Decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ and the $\Omega^- \Omega^- \gamma$ vertex

Alfonso Queijeiro

Departamento de Física, Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, U. P. Adolfo López Mateos, Edif. 9, México D. F. 07738, Mexico (Received 29 April 1998; revised manuscript received 30 June 1998; published 30 October 1998)

We review the radiative decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ assuming a general Lorentz covariant $\Omega^- \Omega^- \gamma$ electromagnetic vertex, beyond minimal coupling. We also consider the dependence on a parameter, related to the invariance under contact transformations, of the Feynman rules. [S0556-2821(98)04221-0]

PACS number(s): 13.40.Hq, 13.30.-a, 13.40.Em

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic dipole moment of the spin-3/2 Ω^- hyperon is predicted to be $\mu_{\Omega} = -1.83 \ \mu_{p}$ in the nonrelativistic quark model [1], and $\mu_{\Omega} = -\frac{2}{3}(M_p/M_{\Omega})\mu_p = -0.36 \ \mu_p$ from minimal coupling in the Rarita-Schwinger equation, where μ_p is the proton magnetic moment, and M_p and M_{Ω} are the masses of the proton and of the omega particles. The (central) experimental value [2] is $\mu_{\Omega} = -1.94 \ \mu_{\nu}$, showing that the minimal coupling is far from giving the correct value. In fact, not even for an elementary spin-3/2 particle does minimal coupling give the correct gyromagnetic ratio g=2, making it necessary to add nonminimal couplings to render g=2, as has been emphasized in Ref. [3]. In this Brief Report we include two nonminimal terms, linear in the photon momentum and gauge invariant, in the $\Omega\Omega\gamma$ vertex to adjust the theoretical expression for μ_{Ω} to satisfy the experimental value. This vertex is used to calculate the branching ratio for the decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ in order to determine the two parameters introduced; however, we end with two possible sets of values, requiring another observable to properly define the vertex.

In Sec. II we give the most general Lorentz and gauge invariant electromagnetic vertex for a spin-3/2 charged particle, along with other related Feynman rules. We also discuss the dependence of this set of rules in the parameter associated with the invariance of any spin $\geq 3/2$ theory under contact transformations. With this in hand we calculate in Sec. III the radiative decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$.

II. THE MAGNETIC DIPOLE MOMENT OF A SPIN-3/2 CHARGED PARTICLE

A spin-3/2 particle can be formulated [4] by the Rarita-Schwinger vector-spinor field ψ_{μ} . The most general form of the free particle Rarita-Schwinger Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{0} = \overline{\psi^{\mu}}(x)\Lambda_{\mu\alpha}(D) \bigg[g^{\alpha\beta}(i\partial - M_{\Omega}) + \frac{1}{3}i(\gamma^{\alpha}\partial\gamma^{\beta} - \gamma^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta} - \gamma^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta} - \gamma^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{3}M_{\Omega}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta} \bigg]\Lambda_{\beta\nu}(D)\psi^{\nu}(x), \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\mu\alpha}(D) = g_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1+3D}{2} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \tag{2}$$

is the contact transformation, depending on the parameter D, which is arbitrary except that $D \neq -\frac{1}{2}$. The invariance under Eq. (2) is a consequence that the spin-1/2 component of the field ψ_{μ} must not mix with the spin-3/2 component. Furthermore, the field ψ_{μ} is constrained to satisfy

$$\partial^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu}\psi_{\mu} = 0. \tag{3}$$

The propagator from Eq. (1) is given by

$$i\Delta_{\mu\nu}(p,D) = \frac{\Sigma_{\mu\nu}(p,D)}{p^2 - M_0^2}$$
(4)

with

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}(p,D) = \left[-g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3M_{\Omega}} (\gamma_{\mu} p_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} p_{\mu}) + \frac{2}{3M_{\Omega}^{2}} p_{\mu} p_{\nu} \right] (\not \! \! / \! \! / M_{\Omega}) - \frac{1+D}{6(1+2D)} \frac{p^{2} - M_{\Omega}^{2}}{M_{\Omega}^{2}} \left[2 \gamma_{\mu} p_{\nu} + 2 \gamma_{\nu} p_{\mu} - 2M_{\Omega} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \frac{1+D}{1+2D} \gamma_{\mu} (\not \! \! / \! \! / - 2M_{\Omega}) \gamma_{\nu} \right].$$
(5)

The minimal coupling receipt $\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu}$ in Eq. (1) gives the electromagnetic interaction vertex

$$ie\Gamma_{\nu\mu\alpha}(D) = \Lambda_{\nu\lambda}(D)\Gamma^{\lambda\sigma}_{\mu}\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}(D), \qquad (6)$$

where

$$\Gamma^{\lambda\sigma}_{\mu} = g^{\lambda\sigma}\gamma_{\mu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{\sigma} - \frac{1}{3}(\gamma^{\lambda}g^{\sigma}_{\mu} + \gamma^{\sigma}g^{\lambda}_{\mu}).$$
(7)

In Eq. (6) the index μ is related to the photon A_{μ} , and the indexes ν and α are related to the incoming and outgoing spin-3/2 particles in the vertex. As has been shown in Ref. [3] the magnetic dipole moment obtained from Eq. (7) is

0556-2821/98/58(11)/117301(3)/\$15.00

$$\mu_{\Omega} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{e}{2M_{\Omega}}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{M_p}{M_{\Omega}} \right) \mu_p.$$
(8)

Thus, we need to add nonminimal terms to get the correct value for μ_{Ω} . This can be done considering terms linear in the photon momentum, consistent with gauge invariance

$$\Gamma^{\lambda\sigma}_{\mu} = g^{\lambda\sigma}\gamma_{\mu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{\sigma} - \frac{1}{3}(\gamma^{\lambda}g^{\sigma}_{\mu} + \gamma^{\sigma}g^{\lambda}_{\mu}) + \frac{\kappa}{M_{\Omega}}(k^{\lambda}g^{\sigma}_{\mu} - k^{\sigma}g^{\lambda}_{\mu}) + \frac{\kappa'}{M_{\Omega}}g^{\lambda\sigma}k\gamma_{\mu}.$$
(9)

In this way, we obtain for the magnetic dipole moment the expression

$$\mu_{\Omega} = -\left(\frac{M_p}{M_{\Omega}}\right) \mu_p \left(\frac{2}{3} + \kappa + \kappa'\right)$$
(10a)

and, using the experimental value

$$\kappa + \kappa' = 2.79. \tag{10b}$$

To calculate the decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$ we also need the Feynman rule for the vertex $\Omega^-\Xi^-$. This was done in Ref. [4], which after taking into account Eq. (2) this results in

$$W_{\nu}(p,D) = \frac{i}{M_{\Omega}} (A + B\gamma_5) p^{\lambda} \Lambda_{\nu\lambda}(D).$$
(11)

In Ref. [4] the factors *A* and *B* are named parity-violating and parity-conserving amplitudes, while in Ref. [5] they are named *p*- and *d*-wave amplitudes, which are parity conserving and parity violating, respectively. (This apparent contradictory naming of these parameters resides in that in Ref. [4] the pion odd parity has been explicitly taken into account.) The values of *A* and *B* can be obtained from the experimental decay rate and the asymmetry parameter of the decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0$. The asymmetry parameter for this decay is consistent [6] with zero, implying that *A* or *B* are zero. Then the experimental value for $\Gamma(\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^0)$ gives

$$A = 1.17 \times 10^{-6} \quad \text{if } B = 0 \tag{12}$$

and

$$B = 1.27 \times 10^{-5}$$
 if $A = 0$, (13)

where A and B have been assumed real.

III. THE DECAY $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$

As was shown in Ref. [4] there are four possible Feynman diagrams contributing to the radiative decay $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma$, one where the photon is emitted by the Ω^- , one from Ξ^- photon emission, one from emission of the photon from the weak vertex, and one where the photon is emitted from the Ξ^{-*} pole. However, due to Eq. (3) the second one vanishes,

the third one can be computed from gauge invariance, and the last one vanishes due to conservation of U spin. Thus, we have for the first one the amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \overline{u}(p_2) W_{\alpha}(p_2, D) \Delta^{\alpha\beta} \\ \times (p_1 - k, D) \Gamma_{\beta\mu\nu}(D) u^{\nu}(p_1) \varepsilon^{\mu}(k), \qquad (14)$$

where $p_1 = p_2 + k$, p_2 , and k are the momenta of Ω^- , Ξ^- , and the photon, respectively. After substitution of the tensors previously defined, and using Eq. (3), one obtains [7]

$$\mathcal{M}_{1} = \frac{ie}{M_{\Omega}} \,\overline{u}(p_{2})(A + B\gamma_{5})p_{2\alpha}\Delta^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\times (p_{1} - k)\Gamma_{\beta\mu\nu}u^{\nu}(p_{1})\varepsilon^{\mu}(k) \tag{15}$$

and the parameter D has been canceled out. For the other contribution one can write

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = i e \overline{u}(p_2) G_{\nu\mu}(p_1 - k) u^{\nu}(p_1) \varepsilon^{\mu}(k).$$
(16)

Adding Eqs. (15) and (16) and imposing gauge invariance one obtains for the tensor $G_{\nu\mu}(p_1-k)$ the expression [8]

$$G_{\nu\mu}(p_1 - k) = -\frac{1}{M_{\Omega}} (A + B\gamma_5) g_{\nu\mu}.$$
 (17)

Finally, we get for the total amplitude

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{ie}{M_{\Omega}} \bar{u}(p_2)(A + B\gamma_5) \bigg| M_{\Omega}g_{\mu\nu} - \gamma_{\mu}k_{\nu} - \not{p}_2g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa}{M_{\Omega}} (p_2^{\alpha} - \not{p}_2\gamma^{\alpha})(g_{\alpha\mu}k_{\nu} - g_{\nu\mu}k_{\alpha}) + \frac{\kappa'}{M_{\Omega}} (p_2^{\alpha} - \not{p}_2\gamma^{\alpha})g_{\alpha\nu}k\gamma_{\mu}\bigg] u^{\nu}(p_1)\varepsilon^{\mu}(k).$$
(18)

The decay rate from Eq. (18) is

$$\Gamma(\Omega^{-} \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{12} M_{\Omega} R (1 - R^{2})^{3} \bigg\{ 2(A^{2} + B^{2})(3 + R^{2}) + \kappa [A^{2}(1 + 8R - R^{2}) + B^{2}(1 - 8R - R^{2})] + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2} [A^{2}(1 - R)(2 - R + 3R^{2} - 2R^{3}) + B^{2}(1 + R)(2 + R + 3R^{2} - 2R^{3})] \bigg\}, \quad (19)$$

where $R = M_{\Xi}/M_{\Omega}$, and no contribution from κ' is obtained. Using the physical masses, the branching ratio can be written as

$$B(\Omega^- \to \Xi^- \gamma) = 0.067 - 0.0619\kappa + 0.0117\kappa^2 \qquad (20)$$

for B = 0, and

$$B(\Omega^- \to \Xi^- \gamma) = 7.869 + 6.453\kappa + 3.577\kappa^2 \qquad (21)$$

for A=0. If one assumes $B(\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- \gamma) = 0.002$ to be the upper experimental value, Eq. (21) leads to a complex value for κ , while Eq. (20) leads to

$$\kappa = 1.44 \text{ or } \kappa = 4.10$$
 (22)

which in turn leads to

$$\kappa' = 1.35$$
 for $\kappa = 1.44$ (23)

or

$$\kappa' = -1.31$$
 for $\kappa = 4.10$ (24)

consistent with Eq. (10b). Then, we have two possible sets of values for κ and κ' , requiring another observable, like the electric quadrupole moment, to pick up the correct one.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr. Gabriel López for a very illuminating discussion and to Comisión de Operación y Fomento de las Actividades Académicas del I. P. N. for partial support.

- [1] L. Brekke and J. Rosner, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. **18**, 83 (1988).
- [2] H. T. Diehl et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 804 (1991).
- [3] S. Ferrara, M. Porrati, and V. L. Telegdi, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3529 (1992).
- [4] L. M. Ram Mohan, Phys. Rev. D 3, 2148 (1971).
- [5] Y. Hara, Phys. Rev. 150, 1175 (1966).
- [6] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 50, 1173 (1994).
- [7] Equation (15) is the amplitude for $D = -\frac{1}{3}$. This is so because to get Eq. (1) we started from the Rarita-Schwinger Lagrangian and then we performed the transformation in Eq. (2).
- [8] The usual way to get Eq. (17) is via the principle of minimal coupling in Eq. (11) of the vertex $\Omega^-\Xi^-$, giving the result $G_{\nu\mu}(p_1-k,D) = -(1/M_{\Omega}) (A+B\gamma_5)\Lambda_{\nu\mu}(D)$. This procedure involves a little more algebra to get the result in Eq. (17) after taking into account the constraints in Eq. (3).