

## Mixing of $\Xi_c$ - $\Xi'_c$ baryons

Jerrold Franklin

*Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122-8062*

(Received 14 June 1996)

The mixing angle between the  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$  baryons is shown to be small in quark model calculations, with a negligible shift in the  $\Xi_c$  masses. [S0556-2821(97)03501-7]

PACS number(s): 12.40.Yx, 14.20.-c, 14.40.-n

Some concern has been expressed [1,2] that mixing between the  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$  baryons could have a significant effect on their masses. However, we show here that this mixing is small in quark model calculations, and shifts the  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$  masses by a negligible amount [3].

The theory for mixing between "flavor-degenerate" baryons which, like the  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$ , contain three different flavor quarks that differ only in their internal spin composition has been developed in Ref. [4]. The  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$  are each composed of three different flavored quarks,  $n$ ,  $s$ , and  $c$ . (We use the quark symbol  $n$  to refer to either the  $u$  or  $d$  quark.) The  $n$  and  $s$  quarks are in a relative spin 0 state for the  $\Xi_c$ , and in a spin 1 state for the  $\Xi'_c$ . The physical  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$  states with masses  $M$  and  $M'$  can be written in terms of unmixed quark model states  $|nsc\rangle$  and  $|nsc'\rangle$  as

$$\Xi = +\cos\theta|nsc\rangle + \sin\theta|nsc'\rangle, \quad (1)$$

$$\Xi' = -\sin\theta|nsc\rangle + \cos\theta|nsc'\rangle. \quad (2)$$

There will be a shift in mass from the pure quark state masses  $M_0$  and  $M'_0$  given by [5]

$$\delta M' = -\delta M = \Delta \sin^2\theta, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta = M' - M$  is the mass difference between the two physical states.

If flavor symmetry breaking is dominated by two body interaction energies with a quark mass dependence  $\sim 1/m_i m_j$ , then it is shown in Ref. [4] that

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{\sqrt{3}(m_2 - m_1)}{2m_3 - m_1 - m_2}. \quad (4)$$

For  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$  mixing, we use quark masses

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 = m_n = 330 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_2 = m_s = 510 \text{ MeV}, \\ m_3 = m_c = 1.6 \text{ GeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with the result

$$\theta_{\Xi_c, \Xi'_c} = 3.8^\circ, \quad \delta M' = 0.4 \text{ MeV}. \quad (6)$$

This is our main result. The small value for  $\delta M'$  depends on the small ratio  $(m_s - m_n)/m_c = 0.11$ , which is about the same for all quark models.

Although the assumption that the symmetry-breaking quark<sub>*i*</sub>-quark<sub>*j*</sub> interaction has a  $\sim 1/m_i m_j$  dependence is part

of most baryon mass calculations, the  $\Xi_c$ - $\Xi'_c$  mixing can be estimated more generally assuming only the dominance of two body symmetry breaking. In fact for  $\Sigma$ - $\Lambda$  mixing in the light baryon sector, this is necessary because the magnetic interaction between quarks has additional flavor independence. For this case, the  $\Sigma$ - $\Lambda$  mixing angle can be written in terms of light baryon masses as [5]

$$\sin 2\theta_{\Sigma-\Lambda} = \frac{(\Sigma^- - \Sigma^+) - (\Sigma^{*0} - \Sigma^{*+})}{\sqrt{3}(\Sigma^0 - \Lambda)}, \quad (7)$$

where the baryon symbol stands for its mass. For  $\Sigma$ - $\Lambda$  mixing this leads to [6]

$$\theta_{\Sigma-\Lambda} = 0.8^\circ \pm 0.2^\circ, \quad \delta M' = 0.015 \text{ MeV}. \quad (8)$$

Although the mass shift is negligible, the  $\Sigma$ - $\Lambda$  mixing does lead to a significant shift in the  $\Lambda$  magnetic moment of  $-0.045 \pm 0.002$  nuclear magnetons which should be included in any quark model calculation of the  $\Lambda$  magnetic moment.

Equation (7) for  $\Sigma$ - $\Lambda$  mixing can be extended to the charmed baryons by simply replacing the  $uds$  quarks of the  $\Sigma^0$  and  $\Lambda$  in Eq. (7) by the  $nsc$  quarks of the  $\Xi_c$  and  $\Xi'_c$ . That is, as in Ref. [7], we make the quark substitutions

$$u \rightarrow n, \quad d \rightarrow s, \quad s \rightarrow c \quad (9)$$

everywhere in Eq. (7). This results in

$$\sin 2\theta_{\Xi_c, \Xi'_c} = \frac{(\Omega_c^0 - \Sigma_c^{++}) - (\Omega_c^{*0} - \Sigma_c^{*++})}{\sqrt{3}(\Xi_c^{'+} - \Xi_c^+)}. \quad (10)$$

The  $\Omega_c^*$  baryon has not been observed yet, so we use the sum rule [8]

$$\Omega_c^{*0} - \Omega_c^0 = 2(\Xi_c^{*+} - \Xi_c'^+ + \delta M') - (\Sigma_c^{*++} - \Sigma_c^{++}) \quad (11)$$

to replace Eq. (10) with

$$\sin 2\theta_{\Xi_c, \Xi'_c} = \frac{2[(\Sigma_c^{*++} - \Sigma_c^{++}) - (\Xi_c^{*+} - \Xi_c'^+)]}{(\Xi_c^{'+} - \Xi_c^+)(\sqrt{3} + \tan\theta_{\Xi_c, \Xi'_c})}. \quad (12)$$

A similar equation holds for the  $\Xi_c^0$  and  $\Xi_c'^0$  baryons if the quark substitution  $u \rightarrow d$  is made in Eq. (12). The  $\tan\theta_{\Xi_c, \Xi'_c}$  term in Eq. (12) is a small correction that permits the use of only physical baryon masses in the equation.

We use the masses<sup>1</sup> (in MeV)

$$\Xi_c^{*+} = 2644.6 \pm 2.3, \quad \Xi_c'^+ = 2563 \pm 15,$$

$$\Xi_c^+ = 2465.1 \pm 1.6, \quad (13)$$

$$\Sigma_c^{*++} = 2530 \pm 7, \quad \Sigma_c^{++} = 2453 \quad (14)$$

to get the results

---

<sup>1</sup>Equation (13) masses obtained from Refs. [9–11], respectively. Equation (14) masses obtained from Refs. [12,11], respectively.

$$\theta_{\Xi_c-\Xi_c'} = -2^\circ \pm 6^\circ, \quad \delta M' < 2 \text{ MeV}. \quad (15)$$

This is consistent with Eq. (6), but better experimental accuracy would be required to make a significant comparison. We have used the  $1\sigma$  experimental error to get the 2 MeV limit on  $\delta M'$ . This is already negligible for most applications, and would be expected to decrease as the experimental accuracy improves.

Our conclusion is that  $\Xi_c-\Xi_c'$  mixing can be consistently neglected in quark model calculations. A similar conclusion holds for  $b$  quark baryons where the quark substitution  $c \rightarrow b$  would lead to even smaller  $\Xi_b-\Xi_b'$  mixing.

- 
- [1] Richard F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4463 (1996).
  - [2] T. Ito and Y. Matsui, Nagoya University Report No. DPNU-96-26, HEP-PH/9605289 (unpublished).
  - [3] R. E. Cutkosky and P. Geiger, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 1315 (1993), have reached a similar conclusion in a specific model calculation.
  - [4] J. Franklin, D. B. Lichtenberg, W. Namgung, and D. Carydas, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 2910 (1981).
  - [5] Reference [4] used small angle approximations, but the trigonometry is treated exactly here. Also note that Ref. [4] used a different convention for designating the primed state.
  - [6] The  $\Sigma$ - $\Lambda$  mixing parameters given here come from using Eqs. (48)–(51) of Ref. [4]. We use newer experimental masses so that the numbers given here are different than those in Ref. [4].
  - [7] J. Franklin, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 2077 (1975).
  - [8] J. Franklin, this issue, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 423 (1997). Six sum rules such as Eq. (11), derived in this reference and Ref. [7], have been compared with experiment. They all agree with experiment to within 20 MeV, which is about the experimental error of the numerator in Eq. (12).
  - [9] CLEO Collaboration, L. Gibbons *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 810 (1996).
  - [10] WA89 Collaboration, E. Chudakov *et al.*, presented at Heavy Quarks '94, Charlottesville, Virginia, 1994 (unpublished).
  - [11] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 1173 (1994).
  - [12] V. V. Ammosov *et al.*, JETP Lett. **58**, 247 (1993).