Bosonization of the three-dimensional gauged massive Thirring model

Subir Ghosh*

Physics Department, Dinabandhu Andrews College, Calcutta 700084, India (Received 9 September 1998; published 25 January 1999)

Bosonization of the massive Thirring model, with a nonminimal and non-Abelian gauging, is studied in 2+1 dimensions. The static Abelian model is solved completely in the large fermion mass limit and the spectrum is obtained. The non-Abelian model is solved for a restricted class of gauge fields. In both cases explicit expressions for bosonic currents corresponding to the fermion currents are given. [S0556-2821(99)03802-3]

PACS number(s): 11.10.Kk, 11.15.Bt

I. INTRODUCTION

Ever since the explicit demonstration in 1+1 dimensions of the equivalence of the massive Thirring model and the sine-Gordon model order by order in perturbation theory in the charge zero sector [1] and the subsequent construction of the fermion operator by boson operators [2], the concept of bosonization has proved to be an extremely useful one. However, it was thought that this equivalence is an exclusive property of one-dimensional space, where in reality there is no spin to distinguish fermions from bosons. Indeed, attempts to generalize bosonization in two space dimensions met with limited success [3].

Renewed interest in (2+1)-dimensional bosonization has created a flurry of activity in recent years, where the problem is attacked from a different angle. The nonlocal fermion determinant generates local terms in the one-loop perturbative evaluation, in the limit of large fermion mass. In the lowest orders of inverse fermion mass, the bosonized theory of the (Abelian) massive Thirring model turns out to be Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory [4]. In fact the equivalence between massive Thirring and CP(1) models in the large fermion mass limit was established a while ago [5]. The situation is not that clear in the non-Abelian models. For example, the SU(2) Thirring model, in the limit where the Thirring coupling vanishes, can be identified with SU(2) Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons theory, in the limit where the Yang-Mills term vanishes [6].

In the present work, we consider a theory of nonminimally gauged Dirac fermions, with a Thirring [7] currentcurrent self-interaction. Both Abelian and non-Abelian gauge groups have been investigated. The model resides in 2+1 dimensions. We study the one-loop bosonized version of the model in the large fermion mass (*m*) limit and keep only Chern-Simons (*m* independent) and Yang-Mills or Maxwell $[O(m^{-1}]$ terms. The effect of still higher order terms in the inverse fermion mass is qualitatively discussed in the Abelian context. The mapping between the fermion and the boson fields at the level of currents is obtained. The behavior of the bosonic charge operator is studied in detail.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II deals with the non-Abelian fermion model and its bosonization. In Sec. III we discuss in detail the Abelian theory. Section IV contains results for the non-Abelian theory for a special class of gauge fields. The paper ends with a brief conclusion in Sec. V.

II. BOSONIZATION

The parent non-Abelian fermion model that we wish to study is a system of Dirac fermions with a nonminimal gauging. There is a Thirring [7] type current-current selfinteraction term as well. The Lagrangian considered by us is

$$L = \overline{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - m \overline{\psi} \psi + \frac{g}{2} (\overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} T^{a} \psi)^{2}.$$
(1)

The covariant derivative is defined as

$$\begin{split} D_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} - i \gamma A^{a}_{\mu} T^{a} - i \sigma K^{a}_{\mu} T^{a} \equiv \partial_{\mu} - i \overline{A}^{a}_{\mu} T^{a}, \\ K_{\mu} &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^{\nu\lambda}. \end{split}$$

The anti-Hermitian generators satisfy $[T^a, T^b] = f^{abc}T^c$ and the γ matrices are defined via the Pauli matrices by

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma^3$$
, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma^1$, $\gamma^2 = i\sigma^2$.

To keep track of the various combinations of vector fields that will appear, we introduce the notation

$$\begin{split} (D_{\mu})^{(W)ab} &= \partial_{\mu} \delta^{ab} - \rho f^{abc} W^{c}_{\mu} \,, \\ W^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu} W^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} W^{a}_{\mu} + \rho W^{b}_{\mu} W^{c}_{\nu} \,, \end{split}$$

where W is some arbitrary vector field and ρ the associated coupling constant. The fermion current enjoys a conservation law:

$$(D^{A}_{\mu}J^{\mu})^{a} = 0, \quad \bar{A}_{\mu} = \gamma A_{\mu} + \sigma K_{\mu}.$$
 (2)

Note that no gauge field kinetic term, such as the Yang-Mills or Chern-Simons term, is kept in the fermion model as they will be generated in the bosonization process, along with other mixed terms. Hence, even if such terms are kept, their coefficients will get renormalized by bosonization.

The usual scheme of linearizing the Thirring term in Eq. (1) is by introducing an auxiliary field B^a_{μ} , such that when B^a_{μ} is integrated out, the original model is reproduced. This gives us

^{*}Email address: subir@boson.bose.res.in

$$\begin{split} \bar{L} &= \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} (iD_{\mu} + B_{\mu}) \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{2g} B^{a}_{\mu} B^{\mu a} \\ &= \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} (i\partial_{\mu} + C_{\mu}) \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{2g} B^{a}_{\mu} B^{\mu a}, \end{split}$$
(3)

where $C_{\mu} \equiv B_{\mu} + \gamma A_{\mu} + \sigma K_{\mu}$. The quadratic term in B_{μ} constitutes a mass term for B_{μ} . This leads us to the evaluation of the fermion determinant, which is in general nonlocal, but yields local expressions under various approximation schemes. A gauge-invariant Pauli-Villars regularization has been invoked. We choose, in particular, the large fermion mass limit such that m^{-1} is a small term. This also restricts us to the low energy or long wavelength limit, where terms with a smaller number of derivatives dominate. The Seeley coefficients in the fermion determinant are computed at the one-loop level. With these restrictions, the bosonized Lagrangian is the following:

$$L_{B} = -\frac{a}{4} C^{a}_{\mu\nu} C^{\mu\nu a} - \frac{1}{2g} B^{a}_{\mu} B^{\mu a} + \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} C^{a}_{\mu} \bigg(\partial_{\nu} C^{a}_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{3} f^{abc} C^{b}_{\nu} C^{c}_{\lambda} \bigg).$$
(4)

The coefficients $\alpha = 1/(4\pi)$ and $a = -1/(24\pi m)$ are known from bosonization rules.

Since there are a number of fields, coupling constants, and parameters, a glossary of the dimensions of them in the $c = \hbar = 1$ system of units is provided below, with *l* denoting length:

$$[C_{\mu}] = [B_{\mu}] = [\psi] = [m] = \frac{1}{l},$$
$$[A_{\mu}] = [\gamma] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}, \quad [g] = [a] = l, \quad [\sigma] = \sqrt{l}.$$

The Lagrangian equations of motion following from Eq. (4) are

$$2\sigma\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}(D^{(A)}_{\mu}X_{\lambda})^{a} + \gamma X^{\nu a} = 0, \qquad (5)$$

where

$$X^{\nu a} = (aD^{(C)}_{\mu}C^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}C_{\mu\lambda})^{a},$$
$$X^{a}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{g}B^{a}_{\nu} = 0.$$
(6)

Putting Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) we get

$$2\sigma\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}(D^{(A)}_{\mu}B_{\lambda})^{a} + \gamma B^{\nu a} = 0.$$
⁽⁷⁾

This is essentially a generalized non-Abelian self-dual equation for B^a_{μ} . Our next task is to identify the operator that will correspond to the fermion current $J^a_{\mu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} T^a \psi$. The standard procedure is to introduce a source term $\sigma^a_{\mu} J^a_{\mu}$ in the fermion Lagrangian where σ^a_{μ} is an auxiliary field coupled to

the operator J^a_{μ} in question. After bosonizing this modified Lagrangian, $\delta L_B / \delta \sigma^a_{\mu}|_{\sigma=0}$ can be identified as the mapping of the fermion current. This shows us that the bosonized current j^a_{μ} is

$$j^{\nu a} \equiv X^{\nu a} = (a D^{(C)}_{\mu} C^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda} C_{\mu\lambda})^{a} = \frac{1}{g} B^{a}_{\nu}.$$
 (8)

The last equality follows from Eqs. (6). It is reassuring to note that the whole structure is internally consistent since, in the fermion model, the equation of motion for B^a_{μ} is

$$\frac{1}{g}B^a_\mu = J^a_\mu.$$

The above operator identity is preserved now as well:

$$\frac{1}{g}B^a_{\mu}=j^a_{\mu}.$$

The fermion current conservation equation in Eqs. (2) in Abelian theory reduces to

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}=0.$$

From the expression of X^a_{μ} or from the non-Abelian self-dual equation (7) it is clear that in the Abelian theory the bosonic current conservation is valid as well:

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0. \tag{9}$$

This makes the mapping between the currents J_{μ} and j_{μ} unambiguous. It is important to note that j_{μ} is a topological current, meaning that its conservation is assured by construction.

The Hamiltonian in the static limit simply reduces to the Lagrangian with a negative sign:

$$H_B = -L_B \,. \tag{10}$$

In the next section, we will show that the Abelian bosonized model has a local gauge invariance. This gauge symmetry along with the set of time-independent equations of motion helps us to solve the Abelian model completely.

III. ABELIAN THEORY

In the Abelian case, one can replace the covariant derivatives by simple derivatives and Eq. (7) is reduced to

$$2\sigma\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}\partial_{\mu}B_{\lambda} + \gamma B^{\nu} = 0. \tag{11}$$

We are interested in the behavior of the matter density B_0 in the static limit. Hence all time derivatives are dropped. The above equation is broken up in component form:

$$\gamma B_0 + 2\sigma B_{12} = 0,$$

$$\gamma B_1 + 2\sigma \partial_2 B_0 = 0, \quad \gamma B_2 + 2\sigma \partial_1 B_0 = 0.$$
(12)

From the last two equations we get

$$\gamma B_{12} = 2\,\sigma \nabla^2 B_0 = -\frac{\gamma^2}{2\,\sigma} B_0$$

Combining with the first equation of Eqs. (12), it is found that B_0 satisfies the time-independent Helmholtz equation

$$\nabla^2 B_0 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma}\right)^2 B_0 = 0. \tag{13}$$

In fact the above equation is true for B_{μ} .

Now we show the gauge invariance in the model. The Lagrangian is

$$L_{B} = -\frac{a}{4}C_{\mu\nu}C^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2g}B_{\mu}B^{\mu} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}C_{\mu}C_{\nu\lambda}.$$
 (14)

Rewriting

$$C_{\mu} = B_{\mu} + \gamma A_{\mu} + \sigma K_{\mu} = B_{\mu} + \overline{A}_{\mu},$$

the field tensor breaks into two decoupled parts:

$$C_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} + \bar{A}_{\mu\nu}.$$

In terms of these redefinitions, Eq. (14) becomes

$$L_{B} = -\frac{a}{4} (B + \bar{A})_{\mu\nu} (B + \bar{A})^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2g} B_{\mu} B^{\mu} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (B + \bar{A})_{\mu} (B + \bar{A})_{\nu\lambda}.$$
(15)

Clearly the action is invariant up to a total derivative under the local gauge transformation,

$$\bar{A}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{A}_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \phi,$$

where ϕ is some arbitrary function.

This allows us to choose a gauge

$$\overline{A}_0 \equiv \gamma A_0 + 2\sigma A_{12} = 0, \qquad (16)$$

which makes $\bar{A}_{0i} = 0$ and $B_{0i} = -\partial_i B_0$ in the static case. Using this gauge and static expressions, we simplify the components related to the K_{μ} field:

$$K_{\mu} = 2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^{\nu} A^{\lambda},$$

$$K_{0} = 2A_{12}, \quad K_{1} = -2 \partial_{2}A_{0}, \quad K_{2} = 2 \partial_{1}A_{0}$$

$$K^{i0} = 2 \partial^{i}A_{12}, \quad K_{12} = -2\nabla^{2}A_{0}.$$

Now, from Eqs. (6), for $\nu = 0$ we get

$$\left(\frac{a\gamma^2}{4\sigma^2} + \frac{\alpha\gamma}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{g}\right)B_0 + 2\sigma\left[\nabla^2 A_0 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma}\right)^2 A_0\right] = 0$$

[where Eq. (13) has been used], and for $\nu = 1$ and $\nu = 2$ we get

$$-a\partial_2 C_{12} + 2\alpha\partial_2 B_0 + \frac{B_1}{g} = 0,$$
$$-a\partial_1 C_{12} + 2\alpha\partial_1 B_0 - \frac{B_2}{g} = 0,$$

which are combined to give

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma}\right)\left(\frac{a\gamma^2}{4\sigma^2}+\frac{\alpha\gamma}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{g}\right)B_0-2\sigma a\nabla^2\left[\nabla^2 A_0+\left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma}\right)^2 A_0\right]=0.$$

From the above set of equations, we finally obtain an equation involving A_0 only:

$$\nabla^2 \left[\nabla^2 A_0 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma} \right)^2 A_0 \right] + \frac{\gamma}{2a\sigma} \left[\nabla^2 A_0 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma} \right)^2 A_0 \right] = 0.$$
(17)

Note that for small *a* we have approximately

$$\nabla^2 A_0 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma}\right)^2 A_0 = 0,$$

which is identical to Eq. (13).

We now consider two special cases: (i) $\gamma=0$, $\sigma=0$, the Thirring model [7] and (ii) g=0, $\sigma=0$, the Deser Redlich model [5]. Note that the third option, i.e., bosonization of the free fermion theory with $\gamma=\sigma=g=0$, is not permissible in this scheme as g^{-1} is present.

In case (i), the set of equations of motion in Eqs. (5),(6), and (7) now reduces to the single equation

$$a\partial_{\mu}B^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{B^{\nu}}{g} = 0.$$
 (18)

Breaking it into components, we end up with the equations

$$2\alpha B_{12} + a\nabla^2 B_0 - \frac{B_0}{g} = 0, \quad \frac{B_{12}}{g} - a\nabla^2 B_{12} + 2\alpha\nabla^2 B_0 = 0.$$
(19)

This reproduces a static equation of motion involving only B_0 :

$$a^{2}(\nabla^{2})^{2}B_{0} - \left(\frac{2a}{g} - 4\alpha^{2}\right)\nabla^{2}B_{0} + \frac{B_{0}}{g^{2}} = 0.$$
 (20)

Rewriting the above equation in the form, where a^2 has been dropped,

$$\nabla^2 B_0 + \frac{1}{(2g\alpha)^2} \left(1 - \frac{a}{2g\alpha^2}\right)^{-1} B_0 = 0,$$

we make an expansion in *a*:

$$\nabla^2 B_0 + \frac{1}{(2g\alpha)^2} \left(1 + \frac{a}{2g\alpha^2} + \cdots \right) B_0 = 0$$

Note that the B_0 mass term is renormalized by fermion mass corrections. With $C_{\mu} = B_{\mu}$, the bosonized Lagrangian and current reduce to the well-known forms,

$$L_{B} = -\frac{a}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2g}B_{\mu}B^{\mu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}B_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}B_{\lambda},$$
$$X^{\nu} = a\partial_{\mu}B^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}B_{\mu\lambda}.$$

In case (ii), for $\sigma = 0$, $C_{\mu} = B_{\mu} + \gamma A_{\mu}$ and the Lagrangian becomes

$$L_{DR} = -\frac{a}{4} (B + \gamma A)_{\mu\nu} (B + \gamma A)^{\mu\nu} -\frac{1}{2g} B_{\mu} B^{\mu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (B + \gamma A)_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} (B + \gamma A)_{\lambda} .$$
(21)

The above Lagrangian breaks up into two pieces, a B_{μ} independent one,

$$L_{DR}(A) = -\frac{a\gamma^2}{4}A_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu\nu} + \alpha\gamma^2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}A_{\lambda}, \quad (22)$$

and a B_{μ} dependent one,

$$L_{DR}(A,B) = -\frac{a}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} B_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} B_{\lambda}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2g} B_{\mu} B^{\mu} - \frac{a\gamma}{2} B_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + 2\alpha \gamma \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} B_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda}.$$
(23)

We rewrite the latter equation in the form

$$L_{DR}(A,B) = B_{\mu}P^{\mu\nu}B_{\nu} + B_{\mu}Q^{\mu}, \qquad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{split} P^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{2g} g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}) - \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \,, \\ Q^{\mu} &= \gamma [a (g^{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}) - 2 \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_{\lambda}] A_{\nu} \,. \end{split}$$

Performing the Gaussian integration for B_{μ} leads to the formal result

$$L_{DR}(A,B) \approx -\frac{1}{4} Q^{\mu} (P^{-1})_{\mu\nu} Q^{\nu}.$$
 (25)

At present we are only interested in getting local terms with a smaller number of derivatives, and hence we take the inverse of $P_{\mu\nu}$ as simply

$$(P^{-1})_{\mu\nu} \approx -2gg_{\mu\nu}.$$

Substituting this back in Eq. (25) yields

$$L_{DR}(A,B) = \frac{g\gamma^2}{2} \{ [a(g^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda} - \partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}) - 2\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_{\lambda}]A_{\nu} \} \\ \times \{ [a(g^{\mu\eta}\partial^{\rho}\partial_{\rho} - \partial^{\mu}\partial^{\eta}) - 2\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\eta\rho}\partial_{\rho}]A_{\eta} \}.$$
(26)

Keeping in mind the condition of the lowest number of derivatives, we take only the following contribution in the effective action:

$$L_{DR}(A,B) = g(\alpha\gamma)^2 A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} = g\left(\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}\right)^2 A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (27)

Thus we notice that in this order the coefficient of the Maxwell term in A_{μ} gets modified whereas the Chern-Simons term in A_{μ} remains unaltered. The final form of the action to the order stated is

$$L_{DR} = -\gamma^2 \left(\frac{g}{16\pi^2} - \frac{a}{4} \right) A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + \alpha \gamma^2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} A_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\lambda} .$$
(28)

This is exactly the model studied in [5], if following [5] the Thirring coupling g is taken to be proportional to m^{-1} .

Let us now discuss the effects of higher order (in m^{-1}) Seelay terms in the fermion determinant in the full theory. Considering the next Seelay term our Lagrangian will be

$$\bar{L}_B = L_B + y \,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} C_{\mu} \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \partial_{\nu} C_{\lambda} \,, \tag{29}$$

where L_B is given in Eq. (14) and y is of order $O(m^{-2})$. Clearly the equations of motion in Eqs. (5), (6), and (7) will remain unchanged structurally, with X_{ν} changing to \bar{X}_{ν} :

$$\bar{X}^{\nu} = X^{\nu} + y \,\epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda} \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\rho} C_{\mu\lambda} \,. \tag{30}$$

However, in the full theory this will not change the behavior of B_0 . On the other hand, in the pure Thirring model, the B_{μ} equation in Eq. (7) is modified to

$$a\partial_{\mu}B^{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\nu\mu\lambda}(\alpha + y\partial^2)B_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{B^{\nu}}{g} = 0.$$
(31)

The resulting time-independent equations are

$$\frac{B_{12}}{g} - a\nabla^2 B_{12} + 2(\alpha + y\nabla^2)\nabla^2 B_0 = 0,$$
$$a\nabla^2 B_0 - \frac{B_0}{g} + 2(\alpha + y\nabla^2)B_{12} = 0.$$

Neglecting terms of O(ay) we get

$$B_{12} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{y}{\alpha} \nabla^2 \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{B_0}{g} - a \nabla^2 B_0 \right)$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left[\frac{B_0}{g} - \left(a - \frac{y}{\alpha g} \right) \nabla^2 B_0 \right].$$

Hence the B_0 equation becomes

BOSONIZATION OF THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL ...

$$2\alpha a^{2}(\nabla^{2})^{2}B_{0} + \left(4\alpha^{2} - \frac{2a}{g} + \frac{y}{\alpha g^{2}}\right)\nabla^{2}B_{0} + \frac{B_{0}}{g^{2}} = 0.$$
(32)

Comparing with Eq. (20) we note that now the first term cannot be ignored. The next Seelay term $(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}B_{\mu}B_{\nu\lambda})^2$ obviously causes more complications in the pure Thirring model and obtaining an equation involving B_0 only is non-trivial. In the full theory, the generic feature is that these type of changes leaves the B_0 equation intact.

IV. NON-ABELIAN THEORY

As has been emphasized before [6], the results are far more complicated in the non-Abelian scenario. For arbitrary non-Abelian gauge fields A^a_{μ} , identification between the Fermi and Bose currents is problematic. From the equations of motion given in Eqs. (5), (6), and (7), the following covariant conservation equation emerges:

$$(D_{\mu}^{(C)}j^{\mu})^{a} = 0. (33)$$

But this is different from Eq. (2). Also there is no local gauge invariance in the non-Abelian bosonized version due to the nature of cross terms between B^a_{μ} and \bar{A}^a_{μ} present in the theory.

However, these problems can be completely removed for a restricted class of gauge fields, formerly used in [8], which are proportional to the generators of the Cartan subalgebra only:

$$[h^{\alpha}, h^{\beta}] = 0, \quad A_{-} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} A_{\alpha} h^{\alpha}, \quad A_{+} = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r} A_{\alpha}^{*} h^{\alpha},$$
(34)

where

$$A_{\pm} = A_1 \pm iA_2$$
.

In the fermion problem [8] it was assumed that the fermion fields ψ are proportional to the ladder generators e^{α} only:

$$\psi = \psi_{\alpha} e^{\alpha}, \tag{35}$$

where

- [1] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 11, 2088 (1975).
- [2] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. D 11, 3026 (1975).
- [3] M. Luscher, Nucl. Phys. B326, 557 (1989); E. C. Marino, Phys. Lett. B 263, 63 (1991).
- [4] E. Fradkin and F. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. B 338, 253 (1994);
 R. Banerjee, *ibid.* 358, 297 (1995).
- [5] S. Deser and A. N. Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1541 (1988).

$$[e^{\alpha}, e^{-\beta}] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha}, \quad [h^{\alpha}, e^{\beta}] = K_{\beta\alpha}e^{\beta},$$
$$[h^{\alpha}, e^{-\beta}] = -K_{\beta\alpha}e^{-\beta}.$$

Note that $(e^{\beta})^+ = -e^{-\beta}$, $(h^{\beta})^+ = h^{\beta}$, and the Cartan matrix $K_{\alpha\beta}$ is real and for SU(N) symmetric. Thus in the fermion model the charge is

$$J_0 \approx [\psi^+, \psi] \approx h^{\alpha}.$$

This shows that the charge is also in the Cartan subalgebra. This ansatz prompts us to restrict B^a_{μ} in the Cartan subalgebra. But with A^a_{μ} already in the Cartan subalgebra the entire system is reduced to essentially an Abelian one, with just a noninteracting index tagged along each of the fields, reminding us of the non-Abelian nature. Hence, in the lowest order of inverse fermion mass, we get a number of decoupled static Helmoltz equations for the non-Abelian charge B^a_0 :

$$\nabla^2 B_0^a + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\sigma}\right)^2 B_0^a = 0. \tag{36}$$

V. CONCLUSION

As an application of (2+1)-dimensional bosonization, we have studied thoroughly the nonminimally gauged massive Thirring model. Computing the fermion determinant up to first order in inverse fermion mass, the charge in the Abelian model is shown to obey the (static) massive Helmholtz equation. Special cases leading to known results in the Thirring and Deser-Redlich models are derived. For the Abelian gauge group, effects of higher order terms are also discussed. In case of non-Abelian gauge fields, a restricted class of gauge fields reduces the system to essentially a group of decoupled Abelian ones and the charges behave in an identical fashion to the Abelian one.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Dr. Rabin Banerjee for many helpful discussions. Also I am grateful to Professor C. K. Majumdar, Director, S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, for allowing me to use the Institute facilities.

- [6] N. Banerjee, R. Banerjee, and S. Ghosh, Nucl. Phys. B481, 421 (1996).
- [7] See, for example, E. Abdalla, M. C. B. Abdalla, and K. D. Rothe, *Two Dimensional Quantum Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [8] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 107, 1 (1992); B. Grossman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3230 (1990).