Covariance of the mixmaster chaoticity

Giovanni Imponente* and Giovanni Montani[†]

ICRA, International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Physics Deptartment, University of Rome "La Sapienza,"

piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

(Received 18 October 2000; published 30 March 2001)

We analyze the dynamics of the mixmaster universe on the basis of a standard Arnowitt-Deser-Misner Hamiltonian approach showing how its asymptotic evolution to the cosmological singularity is isomorphic to a billiard ball on the Lobachevsky plane. The key result of our study consists in the temporary gauge invariance of the billiard ball representation, once provided the use of very general Misner-Chitré-like variables.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.63.103501

PACS number(s): 98.80.Hw, 04.20.Jb (energylike) constant of motion when an Arnowitt-Deser-

Misner (ADM) reduction is performed. By this result the stochasticity of the Mixmaster can be treated either in terms

of statistical mechanics (by the microcanonical ensemble),

either by its characterization as isomorphic to a billiard ball

the Mixmaster dynamics as a "stochastic scattering" iso-

morphic to a billiard ball on Lobachevsky space can be con-

structed independently of the choice of a time variable, sim-

ply providing very general Misner-Chitré-like coordinates.

Up to the limit of the adopted approximation in the form of

the potential term, our analysis shows, without any ambigu-

ity, that the Mixmaster stochasticity cannot be removed by

formulation of the Mixmaster dynamics, which in Sec. III,

by a standard ADM reduction and the study of the

asymptotic form of the potential, allows one to individualize

an appropriate energylike constant of motion (nothing more

then the ADM kinetic energy) in any temporal gauge. In Sec.

IV the reduced Hamiltonian principle is rewritten in terms of

a geodesic one on the induced Jacobi metric. Finally in Sec.

V we derive the statistical implications due to the properties

More precisely in Sec. II we construct the Hamiltonian

The aim of this work is to show how the representation of

on two-dimensional Lobachevsky space [21].

any redefinition of the time variable.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Belinski, Kalatnikov, and Lifshitz (BKL) derived the oscillatory regime which characterizes the behavior of the Bianchi type VIII and IX cosmological models [1,2] (the so-called mixmaster universe [3]) near a physical singularity, a widebody of literature faced this subject over the years in order to provide the best possible understanding of the resulting chaotic dynamics [4].

The research activity developed overall in two different, but related, directions: on the one hand the dynamical analysis was devoted to remove the limits of the BKL approach due to its discrete nature (by analytical treatments [5-10]and numerical simulations [11-14]), on the other hand to get a better characterization of the Mixmaster chaos (especially in view of its properties of covariance [15-18]).

The first line of investigation provided satisfactory representations of the Mixmaster dynamics in terms of continuous variables (leading to the construction of an invariant measure for the system [6,8]), as well as detailed numerical descriptions (allowing one to make precise validity tests on the obtained analytical results) [13].

The efforts to develop a precise characterization of the chaoticity observed in the Mixmaster dynamics found nontrivial difficulties due to the impossibility or, in the best cases the ambiguity, to apply the standard chaos indicators to relativistic systems. In particular, the puzzle consisting of numerical simulations which were providing zero [16] and nonzero [17] Lyapunov exponents by using different time variables has been solved by realizing the noncovariant nature of these indicators and their inapplicability to hyperbolic manifolds [19]. The existence of these difficulties prevented, up to now, one from saying definitive word about the covariance of the Mixmaster chaos, with particular reference to the possibility of removing the observed chaotic features by a suitable choice of the time variable (apart from the indication provided by [7]).

Indeed a valuable framework of analysis of the Mixmaster evolution, able to join together the two considered points of view, relies on a Hamiltonian treatment of the dynamics in terms of Misner-Chitré-like variables [20]. This formulation allows one to individualize the existence of an asymptotic

0556-2821/2001/63(10)/103501(5)/\$20.00

63 103501-1

of geodesic flow and provide brief concluding remarks. **II. HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION** The geometrical structure of the Bianchi type VIII and IX

spacetimes, i.e., of the so-called Mixmaster universe models, is summarized by the line element [1]

$$ds^{2} = -N(\eta)^{2} d\eta^{2} + e^{2\alpha} (e^{2\beta})_{ij} \sigma^{i} \sigma^{j}, \qquad (1)$$

where $N(\eta)$ denotes the lapse function, σ^{i} are the dual oneforms associated with the anholonomic basis,¹ and β_{ii} is a

¹The dual one-forms of the considered models are given by

(Bianchi type VIII): $\begin{cases} \sigma^{1} = -\sinh\psi\sinh\theta\,d\phi + \cosh\psi\,d\theta,\\ \sigma^{2} = -\cosh\psi\sinh\theta\,d\phi + \sinh\psi\,d\theta,\\ \sigma^{3} = \cosh\theta\,d\phi + d\psi,\\ \end{cases}$ (Bianchi type IX): $\begin{cases} \sigma^{1} = \sin\psi\sin\theta\,d\phi + \cos\psi\,d\theta,\\ \sigma^{2} = -\cos\psi\sin\theta\,d\phi + \sin\psi\,d\theta,\\ \end{cases}$ $\sigma^3 = \cos \theta \, d\phi + d\psi.$

^{*}Email address: imponente@icra.it

[†]Email address: montani@icra.it

traceless 3×3 symmetric matrix diag($\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \beta_{33}$); α , *N*, β_{ij} are functions of η only. Parametrizing the matrix β_{ij} by the usual Misner variables [3]

$$\beta_{11} = \beta_{+} + \sqrt{3}\beta_{-},$$

$$\beta_{22} = \beta_{+} - \sqrt{3}\beta_{-},$$

$$\beta_{33} = -2\beta_{+},$$
(2)

the dynamics of the Mixmaster model is described by a canonical variational principle

$$\delta I = \delta \int L d \eta = 0, \qquad (3)$$

with Lagrangian L:

$$L = \frac{6D}{N} \left[-\alpha'^{2} + \beta_{+}'^{2} + \beta_{-}'^{2} \right] - \frac{N}{D} V(\alpha, \beta_{+}, \beta_{-}).$$
(4)

Here $()' = d/d\eta$, $D \equiv \det e^{\alpha + \beta_{ij}} = e^{3\alpha}$, and the potential $V(\alpha, \beta_+, \beta_-)$ reads

$$V = \frac{1}{2} (D^{4H_1} + D^{4H_2} + D^{4H_3}) - D^{2H_1 + 2H_2} \pm D^{2H_2 + 2H_3}$$
$$\pm D^{2H_3 + 2H_1}, \tag{5}$$

where (+) and (-) refer, respectively, to Bianchi type VIII and IX, and the anisotropy parameters $H_i(i=1,2,3)$ denote the functions [8]

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\beta_{+} + \sqrt{3}\beta_{-}}{3\alpha},$$

$$H_{2} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\beta_{+} - \sqrt{3}\beta_{-}}{3\alpha},$$

$$H_{3} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2\beta_{+}}{3\alpha}.$$
 (6)

In the limit $D \rightarrow 0$ the second three terms of the above potential turn out to be negligible with respect to the first one. Let us introduce the new (Misner-Chitré-like) variables

$$\alpha = -e^{f(\tau)}\xi,$$

$$\beta_{+} = e^{f(\tau)}\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}\cos\theta,$$

$$\beta_{-} = e^{f(\tau)}\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}\sin\theta,$$
(7)

with *f* denoting a generic functional form of τ , $1 \le \xi \le \infty$, and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. Then the Lagrangian (4) reads

$$L = \frac{6D}{N} \left[\frac{(e^{f}\xi')^{2}}{\xi^{2} - 1} + (e^{f}\theta')^{2}(\xi^{2} - 1) - (e^{f})^{\prime 2} \right] - \frac{N}{D} V(f(\tau), \xi, \theta).$$
(8)

In terms of $f(\tau)$, ξ , and θ we have

$$D = \exp\{-3\xi e^{f(\tau)}\},\tag{9}$$

and since $D \rightarrow 0$ toward the singularity, independently of its particular form, in this limit *f* must approach infinity. The Lagrangian (4) leads to the conjugate momenta

$$p_{\tau} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \tau'} = -\frac{12D}{N} \left(e^{f} \frac{df}{d\tau} \right)^{2} \tau',$$

$$p_{\xi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi'} = \frac{12D}{N} \frac{e^{2f}}{\xi^{2} - 1} \xi',$$

$$p_{\theta} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta'} = \frac{12D}{N} e^{2f} (\xi^{2} - 1) \theta',$$
(10)

which by a Legendre transformation make the variational principle (3) assume the Hamiltonian form

$$\delta \int \left(p_{\xi} \xi' + p_{\theta} \theta' + p_{\tau} \tau' - \frac{N e^{-2f}}{24D} \mathcal{H} \right) d\eta = 0, \quad (11)$$

being

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{p_{\tau}^{2}}{(df/d\tau)^{2}} + p_{\xi}^{2}(\xi^{2} - 1) + \frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{\xi^{2} - 1} + 24Ve^{2f}.$$
 (12)

III. REDUCED VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

By variating Eq. (11) with respect to N we get the constraint $\mathcal{H}=0$, which solved provides

$$-p_{\tau} \equiv \frac{df}{d\tau} \mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \frac{df}{d\tau} \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + 24Ve^{2f}},$$
 (13)

where

$$\varepsilon^{2} = (\xi^{2} - 1)p_{\xi}^{2} + \frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{\xi^{2} - 1}, \qquad (14)$$

in terms of which the variational principle (11) reduces to

$$\delta \int (p_{\xi}\xi' + p_{\theta}\theta' - f'\mathcal{H}_{ADM})d\eta = 0.$$
 (15)

Since the equation for the temporal gauge actually reads

$$N(\eta) = \frac{12D}{\mathcal{H}_{ADM}} e^{2f} \frac{df}{d\tau} \tau', \qquad (16)$$

our analysis remains fully independent of the choice of the time variable until the form of f and τ' is not fixed.

The variational principle (15) provides the Hamiltonian equations for ξ' and θ' :²

$$\xi' = \frac{f'}{\mathcal{H}_{ADM}} (\xi^2 - 1) p_{\xi},$$
$$\theta' = \frac{f'}{\mathcal{H}_{ADM}} \frac{p_{\theta}}{(\xi^2 - 1)}.$$
(17)

Furthermore, the important relation

$$\frac{d(\mathcal{H}_{ADM}f')}{d\eta} = \frac{\partial(\mathcal{H}_{ADM}f')}{\partial\eta} \Longrightarrow \frac{d(\mathcal{H}_{ADM}f')}{df} = \frac{\partial(\mathcal{H}_{ADM}f')}{\partial f},$$
(18)

can be straightforwardly derived, i.e., explicitly,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{ADM}}{\partial f} = \frac{e^{2f}}{2\mathcal{H}_{ADM}} 24 \left(2V + \frac{\partial V}{\partial f} \right). \tag{19}$$

In this reduced Hamiltonian formulation, the functional $f(\eta)$ plays simply the role of a parametric function of time and actually the anisotropy parameters $H_i(i=1,2,3)$ are functions of the variables ξ, θ only:

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{3\xi} (\cos \theta + \sqrt{3} \sin \theta),$$

$$H_{2} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{3\xi} (\cos \theta - \sqrt{3} \sin \theta),$$

$$H_{3} = \frac{1}{3} + 2\frac{\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{3\xi} \cos \theta.$$
 (20)

Finally, toward the singularity $(D \rightarrow 0 \text{ i.e.}, f \rightarrow \infty)$ by the expressions (5), (9), (20), we see that³

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial f} = O(e^f V). \tag{21}$$

Since in the domain Γ_H , where all the H_i are simultaneously greater than 0, the potential term $U \equiv e^{2f}V$ can be modeled by the potential walls

 $\Theta_{\infty}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$ Therefore in Γ_{x} the ADM Hamiltonian becomes

Therefore in Γ_H the ADM Hamiltonian becomes (asymptotically) an integral of motion

$$\forall \{\xi, \theta\} \in \Gamma_{H} \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2} + 24U} \cong \varepsilon = E = \text{const}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{ADM}}{\partial f} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial f} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(23)$$

The key point for the use of the Misner-Chitré-like variables relies on the independence of the time variable for the anisotropy parameters H_i .

IV. JACOBI METRIC AND THE BILLIARD BALL REPRESENTATION

Since above we have shown that asymptotically to the singularity $(f \rightarrow \infty, \text{ i.e., } \alpha \rightarrow -\infty) d\mathcal{H}_{ADM}/df = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_{ADM} = \varepsilon = E = \text{const}$, the variational principle (15) reduces to

$$\delta \int (p_{\xi}d\xi + p_{\theta}d\theta - Edf) = \delta \int (p_{\xi}d\xi + p_{\theta}d\theta) = 0,$$
(24)

where we dropped the third term on the left hand side since it behaves as an exact differential.

By following the standard Jacobi procedure [21] to reduce our variational principle to a geodesic one, we set $x^{a'} \equiv g^{ab}p_b$, and by the Hamiltonian equation (17) we obtain the metric

$$g^{\xi\xi} = \frac{f'}{E} (\xi^2 - 1),$$

$$g^{\theta\theta} = \frac{f'}{E} \frac{1}{\xi^2 - 1}.$$
(25)

By these and by the fundamental constraint relation

$$(\xi^2 - 1)p_{\xi}^2 + \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{\xi^2 - 1} = E^2, \qquad (26)$$

we get

$$g_{ab}x^{a\prime}x^{b\prime} = \frac{f'}{E} \left\{ (\xi^2 - 1)p_{\xi}^2 + \frac{p_{\theta}^2}{\xi^2 - 1} \right\} = f'E. \quad (27)$$

By the definition

$$x^{a\prime} = \frac{dx^{a}}{ds} \frac{ds}{d\eta} \equiv u^{a} \frac{ds}{d\eta},$$

Eq. (27) is rewriten

$$g_{ab}u^{a}u^{b}\left(\frac{ds}{d\eta}\right)^{2} = f'E,$$
(28)

which leads to the key relation

$$d\eta = \sqrt{\frac{g_{ab}u^a u^b}{f'E}} ds.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

²In this study the corresponding equations for p'_{ξ} and p'_{θ} are not relevant.

³By O() we mean terms of the same order as the enclosed ones.

Indeed the expression (29) together with $p_{\xi}\xi' + p_{\theta}\theta' = Ef'$ allows us to put the variational principle (24) in the geodesic form

$$\delta \int f' E d \eta = \delta \int \sqrt{g_{ab} u^a u^b f' E} ds = \delta \int \sqrt{G_{ab} u^a u^b} ds = 0,$$
(30)

where the metric $G_{ab} \equiv f' E g_{ab}$ satisfies the normalization condition $G_{ab} u^a u^b = 1$ and therefore⁴

$$\frac{ds}{d\eta} = Ef' \Rightarrow \frac{ds}{df} = E.$$
(31)

Summarizing, in the region Γ_H the considered dynamical problem reduces to a geodesic flow on a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold described by the line element

$$ds^{2} = E^{2} \left[\frac{d\xi^{2}}{\xi^{2} - 1} + (\xi^{2} - 1)d\theta^{2} \right].$$
 (32)

Now it is easy to check that the above metric has negative curvature, since the associated curvature scalar reads $R = -2/E^2$; therefore the point universe moves over a negatively curved bidimensional space on which the potential wall (5) cuts the region Γ_H . By a way completely independent of the temporal gauge we provided a satisfactory representation of the system as isomorphic to a billiard ball on a Lobachevsky plane [21].

V. INVARIANT LYAPUNOV EXPONENT

In order to characterize the dynamical instability of the billiard ball in terms of an invariant treatment (with respect to the choice of the coordinates ξ , θ), let us introduce the following (orthonormal) tetradic basis:

$$v^{i} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}{E}, 0\right),$$
$$w^{i} = \left(0, \frac{1}{E\sqrt{\xi^{2} - 1}}\right). \tag{33}$$

Indeed the vector v^i is nothing else than the geodesic field, i.e.,

$$\frac{Dv^i}{ds} = \frac{dv^i}{ds} + \Gamma^i_{kl} v^k v^l = 0, \qquad (34)$$

while the vector w^i is parallely transported along the geodesic, according to the equation

$$\frac{Dw^i}{ds} = \frac{dw^i}{ds} + \Gamma^i_{kl} v^k w^l = 0, \qquad (35)$$

where by Γ_{kl}^{i} we denoted the Christoffel symbols constructed by the metric (32). Projecting the geodesic deviation equation along the vector w^{i} (its component along the geodesic field v^{i} does not provide any physical information about the system instability), the corresponding connecting vector (tetradic) component Z satisfies the following equivalent equation:

$$\frac{d^2 Z}{ds^2} = \frac{Z}{E^2}.$$
(36)

This expression, as a projection on the tetradic basis, is a scalar one and therefore completely independent of the choice of the variables. Its general solution reads

$$Z(s) = c_1 e^{s/E} + c_2 e^{-s/E}, \quad c_{1,2} = \text{const},$$
(37)

and the invariant Lyapunov exponent defined as [22]

$$\lambda_v = \sup \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{\ln[Z^2 + (dZ/ds)^2]}{2s}, \qquad (38)$$

in terms of the form (37) takes the value

$$\lambda_v = \frac{1}{E} > 0. \tag{39}$$

When the point universe bounces against the potential walls, it is reflected from a geodesic to another one, thus making each of them unstable. Though up to the limit of our potential wall approximation, this result shows without any ambiguity that, independently of the choice of the temporal gauge, the Mixmaster dynamics is isomorphic to a welldescribed chaotic system. Equivalently, in terms of the BKL representation, the free geodesic motion corresponds to the evolution during a Kasner epoch and the bounces against the potential walls to the transition between two of them. By itself, the positive Lyapunov number (39) is not enough to ensure system chaoticity, since its derivation remains valid for any Bianchi type model; the crucial point is that for the Mixmaster model (types VIII and IX) the potential walls reduce the configuration space to a compact region (Γ_H) , ensuring that the positivity of λ_v implies a real chaotic behavior (i.e., the geodesic motion fills the entire configuration space).

Summarizing, our analysis shows that for any choice of the time variable, we are able to give the above stochastic representation of the Mixmaster model, provided the factorized coordinate transformation in configuration space,

$$\alpha = -e^{f(\tau)}a(\theta,\xi),$$

$$\beta_{+} = e^{f(\tau)}b_{+}(\theta,\xi),$$

$$\beta_{-} = e^{f(\tau)}b_{-}(\theta,\xi),$$
(40)

where $f_{,a,b_{\pm}}$ denote generic functional forms of the variables τ, θ, ξ .

It is worth noting that the success of our analysis, in

⁴We take the positive root since we require that the curvilinear coordinate *s* increases monotonically with increasing value of *f*, i.e., approaching the initial cosmological singularity.

showing the time gauge independence of the Mixmaster chaos, relies on the use of a standard ADM reduction of the variational principle (which reduces the system by one degree of freedom) and overall because, adopting Misner-Chitré-like variables, the asymptotic potential walls are fixed in time. The difference between our approach and the one presented in [23] (see also for a critical analysis [24]) con-

- V.A. Belinski, I.M. Khalatnikov, and E.M. Lifshitz, Adv. Phys. 19, 525 (1970).
- [2] V.A. Belinski, I.M. Khalatnikov, and E.M. Lifshitz, Adv. Phys. 31, 639 (1982).
- [3] C.W. Misner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1071 (1969).
- [4] O. Bogoyavlenskii and S. Novikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 64, 1475 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP 37, 747 (1973)].
- [5] J.D. Barrow, Phys. Rep. 85, 1 (1982).
- [6] D.F. Chernoff and J.D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 134 (1983).
- [7] N.J. Cornish and J.J. Levin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 998 (1997);
 Phys. Rev. D 55, 7489 (1997).
- [8] A.A. Kirillov and G. Montani, Phys. Rev. D 56, 6225 (1997).
- [9] G. Montani, in *The Chaotic Universe*, edited by V. G. Gurzadyan and R. Ruffini (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000), p. 173.
- [10] A.B. Burd, N. Buric, and R.K. Tavakol, Class. Quantum Grav. 8, 123 (1991).
- [11] B.K. Berger, Phys. Rev. D 49, 1120 (1991).
- [12] B.K. Berger, D. Garfinkle, and E. Strasser, Class. Quantum

sists effectively in these features, though in those works even the problem of the Mixmaster chaos covariance is faced with respect to the choice of generic configuration variables.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Remo Ruffini for his valuable comments on this subject.

Grav. 14, L29 (1997).

- [13] B.K. Berger, in *Deterministic Chaos in General Relativity*, edited by D. Hobill (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994), p. 329.
- [14] B.K. Berger, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 23, 1385 (1991).
- [15] K. Ferraz, G. Francisco, and G. E. A. Matsas, Phys. Lett. A 156, 407 (1992).
- [16] K. Ferraz and G. Francisco, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1158 (1992).
- [17] M. Szydlowski and A. Krawiec, Phys. Rev. D 47, 5323 (1993).
- [18] Deterministic Chaos in General Relativity, edited by D. Hobill, A. Burd, and A. Coley (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
- [19] V.G. Gurzadyan and A. A. Kocharyan, Report No. EPI-920 (71), Yerevan, 1986.
- [20] D.M. Chitré, Ph.D. thesis, University of Maryland, 1972.
- [21] V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [22] Ya. B. Pesin, Lyapunov Characteristic Numbers and Smooth Ergodic Theory 32, (4)55 (1977).
- [23] M. Szydlowski and A. Lapeta, Phys. Lett. A 148, 239 (1993).
- [24] A. Burd and R. Tavakol, Phys. Rev. D 47, 5336 (1993).