# Thermodynamics and kinetic theory of relativistic gases in 2D cosmological models

G. M. Kremer\* and F. P. Devecchi<sup>†</sup>

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Caixa Postal 19044, 81531-990, Curitiba, Brazil

(Received 21 December 2001; published 8 April 2002)

A kinetic theory of relativistic gases in two-dimensional space-time is developed in order to obtain the equilibrium distribution function and the expressions for the fields of energy per particle, pressure, entropy per particle, and heat capacities in equilibrium. Furthermore, by using the method of Chapman and Enskog for a kinetic model of the Boltzmann equation the nonequilibrium energy-momentum tensor and the entropy production rate are determined for a universe described by a two-dimensional Robertson-Walker metric. The solutions of the gravitational field equations that consider the nonequilibrium energy-momentum tensor, associated with the coefficient of bulk viscosity, show that opposed to the four-dimensional case, the cosmic scale factor attains a maximum value at a finite time decreasing to a "big crunch" and that there exists a solution of the gravitational field equations corresponding to a "false vacuum." The evolution of the fields of pressure, energy density, and entropy production rate with the time is also discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.65.083515

PACS number(s): 98.80.-k, 47.75.+f

## I. INTRODUCTION

The combination of general relativity with the kinetic theory of gases is remarkably useful to construct cosmological models [1]. In these formulations the cosmic sources of gravitational interactions are represented by the energy-momentum tensor of a fluid; in addition we have the hypothesis of homogeneity and isotropy in the form of the well-known Robertson-Walker metric [2]. Although these theories have explained several important features of our universe, fundamental questions still remain to be answered [3].

Models in lower dimensions offer interesting results that, if properly analyzed, can be used to gain insight in the realistic formulations. Two-dimensional (2D) gravity models have been under intensive investigation during the last two decades [4-9]. The old problems of quantum gravity, black hole physics, and string dynamics were tested in these theories. In particular Teitelboim [4] and Jackiw [5] proposed a consistent model in two dimensions analogous to general relativity. As immediate results, among others [5,8], this model offers a consistent Newtonian limit, gravitational collapse solutions that are basically a 2D Schwarzschild analogue, and cosmological models based in a 2D Robertson-Walker metric.

For cosmological applications, a refinement in the construction of these models can be obtained by considering a nonequilibrium scenario, including a bulk viscosity term in the energy-momentum tensor (for a review on viscous cosmology up to 1990 one is referred to Gron [10]). In the four-dimensional case the inclusion of this term to analyze the evolution of the cosmic scale factor with the time was done by Murphy [11] who has found a solution that corresponds only to an expansion. Other models were based on the coupling of the Einstein field equations with the balance equations of extended thermodynamics [12] (also known as causal or second-order thermodynamic theory) and among others we cite the works of Belinskii *et al.* [13], Zimdahl [14], and Di Prisco *et al.* [15].

In this work we develop a kinetic theory of relativistic gases in a two-dimensional space-time. The balance laws for the particle flow, energy-momentum tensor, and entropy flow are obtained from the Boltzmann equation. We find also the equilibrium distribution function and the expressions for the fields of energy per particle, pressure, entropy per particle, enthalpy per particle, and heat capacities in equilibrium in a two-dimensional space. Moreover, by using the method of Chapman and Enskog for the kinetic model of the Boltzmann equation proposed by Anderson and Witting [16] we calculate the bulk viscosity and the entropy production rate. We apply the ideas of Murphy [11] to the 2D gravitational field equations and we show that opposed to the four-dimensional case the cosmic scale factor attains a maximum value at a finite time decreasing to a "big crunch" and that there exists a solution of the gravitational field equations corresponding to a "false vacuum." The difference between the solutions in the four- and two-dimensional cases is due to the fact that the relationship between the metric tensor and the sources in the 2D case is modified because the Einstein field equations give no dynamics for the 2D case.

The article is structured as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the two-dimensional Robertson-Walker metric. The kinetic theory of relativistic gases in 2D is developed in Sec. III. In Sec. IV we introduce the gravitational equations of motion in the 2D case and in Sec. V we search for the solutions of the gravitational field equations. Finally, in Sec. VI we discuss the solutions that came out from the gravitational field equations.

### **II. ROBERTSON-WALKER METRIC**

One fundamental feature of 2D cosmological models is that they show considerably less mathematical complexity and at the same time they preserve the physical principles that are used to construct their four-dimensional counterparts. One impressive result was that in 2D models the quantization of the gravitational field is consistent [5,6], opening

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: kremer@fisica.ufpr.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Electronic address: devecchi@fisica.ufpr.br

the possibility of quantum cosmological models for the very early universe. These results in the 2D theories are of relevance to include new ingredients in the "realistic" versions [8,9].

As it is well known, the so-called cosmological principle is based on the assumption that the universe is spatially homogeneous and isotropic. The metric that describes such kind of universe, known as the Robertson-Walker metric, has the following form in a two-dimensional Riemannian space characterized by the metric tensor  $g^{\mu\nu}$  with signature (+-) [8,9]

$$ds^{2} = (cdt)^{2} - \kappa(t)^{2} \frac{(dr)^{2}}{1 - \varepsilon r^{2}}.$$
 (1)

In the above equation  $\kappa(t)$ , the so-called cosmic scale factor, is an unknown function of the time and has dimension of length, while *r* is a dimensionless quantity. If we introduce a new variable  $x = \arcsin(\sqrt{\epsilon}r)/\sqrt{\epsilon}$  Eq. (1) reduces to

$$ds^{2} = (cdt)^{2} - \kappa(t)^{2} (dx)^{2}.$$
 (2)

The components and the determinant  $g = -\det((g_{\mu\nu}))$  of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  for the Robertson-Walker metric (1) with respect to the coordinates  $(x^{\mu}) = (ct, x)$  are

$$g_{00} = g^{00} = 1, \quad g_{11} = -\kappa^2 = \frac{1}{g^{11}}, \quad g = \kappa^2.$$
 (3)

The corresponding nonzero Christoffel symbols read

$$\Gamma_{11}^0 = \dot{\kappa} \kappa, \quad \Gamma_{01}^1 = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa}, \tag{4}$$

where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to the coordinate  $x^0 = ct$ . Once the Christoffel symbols are known the nonvanishing components of the Ricci tensor  $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\tau}_{\mu\tau\nu}$  and the curvature scalar  $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$  can be calculated and it follows

$$R_{00} = \frac{\ddot{\kappa}}{\kappa}, \quad R_{11} = -\ddot{\kappa}\kappa, \quad R = 2\frac{\ddot{\kappa}}{\kappa}.$$
(5)

## **III. KINETIC THEORY OF RELATIVISTIC GASES IN 2D**

### A. Boltzmann equation and fields in equilibrium

We consider a relativistic ideal gas with particles of rest mass *m* which is described in the phase space by the oneparticle distribution function  $f(x^{\mu}, p^{\mu})$ . The momentum  $(p^{\mu}) = (p^0, p)$  has a constraint of constant length  $g_{\mu\nu}p^{\mu}p^{\mu} = m^2c^2$  so that  $f(x^{\mu}, p^{\mu}) \equiv f(x, p, t)$ .

The evolution equation of the one-particle distribution function in the phase space is described by the Boltzmann equation, which in the presence of a gravitational field is given by [17,18]

$$p^{\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \Gamma^{1}_{\mu\nu} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = -\frac{U^{\mu} p_{\mu}}{c^{2} \tau} (f - f^{(0)}), \qquad (6)$$

where  $\Gamma^{1}_{\mu\nu}$  is the affine connection. In the right-hand side of the above equation we have replaced the collision term of the Boltzmann equation by the model equation proposed by Anderson and Witting [16], which refers to a relativistic gas in the Landau and Lifshitz description [19]. Further,  $\tau$  is a characteristic time of order of the time between collisions,  $f^{(0)}$  is the equilibrium distribution function, and  $U^{\mu}$  is the two-velocity such that  $U^{\mu}U_{\mu} = c^2$ .

The first moments of the one-particle distribution function are the particle flow  $N^{\mu}$  and the energy-momentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$  which are defined by

$$N^{\mu} = c \int p^{\mu} f \sqrt{g} \, \frac{dp}{p_0}, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = c \int p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f \sqrt{g} \, \frac{dp}{p_0}.$$
 (7)

Furthermore, the entropy flow  $S^{\mu}$  is defined by

$$S^{\mu} = -kc \int p^{\mu} f \ln f \sqrt{g} \frac{dp}{p_0}, \qquad (8)$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant.

The balance equations for the particle flow  $N^{\mu}$ , energymomentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , and entropy flux  $S^{\mu}$  can be obtained from the Boltzmann equation (6) and read

$$N^{\mu}_{;\mu} = 0, \quad T^{\mu\nu}_{;\mu} = 0, \quad S^{\mu}_{;\mu} = \varsigma \ge 0.$$
 (9)

Above,  $\varsigma$  denotes the entropy production rate defined through

$$\varsigma = \frac{kU^{\mu}}{c\tau} \int p_{\mu} f^{(0)} \left( \frac{f}{f^{(0)}} - 1 \right) \ln \frac{f}{f^{(0)}} \sqrt{g} \, \frac{dp}{p_0}.$$
 (10)

In the Landau and Lifshitz description [19] the particle flow and the energy-momentum tensor are decomposed according to

$$N^{\mu} = n U^{\mu} + \mathcal{J}^{\mu}, \tag{11}$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = p^{\langle \mu\nu\rangle} - (P + \varpi)\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{en}{c^2}U^{\mu}U^{\nu}, \qquad (12)$$

where *n* is the particle number density,  $\mathcal{J}^{\mu}$  the nonequilibrium part of the particle flow,  $p^{\langle \mu\nu\rangle}$  the pressure deviator, i.e., the traceless part of the pressure tensor, *P* the hydrostatic pressure,  $\varpi$  the dynamic pressure, i.e., the nonequilibrium part of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, *e* the internal energy per particle, and  $\Delta^{\mu\nu}$  the projector defined by

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{c^2} U^{\mu} U^{\nu}, \quad \text{such that} \quad \Delta^{\mu\nu} U_{\nu} = 0,$$
$$\Delta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\sigma}_{\nu} = \Delta^{\mu\sigma}, \Delta_{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\mu\nu} = 1. \tag{13}$$

The nonequilibrium part of the particle flow  $\mathcal{J}^{\mu}$  and the pressure deviator  $p^{\langle \mu\nu\rangle}$  are perpendicular to  $U^{\mu}$ .

One can also decompose the entropy flow as

$$S^{\mu} = nsU^{\mu} + \phi^{\mu}, \qquad (14)$$

where s denotes the entropy per particle and  $\phi^{\mu}$  the entropy flux which is perpendicular to  $U^{\mu}$ .

The equilibrium distribution function in a twodimensional space, which is the so-called Maxwell-Jüttner distribution function, can be written as

$$f^{(0)} = \frac{n}{2mcK_1(\zeta)} e^{-(1/kT)U^{\mu}p_{\mu}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta = \frac{mc^2}{kT},$$
(15)

where *T* denotes the absolute temperature. The parameter  $\zeta$  represents the ratio between the rest energy of a particle and the thermal energy of the gas. Moreover,  $K_n(\zeta)$  denotes the modified Bessel function of second kind (see, for example, [20])

$$K_n(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)^n \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_1^\infty e^{-\zeta y} (y^2 - 1)^{n-(1/2)} \, dy.$$
(16)

Once the equilibrium distribution function is known, one can obtain the following expressions for the fields of energy per particle, pressure, and entropy per particle in equilibrium:

$$e = mc^{2} \left[ \frac{K_{2}(\zeta)}{K_{1}(\zeta)} - \frac{1}{\zeta} \right], \quad P = nkT,$$

$$s_{E} = k \left\{ \frac{e}{kT} - \ln \left[ \frac{n}{2mcK_{1}(\zeta)} \right] \right\}. \quad (17)$$

The thermodynamic quantities enthalpy per particle h and heat capacities per particle at constant volume  $c_v$  and at constant pressure  $c_p$  follow from their definitions by using Eq. (17), yielding

$$h = e + \frac{P}{n} = mc^2 \frac{K_2(\zeta)}{K_1(\zeta)}, \quad c_v = \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial T}\right)_v$$
$$= k \left[\zeta^2 + 3\zeta \frac{K_2(\zeta)}{K_1(\zeta)} - \zeta^2 \left(\frac{K_2(\zeta)}{K_1(\zeta)}\right)^2 - 1\right], \quad (18)$$

$$c_{p} = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial T}\right)_{p} = k \left[\zeta^{2} + 3\zeta \frac{K_{2}(\zeta)}{K_{1}(\zeta)} - \zeta^{2} \left(\frac{K_{2}(\zeta)}{K_{1}(\zeta)}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= c_{v} + k.$$
(19)

The above thermodynamic fields in the nonrelativistic limiting case where  $\zeta \ge 1$ , i.e., for low temperatures, read

$$e \approx mc^{2} + \frac{kT}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{4\zeta} + \dots \right],$$
$$s_{E} \approx k \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \ln\sqrt{2\pi mk} + \ln\sqrt{\frac{T}{n}} + \frac{1}{\zeta} + \dots \right], \quad (20)$$

$$h \approx mc^{2} + \frac{3kT}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{4\zeta} + \dots \right],$$
$$c_{v} \approx \frac{k}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{2\zeta} - \frac{9}{4\zeta^{2}} + \dots \right].$$
(21)

In the ultrarelativistic limiting case where  $\zeta \ll 1$ , i.e., for high temperatures and/or for very small rest mass, we have that the leading term of each thermodynamic field is given by

$$e \approx kT = \frac{P}{n}, \quad s_E \approx k \left[ 1 + \ln \frac{2kT}{nc} \right],$$
  
 $h \approx 2kT, \quad c_v \approx 3k.$  (22)

#### B. Dynamic pressure in a homogeneous and isotropic universe

We shall determine in this section the dynamic pressure and the entropy production rate in a spatially homogeneous and isotropic universe. In the four-dimensional case these topics were discussed by Weinberg [21] within the framework of a phenomenological theory and by Bernstein [1] within the framework of a kinetic theory of gases. Without loss of generality, we shall use here the Anderson and Witting model of the Boltzmann equation (6) in order to simplify the calculations.

We begin by neglecting the space gradients, since we are dealing with a spatially homogeneous and isotropic universe, and by considering a comoving frame where  $(U^{\mu}) = (c,0)$  in a two-dimensional space. Hence it follows that the Boltzmann equation (6) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^0} - 2\frac{\kappa}{\kappa}p\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{c\tau}(f - f^{(0)}). \tag{23}$$

We use now the Chapman and Enskog method (see, for example, [17]) and search for a solution of the Boltzmann equation (23) of the form

$$f = f^{(0)}(1 + \phi), \tag{24}$$

where  $f^{(0)}$  is the Maxwell-Jüttner distribution function (15) and  $f^{(0)}\phi$  is the deviation from equilibrium of the oneparticle distribution function. We insert the above representation into the Boltzmann equation (23) and by taking into account only the derivatives of the Maxwell-Jüttner distribution function we get that the deviation  $\phi$  is given by

$$\phi = -c \tau \left( \frac{\partial \ln f^{(0)}}{\partial x^0} - 2 \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa} p \frac{\partial \ln f^{(0)}}{\partial p} \right)$$
$$= -c \tau \left[ \frac{\dot{n}}{n} + \left( 1 - \zeta \frac{K_2}{K_1} \right) \frac{\dot{T}}{T} + \frac{c}{kT} p_0 \frac{\dot{T}}{T} + \frac{c}{kT} \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa} \frac{p^2}{p_0} \right]. \tag{25}$$

For the elimination of n and T from Eq. (25) we use the balance equations of the particle flow and energy-momentum tensor of a nonviscous and nonheat-conducting relativistic gas whose constitutive equations read:

$$N^{\mu} = nU^{\mu}, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = (ne+P)\frac{U^{\mu}U^{\nu}}{c^{2}} - Pg^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (26)

Insertion of the above representations into the balance equations  $N^{\mu}_{;\mu}=0$  and  $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu}=0$  leads to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}(\sqrt{g}nU^{\mu})=0, \qquad (27)$$

$$-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x^{\nu}}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\left[\sqrt{g}(ne+P)\frac{U^{\mu}U^{\nu}}{c^{2}}\right] + (ne+P)\Gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda\nu}\frac{U^{\lambda}U^{\nu}}{c^{2}} = 0.$$
(28)

In a comoving frame Eq. (27) becomes

$$\frac{\dot{n}}{n} = -\frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa},\tag{29}$$

while the spatial components of Eq. (28) are identically satisfied due to the constraint that all quantities n, P, e, and  $\kappa$ are only functions of the time coordinate. The temporal component of Eq. (28) can be written as

$$\frac{\dot{T}}{T} = -\frac{k}{c_v} \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa},\tag{30}$$

where the heat capacity per particle at constant volume  $c_v$  is given by Eq. (18). Equations (29) and (30) are used to eliminate  $\dot{n}$  and  $\dot{T}$  from Eq. (25), yielding

$$\phi = c \tau \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \zeta \frac{K_2}{K_1} \right) \frac{k}{c_v} + \frac{c}{c_v T} p_0 - \frac{c}{kT} \frac{p^2}{p_0} \right] \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa}.$$
 (31)

Once the nonequilibrium distribution function (24) is known it is possible to calculate the projection of the energymomentum tensor in a comoving frame which corresponds to the sum of the hydrostatic pressure with the dynamic pressure, i.e.,

$$P + \boldsymbol{\varpi} = -\Delta_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$$
$$= -\left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{U_{\mu}U_{\nu}}{c^2}\right) c \int p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f \sqrt{g} \frac{dp}{p_0}$$
$$= c \kappa^3 \int p^2 f \frac{dp}{p_0}.$$
(32)

We insert Eq. (24) together with Eq. (31) into (32) and integrate the resulting equation, yielding

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi} = -\eta c \, \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa},$$

where



FIG. 1. Volume viscosity  $\eta \sigma / \sqrt{kTm}$  vs  $\zeta$ .

$$\eta = -P\tau \left\{ \zeta^2 \left[ \frac{K_2}{\zeta K_1} - 1 + \frac{\text{Ki}_1}{K_1} \right] - 1 - \frac{1}{c_v} \right\}.$$
(33)

In the above equation  $Ki_1$  denotes the integral for the modified Bessel functions (see Abramowitz and Stegun [20], p. 483):

$$\operatorname{Ki}_{n}(\zeta) = \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ki}_{n-1}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\zeta \cosh t}}{\cosh^{n} t} dt.$$
(34)

Hence we have identified the coefficient of proportionality between  $\varpi$  and  $c \kappa/\kappa$  as the bulk viscosity  $\eta$ . If we compare Eq. (33) with the constitutive equation for the dynamic pressure, given in terms of the divergence of the two-velocity, i.e.,  $\varpi = -\eta U^{\mu}_{;\mu}$ , we infer that here  $c \kappa/\kappa$  plays the same role as  $U^{\mu}_{;\mu}$ . Furthermore, due to the fact that the bulk viscosity is a positive quantity the dynamic pressure decreases when the universe is expanding ( $\kappa > 0$ ) while it increases when the universe is contracting ( $\kappa < 0$ ).

As in the four-dimensional case the coefficient of bulk viscosity vanishes in the nonrelativistic and ultra-relativistic limiting cases. This can be seen from Fig. 1 where the coefficient of bulk viscosity  $\eta \sigma / \sqrt{kTm}$  is plotted versus the parameter  $\zeta = mc^2/(kT)$ . Here we have chosen the following expression for the characteristic time [22]

$$\tau = \frac{1}{n \sigma v_s}, \quad \text{with} \quad v_s = \sqrt{\frac{c^2 c_p k T}{c_v h}}, \tag{35}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a differential cross section and  $v_s$  the adiabatic sound speed.

If we consider that the distribution function is given by Eq. (25), i.e.,  $f = f^{(0)}(1 + \phi)$ , we can use the approximation  $\ln(1+\phi) \approx \phi$  valid for  $|\phi| \leqslant 1$  in order to write the entropy production rate (10) in a comoving frame as

$$\varsigma = \frac{k}{\tau} \int f^{(0)} \phi^2 \sqrt{g} dp.$$
(36)

We insert now Eq. (24) together with Eq. (31) into (36) and get by integrating the resulting equation

$$\varsigma = \frac{\eta c^2}{T} \left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa}\right)^2. \tag{37}$$

Hence the entropy production rate is connected with the bulk viscosity. Weinberg [21] has derived a similar formula in a four-dimensional space by using a phenomenological theory and has also shown that the bulk viscosity alone could not explain the high entropy of the present microwave background radiation. For more details one is referred to Weinberg [21,2].

#### **IV. GRAVITATIONAL EQUATIONS OF MOTION**

Given the sources of our universe it is possible to obtain the equations of motion for the gravitational field in the whole space-time. The starting point is of course, the Einstein theory of gravitation.

As far as we are working in 2D some fundamental problems appear. In fact, the main point is that the Einstein action in 2D furnishes no dynamics. In other words the usual lefthand side of Einstein equations of motion that follows by using the Hamilton variational principle

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R \equiv 0, \qquad (38)$$

are in fact an *identity* in 2D. This is related to the gauge invariances of gravitation in 2D: space-time diffeomorphisms and (local) conformal transformations. With this in mind Teitelboim [4] and Jackiw [5] proposed as 2D action<sup>1</sup>

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \{ N(x) [R(x) + 8\pi T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x)] \}, \qquad (39)$$

where  $T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x)$  is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. Above we have not taken into account the term that refers to the cosmological constant. Using the variational principle for the auxiliary field N(x) the equation of motion that follows is

$$R(x) = -8\,\pi T^{\mu}_{\ \mu}(x), \tag{40}$$

together with the conservation law  $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu}=0$ . Equation (40) relates the curvature scalar with the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

### V. SOLUTION OF GRAVITATIONAL FIELD EQUATIONS

The gravitational field equations are obtained from Eq. (40) together with  $T^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\nu}=0$  and by taking into account the constitutive equation for the energy-momentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon U^{\mu}U^{\nu} - (P + \varpi)\Delta^{\mu\nu}$  where  $\epsilon = ne$  is the energy density. Hence it follows that

$$\ddot{\kappa} = -4\pi(\epsilon - P - \varpi)\kappa, \quad \dot{\kappa}(\epsilon + P + \varpi) + \kappa\dot{\epsilon} = 0.$$
(41)

Since  $\varpi = -\eta \dot{\kappa}/\kappa$ , the above system is closed if we can relate the pressure *P* and the coefficient of bulk viscosity  $\eta$  to the energy density  $\epsilon$ . Here we follow Murphy [11] and

assume a barotropic equation of state for the pressure and a linear relationship between the coefficient of bulk viscosity and the energy density, so that

$$P = (\gamma - 1)\epsilon, \quad \eta = \alpha\epsilon. \tag{42}$$

Above  $\alpha$  is a constant and  $\gamma$  may range from  $0 \le \gamma \le 2$ . The value  $\gamma = 1$  refers to a pure matter (dust),  $\gamma = 2$  to a pure radiation, and  $\gamma < 1$  to a "false vacuum."

If we introduce the Hubble parameter  $H = \dot{\kappa} / \kappa$  the field equations (41) can be written thanks to Eq. (42) as

$$\dot{H} + H^2 = 4\pi\epsilon(\gamma - 2 - \alpha H), \quad H(\gamma - \alpha H)\epsilon + \dot{\epsilon} = 0.$$
(43)

The elimination of the energy density of the two above equations leads to an equation for the Hubble parameter that reads

$$(\ddot{H}+2H\dot{H})(\gamma-2-\alpha H)+\alpha\dot{H}(\dot{H}+H^{2})$$
$$+H(\gamma-2-\alpha H)(\gamma-\alpha H)(\dot{H}+H^{2})=0.$$
(44)

Let us search for a solution of Eq. (44) by considering  $H = H_* = \text{constant}$ . In this case we get that Eq. (44) reduces to  $(\gamma - 2 - \alpha H_*)(\gamma - \alpha H_*)H_*^3 = 0$  and apart from the trivial solution  $H_* = 0$  we have two other solutions, namely: (i)  $\alpha = \gamma/H_*$  and (ii)  $\alpha = (\gamma - 2)/H_*$ . Only the first solution is physically possible since  $0 \le \gamma \le 2$ .

We proceed to analyze the two energy conditions [23], namely the weak energy condition which dictates that the energy density is a semipositive quantity, i.e.,  $\epsilon \ge 0$  and the strong energy condition which imposes that the inequality  $\epsilon + P + \varpi \ge 0$  must hold implying that  $H/H_* \le 1$ .

We are now ready to determine the solutions of the gravitational field equations. To that end we write the system of Eqs. (41) and (43) as

$$(\gamma - 1)\frac{\ddot{\kappa}}{\kappa} = 4\pi P \left(\gamma - 2 - \gamma \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa}\right),$$
$$\gamma \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa}\right) + \frac{\dot{P}}{P} = 0, \tag{45}$$

$$(\gamma - 1)(\dot{H} + H^2) = 4 \pi P(\gamma - 2 - \gamma H),$$
  
 $H \gamma (1 - H)P + \dot{P} = 0,$  (46)

respectively, where the cosmic scale factor, the Hubble parameter, the pressure, and the time are now taken as dimensionless quantities with respect to  $H_*$ . From the first system of equations (45) one may determine the cosmic scale factor  $\kappa(t)$  and the pressure P(t) while from the second one (46) the Hubble parameter H(t) can be obtained. We have chosen two values for  $\gamma$ , one of them  $\gamma=0.5$  corresponds to a negative pressure ("false vacuum") while the other  $\gamma=1.5$  implies a positive pressure. For the solutions of the two systems of Eqs. (45) and (46) the following initial conditions were taken into account:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>From this section on, units have been chosen so that G = c = k= 1.



FIG. 2. Cosmic scale factor  $\kappa(t)$  vs time t.

for 
$$\gamma = 0.5$$
, then  $H(0) = 0.5, \kappa(0) = 1$ ,  
 $P(0) = -1$ ,

for 
$$\gamma = 1.5$$
, then  $H(0) = 0.5, \kappa(0) = 1$ ,  
 $P(0) = 1.$  (47)

In Figs. 2-5 it is shown the evolution with respect to the time of the cosmic scale factor, the Hubble parameter, the pressure, and the energy density which follow from the systems of Eqs. (45) and (46) by taking into account the initial conditions (47) and the barotropic equation of state.

Another important quantity which can be plotted versus the time is the entropy production rate. For the cases where  $\gamma > 1$  the dimensionless expression for entropy production rate (37) can be written as

$$\varsigma = \frac{\gamma H^2}{(\gamma - 1)\kappa}.$$
(48)

In order to obtain the above equation we have used the equation of state P = nT and the solution of the continuity equa-



FIG. 3. Hubble parameter H(t) vs time t.



FIG. 4. Pressure P(t) vs time t.

tion (29) which reads  $n \propto 1/\kappa$ . In Fig. 6 we show the evolution of the entropy production rate with respect to the time.

### VI. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

We proceed to discuss the results obtained in the last section. First we call attention to the fact that the period where this theory can be applied is the one where there exists an interaction between radiation and matter. The reason is that in the period of pure radiation the dynamic pressure vanishes while in the period of pure matter (dust) there is no interaction at all. Furthermore, the solutions are not valid for all values of the time, since in some periods the radiation decouples from matter and we have no more the interaction between the radiation and matter which implies a vanishing dynamic pressure.

Plotted in Fig. 2 is the cosmic scale factor as a function of the time. We have chosen that at the beginning of this period (t=0 by adjusting clocks) the cosmic scale factor is different from zero. We infer from this figure that the cosmic scale factor has a maximum at  $t\approx 0.0243$  for  $\gamma=1.5$  and at  $t\approx 0.0123$  for  $\gamma=0.5$ . From these points on the cosmic scale factor decreases and tends to zero, i.e., it goes to a "big crunch." It is noteworthy that the corresponding solution in



FIG. 5. Energy density  $\epsilon(t)$  vs time t.



FIG. 6. Entropy production rate s(t) vs time t.

the four-dimensional case [11] neither has this behavior for the cosmic scale factor nor admits a "false vacuum" solution. As was previously pointed out the difference between the solutions in the four- and two-dimensional cases is due to the fact that the relationship between the metric tensor and the sources in the 2D case is modified because the Einstein

- J. Bernstein, *Kinetic Theory in the Expanding Universe* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1988).
- [2] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology. Principles and Applications of the Theory of Relativity (Wiley, New York, 1972).
- [3] D.E. Groom et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 15, 1 (2000).
- [4] C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. **126B**, 41 (1983); C. Teitelboim, in *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, edited by S. Christensen (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984), p. 327.
- [5] R. Jackiw, in *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, edited by S. Christensen (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984), p. 403; R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. **B252**, 343 (1985); J. D. Brown, *Lower Dimensional Gravity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [6] A.M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 2, 893 (1987).
- [7] C.G. Callan, S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1005 (1992).
- [8] R.B. Mann and S.F. Ross, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3312 (1993).
- [9] K.C.K. Chan and R.B. Mann, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 913 (1993).
- [10] O. Gron, Astrophys. Space Sci. 173, 191 (1990).
- [11] G.L. Murphy, Phys. Rev. D 8, 4231 (1973).
- [12] I. Müller and T. Ruggeri, Rational Extended Thermodynamics

field equations give no dynamics for the 2D case.

The Hubble parameter as a function of the time is shown in Fig. 3. For both values of  $\gamma$  the Hubble parameter decreases, attains a zero value for a time which corresponds to the maximum of the cosmic scale factor, and assumes negatives values.

In Figs. 4 and 5 the evolution of the pressure and of the energy density are represented as functions of the time, respectively. Both functions decrease with the time and attain their minimum values at the times where the cosmic scale factor has its maximum value. Since in this theory there exists no mechanism that could increase the pressure and the energy density, we infer that the solutions for  $t \ge 0.0243$ when  $\gamma = 1.5$  and for  $t \ge 0.0123$  when  $\gamma = 0.5$  are not physically possible. The same conclusion can be drawn out from Fig. 6 where the evolution of the entropy production rate is plotted as a function of the time. From this figure we note that the entropy production rate decreases with the time and attains its minimum (s=0) when the cosmic scale factor reaches its maximum value. At this point the entropy per particle s attains its maximum value since  $nDs = s \ge 0$ . There exists no mechanism in this theory that could increase the entropy density rate from its minimum value with a corresponding decrease of the entropy per particle from its maximum value.

(Springer, New York, 1998).

- [13] V.A. Belinskii, E.S. Nikomarov, and I.M. Khalatnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 50, 213 (1979).
- [14] W. Zimdahl, Phys. Rev. D 61, 083511 (2000).
- [15] A. Di Prisco, L. Herrera, and J. Ibáñez, Phys. Rev. D 63, 023501 (2001).
- [16] J.L. Anderson and H.R. Witting, Physica (Amsterdam) 74, 466 (1974).
- [17] C. Cercignani and G. M. Kremer, *The Relativistic Boltzmann Equation: Theory and Applications* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002).
- [18] N.A. Chernikov, Acta Phys. Pol. 23, 629 (1963).
- [19] L. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1987).
- [20] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Dover, New York, 1968).
- [21] S. Weinberg, Astrophys. J. 168, 175 (1971).
- [22] C. Cercignani and G.M. Kremer, Physica A 290, 192 (2001).
- [23] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973).