CP asymmetry in tau slepton decay in the minimal supersymmetric standard model

Wei Min Yang* and Dong Sheng Du

CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China and Institute of High Energy Physics, P.O. Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, China (Received 7 February 2002; published 13 June 2002)

We investigate *CP* violation asymmetry in the decay of a tau slepton into a tau neutrino and a chargino in the minimal supersymmetric standard model. The new source of *CP* violation is the complex mixing in the tau slepton sector. The rate asymmetry between the decays of the tau slepton and its *CP* conjugate process can be of the order of 10^{-3} in some region of the parameter space of the minimal supergravity scenario, which will possibly be detectable in near-future collider experiments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.65.115005

PACS number(s): 12.60.Jv, 13.10.+q, 14.80.Ly

I. INTRODUCTION

The supersymmetric (SUSY) model is now widely regarded to be the most plausible extension of the standard model (SM) [1,2]. It stabilizes the gauge hierarchy and allows for the grand unification of all known gauge interactions [3]. In the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) there are additional complex couplings which may give rise to CP violation compared to the SM [4]. These new sources of CP violation contribute to CP violation in various processes [5], the neutron and electron electric dipole moments, rare kaon decays, and B decays, etc. Although the *CP*-violating phases associated with sfermions of the first and second generation are severely constrained by bounds on the electric dipole moments of the electron, neutron and muon, the CP violation phases in the mass matrices of the third generation sfermions might be large and can induce sizable CP violation in the MSSM Higgs sector through loop corrections [6,7]; furthermore, these phases also directly affect the couplings of Higgs bosons to third generation sfermions [8]. In the MSSM with the simple universal soft supersymmetry breaking [9], the tau slepton sector contains two new sources of *CP* violation in its couplings to Higgs particles. It can be defined as the complex phases of the μ term in the Higgs superpotential and soft-SUSY-breaking A terms. These new sources of CP violation are generic to all SUSY theories and provide non-SM sources of CP violation required for the baryon asymmetry of the universe [10]. On the other hand, the effects of these new CP violations are expected to be probed in near-future colliders [11], such as the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and Next Linear Collider (NLC), which could provide an opportunity for detecting new CP violating phenomena. Therefore it should be important and interesting to investigate the mechanism and consequence of this CP violating source in collider phenomenology.

In this paper we work in the framework of the MSSM with *CP* violation, and we focus on the *CP* asymmetry in tau slepton decays. If a tau slepton is sufficiently heavy, its dominant decay modes are tree-level two-body decays $\tilde{\tau}^-$

 $\rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^{-}$ and $\tilde{\tau}^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{-} \tilde{\chi}^{0}$, where $\tilde{\chi}^{-}$ and $\tilde{\chi}^{0}$ denote a chargino and a neutralino, respectively. These final states are also produced at one-loop level by the final state interactions. If the interactions of the tau slepton violate *CP* invariance, these decays are expected to have different rates from their *CP* conjugate process, which are measured by the asymmetries

$$\begin{split} A_{\rm CP}^{\nu_{\tau}} &= \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^- \to \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^-) - \Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^+ \to \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^+)}{\Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^- \to \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^-) + \Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^+ \to \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^+)}, \\ A_{\rm CP}^{\tau} &= \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^- \to \tau^- \tilde{\chi}^0) - \Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^+ \to \tau^+ \tilde{\chi}^0)}{\Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^- \to \tau^- \tilde{\chi}^0) + \Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^+ \to \tau^+ \tilde{\chi}^0)}. \end{split}$$
(1)

Assuming *CPT* invariance, the decay widths satisfy the relation

$$\Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^{-} \to \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^{-}) - \Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^{+} \to \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^{+})$$
$$= -[\Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^{-} \to \tau^{-} \tilde{\chi}^{0}) - \Gamma(\tilde{\tau}^{+} \to \tau^{+} \tilde{\chi}^{0})] \qquad (2)$$

making the total width of the tau slepton the same as that of the anti-tau slepton. We will calculate and discuss the asymmetries.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II is devoted to a brief review of the mass spectra and mixing patters of the tau sleptons, charginos, and neutralinos. In Sec. III we present a Lagrangian of the relevant coupling and analytical expressions of the rate asymmetry. A detailed numerical analysis of the asymmetries for a representative SUSY parameter set is given in Sec. IV. The final section is for summary and conclusions.

II. SUSY PARTICLES MASSES AND MIXING

To fix our notation, we simply summarize in this section the masses and mixings of the tau slepton and chargino, neutralino sectors of the MSSM [12], which will be needed later when evaluating the decay widths.

A. Tau slepton mass and mixing

*Email address: yangwm@mail.ihep.ac.cn

The mass-squared matrix for the tau slepton in the leftright basis is given as

$$M_{\tilde{\tau}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{L}^{2} & m_{\tau}(A_{\tau} + \mu \tan \beta) \\ m_{\tau}(A_{\tau}^{*} + \mu^{*} \tan \beta) & M_{R}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

with

$$M_{L}^{2} = m_{\tilde{\tau}_{L}}^{2} + m_{\tau}^{2} + (\frac{1}{2}M_{Z}^{2} - M_{W}^{2})\cos 2\beta,$$

$$M_{R}^{2} = m_{\tilde{\tau}_{p}}^{2} + m_{\tau}^{2} + (M_{Z}^{2} - M_{W}^{2})\cos 2\beta,$$
(4)

where $m_{\tilde{\tau}_{L,R}}$ are the left- and right-handed soft SUSYbreaking tau slepton masses, respectively. The tau slepton soft breaking trilinear coupling A_{τ} and Higgs mass mixing parameter μ are complex,

$$A_{\tau} = |A_{\tau}|e^{i\varphi}, \quad \mu = |\mu|e^{i\eta}.$$
⁽⁵⁾

The complex phase φ and η are the source of *CP* violation, which can vary in the range $0 \leq \varphi$, $\eta \leq 2\pi$. In the later context, we will take μ as real, i.e., only the phase φ is left so as to reduce the number of parameters and simplify the discussion. The tau slepton mass eigenstates can be realized by a unitary transformation U which diagonalizes the mass-squared matrix $M_{\tilde{\tau}}^2$,

$$UM_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 U^{\dagger} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}^2, m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}^2), \qquad (6)$$

where the diagonalization matrix can be parametrized as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{\tau} & \sin \theta_{\tau} e^{i \delta} \\ -\sin \theta_{\tau} e^{-i \delta} & \cos \theta_{\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

with

$$\delta = \arg\left(A_{\tau} + \mu \tan\beta\right). \tag{8}$$

The tau slepton mixing angles and mass eigenvalues are then given as

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2m_{\tau}|A_{\tau} + \mu \tan \beta|}{M_L^2 - M_R^2},$$
(9)

$$m_{\tilde{\tau}_{1,2}}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[M_{L}^{2} + M_{R}^{2} \right]$$

$$= \sqrt{(M_{L}^{2} - M_{R}^{2})^{2} + 4m_{\tau}^{2} |A_{\tau} + \mu \tan \beta|^{2}}$$

with convention $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$, $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}^2 \le m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}^2$. For large values of $\tan \beta$ and μ , the mixing in the tau slepton sector can be very strong.

B. The chargino and neutralino systems

The general chargino mass matrix is given by [13]

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & \sqrt{2}M_W s_\beta \\ \sqrt{2}M_W c_\beta & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where M_2 is the *w*-ino mass parameter and we use $s_\beta = \sin \beta c_\beta = \cos \beta$, etc. It can be diagonalized by two real rotation matrices C_L and C_R ,

$$C_L M_C C_R^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}})$$
(11)

with two rotation angles given by

$$\tan 2\theta_L = \frac{2\sqrt{2M_W}(M_2c_\beta + \mu s_\beta)}{M_2^2 - \mu^2 - 2M_W^2c_\beta},$$
(12)

$$\tan 2\,\theta_R = \frac{2\,\sqrt{2}M_W(M_2s_\beta + \mu c_\beta)}{M_2^2 - \mu^2 + 2M_W^2c_\beta}$$

The two mass eigenvalues of the charginos, in the limit $|\mu| \ge M_2, M_W$, are reduced to

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}} \simeq M_{2} - \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} (M_{2} + \mu s_{2\beta}),$$

$$(13)$$

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{\pm}} \simeq |\mu| + \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \epsilon_{\mu} (M_{2} s_{2\beta} + \mu),$$

where ϵ_{μ} is for the sign of μ . For $|\mu| \rightarrow \infty$, the lighter chargino corresponds to a pure *w*-ino state with mass $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \simeq M_2$, while the heavier chargino corresponds to a pure higgsino state with mass $m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^{\pm}} \simeq |\mu|$.

The neutralino mass matrix is

$$M_{N} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1} & 0 & -M_{Z}s_{W}c_{\beta} & M_{Z}s_{W}s_{\beta} \\ 0 & M_{2} & M_{Z}c_{W}c_{\beta} & -M_{Z}c_{W}s_{\beta} \\ -M_{Z}s_{W}c_{\beta} & M_{Z}c_{W}c_{\beta} & 0 & -\mu \\ M_{Z}s_{W}s_{\beta} & -M_{Z}c_{W}s_{\beta} & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(14)

115005-2

where M_1 is the *B*-ino mass parameter and $s_W = \sin \theta_W, c_W = \cos \theta_W$, etc. are used. It can be diagonalized by a single real orthogonal matrix *N*,

$$NM_{N}N^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0}}).$$
(15)

In the limit of large $|\mu|$ values, the mass eigenvalues of the neutralinos $m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}$ (i=1,2,3,4) are simplified to

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}} \approx M_{1} - \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} (M_{1} + \mu s_{2\beta}) s_{W}^{2},$$

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}} \approx M_{2} - \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} (M_{2} + \mu s_{2\beta}) c_{W}^{2},$$

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}} \approx |\mu| + \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{2\mu^{2}} \epsilon_{\mu} (1 - s_{2\beta}) (\mu + M_{2} s_{W}^{2} + M_{1} c_{W}^{2}),$$
(16)

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_4^0} \simeq |\mu| + \frac{M_Z^2}{2\mu^2} \epsilon_{\mu} (1 + s_{2\beta}) (\mu - M_2 s_W^2 - M_1 c_W^2).$$

Again, for $|\mu| \rightarrow \infty$, two neutralinos are pure gaugino states with masses $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \simeq M_1, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} \simeq M_2$, while the two others are pure Higgsino states with masses $m_{\tilde{\chi}_3^0} \simeq m_{\tilde{\chi}_4^0} \simeq |\mu|$. The matrix elements of the diagonalizing matrix, N_{ij} with i,j= 1, ..., 4, are given by

$$N_{i1} = (1 + a_i^2 + b_i^2 + c_i^2)^{-1/2},$$

$$N_{i2} = N_{i1}a_i,$$

$$N_{i3} = N_{i1}b_i,$$

$$N_{i4} = N_{i1}c_i$$
(17)

with

$$a_{i} = -\frac{1}{\tan \theta_{W}} \frac{M_{1} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}}{M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}},$$

$$b_{i} = \{\mu(M_{1} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}})(M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}})$$

$$-M_{Z}^{2} s_{\beta} c_{\beta} [(M_{1} - M_{2}) c_{W}^{2} + M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}]\}/$$

$$[M_{Z} s_{W}(M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}})(\mu c_{\beta} + \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}} s_{\beta})],$$

$$c_{i} = \{-\epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}(M_{1} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}})(M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}) - M_{Z}^{2} c_{\beta}^{2}$$

$$\times [(M_{1} - M_{2}) c_{W}^{2} + M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}]\}/$$

$$[M_{Z} s_{W}(M_{2} - \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}})(\mu c_{\beta} + \epsilon_{i} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}} s_{\beta})],$$
where $\epsilon_{1} = \epsilon_{2} = 1, -\epsilon_{3} = \epsilon_{4} = \epsilon_{\mu}.$
(18)



FIG. 1. The one-loop diagrams for the decay of a tau slepton into a tau neutrino and a chargino.

C. Mass spectra in the MSUGRA scenario

To reduce the number of the parameters, we will adopt the minimal supergravity (MSUGRA) scenario with universality hypothesis to discuss the SUSY particle spectra, where the scalar fermion masses and the gaugino masses are, respectively, unified as m_0 and $m_{1/2}$ at the grand unified theory (GUT) scale $M_{\rm GUT}$. The relation between the SUSY particle masses at the scale $M_{\rm GUT}$ and at the weak scale $\mathcal{O}(M_Z)$ are obtained by running renormalization group equations (RGE) as [14]

$$M_{1} \approx 0.4m_{1/2}, \quad M_{2} \approx 0.8m_{1/2},$$

$$m_{\tilde{\tau}_{R}}^{2} \approx m_{0}^{2} + 0.15m_{1/2}^{2} - 0.23M_{Z}^{2}\cos 2\beta,$$

$$m_{\tilde{\tau}_{L}}^{2} \approx m_{0}^{2} + 0.52m_{1/2}^{2} - 0.27M_{Z}^{2}\cos 2\beta,$$

$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}}^{2} \approx m_{0}^{2} + 0.52m_{1/2}^{2} + 0.5M_{Z}^{2}\cos 2\beta,$$
(19)

where $m_{\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}}$ is the left-handed soft-SUSY-breaking tau sneutrino mass. All of the free parameters now include |A|, φ , μ , tan β , m_0 , and $m_{1/2}$. We will take them as input and use the above-mentioned equations, and all the mass spectra of the involved SUSY particles can then be worked out.

III. RELEVANT COUPLINGS AND DECAY RATE ASYMMETRY

A nonvanishing value for the asymmetry $A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}$ in Eq. (1) is generated, if the decay $\tilde{\tau}^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^-$, in addition to the decay $\tilde{\tau}^- \rightarrow \tau^- \tilde{\chi}^0$, is allowed kinematically. The produced tau lepton and neutralino can become a tau neutrino and a chargino by exchanging charged Higgs bosons H^{\pm} , W^{\pm} bosons, and tau sneutrino $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$, as shown in Fig. 1. The interferences of these one-loop diagrams with the tree diagram make the rate of the decay $\tilde{\tau}^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^-$ different from that of the decay $\tilde{\tau}^+ \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^+$. The relevant interaction Lagrangian for $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}$ as well as H^{\pm} and W^{\pm} is given by [1,12]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \bar{\tau} \left(A_{Ll} \frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} + A_{Rl} \frac{1+\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_{l}^{-} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\tau}_{k} \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \left(B_{kl} \frac{1+\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_{l}^{+} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\tau}_{k} \bar{\tau} \left(F_{Ljk} \frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} + F_{Rjk} \frac{1+\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \left(G_{j} \frac{1+\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} H^{-} \overline{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}} \gamma^{\mu} \left(I_{Ljl} \frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} + I_{Rjl} \frac{1+\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_{l}^{+} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} H^{-} \bar{\tau} \left(K \frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \nu_{\tau} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_{\mu}^{-} \overline{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}} \gamma^{\mu} \left(H_{Ljl} \frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} + H_{Rjl} \frac{1+\gamma^{5}}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_{l}^{+} - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_{\mu}^{-} \bar{\tau} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1-\gamma^{5}}{2} \nu_{\tau} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$(20)$$

Γ

with

$$A_{Ll} = \frac{m_{\tau}}{M_W c_{\beta}} C_{Ll2}, \quad A_{Rl} = -\sqrt{2} C_{Rl1},$$
$$B_{kl} = \frac{m_{\tau}}{M_W c_{\beta}} C_{Ll2} U_{k2}^* - \sqrt{2} C_{Ll1} U_{k1}^*,$$
$$F_{Ljk} = -\frac{m_{\tau}}{M_W c_{\beta}} N_{j3} U_{k1}^* - 2 \tan \theta_W N_{j1} U_{k2}^*,$$

$$F_{Rjk} = \frac{m_{\tau}}{M_W c_{\beta}} N_{j3} U_{k2}^* - (N_{j2} + \tan \theta_W N_{j1}) U_{k1}^*,$$

$$G_j = N_{j2} - \tan \theta_W N_{j1}, \qquad (21)$$

$$H_{Ljl} = -\sqrt{2}N_{j2}C_{Rl1} + N_{j4}C_{Rl2},$$

$$H_{Rjl} = -\sqrt{2}N_{j2}C_{Ll1} - N_{j3}C_{Ll2},$$

$$I_{Ljl} = \cos\beta [\sqrt{2}N_{j4}C_{Rl1} + (N_{j2} + \tan\theta_W N_{j1})C_{Rl2}],$$

$$I_{Rjl} = \sin\beta [\sqrt{2}N_{j3}C_{Ll1} - (N_{j2} + \tan\theta_W N_{j1})C_{Ll2}],$$

$$K = -\tan\beta \frac{m_{\tau}}{M_W},$$

where k, l = (1,2) and j = (1, ..., 4). We now consider the decay of the heavier tau slepton into the tau neutrino and the lighter chargino $\tilde{\tau}_2^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ and its *CP* conjugate process. The decay rate asymmetry for the processes are obtained as

$$A_{\rm CP}^{\nu_{\tau}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \frac{T^a + T^b + T^c}{(m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^-}^2)^2 |B_{21}|^2},$$
(22)

where $\alpha_2 = g^2/(4\pi)$. The contributions of the diagrams (a), (b), and (c) in Fig. 1 are represented by T^a , T^b , and T^c , respectively. These terms are written as

$$T^{a} = \sum_{j} \sum_{n=1}^{4} \operatorname{Im}(X_{j}^{n}) J_{n}^{a}(m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}),$$

$$T^{b} = \sum_{j} \sum_{n=1}^{4} \operatorname{Im}(Y_{j}^{n}) J_{n}^{b}(m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}),$$

$$T^{c} = \sum_{j} \sum_{n=1}^{4} \operatorname{Im}(Z_{j}^{n}) J_{n}^{c}(m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}})$$
(23)

with

$$X_{j}^{1} = KB_{21}F_{Rj2}^{*}I_{Lj1}, \quad X_{j}^{2} = KB_{21}F_{Lj2}^{*}I_{Lj1},$$

$$X_{j}^{3} = KB_{21}F_{Lj2}^{*}I_{Rj1}, \quad X_{j}^{4} = KB_{21}F_{Rj2}^{*}I_{Rj1};$$

$$Y_{j}^{1} = B_{21}F_{Rj2}^{*}H_{Lj1}, \quad Y_{j}^{2} = B_{21}F_{Rj2}^{*}H_{Rj1},$$

$$Y_{j}^{3} = B_{21}F_{Lj2}^{*}H_{Lj1}, \quad Y_{j}^{4} = B_{21}F_{Lj2}^{*}H_{Rj1};$$

$$Z_{j}^{1} = A_{R1}B_{21}F_{Rj2}^{*}G_{j}, \quad Z_{j}^{2} = A_{R1}B_{21}F_{Lj2}^{*}G_{j},$$

$$Z_{j}^{3} = A_{L1}B_{21}F_{Lj2}^{*}G_{j}, \quad Z_{j}^{4} = A_{L1}B_{21}F_{Rj2}^{*}G_{j}$$
(24)

and

$$\begin{split} J_{1}^{a} &= \frac{1}{2} m_{\tau} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}} \bigg[T + (m_{\tau}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} - m_{H^{\pm}}^{2}) \ln \bigg| \frac{S + T}{S - T} \bigg| \bigg], \\ J_{2}^{a} &= \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}} \bigg[T + (m_{\tau}^{2} - m_{H^{\pm}}^{2}) \ln \bigg| \frac{S + T}{S - T} \bigg| \bigg], \\ J_{3}^{a} &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg[m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} T + (m_{\tau}^{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} m_{H^{\pm}}^{2}) \ln \bigg| \frac{S + T}{S - T} \bigg| \bigg], \\ J_{4}^{a} &= \frac{1}{2} m_{\tau} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}} (m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}) \ln \bigg| \frac{S + T}{S - T} \bigg| , \\ J_{1}^{b} &= \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}} \bigg[\frac{2 M_{W}^{2} + m_{\tau}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} T \\ &+ \bigg(m_{\tau}^{2} - 2 M_{W}^{2} + \frac{m_{\tau}^{4}}{M_{W}^{2}} \bigg) \ln \bigg| \frac{S' + T}{S' - T} \bigg| \bigg], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} J_{2}^{b} &= -\frac{m_{\tau}^{2}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2}}{2M_{W}^{2}}T + \left[\frac{1}{2}m_{\tau}^{2}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} + (m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}) \right. \\ &\times (m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tau}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2}) + \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2}}{2M_{W}^{2}}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}}{2M_{W}^{2}}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2})\right] \ln \left|\frac{S' + T}{S' - T}\right|, \end{split}$$
(25)
$$&J_{3}^{b} = \frac{1}{2}m_{\tau}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}} \left\{\frac{2M_{W}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}T + \left[2(m_{\tau}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2}) - m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2} - \frac{m_{\tau}^{2}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2})}{M_{W}^{2}}\right] \ln \left|\frac{S' + T}{S' - T}\right|\right\}, \\ J_{4}^{b} &= \frac{m_{\tau}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}(m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{-}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}}^{2})}{2M_{W}^{2}} \left(T + 3M_{W}^{2} \ln \left|\frac{S' + T}{S' - T}\right|\right), \end{split}$$

where

$$T = \frac{(m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}}^{2})}{m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}} \times \sqrt{m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{4} + m_{\tau}^{4} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{4} - 2m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}m_{\tau}^{2} - 2m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2} - 2m_{\tau}^{2}m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2}},$$

$$S = \frac{1}{m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2}} (m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}}^{2}) (m_{\tilde{\tau}_{2}}^{2} - m_{\tau}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{j}^{0}}^{2})$$

$$- 2(m_{\tilde{\chi}_{i}^{0}}^{2} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1}}^{2} - m_{H^{\pm}}^{2}),$$

$$(26)$$

S' is derived from *S* by changing $m_{H^{\pm}}$ to M_W . In addition, J_n^c are also obtained from J_n^a by $m_{\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}}$ replacing $m_{H^{\pm}}$. The sum for the intermediate neutralinos in the formula (23) should be done for those which satisfy the kinematical condition $m_{\tilde{\tau}_2} > m_{\tau} + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^{-0}$.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we will illustrate our numerical results of the *CP* asymmetry in the tau slepton decay for the relevant SUSY parameters based on the MSUGRA scenario. Since we have assumed a universal mass m_0 for the scalar fermions and a mass $m_{1/2}$ for the gauginos at the GUT scale, therefore, the parameters appearing in our analyses are |A|, φ , μ , tan β , m_0 , $m_{1/2}$, and $m_{H^{\pm}}$. For simplicity, although these parameters are not all independent of each other, we assume phenomenologically they are independent and assume only rough constraints coming from theoretical and experimental considerations. The simple expressions (19) will be used for the soft SUSY-breaking *B*-ino and *W*-ino mass, as well as



FIG. 2. The decay rate asymmetry as a function of the gaugino mass $m_{1/2}$ for tan β =2.5, and the other parameter values in Eq. (27). The curve I $\Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/2$, II $\Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/4$.

left- and right-handed slepton masses when performing the RGE evolution to weak scale at one-loop order if the Yukawa couplings in the RGEs are neglected. We will choose two representative values for tan β : a low value (tan $\beta = 2.5$) and a large value (tan $\beta = 40$), as well as two values for phase φ : $\pi/2$ and $\pi/4$, respectively. The other parameters are typically taken, for example, as the following:

$$\eta = 0, |\mu| = 2$$
 TeV, $|A_{\tau}| = 1.5$ TeV,
 $m_{H^{\pm}} = 1$ TeV, $m_0 = m_{1/2} = 400$ GeV. (27)

As a result, the masses of the relevant SUSY particles are immediately led to

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} \simeq m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^{0}} \simeq M_2 \simeq 320 \text{ GeV},$$

$$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{0}} \simeq M_1 \simeq 160 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{\nu}_{\tau}} \simeq 490 \text{ GeV},$$
(28)

which do not depend on the value of φ and there is only a very small variation with the value of tan β . The masses of the tau sleptons, however, are more sensitive to tan β , and



FIG. 3. The decay rate asymmetry as a function of the gaugino mass $m_{1/2}$ for tan $\beta = 40$, and the other parameter values in Eq. (27). The curve $I \Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/2$, $II \Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/4$.



FIG. 4. The decay rate asymmetry as a function of the parameter $|\mu|$ for tan $\beta = 2.5$, and the other parameter values in Eq. (27). The curve I $\Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/2$, II $\Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/4$.

there is a very small change with the value of φ . For $\varphi = \pi/4$, the numerical results are given by

$$m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \simeq 430 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{\tau}_2} \simeq 498 \text{ GeV} \quad (\tan \beta = 2.5),$$

 $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \simeq 266 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{\tau}_2} \simeq 604 \text{ GeV} \quad (\tan \beta = 40).$ (29)

For tau slepton, large enough off-diagonal elements of the mass matrices are obtained only for large μ and tan β ; the trilinear couplings A_{τ} play only a marginal role. We will fix the latter in the entire analysis. The soft SUSY-breaking masses for the Higgs bosons are, however, disconnected from the sfermions, moreover, our results are not sensitive to the charged Higgs bosons mass $m_{H^{\pm}}$, so it will also be fixed.

In Figs. 2 and 3, the absolute values of $A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}$ are shown as a function of the unified gaugino mass $m_{1/2}$ for the low value (tan β =2.5) and the large value (tan β =40), respectively. The other involved parameters are fixed the same as Eq. (27). Two curves of each figure correspond, respectively, to two values of the phase $\varphi = \pi/4$ and $\varphi = \pi/2$. The plots show that the rate asymmetries $|A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}|$ are very sensitive to the value of tan β . They have approximately a magnitude of order of



FIG. 5. The decay rate asymmetry as a function of the parameter $|\mu|$ for tan $\beta = 40$, and the other parameter values in Eq. (27). The curve $I \Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/2$, $\Pi \Rightarrow \varphi = \pi/4$.



FIG. 6. The branching ratios as a function of the gaugino mass $m_{1/2}$ for tan $\beta = 2.5$, $\varphi = \pi/4$, and the other parameter values in Eq. (27). The curve $I \Rightarrow (\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}_1^-)$, $II \Rightarrow (\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$, $III \Rightarrow (\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_2^0)$.

 10^{-4} for tan $\beta = 2.5$ and of order of 10^{-6} for tan $\beta = 40$, respectively. The asymmetries are enhanced with increasing value of $m_{1/2}$. In the case of tan $\beta = 2.5$ and $\varphi = \pi/2$, for large values of $m_{1/2}$ ($m_{1/2} \approx 500$ GeV), the asymmetry $A_{cp}^{\nu_{\tau}}$ can significantly reach the order of 10^{-3} . In addition, the parameters φ , $|A_{\tau}|$, and m_0 do not change the whole trends of the plots, nevertheless, they can slightly shift the values of $A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}$ in the same order of magnitude. The mass of the charged Higgs boson does not, however, affect the asymmetries obviously.

In Figs. 4 and 5, the rate asymmetries $|A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}|$ are shown as a function of the Higgs mass parameter $|\mu|$ for tan $\beta = 2.5$ and tan $\beta = 40$, respectively. The unified gaugino mass and the other parameters are still given by Eq. (27). The plots show that the magnitude of order of the asymmetries are similar to those of Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. For a larger value of $|\mu|$, the asymmetries are smaller. In the case of tan $\beta = 2.5$ and $\varphi = \pi/2$, the values of $|A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}|$ also become of the order of 10^{-3} for small values of $|\mu|$ ($|\mu| \approx 1$ TeV). In



FIG. 7. The branching ratios as a function of the gaugino mass $m_{1/2}$ for tan $\beta = 40$, $\varphi = \pi/4$, and the other parameter values in Eq. (27). The curve $I \Rightarrow (\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}_1^-)$, $II \Rightarrow (\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$, $III \Rightarrow (\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_2^0)$.

most regions of parameter space in Figs. 2–5, $\tilde{\tau}_2$ dominantly decays into $\nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}_1^-$, $\tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, and $\tau \tilde{\chi}_2^0$. In Figs. 6 and 7, the branching ratios of these decays are shown as a function of the gaugino mass $m_{1/2}$ for tan $\beta = 2.5$ and tan $\beta = 40$, respectively, where the phase φ is fixed to $\varphi = \pi/4$ and values of the other parameters are the same as Eq. (27). The graphs show that the trends of plots obviously change with the value of tan β . For a smaller value of $m_{1/2}$, $\operatorname{Br}(\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}_1)$ is larger. The interactions which induce the rate asymmetry between the decays $\tilde{\tau}^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^-$ and $\tilde{\tau}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^+$ also yield a rate asymmetry between the decays $\tilde{\tau}^- \rightarrow \tau^- \tilde{\chi}^0$ and $\tilde{\tau}^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \tilde{\chi}^0$, satisfying the relation in Eq. (3). As seen in Figs. 6 and 7, the width of $\tilde{\tau}_2 \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ is generally several times smaller than that of $ilde{ au}_2 \!
ightarrow \! au \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$, and accordingly the former decay rate asymmetry becomes larger than the latter by the same order of magnitude. For the detection of an asymmetry $A_{CP}^{\nu_{\tau}}$ $\sim\!10^{-3},$ a necessary number of pairs of $\tilde{\tau}^+\tilde{\tau}^-$ should be in the order of 10^6 . This luminosity is expected to be produced at a future $\mu^+\mu^-$ linear collider with a c.m. energy of 500 GeV, where it will be possible to examine the CP violation through the decay $\tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have studied in detail the signal for CP violation in the tau slepton sector in the MSSM. The relevant

sources of *CP* violation come from the soft SUSY-breaking terms associated with the third generation slepton, as well as the Higgs mass parameter μ . We presented a general formalism of the effect of the CP-violating mixing in the tau slepton sector on their decays. A detailed analysis about that was focused on the rate asymmetry of the decay of the heavier tau slepton into the lighter chargino and tau neutrino final states. In the MSUGRA scenarios where the scalar fermion and gaugino masses are unified at the GUT scale, we illustrated this asymmetry and branching ratios in the parameter space which are constrained by experiments. It was shown that a rate asymmetry between the decays $\widetilde{\tau}_2^-\!\rightarrow\!\nu_\tau\widetilde{\chi}_1^-$ and $\tilde{\tau}_2^+\!\rightarrow\!\bar{\nu}_\tau \tilde{\chi}_1^+$ can be induced at a magnitude of order of 10^{-3} in a region of the parameter space where CP violation becomes maximal at the Lagrangian level. Even though this CP-violating tau slepton mixing only proceeds through loop diagrams, it can give rise to order of 10^{-3} CP-violating asymmetry even in the absence of other CP phases. As a result, the intrinsic property of CP violation in the MSSM can be expected to be detectable in the near-future collider experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.M.Y. thanks M. Ablikim for helpful discussions. This work is in part supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

- H. P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. 110, 1 (1984); H. Haber and G. Kane, *ibid.* 117, 75 (1985).
- M. Drees, hep-ph/9611409; M. Peskin, hep-ph/9705479; D. I. Kazakov, hep-ph/0012288.
- [3] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B188, 513 (1981); P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 44, 817 (1991).
- [4] S. Dimopoulos and D. Sutter, Nucl. Phys. B452, 496 (1996).
- [5] A. Masier and O. Vives, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **101**, 253 (2001), and references therein.
- [6] T. Ibrahim and P. Nath, Phys. Lett. B 418, 98 (1998); Phys.
 Rev. D 61, 095008 (2000); W. Hollik, J. I. Illana, S. Rigolin, C. Schappacher, and D. Stockinger, Nucl. Phys. B439, 3 (1999).
- [7] A. Pilaftsis and C. E. M. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. B553, 3 (1999);
 S. Y. Choi, M. Drees, and J. S. Lee, Phys. Lett. B 481, 57 (2000).

- [8] F. Browning, D. Chang, and W. Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. D 64, 015010 (2001); S. Y. Choi and J. S. Lee, *ibid.* 61, 015003 (2000).
- [9] A. H. Chamseddine, R. Arnowitt, and P. Nath, Phys. Rev. Lett.
 49, 970 (1982); L. Hall, J. Lykken, and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 27, 2359 (1983).
- [10] J. M. Cline, M. Joyce, and K. Kainulainen, J. High Energy Phys. 07, 018 (2000).
- [11] S. Y. Choi, M. Drees, B. Gaissmaier, and J. S. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 64, 095009 (2001).
- [12] J. F. Gunion and H. E. Haber, Nucl. Phys. B272, 1 (1986).
- [13] A. Djouadi, Y. Mambrini, and M. Mühlleitner, Eur. Phys. J. C 20, 563 (2001).
- [14] W. de Boer, R. Ehret, and D. Kazakov, Z. Phys. C 67, 647 (1995); 71, 415 (1996).