

Single-photon events in e^+e^- collisions

S. Y. Choi,¹ J. S. Shim,² H. S. Song,³ J. Song,³ and C. Yu³

¹*Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 207-43, Cheongryangri-dong, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-012, Korea*

²*Department of Physics, Myongji University, Yongin 449-728, Korea*

³*Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea*

(Received 22 January 1999; published 14 June 1999)

We provide a detailed investigation of single-photon production processes in e^+e^- collisions with missing momenta carried by neutrinos or neutralinos. The transition amplitudes for both processes can be organized into a generic simplified, factorized form; each neutral $V\pm A$ vector current of missing energy carriers is factorized out and all the characteristics of the reaction are solely included in the electron vector current. Firstly, we apply the generic form to give a unified description of single-photon production with a Dirac-type or Majorana-type neutrino pair and to confirm their identical characteristics as suggested by the so-called practical Dirac-Majorana confusion theorem. Secondly, we show that the generic amplitude form is maintained with the anomalous P - and C -invariant $WW\gamma$ couplings in the neutrino-associated process and it enables us to easily understand the large contributions of the anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings at higher energies and, in particular, at points away from the Z -resonance peak. Finally, the neutralino-associated process, which receives modifications in both the left-handed and right-handed electron currents due to the exchanges of the left-handed and right-handed selectrons, can be differentiated from the neutrino-associated ones through the left-right asymmetries and/or the circular polarization of the outgoing photon. [S0556-2821(99)07113-1]

PACS number(s): 12.15.-y, 12.60.Jv, 14.70.Fm

I. INTRODUCTION

All the large luminosity and high-energy experiments up to now have confirmed the validity of the standard model (SM) to an unexpectedly high level [1]. In spite of its extraordinary success, the SM has a lot of conceptual problems such as the gauge hierarchy problem, so that it is believed to be valid only at the electroweak scale and to be extended at higher energies. The first would-be evidence beyond the SM, although it has to be independently confirmed by other experiments, has come from the neutrino sector as the zenith-angle-dependent neutrino flux has been observed in the Super-Kamiokande experiment [2]. On the other hand, high-energy collider experiments such as the CERN e^+e^- collider LEP2, Large Hadron Collider (LHC), and a high-energy e^+e^- linear collider should accelerate a broad investigation of new physics beyond the SM in the near future.

The process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma + X$ with a distinctive ‘‘photon-plus-missing-energy’’ signal can serve as one of the most efficient processes for the exploration of new physics. In the process the missing energy can be carried by the SM neutrinos or weakly interacting or invisible new (s)particles. In the framework of the SM, the single-photon process with the missing energy carried by neutrinos has been exploited to count the number of light neutrino species at the DESY e^+e^- collider PETRA, SLAC, and LEP1 [3,4] since, at low energies, the contribution from the t -channel W -exchange diagrams becomes negligible. However, the W -exchange contributions become important at high energies so that the neutrino-associated single-photon process allows for measuring the $WW\gamma$ coupling independently of the WWZ coupling unlike the most discussed $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$.

The events with a photon plus missing energy in e^+e^-

collisions might originate from other mechanisms,¹ signaling new physics beyond the SM. For example, such final states can be produced in the minimal supersymmetric SM (MSSM), one of the most promising frameworks for the new theory. The missing energy in these events is caused by the weakly interacting or invisible particles such as lightest neutralinos, gravitinos [7] and/or sneutrinos. In all such cases the SM neutrino-associated single-photon events are irreducible background. Therefore, in order to reach a definite conclusion of new physics, comprehensive calculations and reliable estimations of all possible single-photon processes are requisite.

In the present work, we provide a unified description of the following three cases for single-photon events: (i) $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\nu$ in the SM including the case when the neutrinos are of Majorana type, (ii) $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\nu$ with the P - and C -preserving general $WW\gamma$ coupling, and (iii) $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in the MSSM assuming that the lightest neutralino is the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). Several diagrams are involved in all the processes under consideration so that the complete calculations look quite demanding. However, as will be shown in the following, the transition amplitude of every single-photon process is organized into a generic simple, unified form; each neutral vector current of missing energy carriers is factorized out and all the dynamical characteristics for the process are solely included in the electron vector current.

¹Recent developments [5] in superstring theory have led to a radical rethinking of the possibilities for new particles and dynamics arising from extra compactified spatial dimensions. Among the new particle states, the so-called Kaluza-Klein massive gravitons [6] can be the invisible particles carrying the missing energy in the single-photon events.




FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams contributing to the neutrino-associated single-photon process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ in the SM.

The rest of the present work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we exemplify the amplitude reduction procedure for the neutrino-associated single-photon process in the SM and apply it to give a unified description of a single-photon production with a Dirac-type or Majorana-type neutrino pair, which facilitate confirming the indistinguishability between the observations of both processes as suggested by the so-called practical Dirac-Majorana confusion theorem [8]. Then, we show that the generic amplitude form is maintained even after including P - and C -preserving anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings in the neutrino-associated process and the simplified form clearly exhibits large contributions of the anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings at higher energies and, in particular, at the points away from the Z resonance peak. In Sec. III we consider the neutralino-associated single-photon process in the MSSM. This process involves modifications in both the left-handed and right-handed electron currents due to the left-handed and right-handed selectron exchanges. Nevertheless, the simple unified form of the amplitude, which appears in the neutrino-associated single-photon process, can be also applied to the process with two identical neutralinos of Majorana type as final missing-energy states. Section IV is devoted to assessing the usefulness of the left-right asymmetry and the circular polarization of the outgoing photon in distinguishing the neutralino-associated process from the neutrino-associated one. Finally, we reserve Sec. V for the summary and conclusions.

II. NEUTRINO-ASSOCIATED SINGLE-PHOTON PROCESSES

A. Amplitude reduction

In this subsection, we describe how to obtain a simple unified amplitude for the processes with a distinctive photon-plus-missing-energy through the following specific example [9]:

$$e^-(p_1) + e^+(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k) + \nu(k_1) + \bar{\nu}(k_2). \quad (1)$$

The neutrino-associated single-photon process (1) involves five Feynman diagrams in the SM; three W -mediated and two Z -mediated ones as shown in Fig. 1. The application of the Fierz rearrangement formulas

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\psi}_1 \gamma_\mu P_L \psi_2] [\bar{\psi}_3 \gamma^\mu P_L \psi_4] &= [\bar{\psi}_1 \gamma_\mu P_L \psi_4] [\bar{\psi}_3 \gamma^\mu P_L \psi_2], \\ [\bar{\psi}_1 P_R \psi_2] [\bar{\psi}_3 P_L \psi_4] &= \frac{1}{2} [\bar{\psi}_1 \gamma_\mu P_L \psi_4] [\bar{\psi}_3 \gamma^\mu P_R \psi_2], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

to the three W -mediated diagrams reduces the production amplitude to a general form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \frac{eg^2}{2 \cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{g_{ZXX}}{(k_1+k_2)^2 - m_Z^2} [\bar{u}(k_1) \gamma_\mu P_L v(k_2)] \bar{v}_e(p_2) \\ &\times \left[\frac{\gamma^\mu (\not{\epsilon}^* \not{k} + 2p_1 \cdot \epsilon^*)}{2p_1 \cdot k} \{L_1 P_L + R_1 P_R\} \right. \\ &+ \frac{(\not{\epsilon}^* \not{k} - 2p_2 \cdot \epsilon^*) \gamma^\mu}{2p_2 \cdot k} \{L_2 P_L + R_2 P_R\} + A_L^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu P_L \\ &\left. + A_R^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu P_R \right] u_e(p_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, $P_{L,R} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$, the parameter g_{ZXX} denotes the normalized coupling strength of the ZXX vertex (e.g., $g_{Z\nu\bar{\nu}} = 1$) and ϵ^* the polarization vector of the outgoing photon. Since the $We\nu$ vertex is of the left-handed type in the SM, only the left-handed form factors are affected by the W -exchange diagrams but the right-handed ones are exclusively determined by the Zee vertex:

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \epsilon_L + [2p_i \cdot (k+k_i) + m_W^2] f_W, \quad R_i = \epsilon_R [i=1,2], \\ A_L^{\mu\nu} &= 2g^{\mu\nu} (k_2 - p_1) \cdot \epsilon^* f_W, \quad A_R^{\mu\nu} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where ϵ_L and ϵ_R are the SM left- and right-handed couplings for the Zee vertex and f_W is the momentum-dependent form factor:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_L &= -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^2 \theta_W, \quad \epsilon_R = \sin^2 \theta_W, \\ f_W &= -\cos^2 \theta_W \frac{2k_1 \cdot k_2 - m_Z^2}{(2p_1 \cdot k_1 + m_W^2)(2p_2 \cdot k_2 + m_W^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with the electroweak mixing angle θ_W . Note that the neutrino vector current of the V - A form is factored out and the whole dynamical information of the process is included only in the electron vector current. The contributions from the W -mediated processes to the form factors vanish at the Z -resonance pole. The last two terms, $A_L^{\mu\nu}$ and $A_R^{\mu\nu}$, in Eq. (3) play a role in conserving $U(1)_{EM}$ gauge invariance and they are proportional to the factor f_W .

The expression in Eq. (3) is of a very generic form so that it can be applied to the amplitude for any process producing a single photon and a fermion pair in e^+e^- collisions. This property will be explicitly demonstrated with three examples; (i) the production of a photon and a Majorana neu-




FIG. 2. The differential cross section of the neutrino-associated process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ with respect to the energy fraction of the outgoing photon x_γ with the photon angular cut $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.98$. The solid line is for $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV and the dotted line for $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV.

trino pair, (ii) the case with the anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings, and (iii) the production of a photon and a lightest neutralino pair.

In order to check the validity of the simplified form for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$, we perform a Monte Carlo phase-space integration by BASES [10] with the expression in Eq. (3). Since there exists a collinear singularity due to the neglected electron mass as well as an infrared singularity for a soft photon energy in every process under consideration, in our numerical analysis we set the photon scattering angle to be $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.98$, and the photon energy fraction x_γ with respect to the electron beam energy $E_b [= \sqrt{s}/2]$ to be larger than 0.05. Figure 2 shows the dependence of the differential cross section on the photon energy fraction x_γ with the photon angular cut. Numerically, we find that the differential cross section is completely consistent with that in Ref. [11]. As can be easily checked from the simplified form of the amplitude, the peaks in the differential cross section $d\sigma/dx_\gamma$ are attributed to the s -channel Z -mediated diagrams near the photon energy fraction $x_\gamma = 1 - m_Z^2/s$.

B. Dirac versus Majorana

In the SM, only the neutrinos among fundamental fermions may possess no global discrete quantum numbers such as the lepton numbers, opening the possibility that neutrinos are their own anti-particles, that is to say, Majorana particles. In the light of this aspect, whether light neutrinos are Dirac or Majorana particles has been one of the main issues in neutrino physics. The answer is truly meaningful only when any difference is experimentally observed. In the wide range of neutrino experiments at the colliders, the so-called ‘‘practical Dirac-Majorana confusion (PDMC) theorem’’ in Ref. [8] holds true [12]. Related with the recent evidence of neutrino oscillation, it will be of particular interest to check the possibility of determining in the neutrino-associated single-photon process whether the produced neutrinos are of Dirac or Majorana type or not.

In principle, there exist some differences at the amplitude level due to different Feynman rules for both types of neu-

trinos [13]. Compared to Dirac particles, Majorana particles can exhibit two important characteristic features: lepton-number violation and different Feynman rules for interaction vertices involving the Majorana particles. In the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\nu$ for a Majorana neutrino pair, there exists a u -channel lepton-number-violating diagram corresponding to each t -channel lepton-number-preserving diagram. Due to the fact that there is no vector current for Majorana fermions, the neutral vector current must be of the type $(\gamma^\mu P_L - \gamma^\mu P_R)$, while the charged vector current remains intact. Nevertheless, we will show that, if the neutrinos are not detected and (almost) massless, the experimental signatures at high-energy colliders are identical for both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos. This is an additional demonstration of the PDMC theorem.

For Majorana neutrinos, the amplitude of each u -channel diagram is related to that of corresponding t -channel one by

$$\mathcal{M}_u(k_1, k_2) = -\mathcal{M}_t(k_2, k_1), \quad (6)$$

where the minus sign stems from the interchange of two identical fermions. On the other hand, the neutral vector current of Majorana neutrinos in the Z -mediated diagrams can be expressed in terms of two Dirac-type amplitudes by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_M(k_1)(\gamma^\mu P_L - \gamma^\mu P_R)v_M(k_2) &= \bar{u}_M(k_1)\gamma^\mu P_L v_M(k_2) \\ &\quad - \bar{u}_M(k_2)\gamma^\mu P_L v_M(k_1), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we have used Majorana conditions

$$\bar{u}_M(k_1)\gamma^\mu(1 \pm \gamma_5)v_M(k_2) = \bar{u}_M(k_2)\gamma^\mu(1 \mp \gamma_5)v_M(k_1). \quad (8)$$

As a result, the production amplitude for Majorana neutrinos is expressed by

$$\mathcal{M}_M = \mathcal{M}_D(k_1, k_2) - \mathcal{M}_D(k_2, k_1). \quad (9)$$

Note that the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. (9) is the negative of the first term with k_1^μ and k_2^μ exchanged. Therefore, the transition amplitude is expressed in terms of two amplitudes which are of the generic form in Eq. (3).

We first note that the interference term $\mathcal{M}_D(k_1, k_2)^* \mathcal{M}_D(k_2, k_1)$ in the evaluation of $|\mathcal{M}_M|^2$ becomes, with the help of expression (3) and the Majorana condition (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_D(k_1, k_2)^* \mathcal{M}_D(k_2, k_1) &= \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} \sum_{\text{spin}} \bar{v}(k_1)\gamma^\mu P_L u(k_2) \bar{u}(k_2)\gamma^\nu P_R v(k_1) \\ &= 2m_\nu^2 g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ is a covariant tensor composed of the absolute square of the electron vector current. The final term in Eq. (10) is obtained by assuming a finite neutrino mass m_ν and taking the polarization sum. Clearly, when the neutrino mass is negligible compared to the beam energy, the contribution

from the interference term vanishes. The practical incapability of explicitly identifying neutrinos at high-energy collider experiments forces us to integrate the differential cross section over the final phase space of neutrinos. As a result, the complete identity of the integrals of two squared amplitudes over the symmetric phase space of the two neutrino momenta

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\Phi_2 \delta^4(k_1+k_2-q) |\mathcal{M}_D(k_1, k_2)|^2 \\ &= \int d\Phi_2 \delta^4(k_1+k_2-q) |\mathcal{M}_D(k_2, k_1)|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

does not leave any difference in the observation of Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.

In summary, any practically observable difference between Dirac and Majorana neutrinos can appear only when neutrinos have a non-negligible mass.

C. Anomalous $WW\gamma$ coupling

Under the assumption that the discrete symmetries P , C , and T are preserved separately, the general coupling of two charged vector bosons W^\pm with a photon γ is derived from the most general and $U(1)_{EM}$ gauge-invariant Lagrangian [14]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{WW\gamma}}{g} &= i(W_{\mu\nu}^\dagger W^\mu A^\nu - W_{\mu\nu}^\dagger A_\nu W^{\mu\nu}) + i\kappa_\gamma W_\mu^\dagger W_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{i\lambda_\gamma}{m_W^2} W_{\lambda\nu}^\dagger W_\nu^\mu F^{\mu\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $W^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu W^\nu - \partial^\nu W^\mu$ and $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$. The parameters κ_γ and λ_γ (which are 1 and 0 in the SM), are related to the anomalous magnetic dipole moment μ_W and the electric quadrupole moment Q_W of the W boson by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_W &= \frac{e(1 + \kappa_\gamma + \lambda_\gamma)}{2m_W}, \\ Q_W &= -\frac{e(\kappa_\gamma - \lambda_\gamma)}{m_W^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

These self-interactions of gauge bosons have been extensively investigated through various processes at e^+e^- and hadron colliders [15]. Among them the hadron-free reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ is favorable in the investigation of the $WW\gamma$ vertex since it does not include the other self-interactions of gauge bosons [16]. Even though the gauge group structure of the SM specifies the self-interactions of the W , Z , and γ when regarded as fundamental gauge bosons, their precise confirmation is to be experimentally established [17]. The ALEPH collaboration has reported preliminary results for the coupling $\kappa_\gamma - 1 = 0.05_{-1.1}^{+1.2}$ (stat) and $\lambda_\gamma = -0.05_{-1.5}^{+1.6}$ (stat) from the data of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 161, 172$, and 183 GeV [18]. Any deviation from the SM prediction will lead to the hint for the theory beyond the SM.




FIG. 3. The photon energy distributions $d\sigma/dx_\gamma$ of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ for the e^+e^- c.m. energy of 200 and 500 GeV with the photon angular cut $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.98$ for the different values of the anomalous parameters, κ_γ and λ_γ . In (a) and (c) the value of λ_γ is taken to be 0 and in (b) and (d) the value of κ_γ taken to be 1.

After a lengthy calculation, we find that even in the existence of the anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings the transition amplitude for this reaction still keeps the unified form (3) with the following modifications in the form factors:

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \epsilon_L + \left[\left(1 + \kappa_\gamma - 2 \frac{\lambda_\gamma}{m_W^2} (p_i \cdot k_i) \right) (p_i \cdot k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2p_i \cdot k_i + m_W^2 \right] f_W, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_i = \epsilon_R,$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_L^{\mu\nu} &= \left[g^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^*(K_2 - K_1) + 2 \frac{\lambda_\gamma}{m_W^2} (p_1 - k_1) k \epsilon^{*\mu} k^\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{\lambda_\gamma}{m_W^2} (p_1 - k_1) \epsilon^* k^\mu k^\nu \right] f_W, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_R^{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

$$K_i = k_i + \kappa_\gamma p_i - 2 \frac{\lambda_\gamma}{m_W^2} (p_i \cdot k_i) p_i. \quad (14)$$

Compared to the transition amplitude in the SM, only the $V-A$ part of the electron vector current is modified. This is understandable because the $V-A$ vertex remains the same for the charged electron current with the W boson which is to be coupled with the photon. In Fig. 3, we show the differential cross section with respect to the photon energy fraction x_γ with the photon angular cut $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.98$ at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV

and $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV for two cases:² three values of κ_γ with $\lambda_\gamma=0$ ($\kappa_\gamma=1, -1.3$, and 3.2) and three values of λ_γ with $\kappa_\gamma=1$ ($\lambda_\gamma=0, -1$, and 1). Note that the effects of nonstandard couplings increase at higher energies [19], reflecting the fact that the anomalous terms are higher-dimensional and nonrenormalizable. The figure clearly shows that it is very difficult to observe the deviations due to the anomalous parameters κ_γ and λ_γ near $x_\gamma=1-m_Z^2/s$ where the Z -exchange contributions dominate over the W -exchange ones. Therefore, it is crucial to apply appropriate photon energy cuts to enhance the possibility of seeing the anomalous effects.

III. NEUTRALINO-ASSOCIATED SINGLE-PHOTON PROCESS

Supersymmetry (SUSY) is a new symmetry which provides a well-motivated extension of the SM with an elegant solution to the gauge hierarchy problem. Most supersymmetry theories assume the so-called R -parity under which the SM particles are even and the supersymmetric particles are odd. The conservation of R -parity ensures the stability of the LSP so that it escapes from the detection. In most supersymmetric models, the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the LSP in a wide range of parameter space. Because of the elusive property, the existence of the lightest neutralino cannot be checked through the simplest process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ leaving no signals in a detector. However, the production of a lightest neutralino pair accompanied by a single photon in e^+e^- collisions can give useful information on the existence of the LSP through the photon energy and angular distributions along with tuning the electron beam polarization and/or measuring the outgoing photon polarization.

In this section, we concentrate on the single-photon process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in the MSSM. Because of the electroweak gauge symmetry breaking, the gauginos, the superpartners of gauge bosons, and the higgsinos, the superpartners of the Higgs bosons, can mix to give physical mass eigenstates in the MSSM. In particular, the photino $\tilde{\gamma}$ and the Zino \tilde{Z} mix with two neutral higgsinos \tilde{H}_1^0 and \tilde{H}_2^0 to form four neutralino mass eigenstates $\tilde{\chi}_i^0$ [$i=1-4$]. The neutralino masses and the mixing angles are determined by m_Z , $\tan\beta$, two soft SUSY-breaking gaugino mass parameters M_1 and M_2 and the SUSY-preserving higgsino mass parameter μ . The symmetric 4×4 neutralino mass matrix can be diagonalized by a 4×4 unitary matrix N [20]. Despite the involved neutralino mixing as well as the large number of Feynman diagrams, we will show that the production amplitude for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ can be also organized into the unified form in Eq. (3), which enables us to investigate the dependence of the energy and angular spectrum of the outgoing photon on the relevant SUSY parameters.

The reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in the MSSM involves 14




FIG. 4. Feynman diagrams contributing to the neutralino-associated single-photon process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ in the MSSM.

Feynman diagrams as depicted in Fig. 4. The selectron-exchange diagrams with the primed indices [Figs. 4(c')–4(h')] are allowed due to the Majorana property of neutralinos, of which the amplitudes are related to those of the corresponding t -channel ones by

$$\mathcal{M}'_x(k_1, k_2) = -\mathcal{M}_x(k_2, k_1) \quad [x=c, d, e, f, g, h], \quad (15)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the four-momenta of the two lightest neutralinos. Due to the Majorana condition in Eq. (8) the diagrams (A) and (B) can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{A,B} &= \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(k_1, k_2) - \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(k_2, k_1) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{M}_{a,b}(k_1, k_2) + \mathcal{M}'_{a,b}(k_1, k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Defining the following combination to be \mathcal{M}_L :

$$\mathcal{M}_L \equiv \mathcal{M}_a + \mathcal{M}_b + \mathcal{M}'_c + \mathcal{M}'_d + \mathcal{M}'_e + \mathcal{M}_f + \mathcal{M}_g + \mathcal{M}_h, \quad (17)$$

we can show that the sum of the remaining amplitudes, denoted by \mathcal{M}_R , satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{M}_R(k_1, k_2) = -\mathcal{M}_L(k_2, k_1), \quad (18)$$

²The conservative ranges of the parameters κ_γ and λ_γ quoted in Ref. [17] are considered.

and thus the total production amplitude \mathcal{M} for the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_L + \mathcal{M}_R = \mathcal{M}_L(k_1, k_2) - \mathcal{M}_L(k_2, k_1). \quad (19)$$

Then, the Fierz rearrangement formulas in Eq. (2) cast the production amplitude into the unified form in Eq. (3) with the following modifications:

$$g_{Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = \frac{1}{2} [|N_{13}|^2 - |N_{14}|^2],$$

$$L_1 = \epsilon_L - \frac{1}{2} [(p_1 - k_2)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_L}^2] f_{\tilde{e}_L}^-,$$

$$L_2 = \epsilon_L - \frac{1}{2} [(p_2 - k_1)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_L}^2] f_{\tilde{e}_L}^-,$$

$$R_1 = \epsilon_R + \frac{1}{2} [(p_1 - k_1)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_R}^2] f_{\tilde{e}_R}^-,$$

$$R_2 = \epsilon_R + \frac{1}{2} [(p_2 - k_2)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_R}^2] f_{\tilde{e}_R}^-,$$

$$A_L^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}(k_2 - p_1) \cdot \epsilon^* f_{\tilde{e}_L}^-, \quad A_R^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}(k_2 - p_2) \cdot \epsilon^* f_{\tilde{e}_R}^-, \quad (20)$$

where the form factors $f_{\tilde{e}_L}^-$ and $f_{\tilde{e}_R}^-$ describing the selectron-exchanges are given by

$$f_{\tilde{e}_L}^- = \frac{4 \cos^2 \theta_W |g_L|^2}{g_{Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0}} \frac{(k_1 + k_2)^2 - m_Z^2}{[(p_1 - k_2)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_L}^2][(p_2 - k_1)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_L}^2]},$$

$$f_{\tilde{e}_R}^- = \frac{4 \cos^2 \theta_W |g_R|^2}{g_{Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0}} \frac{(k_1 + k_2)^2 - m_Z^2}{[(p_1 - k_1)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_R}^2][(p_2 - k_2)^2 - m_{\tilde{e}_R}^2]} \quad (21)$$

with $g_L = (N_{12} + \tan \theta_W N_{11})/2$ and $g_R = \tan \theta_W N_{11}$. The factorization of the neutral vector currents of invisible neutralinos occurs again at the amplitude level. Compared to the amplitudes of the neutrino-associated processes in Eqs. (4) and (14), we observe that the $V+A$ structure of the electron current undergoes considerable changes due to the existence of the right-handed selectron exchanges. As a result, the use of the right-handed electron beam may be very helpful to reduce the SM background effects. This feature will be quantitatively demonstrated in the next section.

In Fig. 5, we have demonstrated the differential cross section with respect to the photon energy fraction x_γ with the photon angular cut $|\cos \theta| \leq 0.98$ at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ and 500 GeV for $\tan \beta = 2$ and 30, respectively, taking $m_{\tilde{e}_{L,R}} = 100$ GeV. The lightest neutralino mass and the elements of the mixing matrix N are computed by using $M_1 = 100$ GeV, $\mu = 100$ GeV, and the assumption of the gaugino mass unification condition $M_1 = (5/3)\tan^2 \theta_W M_2$. We note that for $\tan \beta = 30$ the resonance peak around the Z -resonance pole is




FIG. 5. The different cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with respect to the photon energy fraction x_γ with the photon angular cut $|\cos \theta| \leq 0.98$. The lightest neutralino mass and its couplings are calculated with $M_1 = 100$ GeV, $\mu = 100$ GeV and the gaugino unification condition $M_1 = (5/3)\tan^2 \theta_W M_2$ for two $\tan \beta$ values; $\tan \beta = 2$ in the left frame and $\tan \beta = 30$ in the right frame. The masses for the right-handed and left-handed selectrons are assumed to be 100 GeV.

absent which is apparently present for $\tan \beta = 2$. These different behaviors according to $\tan \beta$ can be explained by comparing the maximally allowed photon energy x_γ^{\max} with the photon energy fraction for the resonance peak $x_\gamma^{Z, \text{peak}}$. The maximum energy fraction of the photon corresponds to the largest momentum which is obtained when the photon is scattered against the collinear neutralinos:

$$x_\gamma^{\max} = 1 - \frac{4m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2}{s}. \quad (22)$$

Since the resonance peak occurs at $x_\gamma^{Z, \text{peak}} = 1 - m_Z^2/s$, there is no peak if $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \geq m_Z/2$. With the above numerical values for M_1 , M_2 , μ , we have $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 39$ GeV for $\tan \beta = 2$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 61$ GeV for $\tan \beta = 30$, which correctly explains the different behaviors. Therefore, a precise confirmation of the existence of the resonance peak after subtracting the SM background effects can provide valuable information on the lightest neutralino mass $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$.

IV. LEFT-RIGHT ASYMMETRIES AND PHOTON POLARIZATION

One crucial difference of the neutralino-associated process from the neutrino-associated one is the existence of the right-handed selectron exchanges, so that the ratio of the production rate with the right-handed electron beam to that with the left-handed one can be substantially large. Since a highly polarized electron beam with its beam polarization more than 90% is expected at future e^+e^- linear colliders [21], it will be valuable to study the left-right asymmetries in identifying the origin of the single-photon events. Moreover, it is expected that the circular polarization of the outgoing photon is different. In this light, we present a quantitative




FIG. 6. The left-right asymmetries as a function of the photon scattering angle θ at $\sqrt{s}=200$ and 500 GeV with the photon energy cut $x_\gamma \geq 0.05$. The upper frame is for the neutrino-associated process, while the middle and lower frames are for the neutralino-associated processes for $\tan\beta=2$ [middle] and $\tan\beta=30$ [lower]. The other SUSY parameters are taken to be the same as in Fig. 5.

analysis for the left-right asymmetries and the photon circular polarization in the single-photon processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$.

In order to measure a left-right asymmetry A_{LR} defined by

$$A_{LR} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L}, \quad (23)$$

we have only to switch the longitudinal electron polarization, which should be straightforward in a e^+e^- linear collider. In order to measure the circular polarization of the final photon beam, we use a general method [22] which can be applied to any process producing a single photon. In the general formalism, the circular polarization is described by a Stokes' parameter ξ_2 that is nothing but the rate asymmetry:

$$\xi_2 = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}, \quad (24)$$

where N_\pm is the number of produced photons with positive and negative helicities.

Figure 6 shows the left-right asymmetries A_{LR} as a function of the photon scattering angle θ with the photon energy cut $x_\gamma \geq 0.05$ at $\sqrt{s}=200$ and 500 GeV with the same SUSY parameters as in Fig. 5. The upper frame in the figure is for the neutrino-associated process, while the middle and lower frames are for the neutralino-associated ones for $\tan\beta=2$ (middle) and $\tan\beta=30$ (lower). Clearly, the left-right asymmetries are very different in two processes; the asymmetries for the neutralino-associated process are always larger and even positive for $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV. Moreover, the dependence of the asymmetry on $\tan\beta$ becomes significant at \sqrt{s}




FIG. 7. The degree of photon circular polarization as a function of the photon scattering angle θ for the neutrino-associated process [(a) and (c)] and the neutralino-associated process [(b) and (d)]. The electron beam is purely left-handed in (a) and (b), and right-handed in (c) and (d) with the photon energy cut $x_\gamma \geq 0.05$. The other SUSY parameters have the same values as in Fig. 5.

$=200$ GeV. As discussed in the previous section, the right-handed electron beam is very useful to identifying the neutralino-associated process, removing a large portion of the SM background.

In Fig. 7 we show the circular polarization degree ξ_2 of the outgoing photon as a function of the photon scattering angle θ for the neutrino-associated process [(a) and (c)] and the neutralino-associated one [(b) and (d)] with the same SUSY parameters as in Figs. 5 and 6 and the photon energy cut $x_\gamma \geq 0.05$. We set the electron beam to be purely left-handed in (a) and (b) and right-handed in (c) and (d). For the left-handed [right-handed] electron beam, ξ_2 is negative [positive] in the forward direction and positive [negative] in the backward direction, respectively. Note that the circular polarization in the neutralino-associated process is more sensitive to the beam energy of the right-handed electron beam than of the left-handed electron beam. This dependence is, however, opposite in the neutrino-associated process.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied in detail the single-photon events in high-energy e^+e^- collisions as attributing the missing energy to neutrinos in the SM including the effects of the anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings, or to neutralinos in the MSSM, which are assumed to be the LSP. We have found that the transition amplitudes for both processes can be organized into a generic simplified, factorized form; each neutral $V \pm A$ vector current of missing energy carriers is factorized out and all the characteristics for the reaction is solely included in the electron vector current.

The amplitude reduction procedure described in Sec. II A allows us to give a unified description of a single-photon production with a Dirac-type or Majorana-type neutrino pair and to easily confirm their identical characteristics in the

observation supported by the so-called practical Dirac-Majorana confusion theorem. The generic amplitude form is preserved with the anomalous $WW\gamma$ couplings in the neutrino-associated process and it enables us to easily understand large contributions of the anomalous P - and C -invariant $WW\gamma$ couplings at higher energies and, in particular, at the points away from the Z peak. The neutralino-associated single-photon process in the MSSM involves the modification in both the left-handed and right-handed electron currents due to the left-handed and right-handed electron exchanges. Nevertheless, the basic simplified amplitude form can be applied to the production process of two identical neutralinos of the Majorana type as well. We have found that, due to these distinct properties, utilizing the left-right asymmetries for the longitudinal electron polarization and/or

measuring the circular polarization of the outgoing photon may be very useful in disentangling the neutralino-associated processes from the neutrino-associated ones.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H.S. and C.Y. would like to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation through the Sughak Program and 98-015-D00054. The work of J.H.S. was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) through the Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University and the work of S.Y.C. was supported by KOSEF through the KOSEF-DFG large collaboration project, Project No. 96-0702-01-01-2.

-
- [1] G. Altarelli, R. Barbieri, and F. Caravaglios, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **7**, 1031 (1998).
- [2] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1562 (1998).
- [3] E. Ma and J. Okada, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41**, 287 (1978); K.J.F. Gaemers, R. Gastmans, and F.M. Renard, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 1605 (1979); G. Barbiellini, B. Richter, and J.L. Siegrist, *Phys. Lett.* **106B**, 414 (1981).
- [4] B. Adeva *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **275**, 209 (1992).
- [5] For recent discussions see, for example, *TASI96: Fields, Strings and Duality*, edited by C. Rfthimiou and B. Greene (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).
- [6] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, *Phys. Lett. B* **429**, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, *ibid.* **436**, 257 (1998); G. Shiu and S.-H. Tye, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 106007 (1998); Z. Kakushadze and S.-H. Tye, hep-ph/9809147; G.F. Giudice, R. Rattazzi, and J.D. Wells, *Nucl. Phys.* **B544**, 3 (1998); E.A. Mirabelli, M. Perelstein, and M.E. Peskin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2236 (1999); T. Han, J.D. Lykken, and R.J. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 105006 (1999); J.L. Hewett, hep-ph/9811356.
- [7] See, for example, A. Brignole, F. Feruglio, and F. Zwirner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B516**, 13 (1998).
- [8] B. Kayser and R.E. Shrock, *Phys. Lett.* **112B**, 137 (1982).
- [9] S. Baek, J.S. Shim, H.S. Song, and Chaehyun Yu, hep-ph/9804278.
- [10] S. Kawabata, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **41**, 127 (1986); **88**, 309 (1995).
- [11] L. Bento, J.C. Romão, and A. Barroso, *Phys. Lett. B* **194**, 440 (1987); *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 1488 (1986); R. Miquel, C. Mana, and M. Martinez, *Z. Phys. C* **48**, 309 (1990).
- [12] M. Zralek, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **28**, 2225 (1997).
- [13] See, for example, A. Denner, H. Eck, O. Hahn, and J. Küblbeck, *Nucl. Phys.* **B387**, 467 (1992).
- [14] K. Hagiwara, R.D. Peccei, D. Zeppenfeld, and K. Hikasa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B282**, 253 (1987); K.J. Kim and Y.-S. Tsai, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 3710 (1973); H. Aronson, *Phys. Rev.* **186**, 1434 (1969).
- [15] G.L. Kane, J. Vidal, and C.P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 945 (1984); E. Yehudai, *ibid.* **41**, 33 (1990); S.Y. Choi and F. Schrempp, *Phys. Lett. B* **272**, 149 (1991).
- [16] A. Jacholkowska, J. Kalinowski, and Z. Was, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **6**, 485 (1999); F.F. Tikhonin, *Yad. Fiz.* **44**, 452 (1986) [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **44**, 290 (1986)].
- [17] Particle Data Group, R.M. Barnett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 1 (1996).
- [18] ALEPH Collaboration, Author EPS-HEP/97-599, ALEPH 98-012/CONF 98-002.
- [19] C.L. Bilchak and J.D. Stroughair, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 1881 (1984); *Z. Phys. C* **26**, 415 (1984).
- [20] For reviews, see H. Nilles, *Phys. Rep.* **110**, 1 (1984); H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, *ibid.* **117**, 75 (1985).
- [21] See, for example, H. Murayama and M. Peskin, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **46**, 533 (1997); E. Accomando *et al.*, *Phys. Rep.* **199**, 1 (1998).
- [22] I.F. Ginzburg, G.L. Kotkin, V.G. Serbo, S.L. Panfil, and V.I. Telnov, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res.* **205**, 43 (1983); J. Choi, S.Y. Choi, S.H. Ie, and H.S. Song, *Phys. Rev. D* **36**, 1320 (1987).