

# Conformal black hole solutions of axidilaton gravity in $D$ dimensions

H. Cebeci\* and T. Dereli†

*Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey*

(Received 26 July 2001; published 15 January 2002)

Static, spherically symmetric solutions of axidilaton gravity in  $D$  dimensions are given in the Brans-Dicke frame for arbitrary values of the Brans-Dicke constant  $\omega$  and an axion-dilaton coupling parameter  $k$ . The mass and the dilaton and axion charges are determined and a BPS bound is derived. There exists a one-parameter family of black hole solutions in the scale-invariant limit.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.65.047501

PACS number(s): 04.50.+h, 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Bw

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is an exciting conjecture that all superstring models belong to a hypothetical eleven-dimensional M theory that would accommodate their apparent dualities. M theory as a classical theory may be considered in a low-energy limit where only the low-lying massless excitation modes contribute to an effective field theory. As such, it would be the same as simple eleven-dimensional supergravity theory. A subsequent Kaluza-Klein reduction would bring it to a ten-dimensional theory related with the type-IIA string model whose gravitational sector consists of the space-time metric tensor  $g$ , dilaton scalar  $\phi$ , and the axion potential  $(p+1)$  form  $A$  that would minimally couple to  $p$  branes. We call such an effective gravitational field theory an axidilaton gravity in  $D$  dimensions and consider in the following its static, spherically symmetric solutions for  $p=D-4$ .

The study of black-hole solutions of higher-dimensional gravity theories started in 1963 with the generalization of Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström solutions to  $D>4$  dimensions by Tangherlini [1]. These solutions were later put in a wider context by Myers and Perry [2], while Gibbons and Maeda [3] emphasized the relevance of dilaton scalars for the interpretation of such solutions. They provided a wide range of static, spherically symmetric solutions of the coupled Einstein-antisymmetric tensor-massless scalar field equations (see also [4,5]). On the other hand, it is a well known fact that the scalar-tensor Brans-Dicke theory [6] may be rewritten in terms of a conformally rescaled metric as the coupled Einstein-massless scalar field theory [7–9]. For a particular value of the Brans-Dicke coupling parameter, namely for  $\omega = -\frac{3}{2}$  in four dimensions, the theory becomes locally scale invariant and called the Einstein-conformal scalar field theory. We showed in a previous work that the conformal rescaling properties of the Brans-Dicke theory can be conveniently exhibited using the non-Riemannian reformulation involving space-time torsion expressed in terms of the gradient of the scalar field [10]. Brans-Dicke theory has also been generalized to  $D$  dimensions [11] and the black-hole

solutions of the Brans-Dicke-Maxwell field equations were given [12,13].

In a remarkable paper, Bekenstein [14] found two classes of static, spherically symmetric solutions of the Einstein-conformal scalar field equations, and he argued [15] that one particular class describes black-hole solutions with scalar hair. His arguments were later repeated in  $D>4$  dimensions [16]. It is essential here to note that such a subclass of conformal black-hole solutions cannot be reached by the assumptions of Ref. [3]. In this paper, we consider axidilaton gravity in  $D$  dimensions ( $p=D-4$ ) in the Brans-Dicke frame and give its static, spherically symmetric solutions for arbitrary values of two coupling parameters  $\omega$  and  $k$ . A one-parameter family of conformal black-hole solutions is obtained for  $\omega = (D-1)/(D-2)$  and  $k = -(D-4)/(D-2)$ .

## II. AXIDILATON GRAVITY IN $D$ DIMENSIONS

The dynamics of the axidilaton gravity will be determined by a variational principle from the action  $I[e, \omega, \phi, A] = \int \mathcal{L}$ , where the Lagrangian density  $D$  form is taken in the Brans-Dicke frame as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\phi}{2} R_{ab} \wedge * (e^b \wedge e^a) - \frac{\omega}{2\phi} d\phi \wedge * d\phi - \frac{\phi^k}{2} H \wedge * H. \quad (2.1)$$

Here the basic gravitational field variables are the coframe 1-forms  $e^a$ , in terms of which the space-time metric  $g = \eta_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b$ , where  $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-+++ \dots)$ . The Hodge  $*$  map is defined so that the oriented volume form  $*1 = e^0 \wedge e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^n$ . The metric compatible torsion-free connection 1-forms  $\omega_b^a$  are obtained from the Cartan structure equations

$$de^a + \omega_b^a \wedge e^b = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and the corresponding curvature 2-forms

$$R_b^a = d\omega_b^a + \omega_c^a \wedge \omega_b^c. \quad (2.3)$$

$\phi$  is the dilaton 0-form and  $H$  is a  $(p+2)$ -form field that is derived from the  $(p+1)$ -form axion potential  $A$  such that  $H = dA$ .  $\omega$  and  $k$  are real parameters.

The field equations obtained from this action are

\*Present address: Department of Physics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom.

†Present address: Department of Physics, Koc University, Istanbul, Turkey.

$$-\frac{\phi}{2}R^{bc}\wedge^*(e_a\wedge e_b\wedge e_c)=\frac{\omega}{\phi}\tau_a[\phi]+\phi^k\tau_a[H]+D(\iota_a*d\phi), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\tilde{k}d*d\phi=\frac{\alpha}{2}\phi^kH\wedge^*H, \quad (2.5)$$

$$d(\phi^k*H)=0, \quad dH=0, \quad (2.6)$$

where the dilaton and axion stress-energy ( $D-1$ ) forms are given by

$$\tau_a[\phi]=\frac{1}{2}(\iota_a d\phi\wedge*d\phi+d\phi\wedge\iota_a*d\phi), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\tau_a[H]=\frac{1}{2}[\iota_a H\wedge^*H+(-)^{p-1}H\wedge\iota_a^*H], \quad (2.8)$$

respectively. We set  $\alpha=k+\{[2p-(n-3)]/(n-1)\}$  and  $\tilde{k}=\omega+[n/(n-1)]$ .

The same action may be rewritten in terms of the ( $D-p-2$ )-form field

$$G\equiv\phi^k*H \quad (2.9)$$

that is dual to the axion ( $p+2$ )-form field  $H$ . We have, in terms of  $G$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}=\frac{\phi}{2}R_{ab}\wedge^*(e^a\wedge e^b)-\frac{\omega}{2\phi}d\phi\wedge*d\phi+\frac{\phi^{-k}}{2}G\wedge^*G. \quad (2.10)$$

Hence given any solution  $\{g,\phi,H\}$  of the field equations derived from Eq. (2.1), we may write down a dual solution  $\{g,\phi,G\}$  to the field equations derived from Eq. (2.10). This notion of duality generalizes the usual electric-magnetic duality in  $D=4$  source-free electromagnetism.

Finally, we wish to point out that the passage to the Einstein frame is achieved by the following conformal rescaling of the field variables:

$$\tilde{g}=\phi^{2(n-1)}g, \quad \tilde{\phi}=\tilde{k}^{1/2}\ln\phi, \quad \tilde{H}=H. \quad (2.11)$$

The resulting Lagrangian density  $D$  form will be

$$\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}_{ab}\wedge^*(\tilde{e}^a\wedge\tilde{e}^b)-\frac{1}{2}d\tilde{\phi}\wedge^*d\tilde{\phi}-\frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{k}^{1/2}}\tilde{\phi}\right)\tilde{H}\wedge^*\tilde{H}. \quad (2.12)$$

Given the above information, it is not difficult to compare solutions obtained in the Brans-Dicke frame with those given in the Einstein frame.

### III. STATIC, SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS

We will be giving below the most general static, spherically symmetric  $p=(D-4)$  brane solution to the field equations (2.4)–(2.6). This family of solutions generalizes the usual magnetically charged Reissner-Nordström black-hole solution in  $D=4$  to higher dimensions in a natural way. To this end, we start with the ansatz

$$g=-f^2(r)dt\otimes dt+h^2(r)dr\otimes dr+R^2(r)d\Omega_{n-1} \quad (3.1)$$

for the metric tensor ( $D=n+1$ ),  $\phi=\phi(r)$  for the dilaton 0-form, and  $H=g(r)e^1\wedge e^2\wedge e^3\cdots\wedge e^{n-1}$  for the axion field ( $D-2$ ) form. We set  $e^0=f(r)dt$  and  $e^n=h(r)dr$ . Then the Einstein field equations reduce to the following set of ordinary coupled differential equations (a prime denotes a partial derivative with respect to  $r$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left[\frac{(n-2)(n-1)h}{2R^2}\left[1-\left(\frac{R'}{h}\right)^2\right]-\frac{(n-1)}{R}\left(\frac{R'}{h}\right)'\right] \\ =\frac{\omega}{2\phi}\left(\frac{\phi'^2}{h}\right)+\frac{\phi^k}{2}g^2h+\left(\frac{\phi'}{h}\right)'+(n-1)\frac{\phi'R'}{hR}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left\{\frac{(n-2)f'R'}{hR}+\left(\frac{f'}{h}\right)'+\frac{(n-2)f}{R}\left(\frac{R'}{h}\right)'\right. \\ \left.-\frac{(n-3)(n-2)fh}{2R^2}\left[1-\left(\frac{R'}{h}\right)^2\right]\right\} \\ =-\frac{\omega f}{2\phi h}\phi'^2+\frac{\phi^k g^2 fh}{2}-\left(\frac{\phi'f}{h}\right)' \\ -(n-2)\frac{\phi'f}{hR}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left[\frac{(n-1)(n-2)f}{2R^2}\left[1-\left(\frac{R'}{h}\right)^2\right]-(n-1)\frac{f'R'}{h^2R}\right] \\ =-\frac{\omega f\phi'^2}{2\phi h^2}+\frac{g^2 f\phi^k}{2}+\frac{f'f}{h^2} \\ +(n-1)\frac{fR'\phi'}{h^2R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

while the dilaton field equation becomes

$$\tilde{k}\left(\phi'\frac{f}{h}R^{n-1}\right)'=\frac{\alpha}{2}\phi^k g^2 fhR^{n-1} \quad (3.5)$$

and the axion field equation reads

$$(gR^{n-1})'=0. \quad (3.6)$$

Solutions to the above field equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R(r) &= r\left[1-\left(\frac{C_1}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right]^{\alpha_3}, \\ f(r) &= \left[1-\left(\frac{C_2}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right]^{\alpha_4}\left[1-\left(\frac{C_1}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right]^{\alpha_5}, \\ h(r) &= \left[1-\left(\frac{C_2}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right]^{\alpha_2}\left[1-\left(\frac{C_1}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right]^{\alpha_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\phi=\left[1-\left(\frac{C_1}{r}\right)^{n-2}\right]^{2\gamma/\alpha},$$

$$g(r)=\frac{Q}{R^{n-1}},$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two independent integration constants and the third integration constant

$$Q^2 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4k} \left( \frac{n-1}{n-2} \right)} (C_1 C_2)^{n-2}.$$

The exponents are

$$\alpha_1 = \gamma \left( \frac{1}{(n-2)} - \frac{2}{(n-1)\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$\alpha_3 = \gamma \left( \frac{1}{(n-2)} - \frac{2}{(n-1)\alpha} \right), \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\alpha_5 = -\gamma \left( 1 + \frac{2}{(n-1)\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{2},$$

with

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{4\tilde{k}}{\alpha^2} \left( \frac{n-2}{n-1} \right)}.$$

Some special cases deserve attention.

(i) For  $Q=0$  and  $\phi=\text{const}$ , we obtain the Tangherlini solution [1], which is a generalization of the Schwarzschild solution in  $D=n+1$  dimensions,

$$g = - \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r^{n-2}} \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r^{n-2}} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{n-1}. \quad (3.8)$$

(ii) For  $k=0$  and  $\phi=\text{const}$ , we obtain the ( $D=n+1$ )-dimensional generalization of the Reissner-Nordström metric

$$g = - \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{(n-1)(n-2)r^{2(n-2)}} - \frac{2M}{r^{n-2}} \right) dt^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{Q^2}{(n-1)(n-2)r^{2(n-2)}} - \frac{2M}{r^{n-2}} \right)^{-1} \times dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_{n-1}. \quad (3.9)$$

The electric dual of this solution was also given by Tangherlini.

(iii) For  $Q=0$ , we obtain solutions that generalize the Janis-Newman-Winicour solutions of the Einstein-massless scalar field equations to  $D$  dimensions [4]:

$$R(r) = rh(r),$$

$$f(r) = \left( \frac{r^{n-2} - r_0^{n-2}}{r^{n-2} + r_0^{n-2}} \right)^{\beta_1 - \beta_2},$$

$$h(r) = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{2(n-2)} \right]^{1/(n-2)} \left( \frac{r^{n-2} - r_0^{n-2}}{r^{n-2} + r_0^{n-2}} \right)^{-\beta_1/(n-2) - \beta_2},$$

$$\phi(r) = \left( \frac{r^{n-2} - r_0^{n-2}}{r^{n-2} + r_0^{n-2}} \right)^{\beta_2}, \quad (3.10)$$

where in order to ease comparison, we use the parametrization

$$\beta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{4(n-1)}{(n-2)\tilde{k}} (4 - \beta_1^2)}$$

and  $\beta_1$  satisfies  $4(n-2)r_0^{n-2}\beta_1 = C$ , where  $r_0$  and  $C$  are integration constants.

A consideration of the asymptotic behavior of the fields in the Brans-Dicke frame will allow us to determine a relationship satisfied by the mass, dilaton charge, and magnetic charge  $Q$ . The mass of the black hole is defined to be

$$2M \equiv \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} (1 - f^2) = (C_2)^{n-2} + (\tilde{\gamma} - 2\gamma)(C_1)^{n-2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma} = 1 - [4\gamma/(n-1)\alpha]$ . The scalar charge

$$\Sigma \equiv \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-1} \frac{\phi'}{\phi} = 2(n-2) \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} (C_1)^{n-2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Finally, the magnetic charge can be found from

$$Q \equiv \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-1} g = Q. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, by eliminating the integration constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  above, we can find the following relationship between these three physical parameters:

$$Q^2 = \frac{2(n-2)\Sigma}{\alpha} \tilde{k} \left[ (2\gamma - \tilde{\gamma}) \frac{\alpha\Sigma}{2(n-2)\gamma} + 2M \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

From this relationship, since  $\Sigma$  is a real parameter, the BPS bound respected by the mass and charge of a black hole follows after some algebra:

$$(n-1)(n-2)M \geq \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \omega - \left( \frac{k-1}{2} \right)^2}{\omega + \frac{n}{n-1}}} |Q| \quad (3.15)$$

provided

$$\left( \frac{k-1}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \omega + 1. \quad (3.16)$$

#### IV. CONCLUSION

A conformally scale-invariant theory (2.1) is obtained for the parameter values  $\omega = -[n/(n-1)]$  and  $k = -[(n-3)/(n-1)]$ . A class of static, spherically symmetric solutions to the conformally scale invariant theory may be

reached from the solutions (3.7) above by taking the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tilde{k} \rightarrow 0$  with the ratio  $\tilde{k}/\alpha$  kept fixed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(r) &= r \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{C_1}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right]^{-\beta/(n-1)}, \\
 f(r) &= \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{C_2}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right]^{1/2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{C_1}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right]^{1/2 - [\beta/(n-1)]}, \\
 h(r) &= \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{C_2}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right]^{-1/2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{C_1}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right]^{-1/2 - [\beta/(n-1)]}, \\
 \phi &= \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{C_1}{r} \right)^{n-2} \right]^\beta, \\
 g(r) &= \frac{Q}{R^{n-1}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants and  $\beta$  and  $Q$  should satisfy

$$2\beta(n-2)^2(C_1C_2)^{n-2} = Q^2.$$

We also verified this solution directly by substituting into the scale-invariant field equations. The special case of parameter

values  $Q=0$  and  $C_2=0$  in  $D=4$  dimensions brings Eqs. (4.1) to Bekenstein's Einstein-conformal scalar solution [14]. The fact that this solution describes black holes was later clarified by Bekenstein [15]. His argument is based on the observation that the scalar particles being postulated to follow geodesic world lines in Brans-Dicke theory [17] presupposes that the scalar field does not couple directly to matter. On the other hand, by assuming a different type of scalar field coupling to matter, one can show that neutral test particles follow conformal world lines as argued by Gürsey [18] and Dirac [19]. With this assumption, Bekenstein was able to verify that solution (4.1) describes a black hole with finite scalar charge. It is now known that the conformal world lines are merely autoparallel curves in a non-Riemannian reformulation of the Brans-Dicke theory [20]. A further reevaluation of the locally scale-invariant solutions above from the non-Riemannian point of view will be taken up in a separate study.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to TÜBİTAK (Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey) for partial support through the BAYG-BDP program.

- 
- [1] F. R. Tangherlini, *Nuovo Cimento* **27**, 636 (1963).  
 [2] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **172**, 304 (1986).  
 [3] G. W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, *Nucl. Phys.* **B298**, 74 (1988).  
 [4] B. C. Xanthopoulos and T. Zannias, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 2564 (1989).  
 [5] S.-W. Kim and B. H. Cho, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 4028 (1989).  
 [6] C. H. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925 (1961).  
 [7] S. Deser, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **59**, 248 (1970).  
 [8] J. L. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 1689 (1971).  
 [9] L. Parker, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 976 (1973).  
 [10] T. Dereli and R. W. Tucker, *Phys. Lett.* **110B**, 206 (1982).  
 [11] T. Dereli and A. Eriş, *Turk. J. Phys.* **9**, 30 (1985).  
 [12] R.-G. Cai and Y. S. Myung, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 3466 (1997).  
 [13] T. Dereli and Yu. N. Obukhov, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 084015 (2000).  
 [14] J. D. Bekenstein, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **72**, 535 (1974).  
 [15] J. D. Bekenstein, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **91**, 75 (1975).  
 [16] B. C. Xanthopoulos and T. E. Dialynas, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 1463 (1991).  
 [17] R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **125**, 2163 (1962).  
 [18] F. Gürsey, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **24**, 211 (1963).  
 [19] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **333**, 403 (1973).  
 [20] T. Dereli and R. W. Tucker, "On the Motion of Matter in Space-time," gr-qc/0107017.