Large transverse momentum direct photon production in the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders

Feng Yuan

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

Kuang-Ta Chao

China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (World Laboratory), Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China and Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China (Received 23 March 1999; published 8 November 1999)

Direct photon production at large transverse momentum in the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders is calculated in the two-gluon exchange model. We find that the amplitude for the production process is related to the differential off-diagonal gluon distribution function in the proton. We estimate the production rate at the Fermilab Tevatron by approximately using the usual gluon distribution function. Because of the clean signature, this process can be used to study in detail small-*x* physics and the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders. [S0556-2821(99)06921-0]

PACS number(s): 12.40.Nn, 13.85.Ni, 14.40.Gx

In recent years, there has been a renaissance of interest in diffractive scattering. These diffractive processes are described by Regge theory in terms of Pomeron (\mathbb{P}) exchange [1]. The Pomeron carries quantum numbers of the vacuum, so it is a colorless entity in QCD language, which may lead to "rapidity gap" events in experiments. However, the nature of the Pomeron and its interaction with hadrons remains a mystery. For a long time it had been understood that the dynamics of the "soft Pomeron" was deeply tied to confinement. However, it has been realized now that much can be learned about QCD from the wide variety of small-*x* and hard diffractive processes, which are now under experimental study.

On the other hand, we know that there exist nonfactorization effects in the hard diffractive processes at hadron colliders [2-5]. First, there is the so-called spectator effect [4], which can change the probability of the diffractive hadron emerging from collisions intact. Practically, a suppression factor (or survive factor) " S_F " is used to describe this effect. Obviously, this suppression factor cannot be calculated in perturbative QCD, which is now viewed as a nonperturbative parameter. Typically, the suppression factor S_F is determined to be about 0.1 at the energy scale of the Fermilab Tevatron [5]. Another nonfactorization effect discussed in literature is associated with the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders [3], in which the whole Pomeron is induced in the hard scattering. It is proved in [3] that the existence of the leading twist coherent diffractive processes are associated with a breakdown of the OCD factorization theorem.

In this paper, we will calculate the direct photon production at large transverse momentum in the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders under the framework of the two-gluon exchange parametrization of the Pomeron. As shown in Fig. 1, the whole Pomeron represented by the color-singlet two-gluon system emits from one hadron and interacts with another hadron to produce photon plus a quark jet. The large transverse momentum is required to ensure the validity of the application of perturbative QCD.

In addition, we must emphasize that in this paper we only

consider the large p_T diffractive photon production in the "Pomeron Fragmentation" region, i.e., $M_X^2 \sim 4k_T^2$, where M_X^2 is the invariance mass of the diffractive final states and k_T is the transverse momentum of the photon.

To calculate the cross section for the partonic diffractive process, we use the two-gluon exchange parametrization of the Pomeron model. The two-gluon exchange model has been used to calculate diffractive photoprodution processes including the productions of vector meson [6], open charm [7], large p_T di-jet [8]. This model has gained some success in the description of these processes at e_p colliders [9]. Recently, we have extended this model to calculate the diffractive processes at hadron colliders. We have calculated the diffractive J/ψ [11] production, charm jet [12] production, and massive muon pair and W boson productions [13] at hadron colliders.

In the two-gluon exchange model, the leading order contribution to the partonic diffractive process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$ comes from the four diagrams shown in Fig. 2. These four diagrams are the same as those calculated in [13] except for the difference on the virtuality of the photon. In Ref. [13] the produced photon is virtual and timelike, while here we will calculate the production of real photon in the diffractive process at large transverse momentum. Also, these four diagrams imply that the partonic process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$ is related by crossing to diffractive di-quark jets photoprodution process γp $\rightarrow q\bar{q}p$ [8].



FIG. 1. Sketch diagram for the diffractive direct photon production at hadron colliders in perturbative QCD.



FIG. 2. The lowest order perturbative QCD diagrams for partonic process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$.

As indicated in Refs. [8], in the calculations of the amplitude for the massless particle production in the diffractive processes by using the two-gluon exchange model there is no contribution from the region of $l_T^2 < k_T^2$, where l_T and k_T are the transverse momenta of the loop momentum and the final state photon momentum as shown in the diagrams of Fig. 2. This is contrast to the results of Refs. [11–13], where the dominant (large logarithmic) contribution comes from the small l_T^2 region. Therefore, the expansion method used in [13], in which l_T^2 is viewed as a small parameter, is not further applicable for the calculation of the real photon production in the diffractive process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$. So in the following we directly calculate the cross section by squaring the amplitude.

Due to the positive signature of these diagrams (colorsinglet exchange), we know that the real part of the amplitude cancels out in the leading logarithmic approximation. To evaluate the imaginary part of the amplitude, we must calculate the discontinuity represented by the crosses in each diagram of Fig. 2.

In our calculations, we express the formulas in terms of the Sudakov variables. That is, every four-momenta k_i are decomposed as

$$k_i = \alpha_i q + \beta_i p + k_{iT}, \qquad (1)$$

where q and p are the momenta of the incident quark and the proton, $q^2=0$, $p^2=0$, and $2p \cdot q = W^2 = s$. Here s is the c.m. energy of the quark-proton system. α_i and β_i are the momentum fractions of q and p respectively. k_{iT} is the transverse momentum, which satisfies

$$k_{iT} \cdot q = 0, \quad k_{iT} \cdot p = 0. \tag{2}$$

All of the Sudakov variables for every momentum are determined by the on-shell conditions of the external lines and the crossed lines in each diagram. For example, the Sudakov variables associated with the momenta k and u are,

$$\alpha_u = 0, \quad \beta_u = x_{\rm P} = \frac{M_X^2}{s}, \quad u_T^2 = 0,$$
 (3)

$$\alpha_k(1+\alpha_k) = -\frac{k_T^2}{M_X^2}, \quad \beta_k = -\alpha_k \beta_u, \qquad (4)$$

where k_T is the transverse momentum of the outgoing photon, M_X^2 is the invariant mass of the diffractive final state (including the large transverse momentum photon and the quark jet).

For the high energy diffractive process, we know that $M_X^2 \ll s$, i.e., we have β_u ($x_{\rm P}$) as a small parameter. In the following calculations of the cross section for the partonic process, we take the leading order contribution and neglect the higher order contributions which are proportional to $\beta_u = M_X^2/s$.

By using the above Sudakov variables, we can evaluate the diffractive cross section formula for the partonic process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$ as

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}(qp \to \gamma qp)}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{dM_X^2 d^2 k_T d\alpha_k}{16\pi s^2 16\pi^3 M_X^2} \times \delta \bigg(\alpha_k (1+\alpha_k) + \frac{k_T^2}{M_X^2} \bigg) \sum |\overline{\mathcal{A}}|^2,$$
(5)

where A is the amplitude of the process. We know that the real part of the amplitude does not contribute. And the imaginary part of the amplitude A has the following form for every diagram of Fig. 2:

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A} = C_F \int \frac{d^2 l_T}{(l_T^2)^2} F \bar{u}_i(u-k) \Gamma_{\mu} v_i(q), \qquad (6)$$

where $C_F = \frac{2}{9}$ is the color factor for the four diagrams. *F* is defined as

$$F = \frac{3}{2s} g_s^2 e e_q f(x', x''; l_T^2),$$
(7)

where

$$f(x',x'';l_T^2) = \frac{\partial G(x',x'';l_T^2)}{\partial \ln l_T^2}.$$
 (8)

The function $G(x',x'';l_T^2)$ is the off-diagonal gluon distribution function in the proton [10], where x' and x'' are the momentum fractions of the proton carried by the outgoing and returning gluons of each diagram of Fig. 2.

After a straightforward calculation, and neglecting the higher order contributions which are proportional to $\beta_u = M_X^2/s$, we get the amplitude squared as

$$\sum \overline{|\mathcal{A}|^{2}} = \frac{128\pi^{5}\alpha_{s}^{2}\alpha e_{q}^{2}}{9}s^{2}\frac{(1+\alpha^{2})k_{T}^{2}}{\alpha_{k}(M_{X}^{2})^{2}} \times \left[\frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\int \frac{d^{2}l_{T}}{(l_{T}^{2})^{2}}\frac{d^{2}l_{T}'}{(l_{T}'^{2})^{2}}f(x',x'';l_{T}^{2})f(y',y'';l_{T}'^{2}) \times \frac{(1+\alpha_{k})^{2}l_{T}^{2}-(1+\alpha_{k})(k_{T},l_{T})}{[\vec{k}_{T}-(1+\alpha_{k})\vec{l}_{T}]^{2}} \times \frac{(1+\alpha_{k})^{2}l_{T}'^{2}-(1+\alpha_{k})(k_{T},l_{T})}{[\vec{k}_{T}-(1+\alpha_{k})\vec{l}_{T}']^{2}}\right], \qquad (9)$$

where $f(x', x''; l_T^2)$ and $f(y', y''; l_T'^2)$ are the differential offdiagonal gluon distribution functions associated with the loop momenta l_T and l_T' , respectively.

From the above equations, we can see that the amplitude for the partonic process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$ is related to the offdiagonal gluon distribution in the proton. By now there is no parametrization of the off-diagonal parton distributions, and it is expected that at small-*x* the off-diagonal gluon distribution is not far away from the usual diagonal gluon distribution [14]. So in the following, we approximate the offdiagonal gluon distribution by the usual gluon distribution, i.e., $G(x',x'';Q^2) = G(x;Q^2)$ and $f(x',x'';Q^2) = f_g(x;Q^2)$, where $x = x_P = (M_X^2/s)f_g(x;Q^2)$ is the usual differential gluon distribution in the proton.

So, after integrating over the azimuth angle of \tilde{l}_T , we obtain

$$\sum \overline{|\mathcal{A}|^2} = \frac{128\pi^5 \alpha_s^2 \alpha e_q^2}{9} s^2 \frac{(1+\alpha^2)k_T^2}{\alpha_k (M_X^2)^2} |\mathcal{I}|^2, \quad (10)$$

where the integration \mathcal{I} is defined as

$$\mathcal{I} = \int \frac{dl_T^2}{(l_T^2)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{k_T^2 - (1 + \alpha_k)^2 l_T^2}{|k_T^2 - (1 + \alpha_k)^2 l_T^2|} \right) f_g(x; l_T^2).$$
(11)

From the above results of the integration, we can see that the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(qp \rightarrow q\gamma p)$ will be zero in the integral region of $l_T^2 < k_T^2/(1 + \alpha_k)^2$. So the dominant contribution to the integration of the amplitude over l_T^2 will come from the region of $l_T^2 \sim k_T^2/(1 + \alpha_k)^2$. This behavior of the amplitude integration over l_T^2 is similar to the results of Refs. [8]. Therefore, if we neglect the evolution effects of the gluon distribution function in this region, the integration will then be

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{(1+\alpha_k)^2}{k_T^2} f_g\left(x; \frac{k_T^2}{(1+\alpha_k)^2}\right).$$
 (12)

Under this approximation, the differential cross section for the partonic process $qp \rightarrow \gamma qp$ will then be



FIG. 3. The differential cross section $d\sigma/dt|_{t=0}$ for the large transverse momentum direct photon production at the Fermilab Tevatron as a function of $k_{T\min}$, where $k_{T\min}$ is the lower bound of the transverse momentum of the produced photon.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dt}(qp \rightarrow \gamma qp)|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{M_X^2 > 4k_T^2} dM_X^2 dk_T^2 d\alpha_k \frac{\pi^2 \alpha \alpha_s^2 e_q^2}{18} \delta \left(\alpha_k (1+\alpha_k) + \frac{k_T^2}{M_X^2} \right) \\ &\times \frac{(1+\alpha_k^2)(1+\alpha_k)^2}{\alpha_k (M_X^2)^2 k_T^2} \left[f_g \left(x; \frac{k_T^2}{(1+\alpha_k)^2} \right) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$
(13)

Using Eq. (13), we can estimate the production rate of large p_T direct photon in the diffractive processes at the Fermilab Tevatron. We adopt the value of $S_F = 0.1$ to describe the spectator effect at this machine. The numerical results are displayed in Figs. 3 and 4. In the calculations, we set the scale of the running coupling constant identical to the scale of the gluon distribution function, i.e, $Q^2 = k_T^2/(1 + \alpha_K^2)$. For the parton distribution functions, we select the Glück-Reya-Vogt (GRV) next leading order (NLO) set [15].

In Fig. 3, we plot the differential cross section as a function of the lower cut of the transverse momentum of the produced photon, $k_{T\min}$. We can approximately derive the dependent on $k_{T\min}$ from Eq. (13), in which the integration over M_X^2 comes mainly from the region of $M_X^2 \sim 4k_T^2$. So the differential cross section behaves as

$$\left. \frac{d^2 \hat{\sigma}}{dk_T^2 dt} \right|_{t=0} \sim \frac{1}{(k_T^2)^3} [f_g(x; 4k_T^2)]^2,$$

where $x=4k_T^2/M_X^2$. Similarly, integration over k_T^2 comes dominantly from the region of $k_t^2 \sim k_{tmin}^2$. So we obtain the approximate dependence of the cross section on k_{Tmin} as



FIG. 4. The differential cross section $d\sigma/dt|_{t=0}$ as a function of $x_{1\min}$, where $x_{1\min}$ is the lower bounder of x_1 in the integration of the cross section.

$$\left. \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \sim \frac{1}{(k_{T\min}^2)^2} [f_g(x; 4k_{T\min}^2)]^2.$$
(14)

This dependence can be seen from Fig. 3. This is a distinctive feature of the calculation of our model for this process.

In Fig. 4, we plot the dependence of the differential cross section on $x_{1\min}$. x_1 is the longitudinal momentum fraction

- P.D.B. Collins, An Introduction to Regge Theory and High Energy Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1977).
- [2] P.V. Landshoff and J.C. Polkinghorne, Nucl. Phys. B33, 221 (1971); B36, 642 (1972); F. Henyey and R. Savit, Phys. Lett. 52B, 71 (1974); J.L. Cardy and G.A. Winbow, *ibid.* 52B, 95 (1974); C. DeTar, S.D. Ellis, and P.V. Landshoff, Nucl. Phys. B87, 176 (1975).
- [3] J.C. Collins, L. Frankfurt, and M. Strikman, Phys. Lett. B 307, 161 (1993).
- [4] D.E. Soper, talk at DIS97 conference, Chicago, 1997, hep-ph/9707384.
- [5] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2698 (1997); F. Abe *et al.*, *ibid.* **79**, 2636 (1997).
- [6] M.G. Ryskin, Z. Phys. C 57, 89 (1993); S.J. Brodsky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3134 (1994); M.G. Ryskin *et al.*, Z. Phys. C 76, 231 (1996); L. Frankfurt *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 57, 512 (1998).
- [7] T. Gehrmann and W.J. Stitling, Z. Phys. C 70, 69 (1996); M. Genovese *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 378, 347 (1996); E.M. Levin

of the proton carried by the incident quark. $x_{1\min}$ is the lower bounder of x_1 in the integration of the cross section. From this figure we can see that the dominant contribution to the cross section comes from the region of $x_1 \sim 10^{-1}$, which is similar to the case of the diffractive massive muon pair and W boson productions [13]. However, if we compare this result to that of the diffractive J/ψ and charm jet productions [11,12], we will find that the dominant contribution region of x_1 to the cross section of direct photon production here is some orders of magnitude larger than that of J/ψ and charm jet productions. This is because the direct photon production is the quark initiated process which is sensitive to large-xquark distribution in the proton. However, the productions of J/ψ and charm jet are the gluon initiated processes, so they are sensitive to the small-x gluon distribution in the proton. So, the x_1 dependence of these two types of processes are distinctive different.

In conclusion, we have shown that the large transverse momentum direct photon diffractive production can provide a test for the two-gluon exchange model of the Pomeron, and can also be viewed as a compensate to the J/ψ and charm jet productions for the study of the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders. And furthermore, because of the clean signature, this process can be used for a detailed study of the small-*x* physics and the coherent diffractive processes at hadron colliders.

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the State Education Commission of China, and the State Commission of Science and Technology of China.

et al., Z. Phys. C 74, 671 (1997).

- [8] N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B 332, 177 (1994); K. Golec-Biernat, J. Kwiecinski, and A.D. Martin, Preprint DTP/98/12.
- [9] ZEUS Collaboration, M. Derrick *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **350**, 120 (1995); H1 Collaboration, S. Aid *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B472**, 3 (1996).
- [10] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 610 (1997); Phys. Rev. D 55, 7114 (1997); A.V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 385, 333 (1996); 380, 417 (1996); Phys. Rev. D 56, 5524 (1997).
- [11] F. Yuan, J.S. Xu, H.A. Peng, and K.T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 58, 114016 (1998).
- [12] F. Yuan and K.T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 60, 094012 (1999), hep-ph/9810340.
- [13] F. Yuan and K.T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D (to be published), hep-ph/9811285.
- [14] P. Hoodbhoy, Phys. Rev. D 56, 388 (1997); L. Frankfurt *et al.*,
 Phys. Lett. B 418, 345 (1998); A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin,
 Phys. Rev. D 57, 6692 (1998).
- [15] M. Glück et al., Z. Phys. C 67, 433 (1995).