# Finding  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c(^1P_1)$  at DESY HERA-*B*

Cong-Feng Qiao\*

*II Institut fu¨r Theoretische Physik, Universita¨t Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany*

Changzheng Yuan†

*Laboratoire de l'Acce´le´rateur Line´aire, IN2P3/CNRS et Universite´ de Paris-Sud, 91898 Orsay, France* (Received 14 July 2000; published 4 December 2000)

The production of charmonium states  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c(^1P_1)$  at fixed-target experiments of *pN* collisions at DESY HERA-*B* is considered. It is found that the HERA-*B* at DESY is one of the best machines for further confirming and detecting these two kinds of charmonia in the near future.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.63.014007 PACS number(s): 13.60.Le, 14.40.Lb

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The dramatic discovery of charmonia, the  $J/\psi$  and its excited states, marked the beginning of a new era of particle physics. Until now charmonium physics has remained one of the most exciting areas of high energy physics. As the ''hydrogenlike atoms'' of strong interactions, charmonia could be investigated partly by virtue of perturbative QCD (PQCD) on account of the large charm quark mass, which puts the study on relatively solid ground; as well, the study may give clues of the nature of nonperturbative QCD.

Although the first charmonium state  $J/\psi$  was observed more than 20 years ago, the study of charmonium states is still far from satisfactory. Except for  $J/\psi$  itself, knowledge of the other charmonia is very limited. We do not even have a complete  $c\bar{c}$  mass spectrum below the  $D\bar{D}$  threshold [1]; that is, the existence of the *S*-wave spin singlet  $\eta_c'$  and the *P*-wave spin singlet  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  is still based on very weak experimental signals. To confirm the existing findings and give more precise values of the mass, width, and other parameters of these two resonances are now pressing tasks in experiments.

The  $\eta_c'$  was first observed in the Crystal Ball experiment in the inclusive photon energy spectrum from  $\psi'$  decays at 3594 MeV  $[2]$ ; until now the signal has not been observed by other experiments due to the low energy of the radiative photon and the relatively poor photon detection ability of other detectors compared with that of the Crystal Ball's [3–6]. The  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  state was first observed at 3526.14 MeV in the proton-antiproton annihilation experiment by the E760 group performed at Fermilab  $[7]$ , but with a statistical significance of the signal slightly more than three standard deviations, and also no other experiments have definitely confirmed the existence by now  $(E705)$ 's report  $[8]$  was doubted by Barnes, Browder, and Tuan  $[9]$ ).

Currently, the experiments suitable for charmonium studies are the Beijing Spectrometer (BES) running at the Beijing Electron Positron Collider (BEPC), the  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation experiment represented by the E835 experiment at Fermilab, and the scarce studies of the two-photon process in high energy  $e^+e^-$  colliders such as the CERN  $e^+e^-$  collider LEP and the Cornell Electron Storage Rings (CESR).

Because of the restriction of the quantum number at  $e^+e^$ colliders, only vectorlike charmonium states such as  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi'$  can be produced directly at lowest order, whereas the other charmonium states, such as  $\chi_{cJ}$ ,  $\eta_c$ , and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$ , can only be produced via either higher order processes or through  $J/\psi$  ( $\psi'$ ) electromagnetic and/or hadronic decays. For instance, the  $\eta_c'$  may be produced via  $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma + \eta_c'$  and the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  state via  $\psi' \rightarrow \pi^0 + h_c({}^1P_1)$ . Although the BES detector [10] has collected the largest  $\psi'$  data sample in the world, due to the limited energy resolution of the electromagnetic calorimeter and the rather small production rates of  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  in  $\psi'$  decays, the search for either  $\eta_c'$  or  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  did not give significant results.

As for proton-antiproton annihilation experiments, although they can produce charmonium states of various quantum numbers and can be used to determine the resonance parameters of the charmonium produced, the study of Charmonium is limited by the detection of the electromagnetic final states and the low production rate. The E760 and its succeeding version E835 did a very good job in measuring the resonance parameters of the  $\chi_{c1}$ ,  $\chi_{c2}$ , and some other charmonium states, but the study of the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  state is still insufficient and the existence of the  $\eta_c'$  is not confirmed. Of course, the E835 will continue this work and look further with more data in the near future.

The HERA- $B$  [11], an experiment presently set up at DESY, which uses the HERA 920 GeV proton beam incident on various nuclear targets, is focused on the measurement of  $\overline{CP}$  violation in the  $\overline{BB}$  system via mainly the final states containing  $J/\psi$ . The trigger system is designed to recognize events with  $J/\psi \rightarrow l^+l^-$  ( $l = e$  or  $\mu$ ). Furthermore, the detector also is designed for precise measurement of photons with its electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), which makes the study of charmonia very possible through detecting the final states of the charmonium decays containing  $J/\psi$  and neutral particles such as  $\gamma$  or  $\pi^{0}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In following section we present the formalism for  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production in a general framework in a fixed-target experiment. In Sec. III the obtained formalism is applied to the HERA-*B* situation

<sup>\*</sup>Email address: qiaocf@mail.desy.de

<sup>†</sup> Email address: yuancz@lal.in2p3.fr



FIG. 1. The leading order  $\eta_c'$  production process at *PN* collision in both  $\alpha_s$  and  $v^2$ .

numerically; the direct and indirect production rates of these two states are evaluated. In Sec. IV, we give a rough estimation of the signals and backgrounds in searching for these two states for experimentalists' reference. In the last section some discussions and conclusions are made.

# **II.**  $\eta_c'$  AND  $h_c(^1P_1)$  **PRODUCTION**

For  $\eta_c'$  production, to leading order in  $\alpha_s$  and  $v^2$ , the relative velocity of heavy quarks inside the bound state, it is a two-to-one process as shown in Fig. 1. The parton level cross section can be easily calculated or just obtained from the corresponding  $\eta_c$  producing process with the nonperturbative sector replaced. It is

$$
\hat{\sigma}_1 = \frac{2\pi^3 \alpha_s^2}{9(2m_c)^5} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{\eta_c'}(^1S_0) | 0 \rangle z \delta(1-z). \tag{1}
$$

Here,  $\alpha_s$  is the strong coupling constant;  $\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{\eta_c'}(^1S_0) | 0 \rangle$  is the nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) color-singlet nonperturbative matrix element, which can be related to  $|R_{\eta'_c}(0)|$ , the radial wave function at the origin of the bound state, by  $\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{\eta_c'}(^1S_0) | 0 \rangle = (3/2\pi) |R(0)|^2$ ; and  $z = M_{\eta_c'}^2 / \hat{s}$ , where  $\hat{s}$ denotes the c.m. system  $(c.m.s.)$  energy in partonic system.

As for the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production, the situation is somewhat different from that of  $\eta_c'$ . Of the latter, at leading order in  $\alpha_s$ and  $v<sup>2</sup>$  there is only one possible channel giving the contribution, but of the former, there are several to the same order of accuracy. To be more clearly, according to the Bodwin-Braaten-Lepage (BBL) theory for quarkonium production and decays  $[12]$ , the Fock states of quarkonium are ordered in *v*, i.e.,

$$
|h_c({}^1P_1)\rangle = \mathcal{O}(1)|c_{\mathcal{L}}[{}^1P_1^{(1)}]\rangle + \mathcal{O}(v)|c_{\mathcal{L}}[{}^1S_0^{(8)}]g\rangle
$$
  
+  $\mathcal{O}(v)|c_{\mathcal{L}}[{}^1D_2^{(8)}]g\rangle + \cdots$ . (2)

Because for *P*-wave states the leading nonvanishing wave functions are the derivative of the wave functions at the origin, or in other words that the *P*-wave states are produced via the NRQCD dimension-8 operators or higher, the NRQCD scaling rules [13] tell us that for  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production the nonperturbative matrix elements stemming from the first two



FIG. 2. The generic diagrams of  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production process at *PN* collision at leading order in  $v^2$ : (a) the color-octet process, (b),  $(c)$ ,  $(d)$  the color-singlet processes.

terms in Eq.  $(2)$  are of the same order in  $v<sup>2</sup>$ . Based on this argument the leading-order color-singlet and -octet processes of the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production are shown in Fig. 2.

As depicted in Fig.  $2(a)$ , the color-octet process is also a two-to-one process. The cross section of the partonic scattering process can be straightforwardly obtained:

$$
\hat{\sigma}_2 = \frac{5\,\pi^3\alpha_s^2}{12(2m_c)^5} \langle 0| \mathcal{O}_8^{h_c}(^1S_0)|0\rangle z \,\delta(1-z),\tag{3}
$$

where  $\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{h_c}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle$  is the color-octet nonperturbative matrix element.

Of the color-singlet processes, Figs.  $2(b) - 2(d)$ , the twogluon fusion channel of (b) may survive only with at least an additional gluon in the final states from the Landau-Yang theorem, as shown in the figure; the others are not restricted by this law, but are, however, ruled out by the properties of charge conjugation of the processes. The reason for this is that heavy-quark-loop factor (including the projector for the quarkonium state) is odd under charge conjugation. That is, the  $C$ -odd  $h_c$  state cannot decay through two-vector currents  $(C$  even), and the direct calculation really shows they give no contributions. The cross section of Fig.  $2(b)$  reads as



FIG. 3. The generic diagrams of  $\psi'$  production process at *PN* collision: (a) and (c) the color-octet processes at  $v<sup>4</sup>$  and leading order in  $\alpha_s$ , (b) the leading-order color-singlet process in both  $\alpha_s$ and  $v^2$ .

FINDING  $\eta_c'$  AND  $h_c(^1P_1)$  AT DESY HERA-*B* **Example 20** And the set of  $\eta_c'$  and  $\eta_c'$  and  $\eta_c''$  and  $\eta$ 

$$
\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{3}(g+g\rightarrow h_{c}[{}^{1}P_{1}^{(1)}])}{d\hat{t}} = -\frac{\pi^{2}\alpha_{s}^{3}(0|\mathcal{O}_{1}^{h_{c}}({}^{1}P_{1})|0\rangle}{108(2m_{c})\hat{s}^{2}} \left\{ 24\frac{4\hat{t}^{2}\hat{u}^{2}+\hat{s}\hat{t}\hat{u}(\hat{t}+\hat{u})+2\hat{s}^{2}(\hat{t}^{2}+\hat{t}\hat{u}+\hat{u}^{2})}{(\hat{s}+\hat{t})^{2}(\hat{s}+\hat{u})^{2}(\hat{t}+\hat{u})^{2}} + \frac{40}{3(\hat{s}+\hat{t})^{3}(\hat{s}+\hat{u})^{3}(\hat{t}+\hat{u})^{3}} \times (12\hat{s}^{6}\hat{t}+44\hat{s}^{5}\hat{t}^{2}+72\hat{s}^{4}\hat{t}^{3}+72\hat{s}^{3}\hat{t}^{4}+44\hat{s}^{2}\hat{t}^{5}+12\hat{s}\hat{t}^{6}+12\hat{s}^{6}\hat{u}+58\hat{s}^{5}\hat{t}\hat{u}+149\hat{s}^{4}\hat{t}^{2}\hat{u}+179\hat{s}^{3}\hat{t}^{3}\hat{u} +140\hat{s}^{2}\hat{t}^{4}\hat{u}+56\hat{s}\hat{t}^{5}\hat{u}+12\hat{t}^{6}\hat{u}+46\hat{s}^{5}\hat{u}^{2}+157\hat{s}^{4}\hat{t}\hat{u}^{2}+246\hat{s}^{3}\hat{t}^{2}\hat{u}^{2}+231\hat{s}^{2}\hat{t}^{3}\hat{u}^{2}+142\hat{s}\hat{t}^{4}\hat{u}^{2}+44\hat{t}^{5}\hat{u}^{2} +78\hat{s}^{4}\hat{u}^{3}+198\hat{s}^{3}\hat{t}\hat{u}^{3}+240\hat{s}^{2}\hat{t}^{2}\hat{u}^{3}+178\hat{s}\hat{t}^{3}\hat{u}^{3}+72\hat{t}^{4}\hat{u}^{3}+79\hat{s}^{3}\hat{u}^{4}+158\hat{s}^{2}\hat{t}\hat{u
$$

Here in the above,  $\hat{s} = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ ,  $\hat{t} = (p_1 - p_3)^2$ , and  $\hat{u}$  $\equiv (p_2-p_3)^2$  are ordinary Mandelstam variables; the universal nonperturbative matrix element  $\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{h_c}(^1P_1) | 0 \rangle$  is related to the derivative of the radial wave function at the origin of  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  by  $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_1^{h_c}({}^1P_1)|0\rangle = (27/2\pi)|R'_{h_c}(0)|^2$ .

Except for the direct production of these two states given in above, another main source of their production is of the electromagnetic or hadronic decays of the  $\psi'$  in accompanying with one  $\gamma$  or  $\pi^0$ . The dominant partonic interaction processes of the  $\psi'$  production in *pN* collision at HERA-*B* energy are drawn as Fig. 3.

The expression for gluon-gluon fusion processes, Figs.  $3(a)$  and  $3(b)$ , can be written as

$$
\hat{\sigma}_4(g+g\rightarrow\psi') = \frac{5\,\pi^3\alpha_s^2}{12(2m_c)^5} \Big\{ \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(^1S_0)|0\rangle + \frac{3}{m_c^2} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(^3P_0)|0\rangle \n+ \frac{4}{5m_c^2} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(^3P_2)|0\rangle \Big\} z\,\delta(1-z) \n+ \frac{20\pi^2\alpha_s^3}{81(2m_c)^5} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_1^{\psi'}(^3S_1)|0\rangle z^2 \Big\{ \frac{1-z^2+2z\log z}{(z-1)^2} \n+ \frac{1-z^2+2z\log z}{(z+1)^3} \Big\} \theta(1-z). \tag{5}
$$

The expression for the process of Fig.  $3(c)$  is quite simple; it is

$$
\hat{\sigma}_5(q+\bar{q}\to\psi'[{}^3S_1^{(8)}])
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{16\pi^3\alpha_s^2}{27(2m_c)^5} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}({}^1S_0)|0\rangle z\delta(1-z).
$$
 (6)

Here, although the octet processes are suppressed in  $v^2$ , they get compensation from the enhancement of  $1/\alpha_s$  relative to the color-singlet process. So it is proper to include them in the  $\psi'$  production rate estimation.

# **III.** NUMERICAL ESTIMATION FOR  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c(^1P_1)$ **PRODUCTION AT HERA-***B*

In the above section we have calculated the necessary partonic cross sections at leading order in  $v^2$  and/or  $\alpha_s$  for  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production in the proton-nucleon collision. According to the general factorization theorem the experimental cross sections can be obtained by convoluting the subprocess with the parton distribution functions in the nucleons, i.e.,

$$
\sigma(A+B\rightarrow C+X) = \sum \int G_a(x_a)G_b(x_b)
$$

$$
\times \hat{\sigma}(a+b\rightarrow C+Y)dx_a dx_b, \quad (7)
$$

where the sum runs over all the possible initial interacting partons which involve in the interaction, *A* and *B* represent nucleons, *C* represents the charmonium, *X* and *Y* are the remnants of the inclusive processes, and  $G_a(x_a)$  and  $G_b(x_b)$  are the parton distribution functions of the colliding nucleons *A* and *B* with momentum fractions  $x_a$  and  $x_b$ , respectively.

In doing the numerical estimation the following inputs are taken:

$$
\alpha_s(2m_c) = 0.253, \quad M_{\eta'_c} = 3.6 \text{ GeV},
$$
\n
$$
M_{h_c(1P_1)} = 3.5 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV},
$$
\n
$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{h_c(1S_0)} | 0 \rangle = 0.98 \times 10^{-2} \text{ GeV}^5 \text{ [14]},
$$
\n
$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{h_c(1P_1)} | 0 \rangle = 0.32 \text{ GeV}^5 \text{ [15]},
$$
\n
$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{7}{\pi^2} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(1S_0) | 0 \rangle
$$

$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{7}{m_c^2} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}({}^3P_0) | 0 \rangle
$$
  
= 0.56×10<sup>-2</sup> GeV<sup>3</sup> [16],  

$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{\psi'}({}^3S_1) | 0 \rangle = 0.44 \text{ GeV}^3 [17],
$$
  

$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}({}^3S_1) | 0 \rangle = 6.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^3 [17],
$$
  

$$
\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_1^{\eta_c'}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle = 0.20 \text{ GeV}^3 [18],
$$
 (8)

<b>State</b>	$\eta_c'$	$h_c({}^1P_1)$	$\psi'$		$b\bar{b}$		Inel.
Cross section $(\overline{n})$	$1076.1$ nb	$153.7$ nb	84.2 nb		12 <sub>nb</sub>		$13 \text{ mb}$
Events rate (Hz)	3311	473	259		37		40 M
$N^{prod}$ in 10 <sup>7</sup> s	$3.3 \times 10^{10}$	$4.7 \times 10^{9}$	$2.6 \times 10^{9}$		$3.7 \times 10^8$		$4.0 \times 10^{14}$
Final states	$\gamma J/\psi$	$\pi^0 J/\psi$	$\gamma\eta_c'$	$\pi^{0}h_c({}^1P_1)$		$\eta_c' + X \quad h_c(^1P_1) + X$	
Fraction	$1.2\times$	$1.2\times$	$1.2\times$	$1.2\times$	$4.8\times$	$2.4\times$	
$\left[l^{+}l^{-}\gamma(\gamma)\right]$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-9} - 10^{-8}$ $10^{-9} - 10^{-8}$		$10^{-8}$	$10^{-7}$	
$N^{prod}$ in 10 <sup>7</sup> s	$4.0 \times 10^5$	$5.6 \times 10^5$	$3 - 31$	$3 - 31$	18	89	
$\lceil l^+l^- \gamma(\gamma) \rceil$							
$N^{obs}$ in 10 <sup>7</sup> s	$4.0 \times 10^4$	$5.6 \times 10^{4}$	$0.3 - 3$	$0.3 - 3$	1.8	8.9	
(Assuming $\varepsilon = 10\%$ )							

TABLE I. Estimation of event numbers of  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  and  $\eta_c'$  production at HERA-*B*.

and the CTEQ 3M package for parton distributions is employed with the factorization scale chosen to be equal to the NRQCD scale  $\mu=2m_c$ . In making use of the present fitted matrix elements given in above, the spin symmetry relation  $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(^3P_J)|0\rangle = (2J+1)\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{\psi'}(^3P_0)|0\rangle$  has been applied.

With 920 GeV incident protons we find that the magnitude of the cross sections given in the preceding section are

$$
\sigma_1 = 1076.1 \text{ nb/n}, \quad \sigma_2 = 98.9 \text{ nb/n}, \quad \sigma_3 = 54.8 \text{ nb/n},
$$

$$
\sigma_4 = 79.0 \text{ nb/n}, \quad \sigma_5 = 5.2 \text{ nb/n}.
$$
 (9)

Here, the nb/n means nb/nucleon for shorthand. The  $\psi'$  production cross section  $(84.2 \text{ nb/n})$  agrees well with the experimental measurement of  $(75\pm5\pm22)$  nb/n by E789 [19], indicating the reliability of the other calculations in this paper. However, quarkonium production rates are often sensitive to the choice of  $m<sub>c</sub>$  and the parton distributions. To see the effect of the former, we assume that the difference between calculated and measured  $\psi'$  production cross sections is a pure effect of  $m<sub>c</sub>$ ; to cover the error of the measured value,  $m_c$  should vary from 1.45 to 1.65 GeV. By changing  $m_c$ from 1.5 to 1.45 and 1.65 GeV in all other cross section calculations, the relative uncertainties of the  $\sigma s$  are shown below. As for the latter, we simply take another parton distribution functions, the Glück-Reya-Vogt  $(GRV)$  [20], the deviations of the  $\sigma s$  are also listed below:

$$
\Delta \sigma_1 = ^{+40.1}_{-44.3} + 28.5 \%, \quad \Delta \sigma_2 = ^{+24.3}_{-46.6} + 28.5 \%, \n\Delta \sigma_3 = ^{+32.6}_{-55.4} + 28.8 \%, \n\Delta \sigma_4 = ^{+25.3}_{-47.7} + 29.2 \%, \quad \Delta \sigma_5 = ^{+20.5}_{-41.4} - 5.8 \%. (10)
$$

Here, the first deviations come from the change of  $m_c$  ( $'$ +'' for  $m_c$ =1.45 GeV and "-" for  $m_c$ =1.65 GeV); the second corresponds to the choice of a different parton distribution code (GRV results relative to the CTEQ ones). We can see from the above results that the deviations of the cross sections relative to different parton distributions agree within 30%, and the charm quark mass uncertainty changes cross sections around 50%.

Because of the projected high interaction rate, 40 MHz, the results in Eq. (9) means that in a running time of  $10^7$  s at HERA-*B* using a Cu target, for example, the directly produced  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  events number would be about 3.3  $\times 10^{10}$  and 4.7 $\times 10^{9}$ . The  $\psi'$  events number would be about  $2.6\times10^9$ , which is three orders higher than the present  $\psi'$ date sample collected at  $e^+e^-$  colliders.

Theoretical estimation of the branching fractions of the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  production in  $\psi'$  decays are about  $10^{-5}$ – $10^{-3}$  from Refs. [21–23], and the  $\eta_c'$  rate are about  $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-3}$  from the naive estimation of the *M*1 transition in nonrelativistic limit [24,25]. Therefore, the indirectly produced  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  and  $\eta_c'$  would be of the order  $10^4 - 10^6$  and  $10^5 - 10^6$  correspondingly.

The indirect production of charmonium in *B* decays has been estimated in Ref. [26]; the production rates of  $h_c({}^{1}P_1)$ and  $\eta_c'$  (assuming the same as that of  $\eta_c$ ) are of the order of  $10^{-3}$ . Using the  $b\bar{b}$  production cross section of 12 nb/n, the produced  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  and  $\eta_c'$  events are of the order of  $10^5 - 10^6$ in  $10^7$  s of the HERA-*B* running time, which is the same order as via  $\psi'$  decays.

#### **IV. SEARCHING STRATEGY**

As mentioned in the Introduction, the interesting topologies for detecting these two states at HERA-*B* are  $\gamma J/\psi$  and  $\pi^0 J/\psi$  for  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$ , respectively, where  $J/\psi$  decays into lepton pairs and  $\pi^0$  decays into two photons. Because of the charge-conjugation invariance, the decay modes  $\eta_c'$  $\rightarrow \pi^0 J/\psi$  and  $h_c \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$  are ruled out.

The  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  state was observed decaying to  $\pi^0J/\psi$  with branching ratio  $\sim 10^{-3}$  [7], which is of the same order of magnitude as the theoretical expectation [21], and the  $\eta_c'$ decaying to  $\gamma J/\psi$  is expected with a width of the order  $\sim \mathcal{O}(1k \text{ eV})$  [27]. Considering that the theoretical estimation of the decay width of the  $\eta_c'$  is about 5 MeV, it has a branching ratio of  $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$  in the  $\gamma J/\psi$  decay mode. Using the numbers listed above, Table I lists the estimation of produced events for  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  and  $\eta_c'$  in all the production mechanisms, taking into account the branching ratios of the *J*/ $\psi$  leptonic decays and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ .

From the table, we can see that the produced events of

interested topologies from indirect productions are too low (of the order of  $10–100$ ) to produce meaningful signals for observing the two states. But instead, direct production of these two states is rather large, of the order of  $4-6\times10^{5}$ . As we know the geometric acceptance of the HERA-*B* detector is large and its trigger is optimized for  $J/\psi$  events, we do expect a high efficiency of detecting these two final states. Suppose the overall efficiency of detecting these two final states is around 10%; one expects  $4-6\times10^4$  reconstructed events each channel, which are large numbers compared to those channels for observing  $\mathbb{CP}$  violation (in the same running time, the reconstructed events of  $J/\psi K_s$  are estimated to be around  $1400$ ).

The main background channel for  $\eta_c'$  observation is  $\chi_{c2}$  $\rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ , which has the same final states but much larger cross section and very near the expected  $\eta_c'$  mass. Using the measured cross section of  $\chi_{c2}$  by E771 [28], the number of reconstructed  $\chi_{c2}$  events is estimated to be around 10<sup>8</sup> (the combinational background at  $\chi_{c2}$  mass region is about the same size as  $\chi_{c2}$  events as shown in Ref. [28]). The significance of the observed  $\eta_c'$  depends strongly on the mass resolution of the  $\gamma J/\psi$  system and the mass difference between  $\chi_{c2}$  and  $\eta_c'$ . Theoretical estimations of the  $\eta_c'$  mass range from 3589 to 3631 MeV  $[29]$ , and the only experimental hint [2] is at a mass of (3594 $\pm$ 5) MeV. For a 3.6 GeV mass  $\eta_c'$ , if the mass resolution is around 10 MeV or less,  $\eta_c'$  will produce a long tail at the high mass side of  $\chi_{c2}$ , and at a mass higher than 3.6 GeV, the events are almost free from the  $\chi_{c2}$  background. If the mass resolution reaches 15 MeV or even larger, it will be hard to distinguish  $\eta_c'$  from  $\chi_{c2}$ . A larger  $m_{\eta'_c}$  obviously will increase the possibility of resolving  $\eta_c'$  from the  $\chi_{c2}$  tail, while a low mass  $\eta_c'$  will more depend on the mass resolution.

For  $h_c({}^1P_1)$ , the main background is from the  $\pi^0\pi^0J/\psi$ produced by  $\psi'$  decays. Compared with that in the  $\eta'_c$  case, here the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  is at the phase space limit of the  $\pi^0J/\psi$ system produced from  $\psi'$  decays and the cross section of the latter is smaller than  $\chi_{c2}$  by at least a factor of 3%. Furthermore, there is no other nearby resonance decays to the same final states. All these make the observation of  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  easier than  $\eta_c'$ .

At the point of data analysis, for  $\eta_c'$ , instead of using the invariant mass of  $J/\psi$  and the detected  $\gamma$ , using the mass difference between the  $l^{+}l^{-} \gamma$  system and the  $l^{+}l^{-}$  system would be better in finding the signal, since the latter can compensate some of the effects due to energy losses of radiation and bremsstrahlung of the lepton tracks.

In searching for the  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  state, reconstruction of the  $\pi^0$  is also important for event selection, and it is also a very good constraint to lower the background level greatly. As in the  $\gamma J/\psi$  case, the mass difference method will be helpful to this channel as well.

useful. In both cases the  $J/\psi$  mass sidebands and, in  $h_c({}^1P_1)$ , searching the  $\pi^0$  mass sidebands will tell us the shape of the background. The absence of the same peak in the mass spectrum of sidebands events will be a demonstration that the selection is reasonable.

It is important to note that all of the above discussions are based on a sample of  $10<sup>7</sup>$  s running time. With more statistics, instead of reconstructing photons from ECAL, one can detect converted photons to reconstruct  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c(^1P_1)$ , as has been indicated by E771 [28]. In this case, the mass resolution will be significantly improved  $(5.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ MeV}$  for the  $\gamma J/\psi$  system in the E771 experiment), and  $\eta_c'$  will be resolved from  $\chi_{c2}$  even if it has a small mass.

## **V. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we have discussed the physics potential of HERA-*B* in detecting the  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c(^1P_1)$ . Our numerical results reveal that there are about  $10^{10}$  and  $10^9$  of  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c(^1P_1)$  events which would be produced at HERA-*B* in 10<sup>7</sup> s of running time. A rough estimation shows that  $h_c({}^1P_1)$ will be observed clearly in its  $\pi^0 J/\psi$  decay mode, and  $\eta_c'$ will be observed as a shoulder at high mass side of  $\chi_{c2}$  in  $\gamma J/\psi$  channel if the mass resolution is not too large.

The searching strategies of these two states at HERA-*B* are given. The major backgrounds in the detection and the possible detecting measures are also discussed.

It should be mentioned that the theoretical basement of our calculation in this paper, the NRQCD factorization, may not work well in the inclusive quarkonium production at full phase space, that is, at the small  $p_T$  ( $p_T$  not much greater than  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ ) region, which would cast some shadow on the validity of the results of the inclusive fix-target calculations. However, at least from our calculation on  $\psi'$  production, which agrees with the experiment value quite well, we are convinced to a certain degree of the accuracy of our other calculations in this paper.

Last, it should be noticed that although the study presented in this paper is just an order estimation because either the input parameters, such as the color-octet matrix elements, are more or less accurate just to an order or the evaluation is based only on the first order calculation, or the factorization problem mentioned above, the results were well constrained by the known measurement of  $\psi'$  production, so the conclusion of the paper should hold. That is, detection of  $\eta_c'$  and  $h_c({}^1P_1)$  at HERA-*B* is feasible and promising.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

C.-F.Q. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Committee for financial support; C.Z.Y. thanks Professor H. Kolanoski, Professor C. H. Jiang, and Professor M. Davier for helpful discussions and comments.

- @1# Particle Data Group, C. Caso *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **3**, 1  $(1998)$ , and references therein.
- [2] C. Edwards et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 70 (1982).
- @3# BES Collaboration, J. Z. Bai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 072001

 $(1999).$ 

- @4# L3 Collaboration, M. Acciarri *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **461**, 155  $(1999).$
- [5] E835 Collaboration, N. Pastrone (spokesperson), in *Proceed-*

*ings of the Workshop on Hadron Spectroscopy (WHS 99)*, Frascati, Italy, 1999, Frascati Physics Series Vol. XV (LNF-SIS, Frascati, 2000), pp. 327–336.

- [6] DELPHI Collaboration, P. Abreu et al., Phys. Lett. B 441, 479  $(1998).$
- [7] E760 Collaboration, T. A. Armstrong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2337 (1992).
- @8# E705 Collaboration, L. Antoniazzi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4258 (1994).
- [9] T. Barnes, T. E. Browder, and S. F. Tuan, Report No. UH-511-868-97, 1997.
- [10] BES Collaboration, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 344, 319 (1994).
- [11] DESY-PRC 94/02, HERA-*B* proposal, 1994; DESY-PRC 95/ 01, HERA-*B* design report, 1995.
- [12] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1125 (1995); **55**, 5853 (1997).
- [13] W. E. Caswell and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Lett. **167B**, 437 (1986).
- [14] P. Cho and A. K. Leibovich, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 150 (1996); **53**, 6203 (1996).
- [15] M. Mangano and A. Petrelli, Phys. Lett. B 352, 445 (1995).
- [16] M. Beneke, Report No. CERN-TH. 55/97.
- [17] B. A. Kniehl and G. Kramer, Phys. Rev. D 60, 014006 (1999).
- [18] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1726 (1995).
- [19] M. H. Schub *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1307 (1995).
- [20] M. Glück, E. Reya, and A. Vogt, Eur. Phys. J. C **5**, 461 (1998).
- [21] M. B. Voloshin, Yad. Fiz. 43, 1571 (1986) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **43**, 1011 (1986)].
- @22# Y. P. Kuang, S. F. Tuan, and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1210 (1988).
- $[23]$  P. Ko, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1710  $(1995)$ .
- [24] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 32, 189 (1985).
- [25] T. Barnes, T. E. Browder, and S. F. Tuan, Phys. Lett. B 385, 391 (1996).
- [26] M. Beneke and F. Maltoni, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 054003 (1999).
- [27] K. T. Chao, Y. F. Gu, and S. F. Tuan, Commun. Theor. Phys. **25**, 471 (1996).
- $[28]$  T. Alexopoulos *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D  $62$ , 032006  $(2000)$ .
- [29] W. Buchmuller and S-H. H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 132 (1981); M. Baker *et al.*, *ibid.* **51**, 1968 ~1995!; Yu-Qi Chen and R. J. Oakes, Report No. NUHEPTH-95-05, 1995; S. N. Gupta *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* 49, 1551 (1994).