Search for quark-lepton compositeness at Fermilab Tevatron and CERN LHC

Supriya Jain, Ambreesh K. Gupta, and Naba K. Mondal

Department of High Energy Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India

(Received 9 May 2000; published 3 October 2000)

We make a Monte Carlo study on compositeness of first generation quarks and leptons using the Drell-Yan distribution in the high dielectron mass region at the Fermilab Tevatron and CERN LHC energies. The current experimental lower limits on the compositeness scale Λ vary from 2.5 to 6.1 TeV. In the present analysis, we assume that there will be no deviation of the dielectron mass spectrum from the standard model prediction at center-of-mass energies 2 TeV (Tevatron) and 14 TeV (LHC). We then use the contact interaction Lagrangian and find that in the LL, RR, RL, and LR chirality channels of the quark-electron currents, it is possible to extend the lower limits on Λ (at 95% C.L.) to a range of 6–10 TeV for 2 fb⁻¹ and 9–19 TeV for 30 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity at Tevatron. At LHC, the corresponding limits extend to a range of 16–25 TeV for 10 fb⁻¹ and 20–36 TeV for 100 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity.

PACS number(s): 12.60.Rc, 13.85.-t

The proliferation of quarks and leptons has inspired speculation that they could be composite structures, i.e., bound states of more fundamental constituents often called preons [1]. Below a characteristic energy scale called the compositeness scale Λ the preon-binding interaction becomes strong and binds the constituents to form composite states such as quarks and leptons. With such a composite structure, there would be significant deviation from the standard model (SM) prediction of high-energy cross sections. No such deviation has been observed so far. These null results have been used to put lower limits on quark-lepton compositeness scale Λ , which varies from 2.5 to 6.1 TeV [2] in the various chirality channels of the quark-lepton currents.

In this paper, we consider the effects of the composite structure of first generation quarks and leptons on the Drell-Yan (DY) process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow e^+e^-$ [3]. If the compositeness scale Λ is much greater than $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$, the center-of-mass energy of the colliding partons, the quarks and electrons would appear to be pointlike. The substructure coupling can then be approximated by a four-fermion contact interaction giving rise to the following effective Lagrangian¹ [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ql} &= \frac{g_0^2}{\Lambda^2} \{ \eta_{LL}(\bar{q}_L \gamma^{\mu} q_L)(\bar{e}_L \gamma_{\mu} e_L) + \eta_{LR}(\bar{q}_L \gamma^{\mu} q_L)(\bar{e}_R \gamma_{\mu} e_R) \\ &+ \eta_{RL}(\bar{u}_R \gamma_{\mu} u_R)(\bar{e}_L \gamma^{\mu} e_L) + \eta_{RL}(\bar{d}_R \gamma_{\mu} d_R)(\bar{e}_L \gamma^{\mu} e_L) \\ &+ \eta_{RR}(\bar{u}_R \gamma^{\mu} u_R)(\bar{e}_R \gamma_{\mu} e_R) + \eta_{RR}(\bar{d}_R \gamma^{\mu} d_R)(\bar{e}_R \gamma_{\mu} e_R) \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1)$$

where

$$q_L = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ d \end{bmatrix}_L$$

is the left-handed quark doublet, u_R and d_R are the righthanded quark singlets, e_L and e_R are the left- and righthanded electrons, respectively. The compositeness scale (Λ) is chosen so that $g_0^2/4\pi=1$ and the largest $|\eta_{ij}|=1$, where g_0 is the coupling constant for the contact interaction and η_{ij} is the interference term between the contact interaction and the SM Lagrangian for the *ij*th channel, with *i* and *j* representing the helicities of the quark and the lepton currents. Including the above contact interaction (at $\Lambda \ge \sqrt{\hat{s}}$), the DY cross section gets transformed as [4]

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\Lambda}}{dm} = \frac{d\sigma}{dm}(\mathrm{DY}) + \beta I + \beta^2 C, \qquad (2)$$

where $\beta = 1/\Lambda^2$ and *m* is the dielectron invariant mass. In this expression, *I* is due to the interference of DY and the contact term, and *C* is the pure contact term contribution to the cross section. The deviation in the dielectron production



FIG. 1. Dielectron invariant mass spectra between 80 GeV and 1.5 TeV for the DY process at $\sqrt{s}=2$ TeV, as predicted by PYTHIA and as calculated using our parton level Monte Carlo calculation.

¹Here we have assumed that the contact interaction is a color singlet and weak isoscalar.

TABLE I. Choice of η_{ij} for different contact interaction models. The superscript on the model denotes the nature of interference between the contact interaction and the SM Lagrangian. Constructive interference ($\eta_{ij} = -1$) is denoted by a + and destructive interference ($\eta_{ij} = +1$) is denoted by a -.

Model	η_{LL}	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle RR}$	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle LR}$	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle RL}$
LL^{\pm}	∓ 1	0	0	0
RR^{\pm}	0	∓ 1	0	0
LR^{\pm}	0	0	∓ 1	0
RL^{\pm}	0	0	0	∓ 1

from SM expectations would be dominant in the high mass region above the Z pole. We have made separate studies for quark-electron compositeness for an integrated luminosity of 2 fb^{-1} (run II) and 30 fb^{-1} (TEV33) with respect to the DØ detector at the Fermilab Tevatron and an integrated luminosity of 10 and 100 fb^{-1} with respect to the CMS detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC). However, the results should be valid for the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) detector at Tevatron and the ATLAS detector at LHC as well. We have simulated dielectron production through the DY process alone in $p\bar{p}$ (pp) collisions at the center-of-mass energy, \sqrt{s} , equal to 2 TeV (14 TeV) using PYTHIA [5]. However, since PYTHIA does not incorporate all the compositeness models, we have used a separate parton level Monte Carlo program to estimate dielectron production rates in the presence of compositeness. Assuming that the Tevatron and LHC data on dielectron production are consistent with DY predictions under SM, we extract limits on compositeness scale using Bayesian technique of statistical inference [6,7]. We have considered four different models corresponding to the LL, RR, RL, and LR chirality channels



FIG. 2. Cross section, $\Delta \sigma$ (in 50 GeV bins), versus dielectron invariant mass *m* between 50 GeV and 1.8 TeV for DY process and three different values of Λ in the LL channel for $\eta_{ij} = -1$.



FIG. 3. Cross section, $\Delta \sigma$ (in 50 GeV bins), versus dielectron invariant mass *m* between 50 GeV and 1.8 TeV for DY process and three different values of Λ in the LL channel for $\eta_{ij} = +1$.

of Eq. (1) for quark-electron compositeness. The choice of η_{ij} for the different models of compositeness is listed in Table I.

Exploring the lower limits on Λ at Tevatron

We simulate $p\bar{p}$ collisions using PYTHIA at 2 TeV and generate DY dielectron events between 95 GeV and 1.5 TeV of the dielectron invariant mass. The total number of dielectron events generated by PYTHIA, N_{gen} , gives the expected number of background subtracted dielectron events $N_{\rm DY}$ to be collected at Tevatron as

$$N_{\rm DY} = \epsilon \times N_{gen} \,, \tag{3}$$

where ϵ is the detection efficiency of the dielectron. The detection efficiency ϵ of the dielectron involves contribution from the following terms: (a) energy smearing, (b) electron identification efficiency ϵ_1 , and (c) acceptance ϵ_2 .

The energy resolution of the electromagnetic calorimeter of the upgraded $D\emptyset$ detector is parametrized as

TABLE II. Detection efficiency and expected number of DY events in different mass bins.

Mass bin (GeV)	$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k$	$N_{\rm DY}^k$ $L=2 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$N_{\rm DY}^k$ $L = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
		2 2 10	2 30 10
120-160	0.590	2335.8	34508.1
160-200	0.629	606.9	8990.1
200-240	0.655	236.3	3589.4
240-290	0.663	117.8	1942.8
290-340	0.675	66.5	877.1
340-400	0.668	34.0	461.7
400-500	0.689	23.8	276.0
500-600	0.712	6.5	98.3
600-1000	0.677	1.5	42.6
1000-1500	0.723	0	2.2

SEARCH FOR QUARK-LEPTON COMPOSITENESS AT ...

TABLE III. Expected 95% C.L. lower limits Λ_{lim} on the compositeness scale for different helicity channels of the quark-electron currents for L=2 fb⁻¹ at 2 TeV with $\delta\epsilon^{k}=15\%$ and $\delta L=5\%$.

Channel	Λ_{lim} (TeV) ($\eta_{ii} = -1$)	Λ_{lim} (TeV) (η_{ii} =+1)
LL	10.1	8.0
RR	9.3	6.0
RL	7.8	5.7
LR	7.3	6.0

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{E}\right)^2 = C^2 + \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{E}}\right)^2$$
 (*E* in GeV), (4)

where the constant term *C* and the stochastic term *a* are taken to 2 and 16%, respectively. We take the electron identification efficiency ϵ_1 for a single electron to be 85%. The identification efficiency for a dielectron is then ϵ_1^2 . The acceptance ϵ_2 of dielectron events in $p\bar{p}$ collisions is defined as the fraction of events in which the e^+e^- pair passes the fiducial and the kinematic cuts after taking into account the energy smearing. The fiducial and the kinematic cuts used are the following: (a) $|\eta| \leq 2.5$, where η is the pseudorapidity (= $-\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$). This ensures that the dielectron event selected is in the active detector region, and (b) A kinematic cut of $p_T \geq 25$ GeV, where p_T is the transverse momentum of the electron and the positron. This cut ensures an efficient trigger.²

The dielectron detection efficiency ϵ is then

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1^2 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2. \tag{5}$$

We then generate the *expected* number of dielectron events N_{exp}^{Λ} in various mass bins including the effect of the composite structure of quarks and electrons for various values of Λ using the parton level Monte Carlo (MC) calculation. We calculate the cross section (σ^{Λ}) for the production of dielectrons including terms from the contact interaction Lagrangian of Eq. (1) with the SM Lagrangian. The leading order (LO) cross-section calculation is corrected for higherorder QCD effects using a *K* factor of 1.22.³ We checked the parton level MC calculation by comparing its prediction with that from PYTHIA for the Drell-Yan process. Both calculations agree to within a few percent as shown in Fig. 1.

In order to obtain the lower limit on Λ , we then use the Bayesian technique to compare the Drell-Yan dielectron

TABLE IV. Expected 95% C.L. lower limits Λ_{lim} on the compositeness scale for different helicity channels of the quark-electron currents for L=30 fb⁻¹ at 2 TeV with $\delta\epsilon^{k}=15\%$ and $\delta L=5\%$.

	Λ_{lim} (TeV)	Λ_{lim} (TeV)	
Channel	$(\eta_{ij} = -1)$	$(\eta_{ij} = +1)$	
LL	18.9	17.8	
RR	17.0	15.1	
RL	13.5	9.1	
LR	12.1	9.2	

mass distribution (i.e., $N_{\rm DY}$) in the high-mass region with the expected dielectron mass distribution for various values of Λ (i.e., $N_{\rm exp}^{\Lambda}$). Limits are obtained independently for each separate channel of the contact interaction Lagrangian: LL, RR, RL, and LR with $\eta_{ij} = \pm 1$. Figure 2 shows the cross section versus the dielectron invariant mass, in the high-mass region between 50 GeV and 1.8 TeV in the LL channel for different values of Λ for $\eta_{ij} = -1$ (constructive interference) and Fig. 3 shows the corresponding plot for $\eta_{ij} = +1$ (destructive interference).

Since the effect of compositeness is most pronounced in the high dielectron mass region we consider ten different mass bins of variable width between 120 GeV and 1.5 TeV. The expected number of events at the compositeness scale Λ in the k^{th} mass bin is given as

$$N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k} = \epsilon^k (\sigma^{\Lambda,k} \times L), \tag{6}$$

where $\sigma^{\Lambda,k}$ is the cross section (including compositeness) for the k^{th} mass bin and *L* is the integrated luminosity. The posterior probability for the compositeness scale to be Λ given the expected DY dielectron data distribution, d_{Ω} , is



FIG. 4. Cross section, $\Delta \sigma$ (in 50 GeV bins), versus dielectron invariant mass *m* between 50 GeV and 2 TeV for DY process and three different values of Λ in the LL channel for $\eta_{ij} = -1$.

²This cut is based on the DØ run I analysis of DY data at 1.8 TeV [8].

³This *K* factor is the ratio of the NNLO DY cross section to the LO DY cross section at 1.8 TeV [9,10]. We consider the same value for the *K* factor for DY + compositeness at 2 TeV.



FIG. 5. Cross section, $\Delta \sigma$ (in 50 GeV bins), versus dielectron invariant mass *m* between 50 GeV and 2 TeV for DY process and three different values of Λ in the LL channel for $\eta_{ij} = +1$.

$$P(\Lambda | d_O) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} P^k(N_{DY}^k | N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}) P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^k, L, \Lambda), \quad (7)$$

where Z is the normalization constant. $P^k(N_{DY}^{\lambda,k}|N_{exp}^{\lambda,k})$ is the *likelihood* function which follows a Poisson distribution for small $N_{exp}^{\lambda,k}$:

$$P^{k}(N_{\text{DY}}^{k}|N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}) = \frac{e^{-N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}}(N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k})^{N_{DY}^{k}}}{N_{DY}^{k}!}, \quad (N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k} < 10) \quad (8)$$

TABLE V. Detection efficiency and expected number of DY events in different mass bins.

Mass bin (GeV)	$oldsymbol{\epsilon}^k$	$L = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	$L = 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
500-510	0.660	56.86	586.63
510-520	0.617	44.22	506.30
520-530	0.668	48.74	493.67
530-540	0.654	44.22	425.98
540-550	0.647	37.91	418.76
550-560	0.662	46.03	378.15
560-570	0.666	37.91	361.90
570-580	0.668	34.30	329.41
580-600	0.673	45.13	552.33
600-625	0.684	57.76	621.82
625-650	0.678	50.54	509.91
650-675	0.681	45.13	419.66
675-700	0.692	28.88	386.27
700-750	0.717	52.35	589.33
750-800	0.728	36.10	452.15
800-900	0.731	53.25	613.70
900-1000	0.756	31.59	336.63
1000-1200	0.752	36.10	315.88
1200-1400	0.782	16.25	151.62
1400-2000	0.791	16.25	135.38

TABLE VI. Expected 95% C.L. lower limits Λ_{lim} on the compositeness scale for different helicity channels of the quark-electron currents for L = 10 fb⁻¹ at 14 TeV with $\delta \epsilon^k = 15\%$ and $\delta L = 5\%$.

	Λ_{iim} (TeV)	Λ_{i} (TeV)	
Channel	$(\eta_{ij} = -1)$	$(\eta_{ij} = +1)$	
LL	24.0	16.4	
RR	24.0	16.5	
RL	21.4	17.6	
LR	21.7	17.4	

and a Gaussian distribution for large $N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}$, with mean $N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}$ and standard deviation, σ_1 , $(\sigma_1 = \sqrt{N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}})$ [11]:

$$P(N_{\rm DY}^{k}|N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-[(N_{DY}^{k}-N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k})^2/2\sigma_1^2}, \quad (N_{exp}^{\Lambda,k} \ge 10).$$
(9)

 $P(\epsilon^k, L, \Lambda)$ is the joint *prior* probability for the dielectron detection efficiency ϵ^k , the integrated luminosity *L*, and the compositeness scale Λ . Taking ϵ^k , *L*, and Λ to be independent,

$$P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{k}, L, \Lambda) = P(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{k}) P(L) P(\Lambda).$$
(10)

The *prior* probabilities of detection efficiency ϵ^k and integrated luminosity *L* are assumed to be Gaussian with their estimated value in each bin as the *mean* and corresponding error as the *width* of the Gaussian. The prior distribution $P(\Lambda)$ is chosen to be uniform in $1/\Lambda^2$. This represents a prior distribution essentially flat in the cross section. The resulting posterior density $P(\Lambda|d_0)$ peaks at $1/\Lambda^2=0$ and falls off monotonically with increasing $1/\Lambda^2$. The 95% C.L. lower limit on Λ is defined by

$$\int_{\Lambda_{lim}}^{\infty} d\Lambda' P(\Lambda' | d_0) = 0.95.$$
(11)

The values of efficiency, ϵ^k , and the expected number of

TABLE VII. Expected 95% C.L. lower limits Λ_{lim} on the compositeness scale for different helicity channels of the quark-electron currents for L=100 fb⁻¹ at 14 TeV with $\delta\epsilon^k = 15\%$ and $\delta L = 5\%$.

Channel	Λ_{lim} (TeV) (η_{ij} =-1)	
LL	33.8	20.1
RR	33.7	20.2
RL	29.2	22.1
LR	29.7	21.8

TABLE VIII. $\Lambda_{5\sigma}$ for five different integrated luminosities for $\eta_{ij} = -1$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with $\delta \epsilon^k = 15\%$ and $\delta L = 5\%$.

	$\Lambda_{5\sigma}$ (TeV)				
Channel	$10\ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	50 fb^{-1}	$100 \ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	$200\ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	500 fb^{-1}
LL	16.0	20.6	23.4	26.2	31.0
RR	16.0	20.5	23.3	26.2	30.8
RL	15.1	18.6	20.9	23.2	26.8
LR	15.1	19.1	21.1	23.5	27.1

DY events N_{DY}^k ,⁴ in individual mass bins are listed in Table II for an integrated luminosity of 2 and 30 fb⁻¹. The expected 95% C.L. lower limits on Λ for the LL, RR, RL, and LR helicity channels of the quark-electron currents for both constructive and destructive interference are listed in Tables III and IV for integrated luminosities of 2 and 30 fb⁻¹, respectively.

Exploring the lower limits on Λ at LHC

We have made a similar analysis of the DY process including the effect of quark-electron compositeness at 14 TeV. As before we have assumed that DY dielectron data that would be collected by the CMS detector at LHC would agree with SM prediction. We then use the Bayesian technique to obtain the lower limits on Λ at 14 TeV. We have made separate studies for 10 fb⁻¹ of data and 100 fb⁻¹ of data. A *K* factor of 1.13 [9,10] has been used as the NNLO correction factor. Figure 4 shows the cross section versus the dielectron invariant mass, in the high-mass region between



FIG. 6. 5 σ discovery limit versus the integrated luminosity for $\eta_{ij} = -1$ (constructive interference).



FIG. 7. 5 σ discovery limit versus the integrated luminosity for $\eta_{ij} = \pm 1$ (destructive interference).

50 GeV and 2 TeV in the LL channel for different values of Λ for $\eta_{ij} = -1$ (constructive interference) and Fig. 5 shows the corresponding plot for $\eta_{ij} = +1$ (destructive interference).

We generated DY events in the dielectron mass range of 150 GeV to 2 TeV. We then compared the expected number of DY events N_{DY} , at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with the expected number of dielectron events N_{exp}^{Λ} at various values of Λ in the mass range of 500 GeV to 2 TeV where the deviation from SM predictions due to the composite structure of quarks and electrons is most pronounced at LHC. The electron identification efficiency ϵ_1 is taken to be 95% [12]. The constant and stochastic terms in the energy resolution of the electromagnetic calorimeter of the CMS detector are taken to be [12]

$$C = 0.55\%,$$
 (12)

and

$$a = 2.7\%, |\eta| \le 1.5,$$

5.7%, $1.5 < |\eta| \le 2.5.$

TABLE IX. $\Lambda_{5\sigma}$ for five different integrated luminosities for $\eta_{ij} = +1$ at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with $\delta \epsilon^k = 15\%$ and $\delta L = 5\%$.

	$\Lambda_{5\sigma}$ (TeV)				
Channel	$10 {\rm fb}^{-1}$	50 fb^{-1}	$100 \ fb^{-1}$	$200\ fb^{-1}$	500 fb^{-1}
LL	12.4	14.9	15.9	17.1	18.3
RR	12.4	14.9	16.0	17.1	18.4
RL	13.1	15.8	17.3	18.5	20.2
LR	13.0	15.7	17.2	18.3	20.0

 $^{{}^{4}}N_{DY}^{k}$ is generated with a K factor of 1.22 in PYTHIA.

The fiducial and kinematic cuts selected are the same as for DØ. The values of ϵ^k and N_{DY}^{k} , ⁵ in individual mass bins are listed in Table V for integrated luminosities of 10 fb⁻¹ and 100 fb⁻¹.

The expected 95% C.L. lower limits on Λ for the LL, RR, RL, and LR helicity channels of quark-electron currents for both constructive and destructive interference are listed in Tables VI and Table VII for integrated luminosities of 10 and 100 fb⁻¹, respectively.

The discovery limits for Λ (defined as a deviation of 5σ from SM prediction) for the various models have been listed for integrated luminosities of 10, 50, 100, 200, and 500 fb⁻¹ in Table VIII for $\eta_{ii} = -1$ and in Table IX for $\eta_{ii} = +1$.

Plots of the discovery limit versus the integrated luminosity for the various chirality channels are shown in Fig. 6 for $\eta_{ij} = -1$ and in Fig. 7 for $\eta_{ij} = +1$.

To conclude, we have performed a Monte Carlo study of the dielectron invariant mass spectrum (DY + compositeness) for $p\bar{p}$ collisions at 2 TeV and pp collisions at 14 TeV. We have considered the LL, RR, RL, and LR chirality channels of the quark-electron currents. Assuming that the standard model will describe the high mass DY dielectron data at

 ${}^{5}N_{\rm DY}^{k}$ is generated with a K factor of 1.13 in PYTHIA.

2 and 14 TeV, we have found that it is possible to extend the lower limits on the compositeness scale Λ from the existing limits.

For $p\bar{p}$ collisions at Tevatron we have made separate studies for integrated luminosities of 2 and 30 fb⁻¹ with respect to the DØ detector. The expected 95% C.L. lower limits on Λ range between 6 and 10 TeV and 9 and 19 TeV for 2 and 30 fb⁻¹ of dielectron data, respectively. These limits are in agreement with similar limits on Λ quoted between 6 and 10 TeV for 2 fb⁻¹ and 14 and 20 TeV for 30 fb⁻¹ of data with respect to the CDF detector at Tevatron [13].

For pp collisions at LHC we have considered 10 and 100 fb⁻¹ of dielectron data with respect to the CMS detector. The expected 95% C.L. lower limits on Λ range between 16 and 25 TeV for 10 fb⁻¹ and between 20 and 36 TeV for 100 fb⁻¹ of dielectron data.

We have also explored the discovery potential for quarkelectron compositeness (defined as a deviation of 5σ from SM prediction) at LHC as a function of integrated luminosity.

The authors would like to thank Sreerup Raychaudhury and V.S. Narasimham for their advice, comments, and stimulating questions. We would also like to thank D.P. Roy and Sudeshna Banerjee for several fruitful discussions.

- E. Eichten, K. Lane, and M. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 811 (1983); E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 579 (1984); 58, 1065 (1986).
- [2] OPAL Collaboration, K. Ackerstaff *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **391**, 221 (1997); CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2198 (1997); DØ Collaboration, B. Abbott *et al.*, *ibid.* **82**, 4769 (1999).
- [3] S. D. Drell and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 316 (1970); 25, 902(E) (1970).
- [4] T. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 55, 2591 (1997).
- [5] T. Sjøstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. 82, 74 (1994).
- [6] G. Larry Brethorst, in *Maximum Entropy and Bayesian Methods*, edited by G. Heidbreder (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994).
- [7] DØ Collaboration, I. Bertram et al., A Recipe for the Construction of Confidence Limits, DØ Note 3476, DØ Note 2775-A, 2000.

- [8] Ambreesh Gupta, Ph.D. thesis, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, India, 1999.
- [9] R. Hamberg, W. L. van Neerven, and T. Matsuura, Nucl. Phys. B359, 343 (1991).
- [10] W. L. van Neerven, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10, 2921 (1995).
- [11] G. D'Agostini, "Probability and Measurement Uncertainty in Physics - a Bayesian Primer," Internal Report No. 1070 of the Dept. of Physics of the Rome University "La Sapienza;" DESY-95-242, 1995, hep-ph/9512295; G. D'Agostini, "Bayesian Reasoning in High Energy Physics: Principles and Applications," Yellow Report: CERN-99-03, Jul 1999.
- [12] The Electromagnetic Calorimeter Project, Technical Design Report, CERN/LHCC 97-33, CMS TDR 4, December 1997.
- [13] P. de Barbaro (CDF) *et al.*, Sensitivity to Quark and Lepton Compositeness at the Tevatron, Proceedings of Snowmass 96 Conference (available at www.slac.stanford.edu/pubs/ snowmass96).