

Static charged perfect fluid spheres in general relativity

B. V. Ivanov*

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Tzarigradsko Shausse 72, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria

(Received 24 July 2001; published 12 April 2002)

Interior perfect fluid solutions for the Reissner-Nordström metric are studied on the basis of a new classification scheme. It specifies which two of the characteristics of the fluid are given functions and accordingly picks up one of the three main field equations, the other two being universal. General formulas are found for charged de Sitter solutions, the case of a constant energy component of the energy-momentum tensor, the case of known pressure (including charged dust), and the case of a linear equation of state. Explicit new global solutions, mainly in elementary functions, are given as illustrations. The known solutions are briefly reviewed and corrected.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.65.104001

PACS number(s): 04.20.Jb

I. INTRODUCTION

The unique exterior metric for a spherically symmetric charged distribution of matter is the Reissner-Nordström solution. Interior regular charged perfect fluid solutions are far from unique and have been studied by different authors. The case of vanishing pressure [charged dust (CD)] has received considerable attention. The general solution, in which the fluid density equals the norm of the invariant charge density, was presented in curvature coordinates by Bonnor [1]. The proof that this relation characterizes regular CD in equilibrium, i.e., in the general static case, was given later [2,3]. In the spherically symmetric case another proof was proposed in Ref. [4]. Concrete CD solutions were studied in these coordinates [4,5]. The generalization of the incompressible Schwarzschild sphere to the charged case with constant T_0^0 was also undertaken in a CD environment [6]. Charged dust, however, has been investigated more frequently in isotropic coordinates, since these encompass the entire static case and allow us to search for interior solutions to the more general Majumdar-Papapetrou electrovacuum fields [7,8]. In both coordinate systems there is a simple functional relation between g_{00} and the electrostatic potential. In isotropic coordinates there is one nonlinear main equation [7,9] which has been given several spherical [10–13] and spheroidal [11,12,14] solutions. One of them coincides with the general static conformally flat CD solution [15]. These CD clouds may be realized in practice by a slight ionization of neutral hydrogen, although the necessary equilibrium is rather delicate. They have a number of interesting properties: their mass and radius may be arbitrary, very large redshifts are attainable, their exteriors can be made arbitrarily near to the exterior of an extreme charged black hole. In the spheroidal case the average density can be arbitrarily large, while for any given mass the surface area can be arbitrarily small. When the junction radius r_0 shrinks to zero, many of their characteristics remain finite and nontrivial. One might even entertain the idea of a pointlike classical model of electron were it not for the unrealistic ratio of charge e to mass m [1].

Recently, new static CD solutions were found, in particu-

lar with a density which is constant or is concentrated on thin shells [16,17]. In the spherically symmetric case a relation has been established with solutions of the sine-Gordon and the $\lambda\phi^4$ equations [18].

The necessary condition for a quadratic Weyl-type relation has been derived also for perfect fluids with nonvanishing pressure [19,20]. However, in this case many other dependencies between the electrostatic and the gravitational potential are possible, even when combined with a constant T_0^0 [21].

The original Schwarzschild idea of constant density has also been tested in the charged case for a perfect fluid [22–25] or for an imperfect fluid with two different pressures [1]. An electromagnetic mass model with vanishing density was proposed in Ref. [6]. Unfortunately, the fluid has negative pressure (tension). Although the junction conditions do not require the vanishing of the density at the boundary, this is true for gaseous spheres. A model with such a density was proposed in both the uncharged and the charged case [26,27].

Another idea about the electromagnetic origin of the electron mass maintains that, due to vacuum polarization, its interior has the equation of state $\rho + p = 0$, where ρ is the density and p is the pressure. This leads to tension, easier junction conditions, and realistic e and m [28–31]. It can be combined with a Weyl-type character of the field [32]. The experimental evidence that the electron's diameter is not larger than 10^{-16} cm, however, requires that the classical models should contain regions of negative density [33,34]. Probably an interior solution of the Kerr-Newman metric is more adequate in this respect.

The presence of five unknown functions and just three essential field equations allows one to specify the metric and solve for the fluid characteristics [35]. This is impossible in the uncharged case. Another approach is to electrify some of the numerous uncharged solutions. This was done for one of the Kuchowicz solutions [36] in Ref. [37]. Two other papers [38,39] build upon the Wyman-Adler solution [40,41]. Thus a charged solution is obtained, which has an approximately linear equation of state when m/r_0 is small. In Refs. [42–44] generalizations of the Klein-Tolman (KT) solution [45,46] were performed. Recently, static uncharged stars with spatial geometry depending on a parameter [47,48] have been generalized to the charged case [49]. General transformation

*Email address: boyko@inrne.bas.bg

TABLE I. Summary.

Section	Cases	New solutions, equations	Old solutions, references
II	$(\rho,p),(p,q)$	None	None
III	$(\lambda,\nu),(\lambda,Y)$	(36)–(38)	[21,35]
IV	$(\lambda,n=-1)$	(41)–(44), (49), (55)	[28,29,31,32]
V	(ν,p)	(56)–(58), (75)–(78), (81), (84)–(87)	[1,4–6,10–13,15–18,59]
VI	(ν,q)	(92)–(94)	[38,39,63–67]
VII	(ν,n)	(105),(106), (108)–(110), (111)–(114)	[36,53]
VIII	(ν,ρ)	(117), (118)	None
IX	$(\lambda,\rho),(\lambda,q),(\rho,q)$	(136)–(139), (145),(146)	[6,22,23,25–27,37,49,64]
X	(λ,n)	(154), (156)	[42–44,77]

connecting uncharged and charged solutions was introduced in Ref. [50].

The purpose of the present paper is to present a new and simple classification scheme for charged static spherically symmetric perfect fluid solutions. The calculations in each case are pushed as far as possible and general formulas are given in many instances. The known solutions are reviewed and compartmentalized according to this scheme in order to illustrate general ideas, without being exhaustive. New solutions are added where appropriate. The intention has been to stick to the simplest cases and remain in the realm of elementary if not algebraic functions. The emphasis is, however, on the general picture, which appears unexpectedly rich and simpler than in the uncharged case.

The metric of a static spherically symmetric spacetime in curvature coordinates reads

$$ds^2 = e^\nu dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (1)$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the metric on the two-sphere and ν, λ depend on r . The fluid and its gravitation are described by five functions depending on the radius: λ, ν, ρ, p , and the charge function q , which measures the charge within radius r . There are only three essential field equations; hence, two of the above characteristics must be given. We shall classify solutions according to this feature. For example, (ν, λ) is the case of a given metric and the other three fluid characteristics are found from the equations. This does not mean that solutions are distributed among groups that do not overlap. Thus, (ρ, q) is a completely general case—any solution, after ρ and q are known, may be put into this class. The essence is that ρ and q^2 are given functions and there is control over them; they can be chosen regular, positive, and comparatively simple. Then the other three functions are usually more complex and are not always physically realistic.

In Sec. II the Einstein-Maxwell equations are organized into three main and two auxiliary ones. Two of the main equations are universal for all cases; the third one varies from case to case. Cases with given ν have linear first-order differential equations for $e^{-\lambda}$. Cases with given λ have linear second-order equations for $e^{\nu/2}$ or nonlinear first-order equations for $\rho + p$. These results hold also for fluids with a linear equation of state. In the cases (ρ, p) and (ρ, q) the difficulties are discussed, that prevent their analytical treat-

ment. The junction conditions are given in general form and a reasonable set of physical requirements is included.

In Table I a summary is given of the cases discussed, the known solutions which are reviewed, and the new general and particular solutions. They have been checked by computer for realistic properties.

The parameter n designates the linear equation of state Eq. (17), which represents a relation between ρ and p , while $Y \equiv \rho + p$. Cases that contain q are direct generalizations of uncharged solutions depending usually on a parameter. After some reformulation the same property is shared by the cases of charged dust ($\nu, p=0$) and electrified de Sitter solutions ($\lambda, n=-1$).

Section XI contains some discussion and conclusions.

II. MAIN EQUATIONS AND CLASSIFICATION

The Einstein-Maxwell equations are written as

$$\kappa T_0^0 \equiv \kappa \rho + \frac{q^2}{r^4} = \frac{\lambda'}{r} e^{-\lambda} + \frac{1}{r^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda}), \quad (2)$$

$$\kappa p - \frac{q^2}{r^4} = \frac{\nu'}{r} e^{-\lambda} - \frac{1}{r^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda}), \quad (3)$$

$$\kappa p + \frac{q^2}{r^4} = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu''}{2} - \frac{\lambda' \nu'}{4} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} + \frac{\nu' - \lambda'}{2r} \right), \quad (4)$$

where the prime means a derivative with respect to r and $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4$. We shall use units where $G=c=1$. The charge function is obtained by integrating the charge density σ . We shall use, however, q as a primary object and thus

$$\kappa \sigma = \frac{2q'}{r^2} e^{-\lambda/2}. \quad (5)$$

When $\sigma e^{\lambda/2} = \text{const}$, $q \sim r^3$, the case of the so-called constant charge density. Spherical symmetry allows only a radial electric field with potential ϕ given by

$$F_{01} = \phi' = -\frac{q}{r^2} e^{(\nu+\lambda)/2}. \quad (6)$$

Equation (2) may be integrated by the introduction of the mass function

$$M(r) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_0^r r^2 T_0^0 dr = \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_0^r \left(\rho + \frac{q^2}{\kappa r^4} \right) r^2 dr, \quad (7)$$

and gives

$$z \equiv e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r}. \quad (8)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\kappa \rho + \frac{q^2}{r^4} = \frac{2M'}{r^2} = \frac{1}{r^2} (1 - z - rz'), \quad (9)$$

and constitutes the first of our main equations. The second is obtained as a sum of Eqs. (2) and (3):

$$\kappa(\rho + p) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{r} (v' + \lambda') = \frac{z}{r} v' - \frac{z'}{r}. \quad (10)$$

The third main equation will not be Eq. (4) but another combination of Eqs. (2)–(4), which varies from case to case. One can transform Eqs. (2)–(4) into expressions for p, q, ρ :

$$2\kappa p = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{v''}{2} - \frac{\lambda' v'}{4} + \frac{v'^2}{4} - \frac{\lambda'}{2r} + \frac{3v'}{2r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{2q^2}{r^4} = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{v''}{2} - \frac{\lambda' v'}{4} + \frac{v'^2}{4} - \frac{\lambda'}{2r} - \frac{v'}{2r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$2\kappa \rho = e^{-\lambda} \left(-\frac{v''}{2} + \frac{\lambda' v'}{4} - \frac{v'^2}{4} + \frac{5\lambda'}{4r} + \frac{v'}{2r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2}. \quad (13)$$

These equations may be written as linear first-order equations for z , suitable for the cases (v, q) , (v, p) , and (v, ρ) . Introducing $y = e^{v/2}$ we have

$$(r^2 y' + ry) z' = -2(r^2 y'' - ry' - y) z - 2y + \frac{4q^2}{r^2} y, \quad (14)$$

$$(r^2 y' + 5ry) z' = -2(r^2 y'' - ry' + y) z + 2y - 4\kappa p r^2 y, \quad (15)$$

$$(r^2 y' + ry) z' = -2(r^2 y'' + 3ry' + y) z + 2y + 4\kappa p r^2 y. \quad (16)$$

In the uncharged case the prescription of an equation of state makes the system of field equations extremely difficult to solve. This is true even for the simplest realistic linear equation of state

$$p = n\rho - p_0, \quad (17)$$

where n is a parameter taking values in the interval $[0, 1]$ for physically realistic solutions, while p_0 is a positive constant, allowing the existence of a boundary of the fluid where $p = 0$. When $p_0 = 0$ we obtain the popular γ law (with notation $n = \gamma - 1$). In this case Eqs. (2)–(4) with $q = 0$ lead to an Abel differential equation of the second kind independently of the approach or the coordinate system [51]. It is soluble in few simple cases. Almost all of the few known solutions of the more general Eq. (17) may be obtained by imposing a simple *Ansatz* on λ which makes the system overdetermined [52]. Therefore, it is surprising that in the more complex charged case fluids satisfying Eq. (17) are subject to a linear equation, similar to Eqs. (14)–(16). Substituting Eq. (17) into Eq. (10) and replacing the resulting expression for ρ in Eq. (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(r^2 y' + \frac{5n+1}{n+1} ry \right) z' = & -2 \left(r^2 y'' + \frac{3-n}{n+1} ry' + y \right) z \\ & + 2y - \frac{4\kappa p_0}{n+1} r^2 y. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We call this case (v, n) . Equation (18) was derived in Ref. [53] when $p_0 = 0$. Equation (17) is a privileged one, due to its linearity. Another realistic equation of state, namely, the polytropic one, reads $p = n\rho^{1+1/k}$. It causes the appearance of radicals in Eq. (15) and leads to nonintegrable equations.

Equations (14)–(16) and (18) may be written in the general form

$$gz' = f_1 z + f_0, \quad (19)$$

whose quadrature is

$$z = e^F (C + H), \quad (20)$$

$$F = \int \frac{f_1}{g} dr, \quad H = \int e^{-F} \frac{f_0}{g} dr. \quad (21)$$

Here and in the following C will denote a generic integration constant. They may be written also as linear second-order differential equations for y , useful in the cases (λ, q) , (λ, ρ) , (λ, p) , and (λ, n) :

$$2r^2 z y'' + (r^2 z' - 2rz) y' + \left(rz' - 2z + 2 - \frac{4q^2}{r^2} \right) y = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$2r^2 z y'' + (r^2 z' - 2rz) y' + (5rz' + 2z - 2 + 4\kappa p r^2) y = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$2r^2 z y'' + (r^2 z' + 6rz) y' + (rz' + 2z - 2 - 4\kappa p r^2) y = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2r^2zy'' + \left(r^2z' + 2\frac{3-n}{n+1}rz \right) y' \\
& + \left(\frac{5n+1}{n+1}rz' + 2z - 2 + \frac{4\kappa p_0}{n+1}r^2 \right) y \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The coefficient before the second derivative is one and the same in all cases. Equation (22) is the generalization to the charged case [37,39] of the Wyman equation [40]. Obviously, the case $n = -1$ is not covered by Eqs. (18),(25).

One can find first-order differential equations also for the cases $(\lambda, *)$, based on the well-known Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation [46,54], generalized to the charged case [29]:

$$\kappa p' = -\kappa(\rho + p) \frac{2M + \kappa p r^3 - q^2/r}{2r(r-2M)} + \frac{(q^2)'}{r^4}. \tag{26}$$

We can trade q in Eq. (26) for ρ and λ by using Eq. (9). The result is a Riccati equation for Y :

$$Y' = -\frac{r}{2}e^\lambda Y^2 + \frac{\lambda'}{2}Y - 4\kappa\frac{\rho}{r} + \frac{2}{r^4}(r^2M')'. \tag{27}$$

It marks another way to solve the cases $(\lambda, \rho), (\lambda, n = -1)$. Its solution yields for the pressure $\kappa p = Y - \kappa\rho$. Equation (27) is a nonlinear but first-order companion of Eq. (15). In somewhat different notation it was derived in Ref. [43]. Using Eq. (9) and the definition of Y we find Riccati equations for the cases $(\lambda, q), (\lambda, p)$, and (λ, n) with the same coefficients before Y' and Y^2 . In the last case it reads

$$\begin{aligned}
Y' = & -\frac{r}{2}e^\lambda Y^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda'}{2} - \frac{4}{(n+1)r} \right) Y \\
& - \frac{4\kappa p_0}{(n+1)r} + \frac{2}{r^4}(r^2M')'.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

These equations may be transformed into linear second-order equations by a change of variables from Y to y and we obtain exactly Eqs. (22)–(25). In this process we have exchanged Y , which is the sum of the pressure and the density, for y , which is part of the metric.

So far we have reformulated the original system of Eqs. (2)–(4) into Eqs. (9),(10) and a third equation, presented in many different forms, adapted to the cases of the proposed classification. We have briefly discussed the cases $(\lambda, \nu), (\lambda, *), (\nu, *)$. The three remaining cases $(\rho, p), (\rho, q)$, and (p, q) are the most natural ones since one prescribes two of the fluid characteristics, hoping that the third one and the metric will be regular and reasonable. The case (ρ, q) is easily reduced to (λ, q) because of Eq. (9). In the case (ρ, p) , Y is also known and Eq. (27) becomes an intricate, nonlinear, second-order equation for M which is not simpler than the TOV equation. It seems that it can be dealt with only numerically.

The third main equation for the case (p, q) is obtained in the following way. Let us replace the density in the TOV equation (26) with its expression from Eq. (9). After some tedious manipulations, the following equation for M is found:

$$(M + g_0)M' = f_2M + f_3, \tag{29}$$

whose coefficients are functions of p and q . There is a standard procedure for the solution of such equations [51,55]. It consists of two changes of variables which bring them to the Abel equation of the second kind

$$\omega \omega_\zeta - \omega = f(\zeta), \tag{30}$$

Its integrable cases are few, depend on the shape of $f(\zeta)$, and are tabulated in Ref. [55].

As a whole, the most attractive are the mixed cases $(\nu, *)$ where one fluid characteristic and one metric component are specified.

The five functions that describe the fluid together with its gravitational field should satisfy some physical requirements. Equations (7),(8) show that at the center $M(0) = 0$ and $e^\lambda = 1$. The density and pressure should be positive and monotonically decreasing toward the boundary. It is obvious that q^2 should be positive, too. The boundary r_0 of the fluid sphere is determined by the relation $p(r_0) = 0$ where a junction to the Reissner-Nordström (RN) metric

$$e^\nu = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2} \tag{31}$$

should be performed. The metric and ν' must be continuous there. This leads to the expressions

$$\frac{m}{r_0} = 1 - e^\nu \left(1 + \frac{r_0 \nu'}{2} \right) = \frac{M(r_0)}{r_0} + \frac{q^2(r_0)}{2r_0^2}, \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{e^2}{r_0^2} = 1 - e^\nu (1 + r_0 \nu') = \frac{q^2(r_0)}{r_0^2}. \tag{33}$$

The condition $e = q(r_0)$ follows from the vanishing of the pressure, and vice versa.

Solutions satisfying the above conditions (which are already rather nontrivial) are called in the following physically realistic. Some other, more stringent requirements, are discussed in Refs. [56–58]. The most important of them is $0 \leq (dp/d\rho)^{1/2} \leq 1$, which means that the speed of sound is positive and causal. One has control over this characteristic in CD and models with linear equations of state. The case (ν, q) , which is a generalization of uncharged solutions, shares their behavior for small q . In other cases the expressions for density and pressure may be so complicated that the fulfillment of this requirement is hard to estimate.

III. THE CASES (λ, ν) AND CONSTANT T_0^0

In the case (λ, ν) Equations (11)–(13) should be used to find q, p , and ρ . This is the simplest case but control over

pressure and density is completely lost and one must proceed by trial and error. Krori and Barua [35] have given the solution

$$\lambda = a_1 r^2, \quad \nu = a_2 r^2 + a_3, \quad (34)$$

where a_i denote generic constants of a known solution. They are fixed by the junction conditions. The solution is nonsingular and the positivity conditions are satisfied.

Equations (7),(8) show that the generalized Schwarzschild condition $T_0^0 = \text{const}$ determines λ :

$$e^{-\lambda} = 1 - ar^2, \quad (35)$$

where a is a positive constant. We have the freedom to choose one more function. In Ref. [6] the condition $p=0$ was further imposed. We shall review this solution in Sec. V. In Ref. [21] some relations between ϕ and ν were utilized. They can be arbitrary in the perfect fluid case, so we do not base the classification on such criteria. One should use instead Eqs. (22)–(25) or Eq. (27). The simplest expressions are obtained in the case (λ, Y) when Eq. (27) is used to determine ρ :

$$4\kappa\rho = -rY' - \frac{r^2 Y^2}{2(1-ar^2)} + \frac{arY}{1-ar^2} + 12a. \quad (36)$$

Then $\kappa p = Y - \kappa\rho$ and

$$q^2 = r^4(3a - \kappa\rho) = \frac{r^5}{4} \left[Y' + \frac{rY(Y-2a)}{2(1-ar^2)} \right]. \quad (37)$$

The function ν is determined by a simple integration from Eq. (10):

$$\nu' = \frac{r}{1-ar^2} (Y-2a). \quad (38)$$

This is an advantage over the second-order equations (22)–(25). Equations (35)–(38) solve the problem in a minimal algebraic way. Several positivity conditions follow from the master function Y and for ρ : $3a > \kappa\rho, Y > 2a, Y > \kappa\rho, Y' < 0$.

In order to illustrate how the above scheme works one may take any of the solutions elaborated upon in Ref. [21], extract Y , and check how the above equations and inequalities are satisfied. The simplest case has

$$Y = 2a + a_1(1-ar^2)^{1/2}. \quad (39)$$

Of course, in any of the cases $(\lambda, *)$ we can study the subcase given by Eq. (35). This will be done in the following sections.

A similar problem arises when ν is given. Which function should be prescribed in addition in order to have the simplest algorithm for generating solutions? Again, Y is the best choice. This is seen by taking the difference of Eqs. (23),(24) or reformulating Eq. (10):

$$yz' - 2y'z + ryY = 0. \quad (40)$$

This equation is much simpler than any of Eqs. (14)–(16).

IV. CHARGED DE SITTER SOLUTIONS AND THEIR GENERALIZATION

The uncharged case is a special integrable case with linear equation of state (17) and $n = -1, p_0 = 0$, which is equivalent to the de Sitter solution. The charged case is also completely solvable. The third main equation is Eq. (27), which becomes

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{(r^2 M')'}{2r^3} = \frac{1}{2r^2} (2M' + rM''). \quad (41)$$

Equation (10) gives $\nu = -\lambda$. This is a feature also of the exterior RN solution. Equation (9) yields

$$q^2 = -\frac{r^5}{2} \left(\frac{M'}{r^2} \right)' = \frac{r}{2} (2M' - rM''). \quad (42)$$

Thus, when M is given, all other unknowns follow from simple formulas. Equation (42) allows one also to take q as a basis:

$$\kappa\rho = 2a_0 - \frac{q^2}{r^4} - 4 \int_0^r \frac{q^2}{r^5} dr, \quad (43)$$

$$M = -2 \int_0^r \int_0^{\bar{r}} \frac{\bar{r}q^2}{\bar{r}^5} d\bar{r} dr + \frac{a_0}{3} r^3. \quad (44)$$

Here a_0 is some positive constant and clearly $q = r^{2+\varepsilon} q_0(r)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $q_0(0) = \text{const}$. Equations (43),(44) demonstrate the process of “electrification” of de Sitter space. The bigger the charge function, the lower the density, until some point r_0 is reached where $\rho(r_0) = 0$ and consequently the fluid sphere acquires a boundary. We already know that $M > 0$. Equations (41),(42) show that ρ and q^2 are both positive only when $M' > 0$ and $2M' \geq r|M''|$. The equality holds at the boundary, where $M''(r_0) < 0$. The charge and the mass of the solution follow from the junction conditions (32),(33)

$$e^2 = 2r_0^2 M'(r_0), \quad m = M(r_0) + r_0 M'(r_0). \quad (45)$$

Obviously, there is an abundance of solutions since M and its first two derivatives have to satisfy a few simple inequalities. Four solutions are known in the literature. The $T_0^0 = \text{const}$ condition leads to $q = 0$ in the interior and consequently to the de Sitter solution, which has constant density. One can introduce, however, a surface charge $\sigma \sim \delta(r - r_0)$ which gives $q = \theta(r - r_0)e, \rho = \rho_0$, and

$$z = 1 - \frac{\kappa}{3} \rho_0 r^2. \quad (46)$$

This is the solution of Cohen and Cohen [28,30]. Another solution [29] has

$$M = \frac{\kappa^2}{360} \sigma_0^2 r^3 (5a_1^2 - 2r^2). \quad (47)$$

Here σ_0 is the constant charge density, while a_1 is related to $a_0 = (\kappa^2/24)\sigma_0^2 a_1$. This is one of the electromagnetic models of the electron. When $\sigma_0 \rightarrow 0$ the limit is flat spacetime. We have shown, however, that a_0 is not obliged to vanish when $q=0$ so that, in general, the case $(\lambda, n = -1)$ is an electric generalization of de Sitter spacetime. The natural generalization of flat spacetime is the CD solution, discussed in the next section. Another solution with M similar to the one in Eq. (47) and satisfying the relation $\kappa p = q^2/r^4$ was given in Ref. [31]. It has zero total charge and a simple expression for ν , coming from Eq. (3).

A fourth solution has been found by Gautreau [32]. It has

$$2M = c_1^2 r - \frac{c_1^2 a_2^2}{r} \sin^2 \frac{r}{a_2} \quad (48)$$

and $q = -r^2 \phi'$. Many other solutions are possible and we give one simple realistic example, a variation of the solution in Ref. [29]:

$$M = a(r^3 - r^4), \quad z = 1 - 2ar^2 + 2ar^3, \quad (49)$$

$$\kappa p = 2a(3 - 5r), \quad q^2 = 2ar^5. \quad (50)$$

The junction at $r_0 = 3/5$ gives $m = ar_0^3$, $e^2 = 2ar_0^5$.

The case $Y=0$ is easy because Eq. (27) collapses into the simple relation (41). A generalization can be made by taking Eq. (41) as a basis. Then q is given again by Eq. (42), while Eq. (27) becomes

$$Y' = -\frac{r}{2} e^\lambda Y^2 + \frac{\lambda'}{2} Y. \quad (51)$$

This is a Bernoulli equation and, unlike the Riccati equation, it is readily soluble in quadratures. Its general solution gives an expression for the pressure

$$\kappa p = \frac{e^{\lambda/2}}{C + \frac{1}{2} \int e^{3\lambda/2} r dr} - \kappa p, \quad (52)$$

where $C^{-1} = Y(0)$. When $C \rightarrow \infty$ we return to the previous case $Y=0$. Fortunately, Eq. (10) can be integrated explicitly too and a closed expression is obtained for ν :

$$e^{\nu/2} = A^{-1} e^{-\lambda/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2C} \int_0^r e^{3\lambda/2} r dr \right), \quad (53)$$

$$A = 1 + \frac{1}{2C} \int_0^{r_0} e^{3\lambda/2} r dr. \quad (54)$$

The second equation follows from the junction conditions. Thus, every function M leads to two solutions: one with trivial Y and one with nontrivial Y , satisfying Eq. (51). The trivial solution has the disadvantage of negative pressure.

Equation (52) suggests that in the nontrivial case solutions with positive pressure may exist.

Let us take Eq. (47) with somewhat different constants:

$$M = br^3(1 - br^2), \quad (55)$$

where $b > 0$. When $x \equiv r^2 < 2/5b$ the density is positive and decreasing. The pressure is positive at the center when $1/4b < C < 5/12b$. Let us choose $b = 0.01$ and $C = 40$. Then p has a maximum at $x_0 = 0.3$ and a root at $x_0 = 2.5$. This is a semirealistic interior solution with $e^2 = 5b^2 x_0^3$ and mass given by Eq. (45).

V. THE CASE OF GIVEN PRESSURE: CHARGED DUST

In this section we discuss the cases (ν, p) and briefly (λ, p) . The pressure is considered a known positive function which decreases monotonically outward and vanishes at the boundary of the fluid sphere. The simplest case is $p=0$. This represents charged dust. The case (ν, p) is much easier since the third main equation (16) is linear and first order. In addition, in Eq. (19) $f_1 = -2g'$. This allows us to obtain a compact expression for z

$$z = \frac{1}{(1 + r\nu'/2)^2} + \frac{C}{r^2 e^\nu (1 + r\nu'/2)^2} + \frac{4\kappa}{r^2 e^\nu (1 + r\nu'/2)^2} \int_0^r \left(1 + \frac{r\nu'}{2} \right) e^\nu r^3 p dr. \quad (56)$$

The knowledge of ν allows one to satisfy two of the junction conditions by choosing a function continuous at r_0 together with its derivative. Equations (9)–(10) provide an expression for q in terms of the known ν, p , and with $z(\nu, p)$ from Eq. (56):

$$\frac{q^2}{r^2} = 1 - z(1 + r\nu') + \kappa p r^2. \quad (57)$$

The density follows from Eqs. (10) and (56):

$$\kappa p = \frac{2z}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r^2(1 + r\nu'/2)} + \frac{2z\nu'}{r} + \frac{z\nu'}{r(1 + r\nu'/2)} + \frac{z\nu''}{1 + r\nu'/2} - \frac{4\kappa p}{1 + r\nu'/2} - \kappa p. \quad (58)$$

When $r \rightarrow 0$, $z \rightarrow 1$, and the third term on the right produces a pole unless $\nu' \rightarrow 2\nu_0 r$, which means $\nu'' \rightarrow 2\nu_0$. Then $r\nu' \rightarrow 0$ and the poles in the first two terms cancel. The last two terms approach negative constants. In order to compensate them and have a positive density at the center, ν_0 must be a positive constant, and the inequality $8\nu_0 > 5\kappa p(0)$ should hold. Another consequence is that e^ν is an increasing function in the vicinity of the center. Since $e^{-\lambda}$ is a decreasing function, which starts from 1 and equals e^ν at r_0 , we have $e^\nu(0) < 1$. Equation (56) shows that z has a pole at $r=0$ unless $C=0$.

Now we can understand the physical meaning of the terms in z . The third term represents the contribution from the pressure to the metric. When $p=0$, z is still nontrivial and represents the general CD solution. It has a pole at the beginning of the coordinates and should not be used there. When $C=0$ only the first term remains and this is the regular CD solution in curvature coordinates [1]. The intricate proofs that this is the most general regular solution [2,4] are replaced here by the obvious condition $C=0$. The second term in Eq. (56) may be induced in principle by the third if the lower limit of the integral is changed. The first term may be absorbed by the third if one makes the shift $\kappa p \rightarrow \kappa p + 1/2r^2$.

Let us discuss in detail first the case of regular CD. It provides an excellent illustration of the classification scheme. In the case $(\nu, p=0)$ Eqs. (5), (6) and (56)–(58) give

$$e^\lambda = \left(1 + \frac{r\nu'}{2}\right)^2, \quad q = \frac{r^2\nu'}{2(1+r\nu'/2)}, \quad (59)$$

$$\kappa\rho = \kappa\sigma = \frac{r\nu'' + 2\nu' + \frac{1}{2}r\nu'^2}{r(1+r\nu'/2)^3},$$

$$\phi = -e^{\nu/2} + \phi_0. \quad (60)$$

For the case $(\lambda, p=0)$ we should use Eq. (59) to express everything via λ :

$$\nu' = \frac{2}{r}(e^{\lambda/2} - 1), \quad q = r(1 - e^{-\lambda/2}), \quad (61)$$

$$\kappa\rho = \kappa\sigma = \frac{2}{r^2} \left(e^{-\lambda/2} - e^{-\lambda} + \frac{r}{2} \lambda' e^{-\lambda} \right). \quad (62)$$

These formulas involve only the first derivative of λ . The case $(q, p=0)$ is explicitly solvable, unlike the general case (q, p) :

$$e^\lambda = \frac{r^2}{(r-q)^2}, \quad M = q - \frac{q^2}{2r}, \quad (63)$$

$$\nu' = \frac{2q}{r(r-q)}, \quad \kappa\rho = \kappa\sigma = \frac{2}{r^3}(r-q)q'. \quad (64)$$

The last formulas clearly demonstrate the electrification of flat spacetime, which is the trivial dust solution in the uncharged case. If we put $p=0$ in Eq. (29) we still obtain a complicated Abel equation. One can check that M , given by Eq. (63), satisfies it. The most complicated case is $(\rho, p=0)$. Introducing w via $e^\lambda = w^{-2}$, Eq. (61) gives

$$\nu' = \frac{2(1-w)}{rw}, \quad q = r(1-w), \quad (65)$$

while Eq. (62) becomes an equation for w :

$$rww' = -w^2 + w - \frac{\kappa}{2}r^2\rho. \quad (66)$$

This is an Abel differential equation like Eq. (29). The procedure for its solution was described briefly after Eq. (29) and brings it to its canonical form [51,55]. The set of density profiles leading to integrable w is very restricted.

Several explicit dust solutions in curvature coordinates have been given. Efinger [5] studied the simple case $e^{-\lambda} = 4/9$ in the presence of a cosmological constant. When it is zero we have $q=r/3$ and singular $e^\nu = a_1 r$, $\rho = \sigma = 4/9\kappa r^2$, $F_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{a_1}r^{-1/2}$. Florides illustrated his general discussion [4] with a power-law solution $q = a_2 r^{a_3+3}$. Finally, in Ref. [6] the case of constant T_0^0 was discussed. It leads to Eq. (35) and its replacement in Eqs. (61), (62).

As was mentioned in the Introduction, most work on CD has been done in isotropic coordinates

$$ds^2 = U^{-2}dt^2 - U^2(dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2), \quad (67)$$

where the simple relation $\phi = \pm U^{-1}$ holds and the only equation to be solved is

$$U'' + \frac{2}{r}U' = -\frac{\kappa}{2}U^3\rho. \quad (68)$$

When U is given the density is readily determined from Eq. (68). The function $U^{-2} = a_1 r^2 + a_2$ was used in Refs. [10,15]. Another, more general function,

$$U = 1 + \frac{a_3}{r_0} + \frac{a_3(r_0^k - r^k)}{kr_0^{k+1}}, \quad (69)$$

was studied for $k=2$ in Ref. [12], for $k=4$ in Ref. [11] and for general k in Ref. [13]. Recently, the function $U = a_4(\sin a_5 r)/r$ was studied [16,17].

When ρ is given, it is convenient to transform Eq. (68) into

$$U_{XX} = -\frac{\kappa\rho}{2} \frac{U^3}{X^4}, \quad (70)$$

where $X=1/r$. We may consider $\rho(U)$ as a known function, chosen to simplify this equation. One possibility, leading to Bessel functions, is $\rho = a_6/U^2$ [16,17]. Other choices lead to two-dimensional integrable models, like the sine-Gordon equation [18]. The metric (67) also describes the regular static CD with no symmetry. Then the case of constant fluid density ρ_0 is soluble in cn, one of the Jacobi elliptic functions, but the solution cannot be spherically symmetric. In the spherically symmetric case Eq. (70) becomes an Emden-Fowler equation, whose integrable cases are tabulated in Ref. [55]. Equation (70) is not among them.

Let us go back to the curvature coordinates (1), which are more convenient when one wants to study also the case of nonvanishing pressure. From the considerations about the regularity of ρ given after Eq. (58), it follows that the simplest function ν would be $\nu = \nu_0 r^2$. This choice coincides

with Eq. (34) and leads to exponential functions and probably to the error function in Eq. (56). We shall choose a different option,

$$e^\nu = (a + br^2)^k, \quad (71)$$

where k is an integer, $0 < a < 1$, and $b > 0$. This *Ansatz* has been thoroughly studied in the uncharged case [56,58]. In this section we take $k=1$. The CD solution built on it has

$$z = \left(\frac{a + br^2}{a + 2br^2} \right)^2, \quad q = \frac{br^3}{a + 2br^2}, \quad (72)$$

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{2b(a + br^2)(3a + 2br^2)}{(a + 2br^2)^3}. \quad (73)$$

Density is monotonically decreasing. The junction conditions give

$$e = \frac{br_0^3}{a + 2br_0^2}, \quad m = r_0(1 - a - 2br_0^2). \quad (74)$$

The condition $z = e^\nu$ at r_0 supplies the relation $r_0^2 = (1 - 4a + \sqrt{1 + 8a})/8b$, which ensures the obligatory $e = m$. The right-hand side is positive when $a < 1$, which is the case.

The main disadvantage of regular CD solutions is that they possess a fixed ratio e/m which is unrealistic, especially for classical electron models, and requires the extreme RN solution as an exterior. Equation (56) tells us that when the point $r=0$ is excluded, general CD solutions are possible. They have the following characteristics:

$$z = \left(1 + \frac{rv'}{2} \right)^{-2} \left(1 + C \frac{e^{-\nu}}{r^2} \right), \quad (75)$$

$$\kappa\rho = \left(1 + \frac{rv'}{2} \right)^{-2} \left(1 + C \frac{e^{-\nu}}{r^2} \right) \frac{v'}{r} - \frac{z'}{r}, \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{q^2}{r^2} = 1 - \frac{1 + rv'}{(1 + rv'/2)^2} \left(1 + C \frac{e^{-\nu}}{r^2} \right), \quad (77)$$

$$\phi' = -e^{\nu/2} \frac{[r^4 v'^2 - 4C(1 + rv')e^{-\nu}]^{1/2}}{2r(r^2 + Ce^{-\nu})^{1/2}}. \quad (78)$$

When $C=0$ they reduce to Eqs. (59),(60). What is the physical significance of such solutions? Let us consider a core of perfect fluid, occupying a ball with radius r_0 , with given ν and p . Then z is determined from Eq. (56) with $C=0$:

$$z = \frac{1}{(1 + rv'/2)^2} \left[1 + \frac{C(r)}{r^2 e^\nu} \right], \quad (79)$$

$$C(r) = 4\kappa \int_0^r \left(1 + \frac{rv'}{2} \right) e^{\nu} r^3 p dr. \quad (80)$$

At the junction z is equivalent to Eq. (75) where $C = C_0 \equiv C(r_0)$. An observer cannot understand whether the interior solution consists of perfect fluid or general CD since the only imprint left by the pressure distribution inside is a constant. In principle, the RN metric should be taken as an exterior, but there are no obstacles to taking a general CD metric and postponing the junction to RN till another point $r_1 > r_0$. Thus we obtain a triple-layered model with a perfect fluid core up to r_0 (zone I), a halo of general CD from r_0 to r_1 (zone II), and the RN solution for $r > r_1$ (zone III). The metric component z is given correspondingly by Eq. (79), Eq. (75) with C_0 , and Eq. (31). For this purpose we choose a continuous (together with its derivative) function ν in the region $0 < r < r_1$. At the first junction z is also continuous, while the pressure drops to zero. The density is finite. In zone II the pressure remains zero, while the density continues to decrease. Finally, at r_1 the density also drops to zero (perhaps with a jump) and a RN solution follows till infinity, making the composite solution asymptotically flat. As seen from Eqs. (76),(77), the constant C_0 shifts e/m from 1, as a perfect fluid solution occupying zones I and II would do.

This picture can be backed by an explicit example with the *Ansatz* (71). Since the details should not depend on the form of $p(r)$ but only on the value of C , we shall discuss first zone II and its junction with zone III. In the interval $[r_0, r_1]$ we have

$$z = \frac{(a + br^2)[C_0 + r^2(a + br^2)]}{r^2(a + br^2)^2}. \quad (81)$$

The total mass and charge are given by

$$m = r_1(1 - a - 2br_1^2), \quad e^2 = r_1^2(1 - a - 3br_1^2). \quad (82)$$

The junction condition at r_1 gives

$$C_0 = m^2 - e^2. \quad (83)$$

This relation clearly shows the effect of pressure in zone I on the mass-charge ratio, not altered by the CD halo in zone II. The result in zone I was found in Ref. [6].

An example of a physically realistic solution is given by $a = 0.01$, $r_1 = 4.013\sqrt{C_0}$, $r_0 = 3.588\sqrt{C_0}$, $b = 0.075/\sqrt{C_0}$. This proves the existence of CD solutions with $|e|/m \neq 1$. They sustain the value of C , obtained in zone I from a perfect fluid solution with positive pressure. In Ref. [59] the same question was studied in isotropic coordinates but the solutions have negative density in the vicinity of the origin.

It seems that there are no solutions of the type $(\nu, p > 0)$ in the literature. It must be stressed that this case is completely general, unlike the cases with constant T_0^0 or with $\rho + p = 0$, discussed in the previous sections. Every perfect fluid solution may be reformulated as a (ν, p) case. Let us proceed with the *Ansatz* (71). We base the discussion on $C(r)$ as a fundamental object; hence, it is convenient to select a more involved p leading to a simple $C(r)$ given by Eq. (80). Let us take

$$\kappa p = \frac{p_0 - p_1 r^2}{a + 2br^2} \quad (84)$$

and then

$$C(r) = r^4 \left(p_0 - \frac{2}{3} p_1 r^2 \right), \quad (85)$$

$$z = \frac{(a + br^2)^2}{(a + 2br^2)^2} \left[1 + \frac{r^2 \left(p_0 - \frac{2}{3} p_1 r^2 \right)}{a + br^2} \right], \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{q^2}{r^2} = \frac{r^4}{(a + 2br^2)^2} \left(b - bp_0 - \frac{1}{3} ap_1 \right), \quad (87)$$

where z follows from Eq. (79) while q is calculated from the general expression (57). Here p_0 and p_1 are positive constants, connected by $p_0 = p_1 r_0^2$. The *Ansatz* (71) yields $v_0 = b/a$ and the condition $\rho(0) > 0$ becomes $8b/5a > \kappa p(0) = p_0/a$. This is weaker than a necessary condition for positive q^2 , $b > p_0$. At the boundary the density is always positive. We have also $p_0 = 3C_0/r_0^4$ and, using the numerical values from the CD example, one can express p_0 and p_1 in terms of C_0 , in addition to b , r_0 , and r_1 . It can be shown that for $C > 0.06$ ρ and q^2 are positive and the solution is physically realistic. Thus we have constructed explicitly a triple solution of the type PF-CD-RN. This ends the discussion of case (v, p) .

The case (λ, p) relies on Eq. (24). Even the simplest constant z leads to special functions or nonintegrable equations for y when p is chosen physically realistic.

VI. THE CASE (v, q)

The cases (v, q) and (λ, q) comprise the most direct generalizations of the numerous uncharged solutions. Setting $q = 0$ one obtains one of them with the chosen *Ansatz* for v or λ . As in the previous section, the case (v, q) is much simpler. The third main equation is Eq. (14). Now f_1 can be represented as a linear combination of g' , g , and y . This is a general result which holds for any of Eqs. (14)–(16), (18). In this particular case we have

$$f_1 = -2g' + \frac{8g}{r} - 4y, \quad h = \int \frac{v' dr}{1 + rv'/2}, \quad (88)$$

$$e^F = \frac{r^2 e^{2h}}{e^v (1 + rv'/2)^2}, \quad (89)$$

$$H = 2 \int e^v \left(1 + \frac{rv'}{2} \right) e^{-2h} r^{-3} \left(\frac{2q^2}{r^2} - 1 \right) dr, \quad (90)$$

and z is given by Eq. (20). We shall use the *Ansatz* (71) where $k \geq 1$. In the uncharged case $k = 1$ leads to Tolman's solution IV [46]. The case $k = 2$ was discussed first by Wyman [40] as an extension of Tolman's solution VI and later

was studied in detail by Adler [41]. A solution with $k = 3$ was given by Heintzmann [60] (see also Ref. [58]). The general class was studied by Korkina [61], but her only explicit solution was the one of Heintzmann. Later Durgapal [62] studied in detail the cases $k = 1 - 5$. All of them satisfy the physical criteria used in this paper, but some have irregular behavior of the speed of sound $(dp/d\rho)^{1/2}$ and of p/ρ .

Going to the charged case we have

$$e^2 = q^2(x_0),$$

$$\frac{m}{r_0} = 1 + \frac{1 + (k+1)\tau x_0}{1 + (2k+1)\tau x_0} \left(\frac{e^2}{x_0} - 1 \right), \quad (91)$$

where $\tau = b/a$, $x = r^2$. Let us consider first the case $k = 1$. Then

$$z = \frac{1 + \tau x}{1 + 2\tau x} (1 + Cx + 2xQ), \quad Q = \int_0^x \frac{q^2}{x^3} dx. \quad (92)$$

The pressure and the density are given by

$$\kappa \rho = \frac{\tau - 3C(1 + \tau x)}{1 + 2\tau x} + \frac{2\tau(1 + Cx)}{(1 + 2\tau x)^2} - \frac{2(1 + \tau x)}{1 + 2\tau x} \left(3Q + \frac{2q^2}{x^2} \right) + \frac{4\tau x Q}{(1 + 2\tau x)^2}, \quad (93)$$

$$\kappa p = \frac{\tau + C + 3\tau Cx + 2(1 + 3\tau x)Q}{1 + 2\tau x} + \frac{q^2}{x^2}. \quad (94)$$

When $q = 0$ these expressions coincide with the pressure and the density from Refs. [46,62]. We have $\kappa \rho(0) = 3(\tau - C)$. Let us choose $q^2 = K^2 x^3$. Then the condition $p(x_0) = 0$ yields a negative expression for C which means that $\rho(0)$ is positive. The condition $z = e^v$ at x_0 defines a . Equation (91) yields $e^2 = K^2 x_0^3$ and we can express c, a, e, m through x_0, τ, K .

Let us consider next the case $k = 2$. Then Eqs. (89), (90) give

$$z = 1 + Ce^F + e^F \int \frac{2(a + bx)q^2 dx}{(a + 3bx)^{1/3} x^3},$$

$$e^F = \frac{x}{(a + 3bx)^{2/3}}. \quad (95)$$

When $q = 0$ this is the result of Adler, who used the simplifying assumption $e^F H = 1$ instead of the *Ansatz* (71). When $q^2 = K^2 x^3$ we obtain

$$z = 1 + \frac{Cx}{(a + 3bx)^{2/3}} + \frac{4a}{5b} K^2 x + \frac{2}{5} K^2 x^2. \quad (96)$$

This is the corrected result of Nduka [38], who wrote the field equations with an error in the sign of q^2 . In all his results K^2 should be replaced by $-K^2$ and the conclusions correspondingly altered.

The *Ansatz* (71) with r^2 replaced by r or r^3 has also been studied [63]. In another paper Singh and Yadav [39] simplified Eqs. (14),(19) by demanding $f_1 = -f_0$. Letting $q = Kr$ they obtain the Euler equation. It has three types of solution, all of which are singular at $r=0$. If $K=0$ the nonsingular solution of Adler is again recovered.

Other solutions with singular y were discussed in Refs. [63–66]. In Ref. [65] a charged version (with constant charge density) of Tolman’s solution V was obtained. Further examples of (ν, q) solutions are given in Refs. [66,67] but their properties are not examined.

VII. THE CASE (ν, n)

This is the case with given ν and the perfect fluid satisfying the linear equation of state Eq. (17). Realistic solutions have $0 < n \leq 1$ which means constant speed of sound and causal behavior. Surprisingly, the charged case is much easier than the uncharged one. The third main equation is Eq. (18) with $n \neq -1$. The functions F and H read

$$e^F = \frac{r^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{\beta h_1}}{e^{\nu(A+r\nu'/2)^2}}, \tag{97}$$

$$H = 2 \int e^{\nu} \left(A + \frac{r\nu'}{2} \right) r^\alpha e^{-\beta h_1} \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa p_0}{n+1} r^2 \right) dr, \tag{98}$$

where

$$h_1 = \int \frac{\nu' dr}{A+r\nu'/2}, \quad A = \frac{5n+1}{n+1}, \tag{99}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1-3n}{5n+1}, \quad \beta = \frac{4n(9n+1)}{(n+1)(5n+1)}. \tag{100}$$

We shall study the range $0 \leq n \leq \infty$ and some negative n . The case $n = -1/5$ demands special treatment commented upon at the end of the section. The case $n = -1$ was discussed in Sec. IV. Each of the coefficients α , β , and A has the same limits when $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$. When $0 \leq n \leq \infty$ their ranges are $-3/5 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $1 \leq A \leq 5$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 36/5$. Expressions (97),(98) are generic for the cases $(\nu, q), (\nu, n), (\nu, \rho)$. The case (ν, q) , discussed in the previous section, is obtained by putting $\alpha = -3$, $\beta = 2$, $A = 1$, changing the sign of H , and an obvious replacement for q instead of p_0 . The case (ν, ρ) will be studied in the next section.

In the present case Eq. (100) shows that $\alpha+1$ is always positive for $n \in [0, \infty]$. Therefore, z will have a pole unless $C=0$. Hence $z = e^F H$. After z is found, Eqs. (10),(17) allow one to extract the density from the metric

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{1}{n+1} \left[\kappa p_0 + \frac{z}{r} \left(\nu' - \frac{z'}{z} \right) \right]. \tag{101}$$

It is more convenient to express p and q from Eqs. (17) and (57) respectively and lay all the difficulty in the calculations on the density. Putting Eqs. (97),(98) into Eq. (101) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)\kappa\rho = & \kappa p_0 + \frac{4\kappa p_0}{(n+1)(A+r\nu'/2)} \\ & + \frac{2}{r^2} \left[\frac{z}{A} - \frac{1}{A+r\nu'/2} \right] + \left(2 - \frac{\beta}{A+r\nu'/2} \right) \frac{z\nu'}{r} \\ & + \frac{z(r\nu')'}{r(A+r\nu'/2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

which is an analogue of Eq. (58) and leads to similar conclusions for ν . The poles in the two terms in the square brackets cancel when $r \rightarrow 0$ because $z \rightarrow 1$ and $\nu' \rightarrow 2\nu_0 r$. This condition makes ρ a well-defined function. We shall try for ν an *Ansatz* which generalizes the one in Eq. (71):

$$e^\nu = (a + br^s)^k, \tag{103}$$

where $s \geq 2$ is not necessarily an integer. When $s > 2$ it can be shown that at the center the relation $\rho(0) + 3p(0) = 0$ holds. This is exactly the equation of state for the Einstein static universe (ESU) [56,58]. The pressure is negative at the center, which is unrealistic. Therefore we set $s = 2$. The integral for z is simplified considerably when

$$n_k = \frac{k+5+4\sqrt{k(2k+1)}}{31k-25}. \tag{104}$$

The first few values are $n_1 \approx 2.15$, $n_2 \approx 0.53$, $n_3 \approx 0.39$, $n_4 = 1/3$. The first is beyond the physical range, the fourth is an exact number. One cannot probe the region $n < n_\infty \approx 0.21$ with this method. A series of models is obtained, parametrized by k . They have

$$z = \frac{\int (1+t)^{k-1} t^{(\alpha+1)/2-1} [1-2/(n+1)\mu t] dt}{t^{(\alpha+1)/2} (1+t)^{k-2} [A+(A+k)t]}, \tag{105}$$

where $t = \tau r^2$, $\mu = \kappa p_0 / \tau$. Equation (102) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n+1)\frac{\kappa\rho}{\tau} &= \mu + \frac{4\mu(1+t)}{(n+1)[A+(A+k)t]} \\
 &+ \frac{2}{t}\left[\frac{z}{A} - \frac{1+t}{A+(A+k)t}\right] \\
 &+ \frac{2kz}{1+t}\left[2 - \beta\frac{1+t}{A+(A+k)t}\right] \\
 &+ \frac{4kz}{(1+t)[A+(A+k)t]}. \quad (106)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now additional terms contribute to $\rho(0)$ and $p(0)$ and they can be made positive by a proper choice of τ and p_0 . The pressure is given by Eq. (17) and the charge function by Eq. (57), which becomes

$$\tau q^2 = t \left[1 - \frac{1+(2k+1)t}{1+t} z + \frac{\kappa p}{\tau} t \right]. \quad (107)$$

An interesting case is $k=4$ with $n_4=1/3$ exactly. When $p_0=0$ and $q=0$ this is the equation of state of pure incoherent radiation and the case is not integrable [51]. This specific charged version, which is not the only possible one, however, is integrable and assuming $\mu=2/3$ we have

$$z = \frac{1 + \frac{2}{3}t - \frac{2}{7}t^3 - \frac{1}{9}t^4}{(1+t)^2(1+3t)}, \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\kappa p}{\tau} &= \frac{5+9t}{12(1+3t)} + \frac{3(3+7t)z}{2(1+t)(1+3t)} \\
 &- \frac{\frac{7}{3} + 3t + \frac{9}{7}t^2 + \frac{1}{9}t^3}{4(1+t)^2(1+3t)} - \frac{2}{3}, \quad (109)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau q^2 = t \left(1 - \frac{1+9t}{1+t} z + \frac{\kappa p}{\tau} t \right). \quad (110)$$

The pressure is positive, monotonically decreases, and vanishes at $t_0=0.54$. The charge density has a negative part. The junction conditions yield $a=0.3$, $b=0.16/r_0^2$, $m=0.5r_0$, $e=0.45r_0$. We have $e/m < 1$. Probably, all models in the series have a range of μ where they are physically realistic.

The method used to simplify Eq. (98) resembles the Korkina-Durgapal method, which was extended to the charged case (ν, q) in the previous section. There are other ways to simplify the integral for z even when $k=1$. One of them is to take again $n=1/3$. Then $\alpha=0$, $A=2$, $\beta=3/2$, $\gamma=1/2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &= \frac{1+t}{t^{1/2}(2+3t)^{3/2}} \left[-\frac{1}{4}(\mu-4+3\mu t)\sqrt{(2+3t)t} \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{4+\mu}{12}\sqrt{3\ln(3t+1+\sqrt{3t(2+3t)})} \right], \quad (111)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the integration constant was chosen so that $z(0)=1$. The pressure and the charge are given by Eqs. (17), (102), and (107):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\kappa p}{\tau} &= -\frac{3(1+2t)}{4(2+3t)}\mu + \frac{9z}{4(2+3t)} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2t}\left(\frac{z}{2} - \frac{1+t}{2+3t}\right), \quad (112)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau q^2 = t \left(1 - \frac{1+3t}{1+t} z \right) + \frac{\kappa p}{\tau} t^2. \quad (113)$$

The pressure is well behaved for any μ and vanishes at t_0 . However, q^2 also has a zero, but at t_1 , and becomes negative in a region where $t > t_1$. Unfortunately, for most values of μ the inequality $t_1 < t_0$ holds, meaning that $q^2 < 0$ in some part of the interior. This is grossly unrealistic. We have been unable to find any realistic solution by computer simulations.

Another case with $k=1$ which leads to simple functions can be guessed from Eq. (100). When $n=-1/9$, $\beta=0$. We have also $\alpha=3$, $A=1/2$, $\gamma=1$. Although the pressure is negative, it is worth being discussed. We have

$$z = \frac{1+t}{(1+3t)^2} \left[1 + \left(2 - \frac{3}{2}\mu \right) t - \frac{27}{8}\mu t^2 \right]. \quad (114)$$

When $0 < \mu < 4/27$ the pressure monotonically increases, crosses the t axis, and forms a boundary of fluid with tension inside. Let us take $\mu=0$. The charge function q^2 is positive and increasing. The junction conditions give $a=0.55$, $b=0.11/r_0^2$, $m=0.23r_0$, $e=0.35r_0$ where $t_0=0.2$. This solution resembles the classical models of the electron in Sec. IV.

Finally, some words should be said about the case $n=-1/5$, which is really very special. Let us choose the simple *Ansatz* (71) for ν . The case is formally soluble but z has poles at the center and is ill defined. When $p_0=0$ and $q=0$, this case is soluble [51]. It is connected by a Buchdahl transformation to the de Sitter solution with $n=-1$ [68,69] and is best expressed in isotropic coordinates. This transformation, however, does not work for electrostatic fields and probably is not applicable to the present case of a perfect fluid plus an electrostatic field.

There are two papers that come near to the results of the present section. A solution with singular y , ρ , and p was found in Ref. [53] when $p_0=0$. Another one is contained in Ref. [38] which belongs to the (ν, q) case and is mentioned in Sec. VI. When m/r_0 is small, the solution approximately satisfies Eq. (17) with $n=3/10$, a value which is rather close to $1/3$. Its connection to our solutions is not clear.

A final remark is in order. It may be tempting to take the limit $e \rightarrow 0$ in one of the solutions obtained here and try to find analytic expressions for the at present nonintegrable cases of γ law uncharged solutions [51]. However, for the *Ansatz* (71) used in this section, this leads to $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ and to flat spacetime. In the case of general ν , it seems that the above limit will produce charged solutions with zero total

charge. This is suggested by the behavior of σ in some of the models, namely, its change of sign in the interior. The proper noncharged limit seems to be $q(r) \equiv 0$. Then, however, we obtain the field equations of the uncharged fluid. Hence, nothing is gained in comparison with the three approaches described in Ref. [51]. They lead to Abel differential equations of the second kind with few integrable cases.

VIII. THE CASE (ν, ρ)

This case arises as a special limit of (ν, n) when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $p_0 \rightarrow \infty$. One sees from Eq. (17) that in this limit $\rho \rightarrow \rho_0 = p_0/n$ which can be made a finite constant. More generally, the third main equation (15) is obtained from Eq. (18) plus the change $p_0/n + 1 \rightarrow \rho(r)$. Equations (99),(100) give $\alpha = -3/5$, $A = 5$, $\beta = 36/5$. The constant C in Eq. (20) is zero again in order to prevent a pole at the center. Equations (97)–(99)

$$z = \frac{2e^{36h_3/5}}{r^{2/5}e^{\nu} \left(5 + \frac{r\nu'}{2}\right)^2} \int e^{\nu(5+r\nu'/2)} r^{-3/5} \times e^{-36h_3/5(1-2\kappa\rho r^2)} dr, \quad (115)$$

$$h_3 = \int \frac{\nu' dr}{5+r\nu'/2}. \quad (116)$$

The parameters n and p_0 have been exchanged effectively for the density function and in this respect Eq. (115) resembles Eqs. (89),(90) from the (ν, q) case. When ρ is constant we obtain a generalization of the Schwarzschild interior solution [70]. It is much more complicated than the other generalization with constant T_0^0 . As seen from Eq. (115), the case $\rho=0$ is nontrivial and leads to purely electromagnetic mass models.

Let us try again the *Ansatz* (103) for ν . The method which led to an infinite series of realistic solutions in the (ν, n) case is rather useless here even when $s=2$. Therefore, we put $k=1$. Then $\gamma = -1/5$ and

$$z = \frac{1+t}{t^{1/5}(5+6t)^{4/5}} \int \frac{(1-(2\kappa\rho/\tau)t)dt}{t^{4/5}(5+6t)^{1/5}}. \quad (117)$$

When $\rho = \rho_0$ the integrals bring forth the hypergeometric function

$$z = \frac{1+t}{\left(1 + \frac{6}{5}t\right)^{4/5}} \left[F\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{6}{5}; -\frac{6t}{5}\right) - \frac{\kappa\rho_0}{3\tau} t F\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{6}{5}, \frac{11}{5}; -\frac{6t}{5}\right) \right]. \quad (118)$$

The charge is given by Eq. (113), while the pressure reads

$$\frac{\kappa p}{\tau} = \frac{2z}{1+t} - 2z_t - \frac{\kappa\rho}{\tau}. \quad (119)$$

Searching for an elementary solution, one may consider some sophisticated density profile, leading to a simple integral in Eq. (117). Unfortunately we have found only solutions with $q^2 < 0$.

IX. THE CASES (ρ, q) , (λ, ρ) , AND (λ, q)

Having in mind the results in the previous section, it is no wonder that the case (ρ, q) , where there is control over the evasive charge function, is much more popular in the literature. Equation (9) easily relates this case to (λ, ρ) and (λ, q) , and Eq. (22) or (23) may be used as a third main equation. Like (ν, q) , these cases are direct generalizations of uncharged cases. Equations (7),(8) define z in terms of ρ and q

$$z = 1 - \frac{\kappa}{r} \int_0^r \rho r^2 dr - \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{q^2}{r^2} dr. \quad (120)$$

Equation (9) shows that ρ is regular when $z-1 \sim r^2$ for small r . We accept the *Ansatz*

$$z = 1 - ar^2 - br^4. \quad (121)$$

It follows from Eq. (120) when $q = Kr^l$ with $l=2,3$ and $\rho = \rho_0 - \rho_1 r^2$, where ρ_0, ρ_1 are non-negative constants. The *Ansatz* for ρ goes back to Tolman's solution VII. When $l=2$ and $l=3$ we have, respectively,

$$z = 1 - \frac{1}{3}(\kappa\rho_0 + K^2)x + \frac{\kappa\rho_1}{5}x^2, \quad (122)$$

$$z = 1 - \frac{\kappa\rho_0}{3}x + \frac{1}{5}(\kappa\rho_1 - K^2)x^2. \quad (123)$$

The total charge and mass are given by

$$e = Kx_0^{3/2}, \quad (124)$$

$$m = \frac{1}{2}[a + (b + K^2)x_0]x_0^{3/2}. \quad (125)$$

When $\rho_1 \neq 0$ this is a model of a gaseous sphere; both density and pressure vanish at the boundary [26,27]. We have $\rho_0 = \rho_1 x_0$. When $\rho_1 = 0$, $\rho_0 \neq 0$ this is a generalization of the incompressible fluid sphere for $l=3$ [22] or $l=2$ [23]. Finally, when $\rho_1 = \rho_0 = 0$ we come to a model with electromagnetic mass [6]. The solutions given by Eqs. (46),(47) also belong to this *Ansatz*. The case $b \neq 0$ appears to be simpler, due to a peculiarity in Eq. (22), and leads to elementary functions. Equation (35) demonstrates that the other case, $b=0$, belongs to the family of solutions with constant T_0^0 . Equation (22) becomes in terms of x

$$(1 - ax - bx^2)y_{xx} - \left(bx + \frac{a}{2}\right)y_x - \left(\frac{b}{4} + \frac{q^2}{2x^3}\right)y = 0. \quad (126)$$

The coefficient before y is constant when $l=3$ (or $K=0$). Let us study this case first. Equation (123) gives

$$d \equiv - \left(\frac{b}{4} + \frac{q^2}{2x^3} \right) = \frac{1}{20} (\kappa\rho_1 - 11K^2). \quad (127)$$

A change of variables brings Eq. (126) to

$$y_{\xi\xi} + dy = 0, \quad (128)$$

$$\xi = \int_0^x \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-ax-bx^2}}. \quad (129)$$

This integral has several different expressions, but many properties of the solutions depend only on the fact that $\xi(0)=0$, and ξ , and ξ_x are positive. Equation (128) is easily solved and the last function to be determined, the pressure, is given by Eq. (10). At the junction the pressure vanishes when

$$2y_{\xi}(x_0) = z_x(x_0) \quad (130)$$

is satisfied. The charge is given by Eq. (124), while the mass becomes

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\kappa\rho_0}{3} + (6K^2 - \kappa\rho_1) \frac{x_0}{5} \right] x_0^{3/2}. \quad (131)$$

When $\rho_1=0$ the mass is positive. When $\rho_1 \neq 0$ this assertion still holds because then $\rho_1 x_0 = \rho_0$. The positivity of the mass does not impose any conditions on the constants ρ_0 , x_0 , and K which determine the solution. The pressure reads

$$\kappa p = 4\sqrt{z} \frac{y_{\xi}}{y} - \frac{1}{3} \kappa\rho_0 + \frac{1}{5} (3\kappa\rho_1 + 2K^2)x. \quad (132)$$

To be more concrete, let us introduce the three classes of solutions of Eq. (128) for $d=0$, $d<0$, and $d>0$, respectively:

$$y = C_1 + C_2\xi, \quad (133)$$

$$y = C_1 e^{-\sqrt{-d}\xi} + C_2 e^{\sqrt{-d}\xi}, \quad (134)$$

$$y = C_1 \sin \sqrt{d}\xi + C_2 \cos \sqrt{d}\xi. \quad (135)$$

The conditions $y>0$ and $p(0)>0$ lead to $C_1>0$, $C_2>0$ when $d \geq 0$ and to $C_2>0$, $C_2>C_1$ when $d<0$. Therefore, e^v increases with r until it meets z , which decreases. We have $y(0)<1$.

(1) *Case* $d=0$. Equation (127) means $\kappa\rho_1 = 11K^2$, while Eq. (123) gives $b = -2K^2$. We also have $x_0 = \kappa\rho_0/11K^2$ and the solution is determined by two constants, ρ_0 and K . Equation (130) results in

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{66} \kappa\rho_0, \quad C_1 = -\frac{1}{66} \kappa\rho_0 \xi_0 + \sqrt{z_0}, \quad (136)$$

$$z_0 = 1 - \frac{5}{3} v^2, \quad v \equiv \frac{\kappa\rho_0}{11|K|}, \quad (137)$$

and y is given by Eq. (133). C_1 is real when $v \leq \sqrt{3/5}$. The charge is given by Eq. (124) and the mass is $m = \frac{4}{33} \kappa\rho_0 x_0^{3/2}$, leading to the ratio $|e|/m = 3/4v \geq \sqrt{15}/4$. Due to the negative b Eq. (129) reads

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}} \ln \left| -\frac{a+2bx}{2\sqrt{-b}} + \sqrt{z} \right| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-b}} \ln \left| 1 - \frac{a}{2\sqrt{-b}} \right|. \quad (138)$$

In Ref. [27] another expression was used, true only in a part of the allowed interval for v . The pressure reads

$$\kappa p = \frac{4C_2\sqrt{z}}{C_1 + C_2\xi} - \frac{1}{3} \kappa\rho_0 + 7K^2x. \quad (139)$$

The condition $p(0)>0$ is fulfilled when $C_1 < 2/11$. This holds when v varies near $\sqrt{3/5} = 0.77$. Thus, there are solutions with positive pressure.

(2) *Case* $d<0$. This means $\kappa\rho_1 < 11K^2$ and the limiting case $\rho_1=0$ is possible. We shall discuss the general case first. The boundary conditions fix the constants $C_{1,2}$. The pressure is given by Eq. (132):

$$\kappa p = 4\sqrt{-dz} \frac{C_2 e^{\sqrt{-d}\xi} - C_1 e^{-\sqrt{-d}\xi}}{C_2 e^{\sqrt{-d}\xi} + C_1 e^{-\sqrt{-d}\xi}} - \frac{1}{3} \kappa\rho_0 + \frac{1}{5} (3\kappa\rho_1 + 2K^2)x. \quad (140)$$

A necessary condition for positive pressure at the center is $C_2 > C_1$, satisfied when

$$z_x(x_0) = -\frac{\kappa\rho_0}{3} - \frac{2}{5} (K^2 - \kappa\rho_1)x_0 \quad (141)$$

is positive, which leads to $\kappa\rho_1 > 6K^2$. Simplifications are possible when $d=b$ or $C_1=0$ but they do not give solutions with positive pressure.

Let us discuss the subcase $\rho_1=0$, i.e., $\rho = \rho_0$ [25]. Now Eq. (141) shows that $z_x(x_0) < 0$; therefore $C_2 < C_1$ and the pressure is negative in this subcase, which was not realized in the above reference. Equation (124) holds as it is, while Eq. (125) reads

$$m = \left(\frac{1}{6} \kappa\rho_0 + \frac{3}{5} K^2 x_0 \right) x_0^{3/2}. \quad (142)$$

We have $b = K^2/5 > 0$ and consequently $a^2 + 4b > 0$. Then

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \arcsin \frac{a+2bx}{\sqrt{a^2+4b}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \arcsin \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+4b}}. \quad (143)$$

In Ref. [27] an incorrect expression was used. Formula (143) allows one to make connection with the results of Ref. [6], where in addition $\rho_0=0$, so that the mass arises entirely from the electrostatic field energy. Equation (141) still shows

that the pressure is negative. Equations (124) and (142) give the ratio $|e|/m=5/3|K|x_0$. Now $a=0$ and z is positive at the boundary when $|e|/m>\sqrt{5}/3$. The second term in Eq. (143) vanishes, and combining it with Eq. (134) yields

$$y = C_1 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{11}{2}} \arcsin \sqrt{bx}\right) + C_2 \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{11}{2}} \arcsin \sqrt{bx}\right). \quad (144)$$

The passage to arccos scales $C_{1,2}$ and interchanges their places, giving Eq. (4.6) from Ref. [6].

(3) *Case $d>0$.* Now we have $\kappa\rho_1>11K^2$ which permits the limit $K=0$. The two cases do not differ essentially. The value of $z_x(x_0)$ is positive, while $b<0$. Hence, ξ is given by Eq. (138), as in Ref. [26]. The positivity condition is even more complicated than in the previous case and simplifications do not seem possible. This is true even for the uncharged case, discussed in the mentioned reference. We shall remark only that the simple case $\kappa\rho_1=\kappa\rho_0=x_0=1$, $K=0$ has positive pressure profile. For small enough K , the charged case must behave the same way.

Let us study next the case $l=2$ when z is given by Eq. (122). Equation (126) is not soluble unless $b=-\kappa\rho_1/5=0$, meaning that the density is constant. Then it becomes a particular case of the hypergeometric equation

$$\chi(\chi-1)y_{\chi\chi} + [(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + 1)\chi - \eta_3]y_{\chi} + \eta_1\eta_2y = 0, \quad (145)$$

where

$$\chi = ax, \quad \eta_1 + \eta_2 + 1 = 1/2, \quad \eta_3 = 0, \quad \eta_1\eta_2 = K^2/2a.$$

These relations give

$$\eta_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{1 - \frac{8K^2}{a}} \quad (146)$$

and $\eta_{1,2} \in [-1/2, 0)$. The reality of η_1 is ensured by $\kappa\rho_0 > 23K^2$. Thus, in the charged case it is not possible to put $\rho = \rho_0 = 0$. This case was studied by Wilson [23], who did not recognize the appearance of the hypergeometric function. He assumed that the pressure was positive and developed a series expansion for y . A linear combination between the two fundamental solutions of Eq. (145) is a candidate for a regular metric. In this paper the emphasis is laid on solutions in elementary functions, so we shall not pursue this issue further, except in the case where $K=0$. Then Eq. (145) is easily solved:

$$e^v = [2(1 - ar^2)^{1/2} + a_1]^2. \quad (147)$$

This is the expected Schwarzschild interior solution [70], contrary to the claims in Ref. [23].

A model for a superdense star with the *Ansatz*

$$z = \frac{1 - a_2r^2}{1 + a_1a_2r^2}, \quad q = \frac{Ka_2r^3}{1 + a_1a_2r^2}, \quad (148)$$

has been discussed in the uncharged case for $a_1=2$ [71,72], $a_1=7$ [73], and a set of discrete values for a_1 [47]. Recently, it was studied for arbitrary a_1 in both the uncharged [48] and the charged cases [49]. Introducing the variable

$$\eta = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_1+1}\right)^{1/2} (1 - a_2r^2)^{1/2}, \quad (149)$$

one obtains

$$(1 - \eta^2)y_{\eta\eta\eta} - \eta y_{\eta\eta} + d_1 y_{\eta} = 0, \quad (150)$$

$$d_1 = a_1 + 2 - \frac{2K^2}{a_1}, \quad (151)$$

where $d_1 > 0$ is required.

Formally, Eq. (150) is a subcase of Eq. (126) with $a=0$, $b=1$, $x \rightarrow r$, $y \rightarrow y_{\eta}$, so we can use the machinery developed there. We have $\xi = \arcsin \eta$. We may change the variable to $\delta = \arccos \eta$ because Eq. (128) is invariant under this change. Hence, y_{η} is given by Eq. (135) and y may be found by integration. Use of trigonometric equalities helps to find an expression with two terms

$$y = a_4 \left\{ \frac{\cos[(\sqrt{d_1+1})\delta + a_3]}{\sqrt{d_1+1}} - \frac{\cos[(\sqrt{d_1-1})\delta + a_3]}{\sqrt{d_1-1}} \right\}. \quad (152)$$

This formula was found in Refs. [48,49] by resorting first to Gegenbauer functions and then to Chebyshev polynomials. The peculiarities of Eq. (126) permit a straightforward derivation in elementary functions.

Let us discuss next a solution of Nduka [37], belonging to the class (λ, q) . He chose a constant $z \neq 1$ and generalized the uncharged solution of Ref. [36] by taking $l=1$, i.e., $q = Kr$. Then Eq. (22) turns into the Euler equation and y and ρ are singular at $r=0$.

The *Ansatz* (121) was examined also in Ref. [64] where in addition to q a generating function G was given, satisfying the relation $G^2 z q = \text{const}$. Therefore this is again the case (λ, q) . Several solutions were found, including the one of Nduka [38] and a solution singular in y , which is a generalization of the solutions in Refs. [42,74].

X. THE CASE (λ, n)

In this case the fluid satisfies the linear equation of state (17) and some *Ansatz* for λ is given. The other metric component is found from Eq. (25)—a linear second-order equation for y .

Let us study first the case $z=c<1$ which leads to singular pressure and density, but provides the opportunity to generalize the well-known KT solution [45,46,51,75,76]. Equation (25) becomes

$$r^2 y'' + k_0 r y' + (-k_1 + k_2 r^2) y = 0, \quad (153)$$

$$k_0 = \frac{3-n}{n+1}, \quad k_1 = \frac{1-c}{c}, \quad k_2 = \frac{2\kappa p_0}{(n+1)c}.$$

Its solution is given by Bessel functions [55]

$$y = r^{(1-k_0)/2} [C_1 J_{k_3}(\sqrt{k_2}r) + C_2 Y_{k_3}(\sqrt{k_2}r)], \quad (154)$$

$$k_3 = \frac{1}{2} [(1-k_0)^2 + 4k_1]^{1/2}.$$

When $p_0 = 0$ this becomes

$$y^2 = C_1 r^{1-k_0+2k_3}. \quad (155)$$

It can be checked that $1-k_0+2k_3 > 0$. We have included only the solution nondivergent at $r=0$. The density and the charge function are singular at the origin. This solution was derived in Ref. [42] and rediscovered in Ref. [77]. It was generalized by Tikekar [43] whose solution belongs to the (Y, n) case and is singular at the origin too. Another generalization was offered in Ref. [44] but it alters many of its properties, including the equation of state.

Let us discuss finally the *Ansatz* (35), imposed by the condition of constant T_0^0 , as in Sec. III. Introducing $x = ar^2$ turns Eq. (25) into

$$x(x-1)y_{xx} + \left[\frac{n+5}{2(n+1)}x - \frac{2}{n+1} \right] y_x + Dy = 0, \quad (156)$$

$$D = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left(3n+1 - \frac{\kappa p_0}{a} \right). \quad (157)$$

This is once again the hypergeometric equation and its solutions depend on the sign of D . Particular cases are the de Sitter solution and the ESU. There are many elementary solutions but they either have singularities or it is impossible to ensure that both p and q^2 are positive.

XI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Charged static perfect fluids have attracted considerably less attention than the uncharged ones, the number of papers being roughly an order of magnitude smaller. The Introduction hints how diverse have been the approaches to their study. Charged dust occupies the first place in popularity. The rest is a mixture of generalizations: of the Schwarzschild idea about incompressibility, with the limiting case of vanishing density and electromagnetic mass models, a generalization of the idea about vacuum polarization which brings forth the de Sitter solution, a generalization simply of well-known uncharged solutions like those of Adler, Kuchowicz, Klein, Mehra, Vaidya-Tikekar, and others, a generalization of Weyl-type connections, well studied in the electrovac case.

In this paper we have tried to show that the charged case has a life of its own when subjected to a natural classification scheme. Surprisingly, in many respects it looks simpler than the uncharged case. The presence of the charge function serves as a safety valve, which absorbs much of the fine-tuning, necessary in the uncharged case. The general formu-

las derived here show that the abundance of solutions is probably bigger than in the traditional case. The proposed scheme becomes rather trivial there, representing *Ansätze* mainly for λ , ν , and sometimes ρ . In the charged case, however, it allows one to sort out the different ideas mentioned above. Thus constant T_0^0 leads to the cases $(\lambda = 1 - ar^2, *)$, while constant density to the much more difficult cases (ν, ρ) and (λ, ρ) , similar to (ν, n) and (λ, n) . Electromagnetic mass models are subcases, often spoiled by negative pressure. The pointlike idea seems to be viable only for CD, leading to flat spacetime when the pressure does not vanish. The generalization of uncharged solutions firmly occupies the cases (λ, q) , (ρ, q) , and (ν, q) . Models with $n = -1$ are intimately related to the soluble case $n \neq -1$ and are much richer than their traditional protagonist, the de Sitter solution. The other models with negative pressure, which seem worth being studied, are the generalizations of ESU with $n = -1/3$. Finally, the Weyl-type relations, so successful in electrovac and CD environments, seem rather out of place in a ‘‘pressurized’’ perfect fluid.

Another advantage of this classification is that it delineates the degree of difficulty and the most convenient points for attack of the problem. The easiest cases seem to be (λ, Y) and (ν, Y) . If we insist on authentic fluid characteristics and not on their combinations, then (ν, p) and (ν, q) are the best candidates. On the other hand, the most difficult are (ρ, p) and (p, q) , which seem to be accessible only numerically. The most unpredictable case is probably (λ, ν) , since there is no control of exactly those characteristics which must satisfy the majority of regularity and positivity conditions.

A different division is between general and special cases. As was noticed in the introduction, a known solution belongs to any of the general cases; a solution of (ν, q) may be written as a (λ, p) or even as a (ρ, p) solution. Only the simplicity of two of the five functions ν, λ, ρ, p, q betrays the starting point. The special cases that we discussed, apart from the *Ansatz* (35), are essentially three: $\rho + p = 0$ and $(\lambda, n), (\nu, n)$. All of them have a linear equation of state. It should be mentioned that all integrable uncharged solutions with the γ law found in Ref. [51] have their charged generalizations with $p_0 \neq 0$ and, hence, possess a boundary. The case $n = 0$, $p_0 = 0$ represents CD and arises as an electrification of the trivial uncharged dust solution (flat spacetime), which is seen from Eqs. (63), (64). Its boundary may be put anywhere, since $p = 0$ everywhere. The case $n = -1$ generalizes the de Sitter solution into a bunch of new solutions, characterized by the mass function, subjected to several mild restrictions. It was completely solved in Sec. IV. The de Sitter solution appears also as a special case in Sec. X. The option $n = -1/3$ in the uncharged case is a mark of the ESU. It appears in Sec. VII when $b = 0$ in Eq. (103). The ESU appears also as the special class $D = 0$ in Sec. X. The case $n = -1/5$ is special in the charged theory too, but its metric is singular as was mentioned in Sec. VII. The KT solution is generalized in Eq. (155). In the uncharged case these exhaust the integrable cases of a complicated Abel differential equation of the second kind. In the charged case it is replaced by a linear first-order Eq. (18), which has many other solutions, discussed in

Sec. VII, or by the linear second-order Eq. (25), discussed in Sec. X.

From a mathematical viewpoint, the charged case delivers a surprising variety of equations like those of Euler, Bernoulli, Riccati, Emden-Fowler, and Abel, and the hypergeometric equation. The cases containing λ quickly lead to special functions whose spectrum is also rich: Ei, cn, Bessel, hypergeometric, and incomplete β functions, Jacobi, Gegenbauer and Chebyshev polynomials, etc. Therefore, a more thorough study would require computer simulations of special functions, which are easier than purely numeric simulations.

From a physical viewpoint, the most interesting results are, in our opinion, the following.

(1) The case of constant T_0^0 is solved by a simple algorithm involving algebraic operations, one differentiation and one simple integration upon a generating function Y , which satisfies a few simple inequalities, Eqs. (36)–(38).

(2) The charged de Sitter case is completely soluble in terms of the mass or the charge function, Eqs. (41)–(44). There is a generalization with positive pressure given by Eqs. (52)–(54).

(3) The general solution for a given pressure consists of three contributions to z ; from regular CD, from general CD, and from the pressure [see Eq. (56)]. There is a general CD

solution which creates a halo around charged fluid balls and may postpone their junction to a RN solution.

(4) The solutions of Korkina-Durgapal possess realistic charge generalizations given by Eqs. (88)–(90).

(5) Fluids with linear equations of state are integrable for any n as seen from Eqs. (18),(25),(28),(97)–(100). Elementary solutions may be found by several simplification techniques. Physically realistic is an infinite series of models given by Eqs. (104)–(106). The status of the model given by Eq. (111) is still unknown.

(6) The case (ν, ρ) is closely connected to (ν, n) and is much more difficult than its companion with constant T_0^0 , even when the density is constant or zero.

(7) The (ρ, q) case discussed in Ref. [27] is incomplete and has errors. It is treated in detail in Sec. IX and its connection with Ref. [6] is elucidated. It has several realistic subcases, but electromagnetic mass models all seem to have negative pressure. A formal but intriguing parallel is drawn to star models with simple spatial geometry [49].

(8) Solutions of the case (λ, n) with constant T_0^0 are expressed in hypergeometric functions [see Eqs. (156),(157)]. All degenerate elementary solutions have either negative pressure or singularities.

A more detailed version of the present paper can be found in Ref. [78].

-
- [1] W. B. Bonnor, *Z. Phys.* **160**, 59 (1960).
 [2] U. De and A. K. Raychaudhuri, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A303**, 97 (1968).
 [3] A. K. Raychaudhuri, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. A* **22**, 229 (1975).
 [4] P. S. Florides, *Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. A* **42**, 343 (1977).
 [5] H. J. Efinger, *Z. Phys.* **188**, 31 (1965).
 [6] F. I. Cooperstock and V. de la Cruz, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **9**, 835 (1978).
 [7] S. D. Majumdar, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 390 (1947).
 [8] A. Papapetrou, *Proc. R. Ir. Acad., Sect. A* **51**, 191 (1947).
 [9] A. Das, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A267**, 1 (1962).
 [10] W. B. Bonnor, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **129**, 443 (1965).
 [11] W. B. Bonnor and S. B. P. Wickramasuriya, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **5**, 371 (1972).
 [12] W. B. Bonnor and S. B. P. Wickramasuriya, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **170**, 643 (1975).
 [13] W. B. Bonnor, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 4125 (1999).
 [14] W. B. Bonnor, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 351 (1998).
 [15] A. Banerjee and M. M. Som, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **20**, 349 (1981).
 [16] M. Gürses, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 044001 (1998).
 [17] M. Gürses, *gr-qc/9806038*.
 [18] V. Varela, *gr-qc/9911062*.
 [19] R. Gautreau and R. B. Hoffman, *Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis., B* **16**, 162 (1973).
 [20] D. Ida, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **103**, 573 (2000).
 [21] B. S. Guilfoyle, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **31**, 1645 (1999).
 [22] C. F. Kyle and A. W. Martin, *Nuovo Cimento B* **50**, 583 (1967).
 [23] S. J. Wilson, *Can. J. Phys.* **47**, 2401 (1969).
 [24] F. de Felice, L. Siming, and Y. Yanqiang, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 2669 (1999).
 [25] A. L. Mehra and M. L. Bohra, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **11**, 333 (1979).
 [26] A. L. Mehra, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **6**, 153 (1966).
 [27] A. L. Mehra, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **12**, 187 (1980).
 [28] J. M. Cohen and M. D. Cohen, *Nuovo Cimento B* **60**, 241 (1969).
 [29] R. N. Tiwari, J. R. Rao, and R. R. Kanakamedala, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 489 (1984).
 [30] Ø. Grøn, *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 2129 (1985).
 [31] J. Ponce de Leon, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **19**, 797 (1987).
 [32] R. Gautreau, *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 1860 (1985).
 [33] W. B. Bonnor and F. I. Cooperstock, *Phys. Lett. A* **139**, 442 (1989).
 [34] F. I. Cooperstock and N. Rosen, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **28**, 423 (1989).
 [35] K. D. Krori and J. Barua, *J. Phys. A* **8**, 508 (1975).
 [36] B. Kuchowicz, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **33**, 541 (1968).
 [37] A. Nduka, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **8**, 75 (1977).
 [38] A. Nduka, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **7**, 493 (1976).
 [39] T. Singh and R. B. S. Yadav, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **9**, 475 (1978).
 [40] M. Wyman, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1930 (1949).
 [41] R. J. Adler, *J. Math. Phys.* **15**, 727 (1974).
 [42] D. N. Pant and A. Sah, *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 2537 (1979).
 [43] R. Tikekar, *J. Math. Phys.* **25**, 1481 (1984).
 [44] M. Cataldo and N. V. Mitskiévič, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 545 (1992).
 [45] O. Klein, *Ark. Mat., Astron. Fys.* **34**, N19 (1947).

- [46] R. C. Tolman, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 364 (1939).
- [47] S. D. Maharaj and P. G. L. Leach, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 430 (1996).
- [48] S. Mukherjee, B. C. Paul, and N. K. Dadhich, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 3475 (1997).
- [49] R. Sharma, S. Mukherjee, and S. D. Maharaj, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **33**, 999 (2001).
- [50] D. Kramer and G. Neugebauer, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **27**, 129 (1971).
- [51] B. V. Ivanov, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 1029 (2002).
- [52] B. V. Ivanov, [gr-qc/0107032](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0107032).
- [53] M. Humi and J. Mansour, *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1076 (1984).
- [54] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374 (1939).
- [55] A. D. Polyainin and V. F. Zaitsev, *Handbook of Exact Solutions for Ordinary Differential Equations* (CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1995).
- [56] M. S. R. Delgaty and K. Lake, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **115**, 395 (1998).
- [57] P. Wils, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **22**, 539 (1990).
- [58] M. R. Finch and J. E. F. Skea, www.dft.if.uerj.br/users/JimSkea/papers/pfrev.ps.
- [59] M. Baylin and D. Eimerl, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 1897 (1972).
- [60] H. Heintzmann, *Z. Phys.* **228**, 489 (1969).
- [61] M. P. Korkina, *Sov. Phys. J.* **24**, 468 (1981).
- [62] M. C. Durgapal, *J. Phys. A* **15**, 2637 (1982).
- [63] T. Singh, G. P. Singh, and A. M. Helmi, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **199**, 113 (1993).
- [64] P. G. Whitman and R. C. Burch, *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 2049 (1981).
- [65] A. Patiño and H. Rago, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **21**, 637 (1989).
- [66] M. K. Mak and P. C. W. Fung, *Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis., B* **110**, 897 (1995).
- [67] M. K. Mak, P. C. W. Fung, and T. Harko, *Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis., B* **111**, 1461 (1996).
- [68] H. A. Buchdahl, *Aust. J. Phys.* **9**, 13 (1956).
- [69] E. N. Glass and S. P. Goldman, *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 856 (1978).
- [70] K. Schwarzschild, *Sitzungsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.* **23**, 189 (1916).
- [71] P. C. Vaidya and R. Tikekar, *J. Astrophys. Astron.* **3**, 325 (1982).
- [72] M. C. Durgapal and R. Bannerji, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 328 (1983).
- [73] R. Tikekar, *J. Math. Phys.* **31**, 2454 (1990).
- [74] P. G. Whitman, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 868 (1977).
- [75] O. Klein, *Ark. Fys.* **7**, 487 (1954).
- [76] C. W. Misner and H. S. Zepolsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **12**, 635 (1964).
- [77] L. Herrera and J. Ponce de Leon, *J. Math. Phys.* **26**, 2302 (1985).
- [78] B. V. Ivanov, [gr-qc/0109010](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0109010).