Off-diagonal distributions fixed by diagonal partons at small x and ξ

A. G. Shuvaev,¹ K. J. Golec-Biernat,^{2,3} A. D. Martin,² and M. G. Ryskin^{1,2}

 ¹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St. Petersburg 188350, Russia
 ²Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, England
 ³H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, 31-342 Krakow, Poland (Received 19 February 1999; published 8 June 1999)

We show that the off-diagonal (or skewed) parton distributions are completely determined at small x and ξ by the (conventional) diagonal partons. We present predictions which can be used to estimate the off-diagonal distributions at small x and ξ at any scale. [S0556-2821(99)02113-X]

PACS number(s): 13.60.Hb, 13.88.+e, 14.20.Dh

I. INTRODUCTION

Precision data are becoming available for hard scattering processes whose description requires knowledge of offdiagonal (or so-called "skewed") parton distributions. Particularly relevant processes are the diffractive production of vector mesons and of high E_T jets in high energy electronproton collisions.

We shall use the "off-forward" distributions

$$H(x,\xi) \equiv H(x,\xi,t,\mu^2)$$

with support $-1 \le x \le 1$ introduced by Ji [1–3], with the minor difference that the gluon $H_g = x H_g^{\text{Ji}}$. They depend on the momentum fractions $x_{1,2} = x \pm \xi$ carried by the emitted and absorbed partons at each scale μ^2 and on the momentum transfer variable $t = (p - p')^2$; see Fig. 1. The values of *t* and $\xi = (x_1 - x_2)/2$ do not change as we evolve the parton distributions up in the scale μ^2 . That is *t* and ξ lie outside the evolution. In the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ the distributions reduce to the conventional diagonal distributions

$$H_q(x,0) = \begin{cases} q(x) & \text{for } x > 0, \\ -\bar{q}(-x) & \text{for } x < 0, \end{cases}$$
$$H_q(x,0) = xg(x). \tag{1}$$

Detailed reviews of off-diagonal distributions can be found, for example, in Refs. [3-5].

It is usual to anticipate that the ξ dependence of H is controlled by the non-perturbative starting (input) distribution at some low scale $\mu^2 = Q_0^2$. However here we wish to explore the possibility that, in the small $x, \xi \ll 1$ region, the "skewed" off-diagonal behavior comes mainly from the evolution. Indeed we expect this to be the case. At each step of the evolution the momentum fraction carried by parton *i* (*i*=1,2) decreases. So when the evolution length is sufficiently large [i.e. $\ln(Q^2/Q_0^2) \gg 1$], the important values of $x \sim x_0$ of the input $(\mu^2 = Q_0^2)$, which control the behavior in the $x \sim \xi$ domain at the high scale $(\mu^2 = Q^2)$, will satisfy $x_0 \gg \xi$. Clearly we can neglect the ξ dependence in the x_0 region and start evolving from purely diagonal partons with $x_1 = x_2$. Here we demonstrate how, in the phenomenologically important small ξ region (for $t \rightarrow 0$), the off-diagonal distributions are determined unambiguously in terms of the small *x* behavior of the (conventional) diagonal partons which is known from experiment. We therefore have the attractive possibility to include data described by off-diagonal distributions in a global parton analysis to better constrain the small *x* behavior of the diagonal distributions.

II. OFF-DIAGONAL DISTRIBUTIONS IN TERMS OF CONFORMAL MOMENTS

In terms of the operator product expansion (OPE) the evolution of the off-diagonal distributions may be viewed as the renormalisation of the matrix elements $O_N = \langle p' | \hat{O}_N | p \rangle$ of the conformal (Ohrndorf [6]) operators, where *p* and *p'* are the momenta of the incoming and outgoing protons. For the quark, the leading twist operator \hat{O}_N is of the form

$$\hat{O}_N^q = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \binom{N+2}{k+1} \partial_L^k \partial_R^{N-k}$$
(2)

where the derivatives ∂_L and ∂_R act on the left and right quarks in Fig. 1. As a consequence the quark matrix element takes the form

$$O_N^q = \int_{-1}^1 dx R_N^q(x_1, x_2) H_q(x, \xi)$$
(3)

with $x_{1,2} = x \pm \xi$, where the polynomials [7]



FIG. 1. A schematic diagram showing the variables for the offdiagonal parton distribution $H(x,\xi)$ where $x_{1,2}=x\pm\xi$.

$$R_{N}^{q} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} {\binom{N}{k} \binom{N+2}{k+1} x_{1}^{k} x_{2}^{N-k}}.$$
 (4)

In other words the polynomials $R_N(x_1, x_2)$ are the basis which specifies the conformal moments O_N . In the diagonal limit, with $x_1 = x_2$, Eq. (3) reduces to the well-known moments

$$M_N^q = \int_0^1 x^N q(x) dx.$$
 (5)

Unlike the common x^N basis in the diagonal case, the gluon and quark polynomial bases differ from each other. For the gluon we have

$$R_N^g = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \binom{N+4}{k+2} x_1^k x_2^{N-k}, \tag{6}$$

to be compared with the quark polynomials of Eq. (4).

Recall that the off-diagonal distributions are symmetric in ξ [2,3]:

$$H_i(x,\xi) = H_i(x,-\xi) \tag{7}$$

with i = q or g. This is just the left-right or $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2$ symmetry of Fig. 1. In terms of the x variable the symmetry relations are

$$H_{q}^{s}(x,\xi) = -H_{q}^{s}(-x,\xi),$$

$$H_{q}^{ns}(x,\xi) = H_{q}^{ns}(-x,\xi),$$

$$H_{g}(x,\xi) = H_{g}(-x,\xi)$$
(8)

for the quark singlet, non-singlet and gluon respectively.

The conformal moments O_N have the advantage that they are not mixed, at least at LO, during the off-diagonal evolution, but simply get multiplicatively renormalized¹

$$O_N(\mathcal{Q}^2) = O_N(\mathcal{Q}_0^2) \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}^2}{\mathcal{Q}_0^2}\right)^{\gamma_N} \tag{9}$$

with the same anomalous dimension γ_N as in the diagonal case. The problem of how to restore the analytic off-diagonal distribution $H(x,\xi)$ from knowledge of its conformal moments $O_N(\xi)$ of Eq. (3) has been solved recently by Shuvaev [8]. The prescription is as follows. We first calculate an auxiliary function

$$f(x',\xi;t) \equiv f(x') = \int \frac{dN}{2\pi i} (x')^{-N} O_N(\xi) / R_N(1,1) \quad (10)$$

using a simple Mellin transform, where for simplicity of presentation we shall omit the arguments ξ , t and μ^2 of f. Next we perform the convolution

$$H(x,\xi) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx' \mathcal{K}(x,\xi;x') f(x'), \qquad (11)$$

where, for quarks, the kernel is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_{q}(x,\xi;x') = -\frac{1}{\pi |x'|} \mathrm{Im} \int_{0}^{1} ds (1-y(s)x')^{-3/2} \quad (12)$$

with

$$y(s) = \frac{4s(1-s)}{x+\xi(1-2s)}.$$
(13)

To gain insight into the Shuvaev prescription we repeat that, from a theoretical OPE point of view, it is best to analyze experimental data for processes described by off-diagonal distributions in terms of the conformal moments O_N of Eq. (3) which diagonalize the (LO) evolution. However, phenomenologically it is more convenient to work in terms of the off-diagonal parton distributions themselves. The Shuvaev transform (10) and (11) performs the necessary inverse of Eq. (3) at any fixed ξ , t and μ^2 ; that is it enables $H(x,\xi)$ to be constructed from $O_N(\xi)$. So far this is just a mathematical procedure. The crucial physical step is to relate the auxiliary function f(x') directly to the diagonal partons. It is easy to show, for $\xi \ll 1$, that f(x') in fact reduces to a diagonal parton distribution. Indeed the conformal moments may be expressed in the form

$$O_N(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{[(N+1)/2]} O_{Nk} \xi^{2k}, \qquad (14)$$

which embodies the "polynomial condition" that the power of ξ should be at most of the order of N+1. For $\xi \ll 1$ we have

$$O_N(\xi) \simeq O_{N0} = O_N(0).$$
 (15)

Now, up to the trivial normalization factor $R_N(1,1)$, the diagonal moment $O_N(0)$ is equal to the x^N moment of the diagonal parton distribution. So for $\xi \leq 1$ we can put $f_q(x') = q(x')$ in Eq. (11), and then use Eq. (12) to determine the off-diagonal distribution $H_q(x,\xi)$ in terms of the conventional quark distribution. In this limit the kernel \mathcal{K} just becomes a non-trivial representation of the delta function $\delta(x - x')$.

Since Eq. (12) is a principal value integration, the apparent singularity at y(s)x'=1 is not a problem. However, for computation purposes, it is convenient to first weaken this singularity in the *s* integration by integrating by parts. Then Eqs. (11) and (12) become

$$H_{q}(x,\xi) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx' \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \mathrm{Im} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{ds}{y(s)\sqrt{1-y(s)x'}} \right] \frac{d}{dx'} \left(\frac{q(x')}{|x'|} \right).$$
(16)

Here we have used the properties that $q(x') \rightarrow 0$ as $x' \rightarrow 1$ and that

¹For simplicity we take the coupling α_s to be fixed. The generalization to running α_s is straightforward.

OFF-DIAGONAL DISTRIBUTIONS FIXED BY ...

$$q^{s}(x') = -q^{s}(-x'), \quad q^{ns}(x') = q^{ns}(-x'), \quad (17)$$

see Eq. (8). Note that, for small ξ , we can identify the auxiliary function f(x') of Eq. (10) with the diagonal partons at any scale, as the same anomalous dimensions γ_N control both the diagonal and off-diagonal evolution.

So far we have neglected the *t* dependence and set t=0. However from the sum rule [3] we know that the *t* dependence of the first conformal moment is given by the proton form factor G(t),

$$O_{N=0}(t) = \langle p' | \hat{O}_0 | p \rangle \propto G(t).$$
(18)

In fact it is natural to assume that all the moments are proportional to G(t)

$$O_N(t) = O_N(t=0)G(t)$$
 (19)

and simply multiply Eq. (11) by G(t) to restore the *t* dependence of the distributions. Another argument in favor of such a factorization is the form of the Mellin integration (10) where, for small *x*, the saddle point is located near the singularity at N=0 which comes from the behavior of the singlet anomalous dimension, $\gamma_N \propto 1/N$. Thus the dominant contribution comes from $O_{N=0}$ which is indeed proportional to G(t), and due to the polynomial condition (14) does not depend on ξ at all [3].

The formula for the gluon is a little different to that for the quarks. The reason is that in the off-diagonal case the functions H_q and $H_g = xH_g^{Ji}$ form the singlet multiplet which is multiplicatively renormalized. The additional x in the gluon reveals itself as an extra factor of $x + \xi(1-2s)$ in the kernel. Thus for the gluon, in place of Eq. (16), we have

$$H_{g}(x,\xi;t) = xH_{g}^{\text{Ji}} = \int_{-1}^{1} dx' \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Im} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{ds(x+\xi(1-2s))}{y(s)\sqrt{1-y(s)x'}} \right] \\ \times \frac{d}{dx'} \left(\frac{g(x')}{|x'|} \right) G(t).$$
(20)

III. PREDICTIONS OF THE OFF-DIAGONAL DISTRIBUTIONS FOR SMALL x AND ξ

We see that Eqs. (16) and (20) completely determine the behavior of the off-diagonal distributions in the small x, ξ domain in terms of the diagonal distributions. In fact by making the physically reasonable small x assumption that the diagonal partons are given by

$$xq(x) = N_q x^{-\lambda_q}, \quad xg(x) = N_g x^{-\lambda_g}$$
(21)

we can perform the x' integration analytically.² We obtain

$$\int_0^1 dz z^{\lambda+3/2} (1-z)^{-1/2} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\lambda+\frac{5}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)}.$$

$$H_{i}(x,\xi;t) = N_{i} \frac{\Gamma\left(\lambda + \frac{5}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\lambda + 2)} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} ds [x + \xi(1 - 2s)]^{p} \\ \times \left[\frac{4s(1 - s)}{x + \xi(1 - 2s)}\right]^{\lambda_{i} + 1} G(t)$$
(22)

with i=q or g, and where p=0 and 1 for quarks and the gluon respectively.

At first sight it appears that for singlet quarks (where $\lambda_q > 0$ and p=0) we face a strong singularity in integral (22) when the term $D \equiv x + \xi(1-2s) \rightarrow 0$ in the denominator. Fortunately the singlet quark distribution is antisymmetric in x. To obtain the imaginary part of the integral (16) we must choose x' > 0 for D > 0 and x' < 0 for D < 0. Therefore we must treat Eq. (22) as a principal value integral and take the difference between the $D \rightarrow 0+$ and $D \rightarrow 0-$ limits. Thus the main singularity is cancelled and Eq. (22) becomes integrable for any $\lambda_q < 1$.

Note that the dominant contribution to the x' integrations of Eqs. (16) and (20) comes from the region of small $x' \sim x, \xi$. Indeed with the input given by Eq. (21), the integral for the quark distribution has a strong singularity at small x'

$$I_q \sim \int dx'(x')^{-\lambda_q - 3} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{y(s)\sqrt{1 - y(s)x'}}\right).$$
(23)

However when we take the imaginary part, the x' integration is cut-off by the theta function $\theta(x'-1/y(s))$ at

$$x' = 1/y(s) \sim x + \xi(1 - 2s).$$
(24)

So we obtain the small ξ behavior $I_q \sim \xi^{-\lambda_q - 1}$, and the distribution (16) has the form

$$H_{q}(x,\xi) = \xi^{-\lambda_{q}-1} F_{q}(x/\xi).$$
(25)

Similarly it follows that $H_g = \xi^{-\lambda_g} F_g(x/\xi)$.

The predictions for the off-diagonal distributions are shown in Fig. 2. In diagrams (a)–(c) we show the ratio R to the diagonal distribution in the form

$$R = \frac{H(x,\xi)}{H(x+\xi,0)},\tag{26}$$

and so the only free parameter is λ , the exponent which fixes the $x^{-\lambda}$ behavior of the input diagonal partons, as in Eq. (21). Notice that on account of Eq. (25) the ratios *R* at small *x* and ξ are a function of only the ratio of the variables x/ξ .

The ratios *R* of Eq. (26) are the relevant ratios. For example, high energy diffractive $q\bar{q}$ electroproduction is described by two gluon exchange with

²We use the substitution z = 1/x'y(s) and note that



FIG. 2. Predictions at small x and ξ for the ratio of off-diagonal $[H(x,\xi)]$ to diagonal $[H_q(\bar{x},0)=f_q(\bar{x}),H_g(\bar{x},0)=\bar{x}f_g(\bar{x})]$ parton distributions. The diagonal partons are taken to have the form $xf(x)=Nx^{-\lambda}$. Plots (a), (b) and (c) show the quark singlet, gluon and quark non-singlet ratios taking $\bar{x}=x+\xi$ as the argument of the diagonal partons. Plot (d) shows the gluon ratio again but versus a linear scale and with argument $\bar{x}=2\xi$ so as to display the x behavior of $H_g(x,\xi)$.

$$x_1 \simeq (Q^2 + M_{q\bar{q}}^2)/W^2 \gg x_2,$$
 (27)

where *W* is the center-of-mass energy of the proton and the photon of virtuality Q^2 . A common approximation is to describe the process in terms of the diagonal gluon $x_1g(x_1)$, sampled at $x_1=x+\xi$. In this case the inclusion of off-diagonal effects will enhance the cross section by a factor of R_g^2 , where R_g is evaluated at $x/\xi=1$, see Fig. 2(b) or 2(d).

For $x \ge \xi$ we see that the off-diagonal to diagonal ratios, R, tend to unity, as expected. Moreover, due to the $x \rightarrow -x$ antisymmetry property (8), we see that the quark singlet vanishes as $x \rightarrow 0$. Also for a flat input gluon, $xg(x) \rightarrow$ constant as $x \rightarrow 0$ (that is $\lambda_g = 0$), we see that R_g does not depend on ξ at all. The same is true for the quarks, but now when $q(x) \rightarrow$ constant, that is when $\lambda_q = -1$, as seen in the R_q^{ns} = 1 result of Fig. 2(c).

All the scale dependence of the distributions is hidden in the Q^2 behavior of the powers $\lambda(Q^2)$. The position of the saddle point $N = \lambda$ in the Mellin integral (10) moves to the right in the complex N plane as Q^2 increases and so the off-diagonal "enhancement" increases; in other words R increases with Q^2 . A particular example is the double logarithm approximation when, in the singlet sector, the saddle point

$$N = \lambda_g(Q^2) \simeq \sqrt{(\alpha_S/\pi) \ln(1/x) \ln(Q^2/Q_0^2)}.$$
 (28)



FIG. 3. The off-diagonal to diagonal ratio, R, at small x and ξ versus the power λ which specifies the $x^{-\lambda}$ behavior of the input diagonal parton as in Eq. (21). Note that the quark singlet ratio has been divided by 2. The vertical arrows indicate the values of λ found in a global parton analysis [9] at $Q^2 = 4$ and 100 GeV².

In Fig. 2(d) we show the off-diagonal gluon distribution again, but now using a (more detailed) linear scale and comparing with the diagonal distribution $H(\bar{x},0)$ taken at fixed $\bar{x}=2\xi$, so as to avoid the extra x dependence coming from the diagonal gluon in the denominator of the R_g ratio. This demonstrates that the extra x dependence is responsible for the slight decrease observed in R_g of Fig. 2(b) as $x \rightarrow 0$, and that the decrease is not due to the behavior of $H_g(x,\xi)$.

The behavior of the ratios at $x = \xi$ are explicitly

$$R = \frac{H(\xi,\xi)}{H(2\xi,0)} = \frac{2^{2\lambda+3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+5/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda+3+p)}$$

where p=0 for quarks and p=1 for gluons. The ratios are plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of λ . The vertical arrows shown on the plot indicate the values of λ_g and λ_q obtained from the gluon and sea quark distributions at $Q^2=4$ and 100 GeV² of a recent global (diagonal) parton analysis [9]. The plot can be used to find the enhancement of the cross section for the high energy diffractive electroproduction of vector mesons arising from off-diagonal parton effects. The enhancement is given by R_g^2 where R_g is the value of the gluon ratio at $x=\xi$, which is shown in Fig. 3, at the appropriate scale, that is at the appropriate value of $\lambda_g(Q^2)$. For instance, for the photoproduction of J/ψ and Υ at the DESY ep collider HERA the enhancement is about $(1.15)^2$ and



FIG. 4. Meson (M) Regge exchange indicating the structure of the off-diagonal contribution of Eq. (32).

 $(1.32)^2$ respectively,³ if we use a scale $M_V^2/4$, where M_V is the mass of the vector meson.

From Figs. 2 and 3 we see that the off-diagonal or "skewed" effect (the ratio R) is much stronger for singlet quarks than for gluons. The explanation is straightforward. At low x the distributions are driven by the double leading logarithmic evolution of the gluon distribution. At each step of the evolution the momentum fractions x_i are strongly ordered $(x_1' \ge x_1, x_2' \ge x_2$ on Fig. 1). For gluons it is just the "ast splitting function" $P_{gg}(x_2, x'_2; \xi)$ which generates the main ξ dependence, or skewedness, of the distribution. However for the sea or singlet quarks it is necessary to produce a quark with the help of P_{qg} at the last splitting. The splitting function P_{qg} has no logarithmic $1/z = x_2'/x_2$ singularity and so x_2 is the order of x'_2 . Consequently both the splitting functions $P_{qg}(x_2, x'_2; \xi)$ and $P_{gg}(x'_2, x''_2; \xi)$ generate the asymmetry of the off-diagonal distribution. Hence, at low x, the singlet quark has a much stronger off-diagonal effect than the gluon.

IV. DISCUSSION

In order to conclude that the conformal moments allow us to use the diagonal partons to uniquely determine the offdiagonal partons at small x and ξ , including also their normalization and Q^2 behavior, it is necessary to consider some further points.

First we could worry that in the analytical continuation in N of the conformal moments,

$$O_N = \sum_k \xi^{2k} O_{Nk}$$
 with $2k < N+1$, (29)

the higher $(k \ge 1)$ terms will generate a singularity at $N > \lambda + 2k$. In such a case the small x, ξ contribution would be driven by this singularity. However we show that such a singularity to the right of $N = \lambda + 2k$ cannot occur. From the structure of the polynomials $R_N(x_1, x_2)$ of Eqs. (4) and (6), it is clear that there are no such singularities for integer N > 2k. On the other hand a singularity at non-integer $N = \beta$

+2k would generate a function f(x') of Eq. (10) which depends on the ratio $\xi^{2k}/x'^{\beta+2k}$. After the convolution (11) we would obtain a distribution which violates the polynomial condition [3]

$$\int dx x^{N} H(x,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{[(N+1)/2]} A_{k} \xi^{2k},$$
(30)

which comes from Lorentz invariance (and the tensor structure of the operators). Thus the higher ξ^2 terms (with $k \ge 1$) in Eq. (14) should die out with decreasing ξ .

A second consideration is that, from a formal point of view, we may add to the off-diagonal distribution any function

$$\Delta H(x,\xi) = g(x,\xi)\,\theta(\xi - |x|) \tag{31}$$

which exists only in the time-like Efremov-Radyushkin-Brodsky-Lepage (ERBL) region [12] with $|x| < \xi$. Such a contribution ΔH remains in the ERBL region during evolution. However ΔH disappears as $\xi \rightarrow 0$ and so it cannot be restored purely from diagonal partons. A physical way to model such an ERBL contribution is to consider *t* channel meson (*M*) exchanges of Fig. 4. The contribution ΔH is then given by the leading twist wave function ψ_M of the meson multiplied by the corresponding Regge exchange amplitude

$$\Delta H^{\text{Reggeon}} = \psi_M(x/\xi, Q^2) \xi^{-\alpha_M(t)} V(\mu^2 = Q_0^2; \xi; t). \quad (32)$$

The appropriate exchange is the f_2 meson which, in the constituent quark model, is formed from a *P*-wave $q\bar{q}$ state with $J^{PC}=2^{++}$. The Regge factor $\xi^{-\alpha_M}$ is the analogue of the $x^{-\eta}$ (or $\xi^{-\eta}$) factor in the non-singlet quark distribution $H^{ns} \sim x^{-\eta}$; in our notation of Eq. (21) and Fig. 2 with $xq^{ns} \sim x^{-\lambda_{ns}}$ we have $\lambda_{ns} = \eta - 1$. Phenomenologically we expect that $\eta \sim \alpha_M(0) \sim 0.5$. The key factor in Eq. (32) is *V* which specifies the coupling of the Reggeon to the proton. From Regge phenomenology the vertex factor *V* was extracted for the diagonal case where the ERBL domain does not exist. Let us try to estimate a possible ERBL contribution to the off-diagonal distributions. The value of the pion-nucleon Σ -term at low scales determines the number of current quarks and antiquarks in the nucleon to be [13]

$$\langle N|\bar{q}q|N\rangle \simeq 8.$$
 (33)

Allowing for valence quarks, this implies that the average number of $q\bar{q}$ pairs is about 2.5. At such low scales the partons are distributed more or less uniformly in the whole (-1,1) interval and so the probability to find two partons in the ERBL domain $(-\xi,\xi)$ is of the order of ξ^2 . Such a ΔH is a negligible $O(\xi^2)$ contribution at small ξ in agreement with our decomposition of the conformal moments.

So far our distributions enable us to calculate the imaginary part of the amplitude, say for Compton scattering⁴ with incoming and outgoing photon virtualities $q^2 = -Q^2$ and

³In practice the diagonal distributions have more complicated forms than that assumed in Eq. (21). For instance if we were to input $xg \sim x^{-\lambda_g}(1-x)^6$ in Eq. (20) and to perform the x' integration numerically then we find R_g increases from 1.32 to 1.41 for Y photoproduction at HERA where $x \approx 0.01$; the change in R_g occurs because the x sampled by the HERA data is not sufficiently small. $R_g^2 \approx 2$ is in agreement with the previous estimates of the enhancement due to off-diagonal effects [10,11].

⁴To be specific we consider the case with $t \le 0$, $q^2 \le 0$ and $q'^2 \le 0$.

 $q'^2 = -Q'^2$. At small x and ξ it turns out that the real part of the amplitude may be calculated easily using a dispersion relation in the center-of-mass energy squared $W_s^2 = (p+q)^2$. Let us consider the cut in the right-half W_s plane, that is the discontinuity for $W_s^2 > 0$. For fixed t, Q^2 and Q'^2 , the ratio $r = x/\xi$ is fixed as well, since $(x+\xi)/(x-\xi) = Q^2/Q'^2$. Thus the energy squared may be written

$$W_s^2 = (1-x)\frac{Q^2}{x+\xi} = (1-r\xi)\frac{Q^2}{1+r}\frac{1}{\xi}.$$
 (34)

However we must take into account the cuts in both the right and left half-planes, that is the *s* and *u* channel cuts. The left-hand cut corresponds to the *u* channel process (obtained by the interchange $p \leftrightarrow -p'$) with energy squared

$$W_u^2 = -(1+x)\frac{Q^2}{x+\xi}.$$
 (35)

The unpolarized deeply virtual Compton amplitude is the sum of the *s*- and *u*-channel terms, $A = A_s + A_u$, and appears to have even signature, that is *A* is crossing symmetric. Strictly speaking at large *x* and ξ there is some asymmetry (since $W_u^2 \neq -W_s^2$), which may be considered as the odd signature contribution and should be treated appropriately in the dispersion integral. However the situation is particularly simple at small $x \ll 1$, where $(1 \pm x) \approx 1$. Then we may write the whole amplitude $A \propto (W^2)^{\lambda}$, with the help of the even signature factor

$$S^{+} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^{\lambda}), \qquad (36)$$

in the form

$$A = i \operatorname{Im} A \left(\frac{1 + e^{-i\pi\lambda}}{1 + \cos\pi\lambda} \right).$$
(37)

Moreover for small λ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}A \simeq \frac{\pi\lambda}{2} \operatorname{Im}A.$$
 (38)

Strictly speaking the conformal moments O_N only renormalize multiplicatively, as in Eq. (9), at leading order (LO). Due to a conformal anomaly at next-to-leading (NLO) the moment O_N mixes, on evolution, with moments $O_{N'}$ with N'<N [14]. The mixing is taken into account by a matrix $B_{NN'}$, which obeys its own evolution equation [15]. Of course the mixing is absent in the diagonal case when $\xi \rightarrow 0$, whereas for non-zero ξ we have

$$O_{N}^{\text{NLO}} = \sum_{N'=0}^{N} \boldsymbol{B}_{NN'} O_{N'}^{\text{NLO (diag)}} \xi^{N-N'}$$
(39)

where $O_N, O_{N'}$ and $B_{NN'}$ all depend on $\alpha_S(Q^2)$. Thus in the small $\xi \leq 1$ limit we can safely use expressions (16) and (20) for $H(x,\xi)$ even at NLO.

In summary, in the low ξ region we can use expressions (16) and (20) to reliably predict the off-diagonal distributions $H(x,\xi)$ in terms of the diagonal partons at any scale. All that is required is a two-fold integration. The expected accuracy is of the order of ξ^2 . As a specific example we assumed in Eq. (21) that the diagonal partons had a power-like $x^{-\lambda}$ behavior for small x. In this case one integration can be done analytically and we have even simpler expressions for H_q and H_g , see Eq. (22). The results are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 and allow the off-diagonal distributions to be determined for any small x, ξ values at any scale. One important consequence is that data for processes, which are described by off-diagonal distributions, can be included in a global analysis to better constrain the low x behavior of the (conventional) diagonal partons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lev Lipatov for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Royal Society, INTAS (95-311), the Russian Fund for Fundamental Research (98 02 17629), the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research grant No. 2 P03B 089 13 and by the EU Fourth Framework Program TMR, Network "QCD and the Deep Structure of Elementary Particles" contract FMRX-CT98-0194 (DG12-MIKT) and (DG12-MIHT).

- [1] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 610 (1997).
- [2] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7114 (1997).
- [3] X. Ji, J. Phys. G 24, 1181 (1998).
- [4] A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D 56, 5524 (1997).
- [5] K. J. Golec-Biernat and A. D. Martin, Phys. Rev. D 59, 014029 (1999).
- [6] Th. Ohrndorf, Nucl. Phys. B198, 26 (1982).
- [7] A. P. Bukhvostov, G. V. Frolov, L. N. Lipatov, and E. A. Kuraev, Nucl. Phys. B258, 601 (1985).
- [8] A. Shuvaev, hep-ph/9902318.
- [9] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, W. J. Stirling, and R. S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C 4, 463 (1998).
- [10] A. D. Martin and M. G. Ryskin, Phys. Rev. D 57, 6692 (1998).

- [11] A. D. Martin, M. G. Ryskin, and T. Teubner, Phys. Lett. B (to be published), hep-ph/9901420.
- [12] A. V. Efremov and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. **94B**, 245 (1980); S. J. Brodsky and G. P. Lepage, *ibid.* **87B**, 359 (1979).
- [13] See, for example, J. Gasser, M. Leutwyler, and M. E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. B 253, 252 (1991); J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. 87, 154 (1982).
- [14] S. J. Brodsky *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 33, 1881 (1986); D. Müller, *ibid.* 49, 2525 (1994).
- [15] A. V. Belitsky, D. Müller, L. Niedermeier, and A. Schäfer, hep-ph/9810275; A. V. Belitsky and D. Müller, Nucl. Phys. B537, 397 (1999), and references therein.