_{\mu}(0, \vec{\mathbf{x}})$  is given by

$$\int J_{\mu}(0, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) A_{\mu}(0, \vec{\mathbf{x}}) d^{3}x .$$
 (A5)

Let the electromagnetic potential  $A_{\mu}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\bar{x}})$  in (A5) be

 $A_0 = 0$ 

~

and (A6)  
$$\vec{A} = (\hat{e}_x - i\hat{e}_y)e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}/\sqrt{2} ,$$

where  $\vec{q} = \hat{e}_{x}q$ . Then the magnetic field is

$$\vec{\mathbf{B}} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}$$
$$= -i\vec{\mathbf{q}} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}} . \tag{A7}$$

Substituting (A7) into (A4) and (A6) into (A5) and equating the two expressions, we obtain

$$\mu_{-} = \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{1}{q} \int J_{-}(0, \bar{\mathbf{x}}) e^{-i\bar{q}\cdot\bar{\mathbf{r}}} d^{3}x$$

Applying the Wigner-Eckart theorem, we have

$$\mu \equiv \langle ss | \mu_{z} | ss \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\langle ss | 10ss \rangle}{\langle ss'_{z} | 1 - 1ss_{z} \rangle}$$

$$\times \lim_{g \to 0} \frac{1}{g} \int \langle ss'_{z} | J_{-}(0, \vec{x}) | ss_{z} \rangle e^{-i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}} d^{3}x . \quad (A8)$$

Equations (A1), (A2), (A3), (A8) define the quantities e, Q,  $R^2$ , and  $\mu$  in terms of matrix elements of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics in which the particle is assumed to be infinitely heavy.

Our next task is to find out the relationship between these nonrelativistic matrix elements and the relativistic vertex functions. Let us choose the helicity amplitudes in the brick-wall system for this comparison. The desired relation is then

$$e \Gamma_{h'h}^{(\mu)} / (2E) \equiv \langle -\mathbf{p}h' | J_{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{p}h \rangle / (2E)$$

$$\sim_{q/m \to 0} (-1)^{s+s'_{z}} \int \langle ss'_{z} | J_{\mu}(0, \mathbf{\bar{x}}) | ss_{z} \rangle$$

$$\times e^{-i\mathbf{\bar{q}}\cdot\mathbf{\bar{x}}} d^{3}x, \qquad (A9)$$

where

$$s = \text{spin}, \quad s_z = h, \quad s'_z = -h',$$
  
 $\mathbf{\bar{q}} = 2\mathbf{\bar{p}},$ 

and

$$E = (p^2 + m^2)^{1/2}.$$

The factor  $(-1)^{s+s'_{z}}$  comes from the fact that in the nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, we have quantized the spin states of both the initial and final states along the direction of  $\bar{q}$ , whereas in the helicity representation the final state is quantized along the direction opposite to  $\bar{q}$ , thus

$$e^{-iJ_{2}\pi}|h'\rangle = (-1)^{s-h'}|-h'\rangle$$
  
=  $(-1)^{s+s'_{z}}|s'_{z}\rangle$  (A10)

In this appendix we have chosen  $q_{\mu} = (p - p')_{\mu}$  which is opposite to the convention used in Fig. 1, because we want to use the convention of DDM in the definitions of the helicity amplitudes. Throughout this appendix we shall use q to represent  $|\vec{q}|$ . The over-all normalization and the sign of the left-hand side of Eq. (A9) can be checked by using (A2). The factor 2E is put there so that when the

3719

form factor for a spin-0 particle is unity, we obtain  $R^2 = 0$ . The brick-wall system was chosen because (1) in this frame  $q_0 = 0$  for the elastic scattering, hence from the gauge invariance  $(q_0 J_0 = q J_s)$  we have  $J_s = 0$ , and (2) the selection rule due to the conservation of the angular momentum is very simple, namely, if we write  $\Gamma_{h'h}^{\Lambda}$ , where  $\Lambda = \pm 1$ , 0 we have  $\Lambda + h' + h = 0$ .

The magnetic moment  $\mu$  can be calculated readily from (A9) and (A8). In order to calculate Q and  $R^2$ , we perform a multipole analysis of the left-hand side in the manner of DDM, and compare the results with the multipole analysis of the right-hand side using the nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. The helicity amplitudes  $\Gamma_{h}^{(\mu)}$  can be obtained from the vertex function given by Eq. (3.1) except that the sign of q is changed and the helicity states now have the following representations:

$$\epsilon_{+} = -2^{-1/2}(0, 1, i, 0),$$

$$\epsilon_{-} = 2^{-1/2}(0, 1, -i, 0),$$

$$\epsilon_{0} = (p/m, 0, 0, E/m),$$

$$\epsilon'_{+} = \epsilon_{-},$$

$$\epsilon'_{-} = \epsilon_{+},$$

$$\epsilon'_{0} = (p/m, 0, 0, -E/m).$$
(A11)

After straightforward calculations we obtain

$$\Gamma_{0+}^{-} = -2pEg/m, \qquad (A12)$$

$$\Gamma_{00}^{0} = -2E[G_1 + 2p^2m^{-2}(G_1 - g + 2\lambda E^2/m^2)], \quad (A13)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{-+}^{0} = 2EG_{1}$$
 (A14)

From (A8), (A9), and (A12), we obtain

,

$$\mu = \frac{\langle 11|10|11\rangle}{\langle 10|1-111\rangle} \frac{1}{q} (-1) \frac{e}{2E} \Gamma_{0+}^{-}$$
$$= eg/(2m)$$
$$= e(1+\kappa+\lambda)/(2m).$$
(A15)

To obtain  $R^2$  and Q, we first decompose  $\Gamma^0_{h'h}$  into multipole moments using Eq. (109) of DDM:

$$\Gamma^{0}_{h'h} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ h' & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} Q_{0} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ h' & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} Q_{2} .$$
 (A16)

Using (A13), (A14), and (A16), we may write  $Q_0$ and  $Q_2$  in terms of  $\Gamma_{00}^0$  and  $\Gamma_{1-1}^0$ :

$$Q_{0} = (-\Gamma_{00}^{0} + 2\Gamma_{-+}^{0})/\sqrt{3}$$
  
=  $2\sqrt{3} E[G_{1} - 2p^{2}/(3m^{2})(G_{1} - g + 2\lambda E^{2}/m^{2})],$   
(A17)

$$Q_{2} \doteq (\Gamma_{00}^{0} + \Gamma_{-+}^{0})(\frac{3}{10})^{-1/2}$$
  
=  $-4Ep^{2}m^{-2}(G_{1} - g + 2\lambda E^{2}/m^{2})(\frac{3}{10})^{-1/2}$ . (A18)

The right-hand side of Eq. (A9) can also be expanded in terms of multipole moments in the following way: We first expand the exponential factor e-id i by

$$e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} = e^{-qr\cos\theta}$$

$$= \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} (-i)^{J} (2J+1) j_{J} (qr) P_{J} (\cos\theta) .$$
 (A19)

From (A19) we may write the right-hand side of (A9) as

$$(-1)^{1+s_{x}^{\prime}} \int \langle 1s_{x}^{\prime} | J_{0}(0, \mathbf{\bar{x}}) | 1s_{x} \rangle e^{-i \mathbf{\bar{q}} \cdot \mathbf{\bar{x}}} d^{3}x$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ h & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} Q_{0}^{NR} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ h & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} Q_{2}^{NR}$$
(A 20)

where

$$Q_J^{\rm NR}(q^2) = \frac{(2J+1)(-i)^J}{\begin{pmatrix} s \ J \ s \\ -s \ J \ s \end{pmatrix}} \times \int \langle ss | \rho(\vec{\mathbf{x}}) P_J(\cos\theta) | ss \rangle j_J(qr) d^3x \,.$$

(A21)

Expanding the spherical Bessel functions up to  $q^2$ , we obtain

$$j_0(qr) = 1 - \bar{q}^2 r^2 / 6 \tag{A22}$$

and

$$j_2(qr) = \bar{q}^2 r^2 / 15$$
. (A23)

From (A9), (A16), (A20), (A21), and (A22), we obtain

$$eQ_0/(2E) = Q_0^{NR}$$
  
=  $\sqrt{3} (1 - \vec{q}^2 R^2/6)e$ . (A24)

From (A9), (A16), (A20), (A21), and (A23), we obtain

$$eQ_2/(2E) = Q_2^{\rm NR}$$

$$= - \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^{1/2} Q \bar{q}^2 . \tag{A25}$$

From (A24) and (A17), we obtain

$$R^2 = (\kappa + \lambda)/m^2 . \tag{A26}$$

$$Q = -e \left(\kappa - \lambda\right) / m^2 . \tag{A27}$$

\*Work supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>1</sup>H. Yukawa, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Jap. 17, 48

7

(1935); T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 119, 1410 (1960).

<sup>2</sup>D. R. Yennie, M. M. Lévy, and D. G. Ravenhall, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 144 (1957).

<sup>3</sup>L. Durand III, P. C. DeCelles, and R. B. Marr, Phys. Rev. 126, 1882 (1962).

<sup>4</sup>F. Salzman and G. Salzman, Phys. Lett. 15, 91 (1965). They pointed out an interesting possibility that the small violation of the CP invariance in the  $K_2$  decay may be due to the T noninvariance in the electromagnetic interaction of  $W^{\pm}$ .

<sup>5</sup>N. Cabibbo and R. Gatto, Phys. Rev. 124, 1577 (1961); Y.-S. Tsai and A. C. Hearn, Phys. Rev. 140, B721 (1965).

<sup>6</sup>A. C. T. Wu, T. T. Wu, and K. Fuchel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 390 (1963); S. M. Berman and Y.-S. Tsai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 483 (1963); K. J. Kim and Y.-S. Tsai, SLAC Report No. SLAC-PUB-1106, 1972 (unpublished).

<sup>7</sup>T. D. Lee, P. Markstein, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 7, 429 (1961); R. W. Brown and J. Smith, Phys. Rev. D 3, 207 (1971).

<sup>8</sup>It is quite possible that W bosons may interact strongly among themselves. See T. Appelquist and J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D 4, 3726 (1971). Under this circumstance, the lowest-order magnetic moment is no longer  $\mu_0 = e/m$  and both sides of Eq. (1.2) will be dominated by terms of order  $\alpha$ .

<sup>9</sup>J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 76, 790 (1949); C. M. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. 107, 328 (1957); A. Peterman, Nucl. Phys. 5, 677 (1958).

<sup>10</sup>W. Pauli, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>13</u>, 320 (1941); M. Gell-

Mann, Nuovo Cimento Suppl. 2, 848 (1956).

<sup>11</sup>T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. <u>140</u>, B967 (1965).

<sup>12</sup>S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967);

A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity (Nobel Symposium No. 8), edited

by N. Svarthom (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm,

1968); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 1688 (1971); H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1494

(1972); G.'t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B35, 167 (1971); B. W.

Lee, Phys. Rev. D 5, 823 (1972); J. Prentki and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. <u>B47</u>, 99 (1972); J. D. Bjorken and C. H. Llewellyn Smith, Phys. Rev. D 7, 887 (1973).

<sup>13</sup>S. D. Drell and A. C. Hearn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 908 (1966).

<sup>14</sup>S. Weinberg, in Lectures on Elementary Particles and Quantum Field Theory, edited by S. Deser et al. (MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1971), Vol. I.

<sup>15</sup>This fact has been used by G. Altarelli, N. Cabibbo, and L. Maiani [Phys. Lett. 40B, 415 (1972)] to investigate the lepton magnetic moment.

<sup>16</sup>Meng Ta-Chung, Phys. Rev. D <u>6</u>, 1169 (1972). This paper shows that the helicity is conserved in a static Coulomb field if  $\mu = e/m$ . Our result can be regarded as the extension of the result of this paper.

<sup>17</sup>H. Aronson, Phys. Rev. <u>186</u>, 1434 (1969). This paper generalized the results of Lee and Yang to include the effect due to  $\lambda$ . See T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 128, 885 (1962).

<sup>18</sup>A. C. Hearn, Reduce 2 User's Manual, Stanford Artificial Intelligence Project, Memo AIM-133 (unpublished).

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 7, NUMBER 12

15 JUNE 1973

## Dual $\pi\pi$ Partial-Wave Amplitudes. I. Threshold Behavior\*

B. K. Chung and David Feldman

Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912

(Received 4 December 1972)

A formulation of the integral representations of the dual  $\pi\pi$  partial-wave amplitudes is presented. One of the many advantages of these representations is that one can extract the threshold coefficients without difficulty. This is demonstrated in a calculation of the scattering lengths and effective ranges for the s, p, d, and f waves.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Subsequent to Veneziano's original paper,<sup>1</sup> a dual  $\pi\pi$  scattering amplitude which meets the requirements of Regge asymptotic behavior and crossing symmetry and which exhibits zero-width resonance poles was proposed by Lovelace<sup>2</sup> and Shapiro.<sup>3</sup> The partial-wave structure of this amplitude and, in particular, its asymptotic behavior have been studied in the complex angular momentum plane

and in the complex energy-squared plane by many authors,  $4^{-11}$  partly in connection with the *K*-matrix unitarization scheme, and partly in connection with dispersion-theoretic studies, among other considerations.

In contrast to the partial-fraction expansion of partial waves which has been used by most authors, we present a unified treatment of the corresponding integral representations, which turn out to be more useful in many respects. In this paper, we