

Self-isospectral periodic potentials and supersymmetric quantum mechanics

Gerald Dunne*

Physics Department, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269

Joshua Feinberg†

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106

(Received 4 June 1997; published 22 December 1997)

We discuss supersymmetric quantum mechanical models with periodic potentials. The important new feature is that it is possible for *both* isospectral potentials to support zero modes, in contrast with the standard nonperiodic case where either one or neither (but not both) of the isospectral pair has a zero mode. Thus it is possible to have supersymmetry unbroken and yet also have a vanishing Witten index. We present some explicit exactly soluble examples for which the isospectral potentials have identical band spectra, and which are “self-isospectral” in the sense that the potentials have identical shape, but are translated by one half-period relative to one another. [S0556-2821(98)02202-4]

PACS number(s): 11.30.Pb, 03.65.-w, 11.10.Kk

Supersymmetry and supersymmetry breaking are fundamental issues in theoretical particle physics, and supersymmetric (SUSY) quantum mechanics (QM) provides an important testing ground for both physical and computational aspects of SUSY theories [1,2]. There are also many applications to the theory of solitons [3]. Of particular interest for particle physics are possible mechanisms for breaking SUSY dynamically. Typically, one considers models with discrete spectra, and then the Witten index, which characterizes the difference between the number of bosonic and fermionic zero modes, may be used to indicate whether or not SUSY is broken [1]. Interesting subtleties arise for potentials with continuum states [4] or with singularities [5].

In this paper we consider SUSY quantum mechanics for *periodic* potentials (which therefore have band spectra). The main new feature is that it is possible for the periodic isospectral bosonic and fermionic potentials to have *exactly* the same spectrum, *including zero modes*. This is in contrast with the usual (nonperiodic and fast decaying) case for which at most one potential of an isospectral pair can have a zero mode.

Consider one dimensional SUSY quantum mechanical models on the real line. The bosonic and fermionic Hamiltonians H_{\pm} correspond to an isospectral pair of potentials $V_{\pm}(x)$ defined in terms of the “superpotential” $W(x)$ as

$$V_{\pm}(x) = W^2(x) \pm W'(x). \quad (1)$$

The Hamiltonians may be factorized into products of Hermitian conjugate operators as

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &= \left[\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right] \left[-\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right], \\ H_- &= \left[-\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right] \left[\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which indicates that H_{\pm} are formally positive operators. Thus, their energy spectrum cannot go below zero.¹ The factorization (2) also implies that V_{\pm} have (almost) the same spectrum because there is a one-to-one mapping between the energy eigenstates $\psi_E^{(\pm)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_E^{(+)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right) \psi_E^{(-)}, \\ \psi_E^{(-)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \right) \psi_E^{(+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The caveat “almost” is needed above because this mapping between states does not apply to the “zero modes” (eigenstates with $E=0$), which due to the positivity of H_{\pm} are the lowest possible states in the spectrum. From Eqs. (2) it is easy to see that the Schrödinger equation $[-\partial_x^2 + V_{\pm}(x)]\psi_E^{(\pm)} = E\psi_E^{(\pm)}$ has zero modes,

$$\psi_0^{(\pm)}(x) = \exp\left(\pm \int^x W\right), \quad (4)$$

provided these functions $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ belong to the Hilbert space. SUSY is said to be unbroken if at least one of the $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ is a true zero mode. Otherwise, SUSY is said to be broken dynamically. In the broken SUSY case there are no zero modes and so the spectra of V_{\pm} are identical [due to the mapping (3)].

In the “standard cases” [1], in which $V_{\pm}(x)$ tend to positive asymptotic values as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, this means that $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ must be normalizable in order to be true zero modes. But it is clear that in these cases, at most only one of the functions $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$

¹In some cases where $V_{\pm}(x)$ are singular H_{\pm} may have negative energy states [5]. We shall exclude such cases from the present discussion.

*E-mail: dunne@hep.phys.uconn.edu

†E-mail: joshua@itp.ucsb.edu

may be normalizable. Thus the spectra of the potentials V_{\pm} coincide except possibly for the zero energy ground state level. For example, if the (well-behaved) superpotential tends to asymptotic values with opposite signs as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, then one of the zero modes in Eq. (4) is normalizable, and SUSY is unbroken; superpotentials that are odd functions, $W(-x) = -W(x)$, belong to this class. Dynamically broken SUSY occurs when the superpotential tends to asymptotic values with equal signs as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$; superpotentials that are even in x are of this type.

Two simple representative examples of unbroken SUSY are (i) $W(x) = x$, which gives the harmonic oscillator. Of the two functions $\psi_0^{(\pm)} = e^{\pm x^2/2}$, clearly only $\psi_0^{(-)}$ is normalizable and hence a zero mode. (ii) $W(x) = j \tanh x$ (with j a positive integer), which gives the Pöschl-Teller potentials

$$V_{\pm} = j^2 - j(j \mp 1) \operatorname{sech}^2 x. \quad (5)$$

Once again, of the two possibilities $\psi_0^{(\pm)} = [\cosh x]^{\pm j}$, only $\psi_0^{(-)}$ is normalizable. V_{-} has j discrete bound states [with energies $E_n = n(2j - n)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, j - 1$] and a continuum beginning at $E = j^2$; on the other hand, V_{+} has $j - 1$ discrete bound states [with energies $E_n = n(2j - n)$ for $n = 1, \dots, j - 1$] and a continuum beginning at $E = j^2$. The two spectra coincide manifestly, except for the zero mode.

Now consider the superpotential $W(x)$ to be periodic, with period L : $W(x + L) = W(x)$. The potentials $V_{\pm}(x)$ in Eq. (1) are therefore also periodic with period L . From the Bloch-Floquet theory [6], the Hilbert space consists of quasi-periodic functions: functions that satisfy $\psi_k(x + L) = (\exp ikL)\psi_k(x)$, where the real quantity k is the crystal momentum.

From Eq. (4) we have $\psi_0^{(\pm)}(x + L) = e^{\pm \phi_L} \psi_0^{(\pm)}(x)$ where the real constant ϕ_L is given by

$$\phi_L = \int_x^{x+L} W(y) dy. \quad (6)$$

For either one of the functions $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ to belong to the Hilbert space, we must identify $\pm \phi_L = ikL$. But ϕ_L is real, which means that $\phi_L = kL = 0$. Thus, the two functions $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ either *both* belong to the Hilbert space, in which case they are strictly periodic with period L , $\psi_0^{(\pm)}(x + L) = \psi_0^{(\pm)}(x)$, or (when $\phi_L \neq 0$) *neither of them* belongs to the Hilbert space.² (Note that this is the exact opposite of the situation for non-periodic potentials where if $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ is a zero mode of V_{\pm} , then $\psi_0^{(\mp)}$ is *not* a zero mode of V_{\mp} .) Thus, in the periodic case the spectra of V_{+} and V_{-} match *completely*.

To summarize, we see that

²This conclusion is valid provided the $\psi_0^{(\pm)}$ do not have nodes (i.e., zeros, which means that the other function has poles). This condition is violated, for example, in the case of the Scarf potential [7] $V(x) \sim \csc^2(x)$, for which $W(x) = j \cot x$. We shall exclude such singular potentials from the present discussion, but note that these deserve further study — even in the nonperiodic case, singular superpotentials naturally exhibit interesting properties [5].

$$\phi_L = \int_0^L W(y) dy = 0 \quad (7)$$

is a necessary condition for unbroken SUSY, and when this condition is satisfied, the bosonic and fermionic sectors have identical spectra, including zero modes. The Witten index then vanishes.

It is instructive to consider some simple special classes of periodic superpotentials which satisfy Eq. (7). First, suppose the superpotential is antisymmetric on a half-period:

$$W\left(x + \frac{L}{2}\right) = -W(x). \quad (8)$$

Then, from Eqs. (1) and (8) we obtain

$$V_{\pm}\left(x + \frac{L}{2}\right) = V_{\mp}(x). \quad (9)$$

The potentials V_{\pm} are simply translations of one another by half a period, and thus are essentially identical in shape. Therefore, they must support exactly the same spectrum, as SUSY indeed tells us they do. We refer to such a pair of isospectral V_{\pm} that are identical in shape as “self-isospectral.” A simple example of a superpotential of this type is $W(x) = \sin x$, with $V_{+}(x) = \sin^2(x) + \cos x = V_{-}(x + \pi)$.

Second, consider periodic superpotentials that are even functions of x ,

$$W(-x) = W(x), \quad (10)$$

but which also satisfy the condition $\phi_L = 0$ [by subtracting an appropriate constant, any even $W(x)$ can be brought into this class]. The function $dW(x)/dx$ is odd and so Eq. (1) implies

$$V_{\pm}(-x) = V_{\mp}(x). \quad (11)$$

The two potentials are then simply reflections of one another. They have the same shape and therefore give rise to exactly the same spectrum, as we know from SUSY. Such potentials are also self-isospectral. A simple example of a superpotential of this type is $W(x) = \cos x$, with $V_{+}(x) = \cos^2(x) - \sin x = V_{-}(-x)$.

Third and last, consider periodic superpotentials that are odd functions of x :

$$W(-x) = -W(x). \quad (12)$$

Then $\phi_L = 0$ is satisfied trivially. The function $dW(x)/dx$ is even and thus $V_{\pm}(x)$ are also even. In this case, $V_{\pm}(x)$ are not necessarily related by simple translations or reflections. They are isospectral, but may not be self-isospectral. As an example, the superpotential $W(x) = \sin x + \sin 2x$ gives rise to an isospectral pair which is not self-isospectral, while $W(x) = \sin x + \sin 3x$ [which also belongs to the first special class mentioned above: $W(x + \pi) = -W(x)$] gives rise to a self-isospectral pair.

To make these general ideas more explicit, we now present a class of exactly soluble models. We illustrate this class beginning with the simplest case. Consider the superpotential

$$W(x) = m \frac{\operatorname{sn}(x|m)\operatorname{cn}(x|m)}{\operatorname{dn}(x|m)}. \quad (13)$$

Here $\operatorname{sn}(x|m)$, $\operatorname{cn}(x|m)$, and $\operatorname{dn}(x|m)$ are the Jacobi elliptic functions [8,9], and the (real) elliptic modulus parameter m can be chosen, $0 < m \leq 1$. Given this superpotential, the isospectral pair (1) of potentials is

$$V_{\pm} = \begin{cases} 2 - m + 2(m-1)/\operatorname{dn}^2(x|m), \\ 2 - m - 2\operatorname{dn}^2(x|m). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Some relevant properties of the Jacobi elliptic functions are listed here.

(1) Periodicity properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sn}(x + 2K(m)|m) &= -\operatorname{sn}(x|m), \\ \operatorname{cn}(x + 2K(m)|m) &= -\operatorname{cn}(x|m), \\ \operatorname{dn}(x + 2K(m)|m) &= \operatorname{dn}(x|m). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here $K(m)$ is the ‘‘real elliptic quarter period’’: $K(m) \equiv \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta / \sqrt{1 - m\sin^2\theta}$.

(2) Differentiation properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \operatorname{sn}(x|m) &= \operatorname{cn}(x|m) \operatorname{dn}(x|m), \\ \frac{d}{dx} \operatorname{cn}(x|m) &= -\operatorname{sn}(x|m) \operatorname{dn}(x|m), \\ \frac{d}{dx} \operatorname{dn}(x|m) &= -m \operatorname{sn}(x|m) \operatorname{cn}(x|m). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

(3) Quadratic relations:

$$-\operatorname{dn}^2(x|m) + 1 - m = -m \operatorname{cn}^2(x|m) = m \operatorname{sn}^2(x|m) - m. \quad (17)$$

Finally, we note that when $m=1$ these relations all reduce to those for the familiar hyperbolic functions since

$$\operatorname{sn}(x|1) = \tanh x, \quad \operatorname{cn}(x|1) = \operatorname{sech} x, \quad \operatorname{dn}(x|1) = \operatorname{sech} x. \quad (18)$$

Thus, when $m=1$ the superpotential in Eq. (13) reduces to $\tanh x$ and the isospectral potentials (14) reduce to the $j=1$ case of the example in Eq. (5). (The reader is urged to consider the $m \rightarrow 1$ limit at all stages of the subsequent discussion.)

From the periodicity properties (15), the superpotential $W(x)$ in Eq. (13) and the potentials V_{\pm} in Eq. (14) have period $2K(m)$:

$$V_{\pm}(x + 2K(m)) = V_{\pm}(x). \quad (19)$$

The zero modes (4) are³

$$\psi_0^{(\pm)}(x) = e^{\mp \log \operatorname{dn}(x|m)} = [\operatorname{dn}(x|m)]^{\mp 1}. \quad (20)$$

³Note that the function $\operatorname{dn}(x|m)$ has no nodes or poles on the real axis.




FIG. 1. The spectrum of the isospectral pair of potentials in Eq. (14), as a function of the elliptic parameter m . There is a single bound band, bounded below by energy $E_0=0$, and above by energy $E_1=1-m$. There is also a continuum band beginning at $E_2=1$. Note that when $m=1$ the bound band smoothly degenerates into a single discrete bound level of energy $E_0=0$; this is just the zero mode of the $j=1$ Pöschl-Teller system in Eq. (5).

Both $\psi_0^{(+)}$ and $\psi_0^{(-)}$ have period $2K(m)$, and are *both* good zero modes. Thus the spectra of V_{\pm} should be *identical*. This can be checked explicitly because the spectrum can be computed exactly, since the Schrödinger equation for the potentials (14) is an example of the Lamé equation, whose explicit solution is known in terms of elliptic functions [9]. Each spectrum has a single bound band and a continuum, as shown in Fig. 1.

The upper edge of the bound band has energy $E_1=1-m$, with Bloch wave functions

$$\psi_1^{(\pm)} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sn}(x|m)/\operatorname{dn}(x|m), \\ \operatorname{cn}(x|m), \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

while the the lower edge of the continuum band has energy $E_2=1$, and Bloch wave functions

$$\psi_2^{(\pm)} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{cn}(x|m)/\operatorname{dn}(x|m), \\ \operatorname{sn}(x|m). \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

These band-edge properties may be verified directly using the various properties listed in Eqs. (16),(17).

Concentrating on the potential V_- (an analogous analysis holds for V_+), the Schrödinger equation can be written [using Eq. (17)] in Lamé form:

$$\psi'' = [2m \operatorname{sn}^2(x|m) - m - E] \psi. \quad (23)$$

This equation has two independent solutions

$$\psi(x) = \frac{H(x \pm \alpha)}{\Theta(x)} e^{\mp xZ(\alpha)}. \quad (24)$$

where the parameter α is related to the energy eigenvalue E by $E = \operatorname{dn}^2(\alpha|m)$; $H(x)$ is the Jacobi eta function, $\Theta(x)$ the Jacobi theta function, and $Z(\alpha)$ the Jacobi zeta function [9]. It is an instructive exercise to verify that at the band edges these solutions reduce to the wave functions in Eqs. (20),(21),(22), and furthermore that when $m=1$ they reduce




FIG. 2. The exact dispersion relation (25) between energy E and crystal momentum k for the isospectral system (14). This plot is for $m=0.3$, and we clearly see the band gap between $E=0.7$ and $E=1$. The horizontal line marks the edge of the Brillouin zone, at which $k = \pi/[2K(m)]$.

to the well-known bound state and continuum states for the Pöschl-Teller potential $V_- = 1 - 2 \tanh^2 x$.

Given the exact solution (24) we can use Bloch's theorem to find the exact dispersion relation between the energy E and the crystal momentum k :

$$\psi(x + 2K(m)) = e^{ik2K(m)} \psi(x),$$

$$k = \mp \frac{\pi}{2K(m)} \pm iZ(\text{dn}^{-1}(\sqrt{E|m})). \quad (25)$$

We plotted this dispersion relation in Fig. 2, which clearly shows the band gap. Note that it is rare to have an exact solution for these band features.

The isospectral potentials V_{\pm} in Eq. (14) are also self-isospectral. Indeed, using the properties

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sn}(x + K(m)|m) &= \text{cn}(x|m)/\text{dn}(x|m), \\ \text{cn}(x + K(m)|m) &= -\sqrt{1-m} \text{sn}(x|m)/\text{dn}(x|m), \\ \text{dn}(x + K(m)|m) &= \sqrt{1-m}/\text{dn}(x|m), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

we see that the superpotential (13) satisfies the condition (8), and the two potentials are identical up to a displacement by half a period (see Fig. 3):

$$V_+(x + K(m)) = V_-(x). \quad (27)$$




FIG. 3. The self-isospectral potentials (14): V_- (left) and V_+ (right). Note that they are identical, except for being displaced by half a period. These plots are for the elliptic parameter $m=0.7$.




FIG. 4. The upper (lower) level shows the potential V_- (V_+) for various values of the elliptic modulus parameter $m=0.5$, $m=0.9$, and $m=0.999$, going from left to right. Notice that V_+ is identical to V_- , but shifted by half a period. Also note that as m approaches 1, within the central period, $-K(m) \leq x \leq K(m)$, V_- approaches a Pöschl-Teller potential with a single binding well, while V_+ flattens out to a constant. The situation is interchanged if we displace the picture by $K(m)$, which is half a period of the potentials.

Since each potential extends indefinitely and periodically, they are indistinguishable as far as their spectrum is concerned.

This raises the question of what happens in the $m \rightarrow 1$ limit, because we know that when $m=1$ the potentials V_{\pm} are genuinely different and only V_- has a zero mode. The situation is best illustrated by Fig. 4. Consider a single period $-K(m) \leq x \leq K(m)$. As $m \rightarrow 1$, $K(m) \rightarrow \infty$, and this single period becomes our real line. On this domain, the potential V_- becomes $1 - 2 \tanh^2 x$, and its bound band collapses smoothly into a single discrete bound level (see Fig. 1). Moreover, the Bloch wave functions (20) and (21) at the lower and upper edges of the bound band each tend smoothly to the normalizable wave function $\psi_0^{(-)} = \text{sech } x$ of this single bound state. On the other hand, on this domain V_+ flattens out and becomes 1, which has no bound states (only a continuum $E > 1$). Correspondingly, the Bloch wave functions (20) and (21) at the lower and upper edges of the bound band tend smoothly to $\cosh x$ and $\sinh x$ (respectively), which are not normalizable. Displacing this picture by $K(m)$ (i.e., by half a period), the roles of V_- and V_+ are interchanged.

This is just the simplest example of a general class of exactly solvable periodic potentials with bound bands. Indeed, it is a classic result that the spectrum of the Lamé equation

$$\psi'' = [j(j+1)m \text{sn}^2(x|m) - E]\psi \quad (28)$$

has j bound bands and a continuum band [9]. Moreover, the exact solution [analogous to Eq. (24)] can be written in terms of elliptic functions (although for $j \geq 2$ the relation between the energy and the crystal momentum becomes more difficult to specify explicitly). In order to make the connection between these Lamé equations and SUSY quantum mechanics we must shift the Lamé potential by a constant to ensure that the lower edge of the lowest band has energy $E=0$. For example, for $j=2$ the self-isospectral pair of potentials is

$$V_{\pm} = \begin{cases} -2m + 4 + 2\sqrt{m^2 - m + 1} + 6(m-1)/\text{dn}^2(x|m), \\ -2m + 4 + 2\sqrt{m^2 - m + 1} - 6\text{dn}^2(x|m). \end{cases} \quad (29)$$




FIG. 5. The spectrum of the isospectral pair of potentials in Eq. (29), as a function of the elliptic parameter m . There are two bound bands, and a continuum band beginning at $E=4\sqrt{m^2-m+1}$. Note that when $m=1$ the bound bands smoothly degenerate into two discrete bound levels of energy $E=0$ and $E=3$ and a continuum threshold at $E=4$; these are the two bound states and continuum threshold of the $j=2$ Pöschl-Teller system in Eq. (5).

The energy spectrum is shown in Fig. 5, and the band-edge Bloch wave functions for V_- are

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_0=0: \psi_0^{(-)} &= m+1 + \sqrt{m^2-m+1} - 3m\text{sn}^2(x|m), \\
 E_1=-1-m+2\sqrt{m^2-m+1}: \psi_1^{(-)} &= \text{cn}(x|m)\text{dn}(x|m), \\
 E_2=-1+2m+2\sqrt{m^2-m+1}: \psi_2^{(-)} &= \text{sn}(x|m)\text{dn}(x|m), \\
 E_3=2-m+2\sqrt{m^2-m+1}: \psi_3^{(-)} &= \text{sn}(x|m)\text{cn}(x|m), \\
 E_4=4\sqrt{m^2-m+1}: \psi_4^{(-)} &= m+1 - \sqrt{m^2-m+1} \\
 &\quad - 3m\text{sn}^2(x|m). \tag{30}
 \end{aligned}$$

The band-edge Bloch wave functions $\psi_n^{(+)}$ for V_+ are obtained simply by shifting the $\psi_n^{(-)}$ in Eqs. (30) by half a period. The superpotential for the isospectral pair (29) is determined by the zero mode $\psi_0^{(-)}$:

$$W = -\frac{d}{dx} \log \psi_0^{(-)} = \frac{6m\text{sn}(x|m)\text{cn}(x|m)\text{dn}(x|m)}{m+1 + \sqrt{m^2-m+1} - 3m\text{sn}^2(x|m)}. \tag{31}$$

When $m=1$ this reduces to $W=2\tanh x$, which is the $j=2$ Pöschl-Teller model in Eq. (5).

This procedure may be repeated for higher integer values of j in the Lamé equation (28), leading to a general class of self-isospectral periodic potentials. The band-edge Bloch wave functions (there are $2j+1$ of them since there are j bound bands) are always polynomials of order j in the Jacobi elliptic functions (known as Lamé functions [9]). Thus, it is a straightforward algebraic problem to determine the band-edge wave functions and energies. Indeed, in a beautiful paper [10], Alhassid *et al.* showed that the band-edge energies are simply the eigenvalues of the $\text{su}(2)$ operator $J_x^2 + mJ_y^2$, with J_x and J_y being the standard $\text{su}(2)$ generators in a $(2j+1)$ dimensional matrix representation (see also [11]).




FIG. 6. The upper (lower) level shows the potential V_- (V_+) in Eq. (33) for $j=3$ and for various values of the elliptic modulus parameter $m=0.5$, $m=0.9$, and $m=0.999$, going from left to right. Notice that V_+ is identical to V_- , but shifted by half a period. Also note that as m approaches 1, within the central period, $-K(m) \leq x \leq K(m)$, V_- approaches the $j=3$ Pöschl-Teller potential, while V_+ approaches the $j=2$ Pöschl-Teller potential. The situation is interchanged if we displace the picture by $K(m)$, which is half a period of the potentials.

Another generalization of the single bound band example (14) is obtained by generalizing the superpotential (13) to

$$W(x) = j m \frac{\text{sn}(x|m)\text{cn}(x|m)}{\text{dn}(x|m)}, \tag{32}$$

where j is a positive integer. The resulting self-isospectral potentials $V_{\pm} = W^2 \pm W'$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{\pm} &= j^2(2-m) - j(j \mp 1)\text{dn}^2(x|m) + j(j \pm 1) \\
 &\quad \times (m-1)/\text{dn}^2(x|m). \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

The zero mode Bloch wave functions are $\psi_0^{(\pm)} = [\text{dn}(x|m)]^{\mp j}$. The potentials (33) are self-isospectral: $V_+(x+K(m)) = V_-(x)$, as can be seen from Fig. 6. Note also that as $m \rightarrow 1$, in the domain $-K(m) \leq x \leq K(m)$, V_- approaches the Pöschl-Teller potential V_- in Eq. (5) which has j discrete bound states, while V_+ approaches the Pöschl-Teller potential V_+ in Eq. (5) which has $j-1$ discrete bound states.

In conclusion, we have shown that for supersymmetric quantum mechanical models with periodic potentials it is possible for *both* isospectral potentials to support zero modes, in contrast to the standard nonperiodic case where either one or neither (but not both) of the isospectral pair has a zero mode. Thus it is possible to have supersymmetry unbroken and yet also have a vanishing Witten index. In particular, we presented some explicit exactly soluble examples in which the supersymmetric pair of potentials have identical shapes in that they are either translated by one half-period relative to one another or reflections of one another relative to the origin. Such potentials obviously have identical band spectra (including zero modes) and we named them ‘‘self-isospectral.’’ It would be interesting to extend some of these ideas to field theoretic examples. For example, given that the condition for unbroken SUSY in the periodic case (7) is so different from that in the nonperiodic case, can periodic superpotentials lead to new mechanisms for SUSY breaking? Finally, it is well known that the stability equation for solitonic excitations in field theories is related to SUSY quantum

mechanics [14,15]; thus, we can ask if SUSY plays a role in the formation of *periodic* lattices of topological structures in relativistic field theories, generalizing previous applications to solid-state systems [16,17].

Note added. After completing this work we learned that the closely related problem of elliptic supersymmetric models on a circle, of circumference L , was studied in [12], where it was noted that both supersymmetric sectors carried zero modes with periodic boundary conditions. These models have discrete spectra, and the precise form of the discrete

spectrum is determined by the boundary conditions, which in general may be parametrized as $\psi(x+L) = e^{i\alpha x/L} \psi(x)$; thus, in particular, $\alpha=0$ corresponds to periodic boundary conditions while $\alpha=\pi$ corresponds to antiperiodic boundary conditions. In fact, the closure of all the discrete spectra as α changes from $\alpha=0$ to $\alpha=2\pi$ fills out the band spectrum of the corresponding periodic potential problem [13].

This work has been supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-92ER40716.00 (G.D.) and NSF grant PHY89-04035 (J.F.).

-
- [1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B185**, 513 (1981); **B202**, 253 (1982).
- [2] For a review, with references to the vast literature on SUSY QM, see F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. **251**, 267 (1995).
- [3] See, for example, R. Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982); C. Rebbi and G. Soliani, *Solitons and Particles* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1984).
- [4] R. Akhoury and A. Comtet, Nucl. Phys. **B246**, 253 (1984); A. Niemi and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Lett. **138B**, 389 (1984).
- [5] A. Jevicki and J. Rodrigues, Phys. Lett. **146B**, 55 (1984); J. Casahorran and S. Nam, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6**, 2729 (1991); A. Das and S. Pernice, Nucl. Phys. **B505**, 123 (1997).
- [6] C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, 5th ed. (Wiley, New York, 1976).
- [7] F. L. Scarf, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1137 (1958).
- [8] *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1990).
- [9] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1980); E. L. Ince, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Dover, New York, 1956).
- [10] Y. Alhassid, F. Gürsey, and F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 873 (1983).
- [11] R. S. Ward, J. Phys. A **20**, 2679 (1987); A. V. Turbiner, *ibid.* **22**, L1 (1989).
- [12] H. W. Braden and A. J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A **18**, 3151 (1985).
- [13] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1958), Vol. II. See Sec. 21.10.
- [14] R. Freidberg, T. D. Lee, and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2739 (1976).
- [15] L. Boya and J. Casahorran, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **196**, 361 (1989); J. Phys. A **23**, 1645 (1990).
- [16] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 2514 (1973).
- [17] B. Horovitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 742 (1981); B. Horovitz and B. Schaub, *ibid.* **50**, 1942 (1983).