Rare $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$ decays with polarized Λ

Chuan-Hung Chen

Department of Physics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan, Republic of China

C. Q. Geng

Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

(Received 18 October 2000; published 9 May 2001)

We investigate the rare baryonic exclusive decays of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^ (l=e,\mu,\tau)$ with polarized Λ . Under the approximation of the heavy quark effective theory, in the standard model we derive the differential decay rates and various polarization asymmetries by including lepton mass effects. We find that with the long-distance effects the decay branching ratios are 5.3×10^{-5} for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^ (l=e,\mu)$ and 1.1×10^{-5} for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$. The effects of new physics in the decay rates are also discussed. The integrated longitudinal Λ polarizations are -0.31 and -0.12, while that of the normal ones 0.02 and 0.01, for di-muon and tau modes, respectively. The *CP*-odd transverse polarization of Λ is zero in the standard model but it is expected to be sizable in some theories with new physics.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.63.114024

PACS number(s): 13.30.Ce, 12.38.Lg, 12.39.Hg

I. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the recent interest in flavor physics has been focused on the rare decays related to $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ induced by the flavor changing neutral currents (FCNCs) due to the CLEO measurement of the radiative $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decay [1]. In the standard model, these rare decays occur at the loop level and provide information on the parameters of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements [2] as well as various hadronic form factors. In the literature, most of studies have been concentrated on the corresponding exclusive rare *B* meson decays such as $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}l^+l^-$ [3].

In this paper, we investigate the baryon decays of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$ with Λ being polarized. Unlike mesonic decays, the baryonic decays could maintain the helicity structure of interactions in transition matrix elements. Through this property, we will show that the polarization asymmetries of Λ are sensitive to right-handed couplings which are suppressed in the standard model. Thus, these baryonic decays could be used to search for physics beyond the standard model.

To study the exclusive bayonic decays, one of the difficulties is to evaluate the hadronic matrix elements. It is known that there are many form factors for the matrix elements of Λ_b to Λ , which are hard to be calculated since they are related to the nonperturbative effect of QCD. However, in heavy particle decays, heavy quark effective theory (HQET) could reduce the number of form factors and supply the information with respect to their relative size. In our numerical calculations, we shall use the results in HQET. It is also known that a large theoretical uncertainty in our calculation to the decays arises from the long-distance (LD) effect. To reduce the uncertainty, we shall study various kinematic regions to distinguish the LD contributions. In our calculations, as a completeness, we will include the lepton mass, which is important for the tau lepton mode.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we study the effective Hamiltonian for the dilepton decays of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l \bar{l}$ and form factors in the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$ transition. In Sec. III, we

derive the general forms of the differential decay rates and the Λ polarizations. In Sec. IV, we give the numerical analysis. We present our conclusions in Sec. V.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN AND FORM FACTORS

The effective Hamiltonian for the inclusive decay of $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -4 \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu), \qquad (1)$$

where the expressions for the renormalized Wilson coefficients $C_i(\mu)$ and operators $O_i(\mu)$ can be found in Ref. [4]. In terms of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), the decay amplitude is written as

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G_F \alpha_{em}}{\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{lb} V_{ls}^* \left[\bar{s} \left(C_9^{\text{eff}}(\mu) \gamma_\mu P_L - \frac{2m_b}{q^2} C_7(\mu) i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu P_R \right) b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu l + \bar{s} C_{10} \gamma_\mu P_L b \bar{l} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 l \right]$$
(2)

with $P_{L(R)} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$. We note that in Eq. (2), only the term associated with Wilson coefficient C_{10} is independent of the μ scale. We also note that the dominant contribution to the decay rate is from a long-distance effect such as the $c\bar{c}$ resonant states of Ψ, Ψ' , etc. To find out the LD effects for the *B*-meson decays, in the literature [5–10], both the factorization assumption (FA) and the vector meson dominance (VMD) approximation have been used. For the LD contributions in baryonic decays, we assume that the parametrization is the same as that in the *B*-meson decays. Hence, we include

the resonant effects (RE) by absorbing it to the corresponding Wilson coefficients. The effective Wilson coefficient of C_9^{eff} has the standard form

$$C_{9}^{\text{eff}} = C_{9}(\mu) + \left[3C_{1}(\mu) + C_{2}(\mu)\right] \left(h(x,s) + \frac{3}{\alpha_{em}^{2}} \sum_{j=\Psi,\Psi'} k_{j} \frac{\pi \Gamma(j \to l^{+}l^{-})M_{j}}{q^{2} - M_{j}^{2} + iM_{j}\Gamma_{j}}\right), \quad (3)$$

where h(x,s) describes the one-loop matrix elements $O_1 = \bar{s}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} P_L b_{\beta} \bar{c}_{\beta} \gamma_{\mu} P_L c_{\alpha}$ operators and of O_2 $=\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b\bar{c}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}c$ as shown in Ref. [4], M_{i} and Γ_{i} are the masses and widths of intermediate states, and the factors k_i are phenomenological parameters for compensating the approximations of FA and VMD and reproducing the correct ratios of $B(\Lambda_{h} \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi \rightarrow \Lambda l^{+}l^{-}) = B(\Lambda_{h})$ branching $\rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi \times B(J/\psi \rightarrow l^+l^-)$ when we study the Λ_b decays. We note that by taking $k_{\psi} \approx -1/(3C_1+C_2)$ and $B(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi) = (4.7 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{-4}$, the k_j factors in the Λ_b case are almost the same as that in the *B* meson one. In this paper we take the Wilson coefficients at the scale of $\mu \sim m_b \sim 5.0$ GeV and their values are $C_1(m_b) = -0.226$, $C_2(m_b)$ =1.096, $C_7(m_b) = -0.305$, $C_9(m_b) = 4.186$, and $C_{10}(m_b)$ = -4.599, respectively.

It is clear that one of the main theoretical uncertainties in studying exclusive decays arises from the calculation of form factors. In general there are many form factors in exclusive baryon decays. However, the number of the form factors can be reduced by the heavy quark effective theory. With HQET, the hadronic matrix elements for the heavy baryon decays could be parametrized as follows [11]:

$$\langle \Lambda(p,s) | \bar{s} \Gamma b | \Lambda_b(v,s') \rangle = \bar{u}_\Lambda(p,s) \{ F_1(p \cdot v) + \psi F_2(p \cdot v) \} \Gamma u_{\Lambda_b}(v,s')$$
(4)

with $R = F_2(p \cdot v)/F_1(p \cdot v)$, where v is the four-velocity of heavy baryon and Γ denotes the possible Dirac matrix. Note that in terms of HQET there are only two independent form factors in Eq. (4) for each Γ . In the following, we shall adopt the HQET approximation to analyze the behavior of Λ_b $\rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$.

III. DIFFERENTIAL DECAY RATE AND POLARIZATIONS

In this section we present the formulas for the differential decay rates and the longitudinal and normal Λ polarizations of $\Lambda_b(p_{\Lambda_b}) \rightarrow \Lambda(p_{\Lambda},s)l^+(p_{l^+})l^-(p_{l^-})$. In our calculations, we have included the lepton masses. To study the Λ spin polarization, we write the Λ four-spin vector in terms of a unit vector $\hat{\xi}$ along the Λ spin in its rest frame, as

$$s_0 = \frac{\vec{p}_\Lambda \cdot \hat{\xi}}{M_\Lambda}, \quad \vec{s} = \hat{\xi} + \frac{s_0}{E_\Lambda + M_\Lambda} \vec{p}_\Lambda, \quad (5)$$

and choose the unit vectors along the longitudinal, normal, transverse components of the Λ polarization to be

$$\hat{e}_{L} = \frac{\vec{p}_{\Lambda}}{|\vec{p}_{\Lambda}|},$$

$$\hat{e}_{N} = \frac{\vec{p}_{\Lambda} \times (\vec{p}_{l} - \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda})}{|\vec{p}_{\Lambda} \times (\vec{p}_{l} - \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda})|},$$

$$\hat{e}_{T} = \frac{\vec{p}_{l} - \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}}{|\vec{p}_{l} - \times \vec{p}_{\Lambda}|},$$
(6)

respectively. The partial decay width for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$ (l=e or μ or τ) is given by

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{4M_{\Lambda_b}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta(p_{\Lambda_b} - p_{\Lambda} - p_{l^+} - p_{l^-})$$
$$\times \frac{d\vec{p}_{\Lambda}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\Lambda}} \frac{d\vec{p}_{l^+}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d\vec{p}_{l^-}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2}$$
(7)

with

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{M}^{0}|^{2} [1 + (P_{L}\hat{e}_{L} + P_{N}\hat{e}_{N} + P_{T}\hat{e}_{T}) \cdot \hat{\xi}], \quad (8)$$

where $|\mathcal{M}^0|^2$ is related to the decay rate for the unpolarized Λ and P_i (i=L,N,T) denote the longitudinal, normal, and transverse polarizations of Λ , respectively. Introducing dimensionless variables of $\lambda_t = V_{tb}V_{ts}^*$, $\hat{t} = E_{\Lambda}/M_{\Lambda_b}$, $r = M_{\Lambda}^2/M_{\Lambda_b}^2$, $\hat{m}_l = m_l/M_{\Lambda_b}$, $\hat{m}_b = m_b/M_{\Lambda_b}$, and $\hat{s} = 1 + r - 2\hat{t}$, and integrating the angle dependence of the lepton, the differential decay width in Eq. (7) can be rewritten as

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} d\Gamma^{0} [1 + \vec{P} \cdot \hat{\xi}],$$

$$d\Gamma^{0} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} \alpha_{em}^{2} |\lambda_{l}|^{2}}{192 \pi^{5}} M_{\Lambda_{b}}^{5} \sqrt{(\hat{t}^{2} - r) \left(1 - \frac{4\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\right)} \times \rho_{0}(\hat{t}) d\cos \theta_{\Lambda} d\hat{t},$$
(9)

with

$$\vec{P} = P_L \hat{e}_L + P_N \hat{e}_N + P_T \hat{e}_T \tag{10}$$

and

$$\rho_0(\hat{t}) = (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{1} &= 4 \frac{\hat{m}_{b}^{2}}{\hat{s}} |C_{7}|^{2} \bigg\{ -(F_{1}^{2} - F_{2}^{2})[\hat{s}\hat{t} - 4(1-\hat{t})(\hat{t} - r)] \\ &- 2F_{2}(F_{1}\sqrt{r} + F_{2}\hat{t})[\hat{s} - 4(1-\hat{t})^{2}] \\ &+ 8 \frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}} [(F_{1}^{2} - F_{2}^{2})(1-\hat{t})(\hat{t} - r) + 2F_{2}(F_{1}\sqrt{r} + F_{2}\hat{t}) \\ &\times (1-\hat{t})^{2}] - 2\hat{m}_{l}^{2} [(F_{1}^{2} + F_{2}^{2})\hat{t} + 2F_{1}F_{2}\sqrt{r}] \bigg\}, \\ \Gamma_{2} &= 12\hat{m}_{b} \operatorname{Re} C_{9}^{\operatorname{eff}} C_{7}^{*} \bigg(1 + 2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}} \bigg) \\ &\times [(F_{1}^{2} - F_{2}^{2})(\hat{t} - r) + 2F_{2}(F_{1}\sqrt{r} + F_{2}\hat{t})(1-\hat{t})], \\ \Gamma_{3} &= (|C_{9}^{\operatorname{eff}}|^{2} + |C_{10}|^{2}) \bigg\{ \bigg(1 - 4\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}} \bigg) \hat{s} \\ &\times [(F_{1}^{2} + F_{2}^{2})\hat{t} + 2F_{1}F_{2}\sqrt{r}] + 2\bigg(1 + 2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}} \bigg)(1-\hat{t}) \\ &\times [(\hat{t} - r)(F_{1}^{2} - F_{2}^{2}) + 2F_{2}(F_{1}\sqrt{r} + F_{2}\hat{t})(1-\hat{t})] \bigg\}, \\ \Gamma_{4} &= 6\hat{m}_{l}^{2}(|C_{9}^{\operatorname{eff}}|^{2} - |C_{10}|^{2})[(F_{1}^{2} + F_{2}^{2})\hat{t} + 2F_{1}F_{2}\sqrt{r}]. \end{split}$$

$$(12)$$

Here the form factors and Wilson coefficients in Eq. (12) depend on the Λ energy (E_{Λ}) and the scale of μ . The ranges of \hat{t} and \hat{s} are as follows:

$$\sqrt{r} \le \hat{t} \le \frac{1}{2} (1 + r - 4\hat{m}_l^2),$$

$$4\hat{m}_l^2 \le \hat{s} \le (1 - \sqrt{r})^2.$$
(13)

We note that our result for the differential decay rate in Eq. (9) is consistent with that given in Refs. [12,13] when one takes the limit of massless lepton.

The longitudinal, normal and transverse Λ polarization asymmetries in Eq. (8) can be defined by

$$P_{i}(\hat{t}) = \frac{d\Gamma(\hat{e}_{i} \cdot \hat{\xi} = 1) - d\Gamma(\hat{e}_{i} \cdot \hat{\xi} = -1)}{d\Gamma(\hat{e}_{i} \cdot \hat{\xi} = 1) + d\Gamma(\hat{e}_{i} \cdot \hat{\xi} = -1)}.$$
 (14)

From Eqs. (9) and (14), we obtain the polarizations of P_L and P_N to be

$$P_L(\hat{t}) = \frac{\sqrt{t^2 - r}}{\sqrt{r}\rho_0(\hat{t})} D_L \tag{15}$$

$$P_{N}(\hat{t}) = \frac{-3}{2\rho_{0}(\hat{t})} \pi \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}} \sqrt{\hat{s}} [(F_{1}^{2} + F_{2}^{2})\sqrt{r} + 2F_{1}F_{2}\hat{t}] \times [\operatorname{Re} C_{9}^{\operatorname{eff}} C_{10}^{*}(1 - \hat{t}) + 2\hat{m}_{b} \operatorname{Re} C_{10}C_{7}^{*}], \quad (16)$$

respectively, where $D_L = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4$ with

$$\begin{split} L_{1} &= -4\frac{\hat{m}_{b}^{2}}{\hat{s}} \Big(1-2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\Big) |C_{7}|^{2}\sqrt{r} \Bigg\{ -\bigg(1-4\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2})\hat{s} \\ &+ 4\bigg(1-\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2}+2F_{2}^{2}\hat{t}+2F_{1}F_{2}\sqrt{r})(1-t) \Bigg\} \\ &+ 8\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}\hat{m}_{b}^{2}}{\hat{s}} |C_{7}|^{2}\sqrt{r} \Bigg\{ (F_{1}^{2}+F_{2}^{2})\bigg(1-10\frac{1-\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\bigg) \\ &+ 3\bigg(1-2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2})-2\bigg(1-4\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)F_{2}^{2} \\ &+ 4\bigg(5-2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)\bigg(\frac{1-\hat{t}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)[F_{2}^{2}(1-t)-F_{1}F_{2}\sqrt{r}]\Bigg\}, \\ L_{2} &= -12\hat{m}_{b}\operatorname{Re}C_{9}^{\mathrm{eff}}C_{7}^{*}\bigg(1+2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)\sqrt{r} \\ &\times [(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2})+2\hat{t}F_{2}^{2}+2\sqrt{r}F_{1}F_{2}], \\ L_{3} &= -(|C_{9}^{\mathrm{eff}}|^{2}+|C_{10}|^{2})\sqrt{r}\bigg\{\bigg(1-4\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2})\hat{s} \\ &+ 2\bigg(1+2\frac{\hat{m}_{l}^{2}}{\hat{s}}\bigg)(1-\hat{t})[(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2})+2\hat{t}F_{2}^{2} \\ &+ 2F_{1}F_{2}\sqrt{r}]\bigg\}, \\ L_{4} &= -6\hat{m}_{l}^{2}(|C_{9}^{\mathrm{eff}}|^{2}-|C_{10}|^{2})(F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2})\sqrt{r}. \end{split}$$

For the *T*-odd transverse Λ polarization, we have that

$$P_T \sim m_s \, \mathrm{Im} \, C_{10} C_7^* \,.$$
 (18)

It is clear that P_T is zero in the standard model since there is no phase in $C_{10}C_7^*$. We remark that even there is a phase in a theory of the standard model like, due to the suppression of m_s , P_T is expected to be small. However, a possible CPviolating right-handed interaction could induce a sizable P_T [15]. Therefore, observing P_T could indicate new physics beyond the standard model.

It is interesting to point out that we can also discuss $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \overline{\nu} \nu$ by taking the limits of

$$m_l \rightarrow 0, \ C_7 \rightarrow 0, \ C_9^{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \frac{X(x_t)}{\sin^2 \theta_W}, \ C_{10} \rightarrow -\frac{X(x_t)}{\sin^2 \theta_W}$$
(19)

and

TABLE I.	Input	parameters	used	in	our	numerical	calc	culatio	ons
----------	-------	------------	------	----	-----	-----------	------	---------	-----

M_{Λ_h}	5.64	GeV
M_{Λ}^{b}	1.116	GeV
m_t	165	GeV
m_b	4.8	GeV
m_{τ}	1.777	GeV
m_{μ}	1.05	GeV
m_c	1.4	GeV
α_{em}	1/129	
$ au_{\Lambda_{A}}$	1.8848×10^{12}	GeV^{-1}
$V_{tb}V_{ts}^*$	0.04	

in Eqs. (7)–(18), where $X(x_t) = 0.65x_t^{0.575}$ [4] and $x_t = m_t^2/M_W^2$. Explicitly, we have

$$d\Gamma(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \bar{\nu}\nu) = \frac{1}{2} d\Gamma^0(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \bar{\nu}\nu) [1 + \vec{P}^{\nu\nu} \cdot \hat{\xi}],$$

$$d\Gamma^0(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda \bar{\nu}\nu) = 3 \frac{G_F^2 \alpha_{em}^2 \lambda_t^2}{192\pi^5} M_{\Lambda_b}^5 \sqrt{\hat{t}^2 - r}$$

$$\times \rho^{\nu\nu}(\hat{t}) d\cos\theta_A d\hat{t}, \qquad (20)$$

where

$$\rho^{\nu\nu}(\hat{t}) = 2\left(\frac{X(x_t)}{\sin^2 \theta_W}\right)^2 \{[(F_1^2 + F_2^2)\hat{t} + 2F_1F_2\sqrt{r}]\hat{s} + 2(1-\hat{t}) \\ \times [(\hat{t} - r)(F_1^2 - F_2^2) + 2F_2(F_1\sqrt{r} + F_2\hat{t})(1-\hat{t})]\}$$
(21)

and

$$P_L^{\nu\nu}(\hat{t}) = -2 \frac{\sqrt{t^2 - r}}{\rho^{\nu\nu}(\hat{t})} \left(\frac{X(x_t)}{\sin^2 \theta_W} \right)^2 \{ (F_1^2 - F_2^2) \hat{s} + 2(1 - t) \\ \times [(F_1^2 - F_2^2) + 2\hat{t}F_2^2 + 2F_1F_2\sqrt{r}] \}.$$
(22)

Here we have only listed the longitudinal polarization of Λ because the momentum of the neutrino cannot be measured experimentally.



FIG. 1. The differential decay branching ratio of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ as a function of Λ energy. The solid and dashed curves stand for the QCD sum rule and pole models, respectively.

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In order to analyze the decay rate and polarization asymmetries, we use the Wilson coefficients at the scale $\mu \approx m_b$ as stated in Sec. II. The other parameters used in our numerical calculations are listed in Table I. As to the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$ transition form factors, we adopt the results and input parameters given in Ref. [12], in which the QCD sum rule approach based on the framework of HQET was used. However, there is an undetermined parameter, Borel parameter (*M*), in the approach, which is introduced to suppress the contribution from the higher excited and continuum states. According to the analysis of Ref. [12], it could be 1.5 GeV $\leq M \leq 1.9$ GeV. For simplicity, we will take M = 1.7 GeV in our numerical analysis. As a comparison, we will also present the results with the dipole form assumption [11].

A. Decay rates and polarizations of Λ

From Eqs. (9) and (12), by integrating the whole range of Λ energy and setting phenomenological factor $\kappa = -1/(3C_1+C_2)$, the branching ratios of the dilepton decays are summarized in Table II and the distributions of the differential decay rates are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$, respectively. Here we have

TABLE II. Decay branching ratios (Br) based on the form factors from the QCD sum rule approach and the dipole model, respectively.

Model	Decay Br	$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \nu \overline{\nu}$	$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ e^-$	$\Lambda_b{ o}\Lambda\mu^+\mu^-$	$\Lambda_b{\rightarrow}\Lambda\tau^+\tau^-$
QCD Sum rule	without LD with LD	1.6×10^{-5}	2.3×10^{-6} 5.3×10^{-5}	2.1×10^{-6} 5.3×10^{-5}	$ 1.8 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.1 \times 10^{-5} $
Pole model	without LD with LD	9.2×10^{-6}	1.2×10^{-6} 3.6×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-6} 3.6×10^{-5}	$2.6 \times 10^{-7} \\ 9.0 \times 10^{-6}$



FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$.

also illustrated the results from the pole model [11]. The form factors with the dipole forms in the model are given by

$$F_{1,2}(p_{\Lambda} \cdot v) = N_{1,2} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} + p_{\Lambda} \cdot v} \right)^2, \quad (23)$$

where $p_{\Lambda} \cdot v = E_{\Lambda}$ and Λ_{QCD} is chosen to be around 200 MeV. From Eq. (23), one obtains that $R = F_2/F_1 = N_2/N_1 \sim -0.25$ [11,14]. In terms of HQET the form factors of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$ should be the same as that of $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda$ at the maximal momentum transfer. Therefore, by using the measured branching ratio of $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda l v$, we extract that $|N_1| \sim 52.32$ with the same dipole forms.

From Table II, we find that the branching ratios including LD contributions are about 1-2 orders of magnitude larger than that without LD ones and the results from the pole model are close to those from the QCD sum rule.

If it is not mentioned, we shall use the form factors from the QCD sum rule approach in the rest of our numerical analysis. To estimate the contributions to the decay branching ratios by excluding the resonances of J/ψ and ψ' , we choose five separate regions in terms of the masses of J/ψ and ψ' , and they are given as follows:

I:
$$M_{\Lambda} \leq E_{\Lambda} \leq E|_{\max} - \delta^{1}_{\psi'}$$
,
II: $E|_{\max} - \delta^{1}_{\psi'} \leq E_{\Lambda} \leq E|_{\max} - \delta^{2}_{\psi'}$,
III: $E|_{\max} - \delta^{2}_{\psi'} \leq E_{\Lambda} \leq E|_{\max} - \delta^{1}_{J/\psi}$,
IV: $E|_{\max} - \delta^{1}_{J/\psi} \leq E_{\Lambda} \leq E|_{\max} - \delta^{2}_{J/\psi}$,
V: $E|_{\max} - \delta^{2}_{J/\psi} \leq E_{\Lambda} \leq E|_{\max}$, (24)

where

$$E|_{\max} = M_{\Lambda_b} (1 + r - 4\hat{m}_l^2)/2,$$

$$\delta^1_{\psi'} = (M_{\psi'} + \sqrt{\sqrt{2}M_{\psi'}\Gamma_{\psi'}})^2/2M_{\Lambda_b},$$

$$\delta^2_{\psi'} (M_{\psi'} - \sqrt{\sqrt{2}M_{\psi'}\Gamma_{\psi'}})^2/2M_{\Lambda_b},$$

$$\delta^1_{J/\psi} = (M_{J/\psi} + \sqrt{\sqrt{2}M_{J/\psi}\Gamma_{J/\psi}})^2/2M_{\Lambda_b},$$

$$\delta^2_{J/\psi} = (M_{J/\psi} - \sqrt{\sqrt{2}M_{J/\psi}\Gamma_{J/\psi}})^2/2M_{\Lambda_b}.$$

The factor of $\sqrt{2}$ in δ_V^i is a typical value and one may take a larger value to reduce the LD contributions in the regions of I and V. The estimations of the decay branching ratios in the different regions are listed in Table III. From the table, We find that the RE in region I is about 20% for the e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ modes and 25% for $\tau^+\tau^-$. The larger RE for the τ pair arises from Γ_4 in Eq. (12), which is proportional to the lepton mass. Moreover, this term also yields different distributions between the electron (or muon) and tau modes in region I when a large deviation from $[|C_9(m_b)| - |C_{10}|]$ appears. Therefore, studying the region with lower RE could distinguish the SD Wilson coefficients from the standard model.

As we can see from Eq. (3), the LD effects have been absorbed into the Wilson coefficient of C_9^{eff} and they are parametrized in the form of the phenomenological Breit-

TABLE III. Decay branching ratios for QCD sum rule (SR) and pole model (PM) with and without LD in different regions of Λ energy with $\kappa = -1/(3C_1 + C_2)$.

Mode	Ι		II ($\times 10^{2}$)	Br	III	$(\times 10^{-7})$	IV $(\times 10^2)$		v	
	SR	PM	SR	PM	SR	PM	SR	PM	SR	PM
ee, LD NLD	2.7 3.4	4.0 4.9	2.7 0.005	2.3 0.004	3.9 3.8	2.6 2.5	2.4 0.003	1.2 0.001	19.6 14.6	6.6 4.4
μμ, LD NLD	2.7 3.4	4.0 4.9	2.7 0.005	2.3 0.004	3.9 3.8	2.6 2.5	2.4 0.003	1.2 0.001	17.9 12.9	6.2 4.0
ττ, LD NLD	1.2 1.6	1.9 2.4	1.0 0.001	0.9 0.001	0.2 1.1	0.1 0.08				

TABLE IV. Decay branching ratios in the whole range of Λ energy including LD with two different values of κ .

		Br	$(\times 10^{-7})$		
Decay mode	Ι	II	III	IV	V
$\overline{\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ e^-}, \ \kappa = -3.5$	2.6	5.7×10^{2}	4.9	5.0×10^{2}	23.2
$\kappa = -1.9$	2.8	1.7×10^{2}	3.7	1.5×10^{2}	18.3
$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-, \kappa = -3.5$	2.6	5.7×10^{2}	4.9	5.0×10^{2}	21.4
$\kappa = -1.9$	2.8	1.7×10^{2}	3.7	1.5×10^{2}	16.5
$\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-, \kappa = -3.5$	1.1	2.2×10^{2}	0.2		
$\kappa = -1.9$	1.2	0.7×10^{2}	0.2		

Wigner ansatz. To compensate FA and VMD approximation, one phenomenological factor κ is also introduced. In Table IV, we show the decay branching ratios by taking $\kappa = -3.5$ and -1.9. It is easily seen that in the regions of *I* and *V* the differences for the branching ratios with lower and higher κ are between 5-16%. This tells us that, as expected, the uncertainty from the LD effect is small outside the resonance region.

In order to study how the effects arising from new physics beyond the standard model will affect the baryonic dilepton decays, we consider cases where the Wilson coefficients are different from those in the standard model. The results for the distributions of the differential branching rates are shown in Figs. 3-6.

We now discuss our results as follows.

According to the results in Table III and Figs. 1 and 2, we clearly see that outside the resonant regions the uncertainties arising from the QCD models are larger than that from the LD effects.



FIG. 3. The differential decay branching ratio of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ as a function of Λ energy with the Wilson coefficients being different from those in the standard model. The solid, dashed, dotted, long-dashed, and dash-dotted curves represent the results of the standard model (SM), $C_{10}=0$, $C_9=-C_9|_{\text{SM}}$, $C_7=-C_7|_{\text{SM}}$, and $C_7=0$, respectively.



FIG. 4. Same as Fig. 3 but the dashed, dotted, long-dashed, and dash-dotted curves are for $C_9 = -2C_9|_{\text{SM}}$, $C_9 = -2C_9|_{\text{SM}}$, and $C_7 = 0$, $C_9 = 2C_9|_{\text{SM}}$, and $C_{10} = 2C_{10}|_{\text{SM}}$, respectively.

We first compare our results in baryon decays with those in the *B*-meson dilepton ones of $B \rightarrow K^* l^+ l^-$ [5–10]. In the meson decays, the pole of \hat{s} is related to $|\hat{m}_b C_7 / \hat{s}|^2$ and $\hat{m}_b C_7 / \hat{s}$, respectively, and thus with the requirement $\hat{s} \ge 4\hat{m}_l$ from the phase space, the processes of $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B \rightarrow K^* e^+ e^-$ have very different decay rates. However, for the decays of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$, the associated terms are proportional to $|\hat{m}_l \hat{m}_b C_7|^2 / \hat{s}^2$ and $|\hat{m}_b C_7|^2 / \hat{s}$. Clearly, due to the mass suppression the light lepton, the main pole dependence is $\sim |\hat{m}_b C_7|^2 / \hat{s}$ so that the rate difference between $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ e^-$ is small.

The differential decay rates of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$ are sensitive to the signs of C_9 and C_7 . Although $C_7 \ll C_9$ and C_{10} , there



FIG. 5. Same as Fig. 3 but for $\Lambda_h \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$.



FIG. 6. Same as Fig. 4 but for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$.

exists an enhanced factor of $12 \text{ Re } C_9 C_7^* \sim 15.3 \text{ in } \Gamma_2$ of Eq. (12). When the sign of C_7 is opposite to that in the standard model, there is a deviation of 50% in branching ratio for neglecting RE. Thus, the contribution from electromagnetic part cannot be neglected. As changing the sign of C_9 to be opposite to that in the standard model, only a deviation of 27% on the branching ratios occurs. However, from Figs. 3 and 5, we see that the distributions are different from each others.

From Eq. (12), we find that the differential decay rates cannot have the information in the sign of C_{10} since they are always related to $|C_{10}|^2$.

From Figs. 4 and 6, we find that with $C_{10}=2C_9|_{\text{SM}}$ the distribution for the differential decay rate of the $\tau^+\tau^-$ mode is higher than that with $C_9=2C_{10}|_{SM}$ in region I but it is reversed in that of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ distribution. The origin of this difference is from the Γ_4 in Eq. (12) which is proportional to $6\hat{m}_l^2(|C_9|^2-|C_{10}|^2)$. This effect can be neglected in the standard model since $|C_9| \sim |C_{10}|$ and the light lepton modes as well. Although $\hat{m}_{\tau}^2 \sim 10\%$, this factor will become important if there is a large deviation between C_9 and C_{10} .

The decay width distributions for the longitudinal polarized Λ with and without LD effects as the function of Λ energy are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. Comparing the figures with the differential decay branching rates in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively, we find that the distributions are very similar to each other except the opposite sign.

Finally, as usual, from Eq. (9) we may also write the partial decay rate as

$$d\Gamma_{\Lambda_b} = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_0(1 - \alpha_\Lambda \hat{p} \cdot \hat{s} d\cos\theta_\Lambda), \qquad (25)$$

where Γ_0 is related to the decay width of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$, \hat{p} is the unit direction of Λ momentum in the Λ_b rest frame, and α_{Λ} , called Λ polarization, is defined by



FIG. 7. The decay width distribution of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ for the longitudinal polarized Λ as a function of Λ energy with and without RE.

$$\alpha_{\Lambda} = \frac{\int_{r}^{t_{\text{max}}} D_{L}(1 - 4\hat{m}_{l}^{2}/\hat{s})(\hat{t}^{2} - r)/\sqrt{r}d\hat{t}}{\int_{r}^{t_{\text{max}}} \sqrt{1 - 4\hat{m}_{l}^{2}/\hat{s}}\sqrt{\hat{t}^{2} - r}\rho_{0}(\hat{t})d\hat{t}},$$
(26)

where $t_{\max} = (1 + r - 4\hat{m}_l^2)/2$. Numerically, we find that the polarizations of Λ in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^ (l = e, \mu, \tau)$ decays are all unity, $\alpha_\Lambda \approx 1$.

B. Polarization asymmetries

In this subsection we will discuss longitudinal and normal polarization asymmetries and their implications and we will



FIG. 8. Same as Fig. 7 but for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$.



FIG. 9. The longitudinal polarization asymmetry of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^-$ as function of E_{Λ}/M_{Λ} . Legend is the same as Fig. 1.

study the transverse polarization elsewhere [15] since it is zero in the standard model as mentioned in Sec. III. From Eq. (14), we show the distributions of P_L and P_N with respect to the dimensionless kinematic variable \hat{t} in Figs. 9–12, respectively. From the figures, we find the following interesting results.

The polarization asymmetries are insensitive to the LD effects.

The values of P_L are near unity except a narrow region with a small Λ momentum.

 P_N approaches zero as the Λ energy increases. This is because the polarization is proportional to $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ as shown in Eq. (16).

The values of $P_{L,N}$ from the QCD sum rule and the pole models shown in the figures are close to each other. The





FIG. 11. The normal polarization asymmetry of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \mu^+ \mu^$ as function of E_{Λ}/M_{Λ} . Legend is the same as Fig. 1.

results imply that both P_L and P_N are not very sensitive to the form factors. Therefore, one would like to use $P_{L,N}$ to probe the short-distance (SD) physics due to the smallness of the uncertainties from the strong interaction.

We now discuss the sensitivity for the longitudinal polarization of P_L to new physics. We first notice that by using different values of the Wilson coefficients from the standard model, the polarizations do not change. The reason is that the coefficients get canceled out between the denominator and numerator in Eq. (14). However, in our derivation for the differential decay rate, we have assumed the V-A hadronic current and neglected the contribution of left-handed electromagnetic moment since it is proportional to the strange quark mass. If we include the interaction with the right-handed current, the polarization will behave quite differently from



FIG. 10. Same as Fig. 9 but for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$.



FIG. 12. Same as Fig. 11 but for $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \tau^+ \tau^-$.

that in the standard model, which can be understand easily by Eq. (26) of Ref. [12] as $h_A \neq h_V$. Finally, we define the integrated longitudinal and normal polarization asymmetries as

$$\bar{P}_{L} = \int d\hat{t} P_{L},$$

$$\bar{P}_{N} = \int d\hat{t} P_{N}.$$
(27)

In the standard model, we obtain that $\bar{P}_{L(N)} = -0.31(0.02)$ and $\bar{P}_{L(N)} = -0.12(0.01)$ for $\mu\mu$ and $\tau\tau$ modes, respectively. If deviations from the standard model predictions for the integrated polarization asymmetries are measured, it is clear that there exist some kinds of new physics.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the rare baryonic exclusive decays of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^ (l=e,\mu,\tau)$ with polarized Λ . Under the approximation of HQET, in the standard model we have derived the differential decay rates and the polarization asymmetries of Λ by including lepton mass effects.

We have found that with the LD effects the decay branching ratios of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^ (l=e,\mu,\tau)$ are 5.3×10^{-5} , 5.3 $\times 10^{-5}$, and 1.1×10^{-5} from the QCD sum rule approach and 1.2×10^{-5} , 1.2×10^{-5} , and 3.2×10^{-6} from the pole model, respectively. We have also estimated the decay branching ratio of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \nu \overline{\nu}$ to be 1.6×10^{-5} and 3.3×10^{-6} in the two models, respectively. In physics beyond the standard model, we have studied various cases of different Wilson coefficients. We have shown that the decay rates as well as the distributions can be very different from those in the standard model.

The integrated longitudinal Λ polarizations are -0.31and -0.12, while that of the normal ones 0.02 and 0.01, for di-muon and tau modes, respectively. The *CP*-odd transverse polarization of Λ is zero in the standard model but it is expected to be sizable in new physics such as the *CP*-violating theories with right-handed interactions. We have demonstrated that the polarization asymmetries are insensitive to LD contributions but sensitive to the righthanded couplings. It is clear that one could probe new physics through measurements of the Λ polarizations in the decays of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda l^+ l^-$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under Contract Nos. NSC-89-2112-M-007-054 and NSC-89-2112-M-006-033.

- CLEO Collaboration, M. S. Alam *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2885 (1995).
- [2] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 531 (1963); M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49, 652 (1973).
- [3] For a recent review, see A. Ali *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 074024 (2000).
- [4] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 1125 (1996).
- [5] N. G. Deshpande, J. Trampetic, and K. Panose, Phys. Rev. D 39, 1461 (1989).
- [6] C. S. Lim, T. Morozumi, and A. T. Sanda, Phys. Lett. B 218, 343 (1989).
- [7] A. Ali, T. Mannel, and T. Morozumi, Phys. Lett. B 273, 505 (1991).

- [8] P. J. O'Donnell and K. K. K. Tung, Phys. Rev. D 43, R2067 (1991).
- [9] F. Krüger and L.M. Sehgal, Phys. Lett. B 380, 199 (1996).
- [10] C. Q. Geng and C. P. Kao, Phys. Rev. D 54, 5636 (1996).
- [11] T. Mannel, W. Roberts, and Z. Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B355, 38 (1991); T. Mannel and S. Recksiegel, J. Phys. G 24, 979 (1998).
- [12] Chao-Shang Huang and Hua-Gang Yan, Phys. Rev. D 59, 114022 (1999).
- [13] T. M. Aliev and M. Savci, J. Phys. G 26, 997 (2000).
- [14] CLEO Collaboration, G. Crawford *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 624 (1995).
- [15] Chuan-Hung Chen and C. Q. Geng (in progress).