One-loop QCD correction for inclusive jet production and Drell-Yan process in composite models

Taekoon Lee

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510 (Received 19 August 1996)

We calculate the one-loop QCD correction for inclusive jet cross sections and Drell-Yan process in a general low-energy effective Lagrangian for composite quarks and leptons. [S0556-2821(97)05905-5]

PACS number(s): 12.38.Bx, 12.60.Rc

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) group reported a significant excess of one-jet inclusive production at high p_T over the standard QCD prediction [1]. The observed inclusive jet cross section is in excellent agreement with theory, but begins to deviate from the QCD prediction around p_T =200 GeV, and its central value becomes as large as twice the theoretical prediction at p_T above 400 GeV. If this discrepancy between theory and experiment survives more stringent tests, and arises not from the uncertainties in the QCD parameters such as the parton distribution functions but from a genuine new physics, one possible new physics explanation would be the existence of a quark substructure.

A substructure in quarks gives rise to four-fermion contact interactions at small energies compared to the compositeness scale Λ via constituent exchanges, and this induces a correction of order s/Λ^2 to the QCD prediction of jet production [2]. The correction is negligible at small energies, but becomes significant at high p_T . This behavior agrees qualitatively with the observed inclusive jet cross section. We assume here that only quarks are composite and gauge fields are elementary.

The CDF fit of the data using the tree-level amplitudes from the effective Lagrangian by Eichten, Hinchliffe, Lane, and Quigg (EHLQ) [3] with $SU(2)_L$ doublet quarks gives the compositeness scale $\Lambda \approx 1.6$ TeV. To go beyond the treelevel analysis of the data, we need the QCD one-loopcorrected amplitudes for $qq' \rightarrow qq'$. The leading QCD correction to the amplitudes arising from the QCD interaction has been known [4–6], and so only the one-loop correction to the terms arising from the four-fermion contact interactions needs to be computed.

In this paper, we calculate the one-loop QCD correction to $qq' \rightarrow qq'$ in the EHLQ effective Lagrangian, using the framework of Kunszt *et al.* for one-jet inclusive cross sections [6,7], and also discuss QCD corrections in Drell-Yan process. This calculation may also find an application in other processes that involve four-fermion contact interactions, for example, such as extra Z-boson models [8].

Sections II–VI are devoted to QCD corrections for the inclusive one-jet cross section. In Secs. II and III, we review the EHLQ Lagrangian and give the squared amplitudes at the tree level, and in Sec. IV we discuss the ultraviolet divergence and summarize the short distance effects of loop cor-

rections. In Sec. V we briefly review the method by Kunszt *et al.* for one-loop inclusive jet cross sections and give our result in Sec. VI. Details of the QCD calculation may be found in the Appendix. Finally in Sec. VII we discuss QCD corrections in the Drell-Yan process.

II. EFFECTIVE ACTION

A typical term of the helicity-conserving effective interactions of composite quarks at low energies compared to the compositeness scale can be written in the form of a current product:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(0) = g_0^2 \eta(\mu, \Lambda) \int J_{\mu}^R(\mu, x) J_{\nu}^R(\mu, 0) D_{\mu\nu}(x, \Lambda) d^4x, \quad (1)$$

where $\eta(\mu, \Lambda)$ and J^R_{μ} are the renormalized effective coupling and generic quark current, respectively. The constituent exchange between currents is represented by $D_{\mu\nu}(x,\Lambda)$ which is assumed to satisfy

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x,\Lambda) = \Lambda^2 g_{\mu\nu} D(x\Lambda), \qquad (2)$$

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x,\Lambda) \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \delta^{(4)}(x) \quad \text{for } x\Lambda \ge 1.$$
 (3)

The Λ in $D_{\mu\nu}$ is a cutoff that determines the interaction range of the constituent exchanges. The relation between $\eta(\mu, \Lambda)$, J^R_{μ} and the corresponding bare quantities depends on the fundamental dynamics at the compositeness scale. However, this model dependence does not cause any problem in calculating QCD corrections at low energies because any ambiguity arising from the lack of knowledge on how the currents and couplings are renormalized can be absorbed in the coupling η which is supposed to be determined experimentally. With Eq. (3), Eq. (1) becomes, at the tree level,

$$\frac{g_0^2 \eta}{\Lambda^2} J_\mu J^\mu. \tag{4}$$

The most general helicity-conserving $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U_Y(1)$ symmetric low-energy effective Lagrangian of up and down quarks by EHLQ is

2591

© 1997 The American Physical Society

TAEKOON LEE

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EHLQ}} = g^{2} \left(\frac{g_{0}^{2}}{2g^{2}\Lambda^{2}} \right) \left\{ \eta_{0} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} q_{L} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} q_{L} + \eta_{1} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\tau^{a}}{2} q_{L} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\tau^{a}}{2} q_{L} + \eta_{u} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} q_{L} \overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R} + \eta_{d} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} q_{L} \overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} d_{R} \right. \\ \left. + \eta_{8u} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} q_{L} \overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} u_{R} + \eta_{8d} \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} q_{L} \overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} d_{R} + \eta_{uu} \overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R} \overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R} + \eta_{dd} \overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} d_{R} \overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} d_{R} \right.$$

$$\left. + \eta_{ud} \overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R} \overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} d_{R} + \eta'_{ud} \overline{u}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} d_{R} \overline{d}_{R} \gamma^{\mu} u_{R} \right\},$$

$$(5)$$

where $q_L = (u_L, d_L)$. We inserted in Eq. (5) the strong coupling g^2 explicitly to make the tree amplitudes of QCD and contact terms be formally in the same order in the QCD coupling. For convenience, in the rest of the paper we absorb the factor

$$\frac{g_0^2}{2g^2\Lambda^2}\tag{6}$$

into the coupling η 's. We also assume here that all quarks are massless. Then because of the SU_L(2) symmetry, there are only seven independent helicity amplitudes for $qq' \rightarrow qq'$. They are $u_L d_L \rightarrow u_L d_L$, $u_L u_L \rightarrow u_L u_L$, $u_R d_L \rightarrow u_R d_L$, $u_L d_R \rightarrow u_R d_R$, $u_R u_R \rightarrow u_R u_R$, $d_R d_R \rightarrow d_R d_R$, and $u_R d_R \rightarrow u_R d_R$. The amplitude for $d_L d_R \rightarrow d_L d_R$, for example, is identical to that of $u_L d_R \rightarrow u_L d_R$ because of the SU(2)_L symmetry. In the following, we calculate these seven amplitudes to one-loop order in QCD.

III. TREE AMPLITUDES

The tree-level amplitudes for the helicity channels in the EHLQ effective action are given in the Appendix. Note that we follow the notation in Refs. [7,9] for the helicity amplitude and spinor algebra.

The squared amplitudes-color and spin averaged-for quark channels are

$$|\mathcal{A}(ud \to ud)|^{2} = |\mathcal{A}(\overline{ud} \to \overline{ud})|^{2} = g^{4} \left[\frac{4}{9} \frac{s^{2} + u^{2}}{t^{2}} + u^{2} \left(\eta_{u}^{2} + \eta_{d}^{2} + \frac{2}{9} (\eta_{8u}^{2} + \eta_{8d}^{2}) \right) + s^{2} \left(4 \eta_{0}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \eta_{0} \eta_{1} + \frac{11}{12} \eta_{1}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \eta_{ud} \eta_{ud}' + \eta_{ud}^{2} + \eta_{ud}'^{2} \right) + \frac{8}{9} \frac{s^{2}}{t} (\eta_{1} + \eta_{ud}') + \frac{4}{9} \frac{u^{2}}{t} (\eta_{8u} + \eta_{8d}) \right], \quad (7)$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(uu \to uu)|^{2} = |\mathcal{A}(\overline{uu} \to \overline{uu})|^{2} = g^{4} \bigg[\frac{4}{9} \bigg(\frac{s^{2} + u^{2}}{t^{2}} + \frac{s^{2} + t^{2}}{u^{2}} - \frac{2s^{2}}{3tu} \bigg) + \frac{4}{9} \bigg(\frac{s^{2}}{t} + \frac{s^{2}}{u} \bigg) (4\eta_{0} + \eta_{1} + 4\eta_{uu}) + \frac{8}{9}\eta_{8u} \bigg(\frac{u^{2}}{t} + \frac{t^{2}}{u} \bigg) + \frac{2s^{2}}{3} (16\eta_{0}^{2} + 8\eta_{0}\eta_{1} + \eta_{1}^{2} + 16\eta_{uu}^{2}) + 2(u^{2} + t^{2}) \bigg(\eta_{u}^{2} + \frac{2}{9}\eta_{8u}^{2} \bigg) \bigg],$$

$$(8)$$

and using the crossing symmetry

$$|\mathcal{A}(u\overline{d} \to u\overline{d})|^2 = |\mathcal{A}(\overline{u}d \to \overline{u}d)|^2 = |\mathcal{A}(ud \to ud)|^2 (s \leftrightarrow u), \tag{9}$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(\overline{uu} \to \overline{dd})|^2 = |\mathcal{A}(\overline{dd} \to \overline{uu})|^2 = |\mathcal{A}(ud \to ud)|^2 (s \to u, t \to s, u \to t), \tag{10}$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(u\bar{u} \to u\bar{u})|^2 = |\mathcal{A}(uu \to uu)|^2 (s \leftrightarrow u), \tag{11}$$

with

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad t = (p_1 - p_4)^2, \quad u = (p_1 - p_3)^2.$$
 (12)

For the channels involving *d*-quarks only, we can obtain the squared amplitudes by replacing η_{uu} and η_{8u} with η_{dd} and η_{8d} , respectively, in Eqs. (8) and (11).

Putting back the factor

$$\frac{g_0^2/4\pi}{2\alpha_s\Lambda^2}\tag{13}$$

into each η 's in the above equations and keeping only η_0 we can recover the formulas in [3] with

$$g_0^2/4\,\pi = 1. \tag{14}$$

Note that we have corrections for typos in Eqs. (8.13) and (8.15) in [3].

IV. ULTRAVIOLET DIVERGENCE

The one-loop Feynman diagrams for $qq' \rightarrow qq'$ in the EHLQ effective Lagrangian are given in Fig. 1. In the massless limit, the one-loop self-energy diagrams for fermions in



the dimensional regularization vanish [11], and (g) and (h) in Fig. 1 are the UV counterterms for current renormalization arising from the fermion self-energy diagrams and (a) and (b). (g) and (h) are required only for color-octet currents, and the counterterms for the conserved color-singlet currents vanish. We do not include in our calculation the one-loop diagram in Fig. 2(b) because its finite part coming from the small momentum region ($\sim \sqrt{s}$) is suppressed by a factor of s/Λ^2 relative to those in Fig. 1. This can be easily seen from the fact that the four-fermion–gluon vertex in the diagram is given by the effective Lagrangian

$$\sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} f_{abc} J^a_\mu J^b_\nu F^c_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (15)$$

represented in Fig. 2(a). Here J^a_{μ} denote color-octet currents. The contribution from the large momentum region ($\geq \sqrt{s}$), which is dependent on the fundamental dynamics at the compositeness scale, is independent of external momenta, and renormalizes only the couplings of the contact terms. Since we are not interested in how the η 's are renormalized, we can completely exclude this diagram.

There are also penguin diagrams (Fig. 3). Although they are not one-loop QCD corrections, it is easy to see that they induce form factors in the quark-gluon vertices that are formally of same order of magnitude as the QCD one-loop corrections. The form factors induced by the penguin diagrams assume the form

$$F(q^{2}) = 1 + \frac{\eta g_{0}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} C[\ln(-q^{2})] \left(\frac{q^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)$$
$$\approx 1 + \overline{C} \frac{\eta g_{0}^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left(\frac{q^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right), \tag{16}$$

where $C[\ln(-q^2)]$ is a model-dependent function of order 1. In the last step we replaced the function *C* with its average value \overline{C} in the momentum range of interest. Thus penguin



FIG. 2. One-loop diagram from a dimension-8 operator. (b) is suppressed by a factor s/Λ^2 compared to the diagrams in Fig. 1.



diagrams introduce new free parameters in the amplitudes. This form factor effect from penguin diagrams may be combined with that in the vector boson propagators [10,3].

Now, in general, (a)-(f) have ultraviolet divergences as well as soft and collinear divergences. For one-jet inclusive cross sections, the soft and collinear divergences are canceled by those from $2 \rightarrow 3$ processes, which will be reviewed in more detail in the next section. The UV divergences in (a) and (b), as mentioned before, are canceled by the counterterms (g) and (h). We assume that the counterterms are given in the modified minimal subtraction (MS) scheme. The scheme dependence of the counterterms is absorbed in the coupling η 's to render the physical amplitudes scheme independent. The UV divergences in (c)-(f) arise from the approximation in Eq. (3). If we insert $D_{\mu\nu}(x,\Lambda)$ between the currents, the diagrams would be finite with logarithmic terms of order $\alpha_{s}\ln(\Lambda)$ from the short distance region. The scale Λ plays the role of an UV cutoff. The logarithmic terms can be summed to all orders in QCD in the leading logarithmic approximation by applying renormalization-group (RG-) improved operator product expansion to Eq. (1) [12-14]. Applying operator product expansion (OPE) to $J^{R}_{\mu}(x)J^{R}_{\nu}(0)$,

$$\int J^{R}_{\mu}(x)J^{R}_{\nu}(0)D_{\mu\nu}(x,\Lambda)dx = \sum_{i} c_{i}(\mu/\Lambda,\alpha(\mu))O^{R}_{i}(0),$$
(17)

with c_i satisfying

$$\left(\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} + \beta(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \widetilde{\gamma}_i(\alpha)\right) c_i(\mu/\Lambda, \alpha(\mu)) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_i = 2 \, \gamma_J - \gamma_i \,, \tag{19}$$

and γ_J and γ_i are the anomalous dimensions of the current and the operator O_i , respectively, and



FIG. 3. Penguin diagrams induce form factors in the quarkgluon vertex.

$$\beta(\alpha) = \mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} \alpha = -\beta_0 \alpha^2 [1 + O(\alpha)],$$

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(11 - \frac{2}{3} N_f \right), \qquad (20)$$

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_i(\alpha) = \widetilde{\gamma}_i^{(1)} \alpha + O(\alpha^2).$$
(21)

Note that $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ arises only from the UV divergences in diagrams (c)–(f).

Integrating the RG equation,

$$c_{i}(\mu/\Lambda,\alpha(\mu)) = c_{i}(\alpha(\Lambda)) \exp\left(\int_{\alpha(\mu)}^{\alpha(\Lambda)} \frac{\widetilde{\gamma}_{i}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)} d\alpha\right)$$
$$\approx c_{i}^{(0)} L_{i}(\alpha(\mu),\alpha(\Lambda)), \qquad (22)$$

where

$$L_i(\alpha(\mu), \alpha(\Lambda)) = \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\alpha(\Lambda)}\right)^{\widetilde{\gamma}_i^{(1)}/\beta_0}.$$
 (23)

The constants $c_i^{(0)}$ can be determined from the tree-level amplitudes. Substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (17), the one-loop effective Lagrangian for Eq. (1) should read

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(0) = \sum g_0^2 \eta(\mu, \Lambda) c_i^{(0)} L_i O_i^R.$$
(24)

In computing the matrix element $\langle f | O_i^R | i \rangle$, we take the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ subtraction scheme for the UV divergences. The scheme dependence in the matrix element is compensated by that of c_i to make physical amplitudes scheme independent.

Since the EHLQ effective action at one loop must assume the same form as in the tree-level action, the short distance effect in diagrams (c)–(f) results in a mixing among η 's with an appropriate scaling by L_i . Let us first consider the $u_L d_L \rightarrow u_L d_L$ process. The effective Lagrangian for this process is

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_L d_L} = \left(2 \eta_0 - \frac{\eta_1}{2}\right) O_1 + \eta_1 O_2$$
$$= \left(2 \eta_0 + \frac{\eta_1}{2}\right) O_+ + \left(\frac{3 \eta_1}{2} - 2 \eta_0\right) O_-, \quad (25)$$

where

$$O_1 = \overline{u}_L \gamma^{\mu} u_L d_L \gamma^{\mu} d_L,$$

$$O_2 = \overline{u}_L \gamma^{\mu} d_L \overline{d}_L \gamma^{\mu} u_L,$$
 (26)

and

$$O_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(O_2 \pm O_1 \right). \tag{27}$$

Because O_+ (O_-) is symmetric (antisymmetric) under interchange between up and down quarks and separately up and down antiquarks, O_{\pm} are multiplicatively renormalized to all orders in QCD, and their anomalous dimensions at one loop are given by [14]

$$\gamma_{\pm}^{(1)} = \mp \frac{3}{4\pi N_c} (N_c \mp 1).$$
 (28)

According to Eq. (24), the effective action at one loop is

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_{L}d_{L}} \rightarrow \left(2 \eta_{0} + \frac{\eta_{1}}{2}\right) L_{+}O_{+}^{R} + \left(\frac{3 \eta_{1}}{2} - 2 \eta_{0}\right) L_{-}O_{-}^{R}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(2 \eta_{0} + \frac{\eta_{1}}{2}\right) L_{+} - \left(\frac{3 \eta_{1}}{2} - 2 \eta_{0}\right) L_{-} \right] O_{1}^{R}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(2 \eta_{0} + \frac{\eta_{1}}{2}\right) L_{+} + \left(\frac{3 \eta_{1}}{2} - 2 \eta_{0}\right) L_{-} \right] O_{2}^{R},$$
(29)

with

$$L_{\pm}(\alpha(\mu), \alpha(\Lambda)) = \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\alpha(\Lambda)}\right)^{-\gamma_{\pm}^{(1)}/\beta_{0}}.$$
 (30)

Thus at one-loop order we have to replace η_0 and η_1 by $\overline{\eta}_0$ and $\overline{\eta}_1$ defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\eta}_{0} \\ \overline{\eta}_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4}L_{+} + \frac{1}{4}L_{-} & \frac{3}{16}(L_{+} - L_{-}) \\ L_{+} - L_{-} & \frac{1}{4}L_{+} + \frac{3}{4}L_{-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{0} \\ \eta_{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (31)

Let us now consider the $u_L d_R \rightarrow u_L d_R$ which involves a color-octet current. The Lagrangian for this process is

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_{L}d_{R}} = \eta_{d}O_{1} + \eta_{8d}O_{2}, \qquad (32)$$

where O_i now are defined by

$$O_1 = \overline{u}_L \gamma^\mu u_L \overline{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R,$$

$$O_2 = \overline{u}_L \gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} u_L \overline{d}_R \gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} d_R.$$
 (33)

Unlike in the previous example, in this case there is no simple symmetry argument to find multiplicatively renormalized operators, and so we are going to diagonalize the oneloop mixing matrix explicitly.

From the UV divergence part in (a)-(f), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} O_1 \\ O_2 \end{pmatrix}^B = Z \begin{pmatrix} O_1 \\ O_2 \end{pmatrix}^R,$$
(34)

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{6g^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \\ \frac{3(N_c^2 - 1)g^2}{2N_c^2(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} & 1 + \frac{3(N_c^2 - 2)g^2}{N_c(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(4-n)$. The one-loop anomalous dimension of O_i is then

$$\Gamma = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6\\ \frac{4}{3} & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (36)

Diagonalizing Γ , we have

$$L\Gamma L^{-1} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

with

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{4} \\ \\ \frac{4}{27} & \frac{8}{9} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (38)

The one-loop anomalous dimension of octet current arising from (a) in Fig. 1 is

$$\gamma_{j_8}^{(1)} = -\frac{N_c}{8\,\pi}.\tag{39}$$

Thus at the one-loop level,

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_L d_R} \to \overline{\eta}_d O_1^R + \overline{\eta}_{8d} O_2^R, \tag{40}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\eta}_d \\ \overline{\eta}_{8d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & d_1 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_d \\ \eta_{8d} \end{pmatrix},$$
(41)

$$\binom{c_1}{c_2} = L^t \binom{L_{8+}}{0} \binom{L_{8-}}{L_{8-}} (L^{-1})^t \binom{1}{0}, \qquad (42)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{pmatrix} = L^t \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{L}_{8+} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{L}_{8-} \end{pmatrix} (L^{-1})^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(43)

and

$$L_{8\pm} = \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\alpha(\Lambda)}\right)^{-\gamma_{8\pm}^{(1)}/\beta_0},$$

$$\widetilde{L}_{8\pm} = \left(\frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\alpha(\Lambda)}\right)^{-(\gamma_{8\pm}^{(1)} - 2\gamma_{j_8}^{(1)})/\beta_0},$$
(44)

with

$$\gamma_{8+}^{(1)} = \frac{-1}{4\pi},$$

$$\gamma_{8-}^{(1)} = \frac{8}{4\pi}.$$
 (45)

A similar calculation gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\eta}_{uu} \\ \overline{\eta}_{dd} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} L_+ & 0 \\ 0 & L_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{uu} \\ \eta_{dd} \end{pmatrix}$$
(46)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\eta}_{ud} \\ \overline{\eta}'_{ud} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} L_+ + L_- & L_+ - L_- \\ L_+ - L_- & L_+ + L_- \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{ud} \\ \eta'_{ud} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (47)$$

where L_{\pm} are defined in Eq. (30). The transformation for η_u and η_{8u} can be obtained by replacing η_d and η_{8d} in Eq. (41) with η_u and η_{8u} , respectively. The modified coupling $\overline{\eta}$'s should also be used in calculating the $2\rightarrow 3$ tree-level amplitudes. For notational convenience, we keep using η 's instead of the modified couplings; however, in the rest of the paper, all η 's should be understood as $\overline{\eta}$'s defined in Eq. (31), (41), (46), and (47).

V. CALCULATION FRAMEWORK

When computing the one-jet inclusive cross section, we need the one-loop QCD amplitudes for $2\rightarrow 2$, not the squared amplitudes, since QCD and the composite model interaction act coherently. To use the one-loop QCD helicity amplitudes for $2\rightarrow 2$ by Kunszt *et al.* [6] calculated in the 't Hooft–Veltman scheme [15], we also calculate the diagrams in Fig. 1 in the 't Hooft–Veltman scheme. Kunszt *et al.* also isolated the soft and collinear divergences in $2\rightarrow 2$ and $2\rightarrow 3$ processes, exposed explicitly the cancellation of these divergences among them, and gave a complete prescription for the one-jet inclusive cross section [6,7]. In this section we briefly review the calculated for the one-jet cross section. We follow the notation in [6,7] and readers should consult the references for more detailed discussions.

The one-jet inclusive cross section

$$I = \frac{d\sigma_{\rm jet}}{dp_J dy_J} \tag{48}$$

(49)

can be written as

where

$$I(2 \to 2) = \frac{1}{2!} \int d\rho_2 \frac{d\sigma(2 \to 2)}{d\rho_2} S_2(p_1^{\mu}, p_2^{\mu}),$$

$$I(2 \to 3) = \frac{1}{3!} \int d\rho_3 \frac{d\sigma(2 \to 3)}{d\rho_3} S_3(p_1^{\mu}, p_2^{\mu}, p_3^{\mu}), \quad (50)$$

 $I = I(2 \rightarrow 2) + I(2 \rightarrow 3)$.

and

$$d\rho_2 = dy_1 dp_2 dy_2 d\phi_2,$$

$$d\rho_3 = dy_1 dp_2 dy_2 d\phi_2 dp_3 dy_3 d\phi_3.$$
 (51)

 S_2 and S_3 define a jet algorithm, and p_i and y_i denote transverse momenta and pseudorapidities of the partons, respectively.

 $I(2\rightarrow 2)$ can be divided into singular $(\sim 1/\epsilon^p)$ and nonsingular parts

$$I(2 \rightarrow 2) = I(2 \rightarrow 2)_{\mathrm{S}} + I(2 \rightarrow 2)_{\mathrm{NS}}, \qquad (52)$$

with

and

(59)

$$I(2 \to 2)_{\rm NS} = \frac{\alpha_s^2}{2s^2} \int d\rho_2 p_2 \sum_{\mathbf{a}} L_{AB} \bigg(\psi^{(4)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \psi^{(6)}_{\rm NS}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}) \bigg) S_2(p_1^{\mu}, p_2^{\mu}), \quad (53)$$

where

с

$$L_{AB} = \frac{f_A(a_A, x_A)f_B(a_B, x_B)}{w(a_A)x_Aw(a_B)x_B},$$
(54)

 $\mathbf{a} = (a_A, a_B, a_1, a_2)$ for parton flavors, and $\mathbf{p} = (p_A, p_B, p_1, p_2)$. The indices *A*, *B* and 1,2 denote the initial state and the final state partons, respectively. $\psi^{(4)}$ is the Born amplitude squared and $\psi_{\text{NS}}^{(6)}$ is the nonsingular part of $\psi^{(6)}$ defined in

$$\sum_{\text{spins}} |\mathcal{A}(a_A + a_B \rightarrow a_1 + a_2)|^2$$
$$= g^4 \left(\psi^{(4)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}) + 2g^2 c_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q_{\text{ES}}^2} \right)^{\epsilon} \psi^{(6)}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}) + O(g^4) \right),$$
(55)

where Q_{ES} is an arbitrary scale introduced by Ellis and Sexton [4], and

$$c_{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2-\epsilon}} \frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^2 \Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)}.$$
 (56)

The singular part $I(2\rightarrow 2)_{\rm S}$ depends only on the Altarelli-Parisi functions and the tree-level amplitudes in four dimensions, $\psi^{(4)}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{p})$ and $\psi^{(4,c)}_{mn}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{p})$, with the latter defined by

$$\psi_{mn}^{(4,c)}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{-2}{g^4} T^a_{c_{m}c_{m}} T^a_{c_{n}c_{n}} \prod_{i \neq m,n} \delta_{c_{1}c_{i}} \mathcal{A}^{(0)}_{c_{A}c_{B}c_{1}c_{2}} \times (2 \rightarrow 2) \mathcal{A}^{(0)}_{c_{A}c_{B}c_{1}c_{2}} (2 \rightarrow 2).$$
(57)

For $\mathbf{a} = (q,q',q,q')$, $T^a = \lambda^a/2$ for the final state quarks and $T^a = -(\lambda^a)^t/2$ for the initial state quarks.

Similarly $I(2\rightarrow 3)$ can be divided into singular and nonsingular parts

$$I(2 \to 3) = \left[I(2 \to 3) - \sum_{n} I'_{n}(2 \to 3) \right] + \sum_{n} I'_{n}(2 \to 3)$$
$$= I_{\text{finite}}(2 \to 3) + \sum_{n} I'_{n}(2 \to 3), \tag{58}$$

where *n* runs over *A*,*B*,1,2. The soft and collinear divergences are contained in $I'_n(2\rightarrow 3)$, and $I'_n(2\rightarrow 3)$ is divergent only when p_3 becomes soft or collinear to the parton *n*. Here $I_{\text{finite}}(2\rightarrow 3)$ is by construction well defined over all parton phase space and depends only on the tree-level amplitudes in four dimensions, and so the phase-space integration can be done numerically.

Separating collinear divergence from soft divergence, $I'_n(2\rightarrow 3)$ can be written as

$$I'_n(2 \rightarrow 3) = I^{\text{soft}}_n(2 \rightarrow 3) + I^{\text{coll}}_n(2 \rightarrow 3),$$

with

$$I_n^{\text{soft}}(2 \to 3) = I_n^{\text{soft}}(2 \to 3)_{\text{S}} + I_n^{\text{soft}}(2 \to 3)_{\text{NS}},$$
$$I_n^{\text{coll}}(2 \to 3) = I_n^{\text{coll}}(2 \to 3)_{\text{S}} + I_n^{\text{coll}}(2 \to 3)_{\text{NS}}, \qquad (60)$$

where the explicit form of each term is given in [6,7]. The singular and nonsingular terms in Eqs. (60) involve Altarelli-Parisi functions and only tree-level amplitudes in four dimensions. For example,

$$I_{2}^{\text{soft}}(2 \to 3)_{\text{NS}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}^{3}}{4\pi s^{2}} \int d\rho_{2} p_{2} \sum_{\mathbf{a}} L_{AB} [\psi_{2}^{\text{soft}}(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{p})]_{\text{NS}} S_{2}(p_{1}^{\mu},p_{2}^{\mu}),$$
(61)

with

$$[\psi_2^{\text{soft}}]_{\text{NS}} = \sum_{m=A,B,1} \psi_{2m}^{(4,c)} \widetilde{T}_{2m}, \qquad (62)$$

where \tilde{T}_{2m} is a universal function of $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$. Adding Eq. (58) to Eq. (52), we have

$$I = I(2 \rightarrow 2)_{\rm NS} + \sum_{n} \left[I_n^{\rm soft}(2 \rightarrow 3)_{\rm NS} + I_n^{\rm coll}(2 \rightarrow 3)_{\rm NS} \right] + I_{\rm finite}(2 \rightarrow 3), \tag{63}$$

with complete cancellation of the singular terms. From Eq. (63) we see that for the one-jet inclusive cross section, we need to calculate the tree-level amplitudes $\psi^{(4)}$ and $\psi^{(4,c)}_{mn}$ in four dimensions and the one-loop amplitudes $\psi^{(6)}_{NS}$ of $\psi^{(6)}$ defined in Eq. (55).

VI. ONE-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The general form of the amplitude for $q_i(p_1)q'_j(p_2) \rightarrow q_l(p_4)q'_k(p_3)$ to one loop for each helicity channel can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}(p_1 + p_2 \rightarrow p_3 + p_4) = g^2 \widetilde{c} (A \, \delta_{li} \delta_{kj} + B \, \delta_{lj} \delta_{ki}), \quad (64)$$

where

$$A = A^{(0)} + g^2 A^{(1)},$$

$$B = B^{(0)} + g^2 B^{(1)},$$
(65)

and

$$A^{(i)} = A^{(i)}_{\text{QCD}} + A^{(i)}_{\text{cont}}, \quad B^{(i)} = B^{(i)}_{\text{QCD}} + B^{(i)}_{\text{cont}}.$$
 (66)

 $A_{\text{QCD}}^{(i)}$, $B_{\text{QCD}}^{(i)}$ and $A_{\text{cont}}^{(i)}$, $B_{\text{con}}^{(i)}$ are the tree and one-loop amplitudes arising from QCD and the fermion contact interactions, respectively, and \tilde{c} is a channel-dependent spinor matrix element.

The amplitude squared for each helicity channel is then

$$\sum_{\text{colors}} |\mathcal{A}|^2 = g^4 |\tilde{c}|^2 N_c^2 \bigg\{ (A^{(0)})^2 + (B^{(0)})^2 + \frac{2}{N_c} A^{(0)} B^{(0)} + 2g^2 \bigg[A^{(0)} \operatorname{Re}(A^{(1)}) + B^{(0)} \operatorname{Re}(B^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{N_c} [A^{(0)} \operatorname{Re}(B^{(1)}) + B^{(0)} \operatorname{Re}(A^{(1)})] \bigg] \bigg\}.$$
(67)

Comparing Eq. (67) with Eq. (55), we have $\psi^{(4)}$ and $\psi^{(6)}_{NS}$ in the $qq' \rightarrow qq'$ process,

$$\psi^{(4)} = \sum_{\text{spins}} |\tilde{c}|^2 N_c^2 \bigg[(A^{(0)})^2 + (B^{(0)})^2 + \frac{2}{N_c} A^{(0)} B^{(0)} \bigg]$$

$$\psi^{(6)}_{\text{NS}} = \sum_{\text{spins}} |\tilde{c}|^2 N_c^2 \bigg[A^{(0)} \text{Re}(\tilde{A}^{(1)}) + B^{(0)} \text{Re}(\tilde{B}^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{N_c} [A^{(0)} \text{Re}(\tilde{B}^{(1)}) + B^{(0)} \text{Re}(\tilde{A}^{(1)})] \bigg], \quad (68)$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}^{(1)} = \left[\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{c_{\Gamma}} A^{(1)} \right]_{\rm NS}$$
$$\widetilde{B}^{(1)} = \left[\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{c_{\Gamma}} B^{(1)} \right]_{\rm NS}. \tag{69}$$

For the one-loop amplitudes $A_{\text{cont}}^{(1)}$ and $B_{\text{cont}}^{(1)}$ we calculate the diagrams in Fig. 1 in the 't Hooft–Veltman dimensional regularization scheme in which the spins and momenta of internal particles are defined in *n* dimensions, while those of external particles are defined in four dimensions. The calculation of the one-loop diagrams in the 't Hooft–Veltman scheme is much simplified since we can treat the Dirac γ matrices as if they were defined in four dimensions. To show this, let us consider, as an example, diagram (a) in Fig. 1 for $u_L(p_1)d_L(p_2) \rightarrow u_L(p_4)d_L(p_3)$. Diagram (a) is proportional to

$$\int d^{n}k \frac{\langle 4-|\gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}_{4})\gamma^{\alpha}(1-\gamma_{5})(\mathbf{p}_{1}+\mathbf{k})\gamma^{\mu}|1-\rangle\langle 3-|\gamma^{\alpha}|2-\rangle}{k^{2}(k+p_{4})^{2}(k+p_{1})^{2}} = 2\int_{0}^{1}dxx \int_{0}^{1}dy \int d^{n}k \,\frac{\langle 4-|\gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p})\gamma^{\alpha}(1-\gamma_{5})(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k})\gamma^{\mu}|1-\rangle\langle 3-|\gamma^{\alpha}|2-\rangle}{[k^{2}-x^{2}y(1-y)s_{14}]^{3}},$$
(70)

where $p = -xyp_1 + (1 - x + xy)p_4$ and $q = (1 - xy)p_1$ $-x(1 - y)p_4$. Writing γ^{μ} , defined in *n* dimensions, as

$$\gamma^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu}_{(4)} + \gamma^{\mu}, \tag{71}$$

where $\gamma^{\mu}_{(4)}$ are the four-dimensional Dirac matrices and

$$\underline{\gamma}^{\mu} = 0 \quad \text{for } \mu \leq 4,$$
$$[\gamma_5, \gamma^{\mu}] = 0 \quad \text{for } \mu > 4, \tag{72}$$

the numerator in the integrand in Eq. (70) can be written as

$$\langle 4 - | \gamma^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_{(4)} + \mathbf{p}) \gamma^{\alpha}_{(4)}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}_{(4)}) \gamma^{\mu}_{(4)} | 1 - \rangle \langle 3 - | \gamma^{\alpha}_{(4)} | 2 - \rangle + \langle 4 - | \underline{\gamma}^{\mu} \mathbf{k} \gamma^{\alpha}_{(4)} \mathbf{k} \underline{\gamma}^{\mu} | 1 - \rangle \langle 3 - | \gamma^{\alpha}_{(4)} | 2 - \rangle.$$
 (73)

The second term in Eq. (73) is $O(\epsilon^4)$ and so it can be safely discarded because the soft and collinear divergence is at most $O(1/\epsilon^2)$. The four-dimensional Dirac algebra then gives the divergent term

$$\langle 4 - | \gamma^{\mu}_{(4)} \mathbf{k}_{(4)} \gamma^{\alpha}_{(4)} \mathbf{k}_{(4)} \gamma^{\mu}_{(4)} | 1 - \rangle \langle 3 - | \gamma^{\alpha}_{(4)} | 2 - \rangle$$
(74)

to

$$\frac{8k^2}{n}[12]\langle 34\rangle,\tag{75}$$

where k^2 is defined in *n* dimensions. Then the integration over *k* in *n* dimensions can be done in the standard way [11]. For other diagrams we can similarly check that only the fourdimensional γ matrices contribute to the loop diagrams.

As mentioned before, the counterterms (g) and (h) are nonvanishing only for the color-octet currents. For the octet currents, from the fermion self-energy diagrams and (a) and (b), they are given by

$$\frac{N_c}{2}g^2\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)c_\Gamma \mathcal{A}_{\text{tree}},\tag{76}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\text{tree}}$ denotes the tree amplitude of the corresponding contact term. Also the UV divergences in (c)–(f) should be subtracted in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. For each helicity channel, we give $\psi_{mn}^{(4,c)}$ \tilde{c} , $A^{(0)}$, $\tilde{A}^{(1)}$, $B^{(0)}$, and $\tilde{B}^{(1)}$ in the Appendix.

VII. DRELL-YAN PROCESS

If composite quarks and leptons share common constituents, exchanges between quarks and leptons of their common constituents would give rise to quark-lepton contact interactions at low energies. Then the signal from these contact interactions may appear in Drell-Yan processes. In this section we write down general effective quark-lepton contact interactions and consider their one-loop QCD corrections in Drell-Yan processes. As in the EHLQ Lagrangian, we consider a single family of quarks and leptons. Including more fermion families should be straightforward. With the first generation of fermions, the most general, helicity-preserving $SU(3)_{QCD} \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetric quark-lepton contact interactions are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QL}} = \frac{g_0^2}{\Lambda^2} \bigg\{ \xi_0 \overline{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L \overline{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L + \xi_1 \overline{q}_L \gamma^\mu \frac{\tau^a}{2} q_L \overline{l}_L \gamma^\mu \frac{\tau^a}{2} l_L + \xi_u \overline{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L \overline{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R + \xi_d \overline{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L \overline{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R + \xi_e \overline{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L \overline{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R + \xi_{ue} \overline{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R \overline{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R + \xi_{de} \overline{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R \overline{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R + (\xi_s \overline{q}_L^i d_R \overline{e}_R l_L^i + \text{H.c.}) \bigg\},$$
(77)

where $l_L = (\nu, e)_L$ and $q_L = (u, d)_L$. The last term in Eq. (77) is due to scalar (or pseudoscalar) exchanges and all the other terms are due to vector (or axial vector) exchanges.

For the massless fermions, no amplitudes with the same fermion helicities in the scalar exchange term arise in the standard model, and so the contact term of the scalar exchanges provides the leading amplitude in that helicity channel. Therefore we may keep the amplitudes in the scalar channel at the tree level and consider one-loop QCD corrections only in the vector channels.

To calculate the one-loop QCD corrections in the quark sector in the Drell-Yan process, we must consider virtual corrections in $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow l\bar{l}'$ and real gluon emission in $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow l\bar{l}'G$ along with $qG \rightarrow q' l\bar{l}'$. Since these processes occur only in the *s* channel in the lepton momenta, the amplitudes for a given helicity channel factorize into a flavor-independent part and a flavor-dependent propagator part that also include the couplings on the quark and lepton vertices. The contact interactions thus modify only the propagator part, and so the one-loop QCD corrections in this model are essentially identical to those in the standard model. This allows us to write the cross section at the parton level in terms of the corresponding cross section with a virtual photon exchange in the standard model,

$$d\sigma^{(h)}[q\bar{q'} \to l\bar{l'}(G)] = d\sigma^{(h)}_{\gamma*}[q\bar{q} \to l\bar{l}(G)] \left| \frac{D^{(h)}(q\bar{q'} \to l\bar{l'})q^2}{Q_q Q_l} \right|^2,$$
(78)

where *h* and $Q_{q,l}$ denote the helicities and charges of quarks and leptons, respectively, and q^2 is the invariant mass squared of the leptons. The helicity independence of $d\sigma_{\gamma*}^{(h)}/dQ^2$, where $q^2 = Q^2$, of virtual photon exchange allows us to write Eq. (78) as

$$\frac{d\sigma^{(h)}}{dQ^2} [q\bar{q'} \rightarrow l\bar{l'}(G)] = \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma^*}}{dQ^2} [q\bar{q} \rightarrow l\bar{l}(G)] \left| \frac{D^{(h)}(q\bar{q'} \rightarrow l\bar{l'})q^2}{Q_q Q_l} \right|^2.$$
(79)

Similarly, for the $qG \rightarrow q' l\overline{l'}$,

$$\frac{d\sigma^{(h)}}{dQ^2} (qG \to q' l\overline{l'})$$

$$= \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma^*}}{dQ^2} (qG \to q l\overline{l}) \left| \frac{D^{(h)}(q\overline{q'} \to l\overline{l'})q^2}{Q_q Q_l} \right|^2.$$
(80)

From $d\sigma_{\gamma^*}/dQ^2$ in Altarelli, Ellis, and Martinelli [16], we finally have the Drell-Yan cross section for $l\bar{l'}$ pair production:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\rm DY}}{dQ^2} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{36\pi s Q^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \sum_{f,f'} \left([q_f^{[1]}(x_1) \overline{q}_{f'}^{[2]}(x_2) + (1\leftrightarrow 2)] \{\delta(1-z) + \alpha_s(Q^2) \theta(1-z) [f_{q,\rm DY}(z) - 2f_{q,2}(z)] \} + \{ [q_f^{[1]}(x_1) + \overline{q}_{f'}^{[1]}(x_1)] G^{[2]}(x_2) + (1\leftrightarrow 2) \} \alpha_s(Q^2) \theta(1-z) [f_{G,\rm DY}(z) - f_{G,2}(z)] \right) \sum_h |D^{(h)}(q_f \overline{q}_{f'} \to l \overline{l'}) q^2|^2,$$
(81)

with

$$f_{q,\mathrm{DY}} - 2f_{q,2} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \left[\frac{3}{(1-z)_{+}} - 6 - 4z + 2(1+z^{2}) \left(\frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z} \right)_{+} + \left(1 + \frac{4}{3}\pi^{2} \right) \delta(1-z) \right],$$

$$f_{G,\mathrm{DY}} - f_{G,2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{3}{2} - 5z + \frac{9}{2}z^{2} + (z^{2} + (1-z)^{2})\ln(1-z) \right],$$
(82)

where $z = Q^2/x_1x_2s$ and \sqrt{s} is the invariant mass of the incoming hadron system.

From the standard model Lagrangian and Eq. (77) we can read off the nonvanishing propagator part

$$D(u_L \overline{d}_L \rightarrow \nu_L \overline{e}_L) = \frac{g^2}{2(q^2 - M_w^2)} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_1}{2\Lambda^2},$$

$$D(u_L \overline{u}_L \rightarrow e_L \overline{e}_L) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{u_- l_-}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_u Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_0}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{g_0^2 \xi_1}{4\Lambda^2},$$

$$D(u_L \overline{u_L} \to e_R \overline{e_R}) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{u_- l_+}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_u Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_e}{\Lambda^2},$$

$$D(u_R \overline{u_R} \to e_L \overline{e_L}) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{u_+ l_-}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_u Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_u}{\Lambda^2},$$

 $D(u_R \overline{u_R} \rightarrow e_R \overline{e_R}) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{u_+ l_+}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_u Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_{ue}}{\Lambda^2},$

$$D(d_L \overline{d}_L \rightarrow e_L \overline{e}_L) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{d_- l_-}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_d Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_0}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_1}{4\Lambda^2},$$

$$D(d_L \overline{d}_L \to e_R \overline{e_R}) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{d_-l_+}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_d Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_e}{\Lambda^2},$$

$$D(d_R \overline{d}_R \rightarrow e_L \overline{e}_L) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{d_+ l_-}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_d Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_d}{\Lambda^2},$$

$$D(d_R \overline{d}_R \to e_R \overline{e}_R) = \left(\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_w}\right)^2 \frac{d_+ l_+}{q^2 - M_z^2} + \frac{Q_d Q_e}{q^2} + \frac{g_0^2 \xi_{de}}{\Lambda^2},$$
(83)

where

$$u_{-} = 2 - \frac{8}{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{w}, \quad u_{+} = -\frac{8}{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{w},$$
$$d_{-} = -2 + \frac{4}{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{w}, \quad d_{+} = \frac{4}{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{w},$$
$$l_{-} = -2 + 4\sin^{2}\theta_{w}, \quad l_{+} = 4\sin^{2}\theta_{w},$$

and g is the $SU(2)_L$ coupling constant.

Finally we would like to add a comment on calculating $d\sigma^{DY}/dp_l dy_l$ in the framework of Kunszt *et al.*, where p_l and y_l are the transverse momentum and rapidity of a designated lepton, respectively. Since the essential difference of

the Drell-Yan process from the one-jet inclusive production is that the soft and collinear divergences in the Drell-Yan process occur only in the initial state, all the formulas for the inclusive jet cross section can be used with only minor modification. First, since the final state leptons in the Drell-Yan process are identifiable, the jet algorithm in Eq. (50) should be replaced by

$$S_{2} = 2! \,\delta(p_{l} - p_{1}) \,\delta(y_{l} - y_{1}), \quad S_{3} = 3! \,\delta(p_{l} - p_{1}) \,\delta(y_{l} - y_{1}),$$
(84)

and since soft and collinear divergences occur only in the initial state, the indices m and n in Eqs. (57), (58), and (63) should run only over the initial state. Also the restriction on p_3 , that it be the smallest among the final state parton momenta, should be revoked.

For the virtual corrections, we need to consider only diagram (a) in Fig. 1, and its calculation goes exactly as in the case of the one-jet inclusive cross section. Details of the calculation and numerical analysis of the Drell-Yan cross section along with the one-jet inclusive cross section will be published elsewhere [17].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am very grateful to E. Eichten for interesting me in this subject and many valuable suggestions throughout the work. Also helpful discussions with and comments by S. Parke and W. Giele are gratefully acknowledged.

APPENDIX

In this appendix we give the tree amplitudes $\psi_{mn}^{(4,c)}$ $\tilde{c}, A_{\text{cont}}^{(0)}$ and $B_{\text{cont}}^{(0)}$ and the one-loop amplitudes $\tilde{A}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)}, \tilde{B}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)}$ defined in Eqs. (57), (64),(65), and (69) and, for completeness, corresponding terms in QCD for the following helicity channels: (i) $u_L d_L \rightarrow u_L d_L$, (ii) $u_L u_L \rightarrow u_L u_L$, (iii) $u_R d_L \rightarrow u_R d_L$, (iv) $u_L d_R \rightarrow u_L d_R$, (v) $u_R u_R \rightarrow u_R u_R$, (vi) $d_R d_R \rightarrow d_R d_R$, and (vii) $u_R d_R \rightarrow u_R d_R$. All the momenta of external fermions are assumed to have positive energies, and $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$.

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\vec{c} & |\vec{c}|^2 \\
(i) & -2i[12]\langle 34 \rangle & 4s_{12}^2 \\
(ii) & -2i[12]\langle 34 \rangle & 4s_{12}^2 \\
(iii) & -2i[24]\langle 13 \rangle & 4s_{13}^2 \\
(iv) & -2i[13]\langle 24 \rangle & 4s_{13}^2 \\
(v) & -2i[34]\langle 12 \rangle & 4s_{12}^2 \\
(vi) & -2i[34]\langle 12 \rangle & 4s_{12}^2 \\
(vii) & -2i[34]\langle 12 \rangle & 4s_{12}^2 \\
(A1)
\end{array}$$

 $A^{(0)}, B^{(0)}$: For contact terms,

$$A_{\text{cont}}^{(0)} \qquad B_{\text{cont}}^{(0)}$$
(i) $-2 \eta_0 + \eta_1/2 \qquad -\eta_1$
(ii) $-2(\eta_0 + \eta_1/4) \qquad -2(\eta_0 + \eta_1/4)$
(iii) $-\eta_u + \eta_{8u}/(2N_c) \qquad -\eta_{8u}/2$
(iv) $-\eta_d + \eta_{8d}/(2N_c) \qquad -\eta_{8d}/2$
(v) $-2 \eta_{uu} \qquad -2 \eta_{uu}$
(i) $-2 \eta_{uu} \qquad -2 \eta_{uu}$

(vi)
$$-2 \eta_{dd}$$
 $-2 \eta_{dd}$
(vii) $-\eta_{ud}$ $-\eta'_{ud}$ (A2)

and for QCD,

$$A_{\rm QCD}^{(0)}$$
 $B_{\rm QCD}^{(0)}$

 $\psi_{mn}^{(4,c)}$: For each helicity channel,

$$\psi^{(4)} = |\vec{c}|^2 N_c^2 \Big[(A^{(0)})^2 + (B^{(0)})^2 + \frac{2}{N_c} A^{(0)} B^{(0)} \Big],$$

$$\psi^{(4,c)}_{12} = \psi^{(4)} / N_c - |\vec{c}|^2 N_c [(A^{(0)})^2 + (B^{(0)})^2 + 2N_c A^{(0)} B^{(0)}] \\$$

$$\psi^{(4,c)}_{13} = -\psi^{(4)} / N_c + |\vec{c}|^2 N_c [A^{(0)} + N_c B^{(0)}]^2, \quad (A3) \\$$

$$\psi^{(4,c)}_{14} = -\psi^{(4)} / N_c + |\vec{c}|^2 N_c [N_c A^{(0)} + B^{(0)}]^2, \\$$

$$\psi^{(4,c)}_{23} = \psi^{(4,c)}_{14}, \quad \psi^{(4,c)}_{24} = \psi^{(4,c)}_{13}, \quad \psi^{(4,c)}_{34} = \psi^{(4,c)}_{12},$$

where $A^{(0)}, B^{(0)}$ are the sum of the corresponding contact and QCD terms as defined in Eq. (66).

 $\widetilde{A}^{(1)}, \widetilde{B}^{(1)}$: With the auxiliary functions,

$$F_{1} = 2(N_{c}^{2} - 1) / N_{c} \left[3 + \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^{2} \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \right) \right],$$

$$F_{2} = 2 \left\{ 4 + \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{13}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln^{2} \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{13}} \right) - \ln^{2} \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{-s_{12}} \right) \right] + \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q_{ES}^{2}} \right) \right\},$$
(A4)

$$F_3 = -F_2(s_{12} \leftrightarrow -s_{13})$$

$$F_{1o} = -(N_c^1 - 1) / N_c^2 \left\{ 4 + \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^2}{-s_{12}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln^2 \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^2}{-s_{12}} \right) - \ln^2 \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^2}{s_{13}} \right) \right] + \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q_{ES}^2} \right) \right\},$$
(A5)

$$F_{2o} = 1/N_c \left\{ 5 - N_c^2 - \frac{3}{2} \ln\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{s_{14}}\right) + 3\ln\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{-s_{12}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{s_{14}}\right) \right. \\ \left. + \ln^2\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{-s_{12}}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{N_c^2}{2}\right) \left[\ln^2\left(\frac{Q_{\rm ES}^2}{s_{13}}\right) - 3\ln^2\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q_{\rm ES}^2}\right)\right] \right\},$$

the contact terms are

(i)
$$\widetilde{A}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)} = (2 \eta_0 - \eta_1/2)(F_1 - F_2/N_c) + \eta_1 F_2(p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4)$$

 $\widetilde{B}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)} = (2 \eta_0 - \eta_1/2)F_2 + \eta_1(F_1 - F_2/N_c)(p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4),$

(ii)
$$A_{\text{cont}}^{(1)} = 2(\eta_0 + \eta_1/4) [F_1 - F_2/N_c + F_2(p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4)]$$

 $\widetilde{B}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)} = 2(\eta_0 + \eta_1/4) [F_2 + (F_1 - F_2/N_c)(p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4)],$

(iii)
$$\widetilde{A}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)} = \eta_u (F_1 - F_3 / N_c) + \eta_{8u} (F_{1o} - F_{2o} / N_c)$$

 $\widetilde{B}_{\text{cont}}^{(1)} = \eta_u F_3 + \eta_{8u} F_{2o},$

(iv) Replace
$$\eta_u \rightarrow \eta_d, \eta_{8u} \rightarrow \eta_{8d}$$
 in (iii),

(v) Replace
$$(\eta_0 + \eta_1/4) \rightarrow \eta_{uu}$$
 in (ii),

(vi) Replace
$$(\eta_0 + \eta_1/4) \rightarrow \eta_{dd}$$
 in (ii),

(vii) Replace
$$(2\eta_0 - \eta_1/2) \rightarrow \eta_{ud}, \eta_1 \rightarrow \eta'_{ud}$$
 in (i).
(A6)

For QCD, from the one-loop amplitudes in [6], (i),(vii)

$$\widetilde{A}_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2s_{14}N_c}H_1,$$

$$\widetilde{B}_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2s_{14}}(H_1 + H_2),$$
(A7)

(ii),(v),(vi)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{A}_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{s_{14}} \bigg[-H_1 / N_c + \frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}} [(H_1 + H_2)(p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4)] \bigg], \\ (A8) \\ \widetilde{B}_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{s_{14}} \bigg[H_1 + H_2 - \frac{s_{14}}{Ns_{13}} H_1(p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4) \bigg], \end{split}$$

(iii),(iv)

$$\widetilde{A}_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2s_{14}N_c}K_1,$$
(A9)
$$\widetilde{B}_{\text{QCD}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2s_{14}}(K_1 + K_2),$$

where

$$\begin{split} H_{1} &= N_{c}(13/9 + \pi^{2}) - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + \frac{1}{N_{c}} \Biggl\{ 8 + \frac{s_{14}}{2s_{12}}(1 + s_{13}/s_{12}) \\ &\times \Biggl[\ln^{2} \Biggl(\frac{-s_{14}}{s_{12}} \Biggr) + \pi^{2} \Biggr] + s_{14}/s_{12} \ln \Biggl(\frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}} \Biggr) \Biggr\} + \ln \Biggl(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \Biggr) \\ &\times \Biggl[-3N_{c} + \frac{11}{3}N_{c} - 2N_{c} \ln \Biggl(\frac{-s_{14}}{s_{12}} \Biggr) - \frac{2}{3}N_{f} + 3/N_{c} \\ &+ 2/N_{c} \ln \Biggl(\frac{s_{12}}{-s_{13}} \Biggr) \Biggr] - \ln^{2} \Biggl(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \Biggr) (N_{c} - 1/N_{c}) \\ &+ 4\pi\beta_{0} \ln \Biggl(\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q_{ES}^{2}} \Biggr), \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{2} = -(N_{c}^{2}-1) / N_{c} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{s_{14}}{s_{12}} (1+s_{13}/s_{12}) \left[\ln^{2} \left(\frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}} \right) + \pi^{2} \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + s_{14}/s_{12} \ln \left(\frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}} \right) + 2 \ln \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \right) \ln \left(\frac{s_{12}}{-s_{13}} \right) \right\},$$

$$K_{1} = N_{c}(13/9 + \pi^{2}) - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + 8/N_{c} + (N_{c} + 1/N_{c})$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{s_{14}}{2s_{13}}(1 + s_{12}/s_{13}) \left[\ln^{2} \left(\frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}} \right) + \pi^{2} \right] + s_{14}/s_{13} \ln \left(\frac{-s_{14}}{s_{12}} \right) \right\} + \ln \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \right) \left[-3N_{c} + \frac{11}{3}N_{c} - 2N_{c} \ln \left(\frac{-s_{14}}{s_{12}} \right) - \frac{2}{3}N_{f} + 3/N_{c} + 2/N_{c} \ln \left(\frac{s_{12}}{-s_{13}} \right) \right] + \ln^{2} \left(\frac{Q_{ES}^{2}}{s_{14}} \right) (-N_{c} + 1/N_{c}) + 4\pi\beta_{0} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q_{ES}^{2}} \right), \quad (A10)$$

$$\begin{split} K_2 &= -\left(N_c^2 - 1\right) \left/ N_c \left\{ \frac{s_{14}}{2s_{13}} \left(1 + s_{12}/s_{13}\right) \left[\ln^2 \left(\frac{-s_{14}}{s_{12}}\right) + \pi^2 \right] \right. \\ &+ s_{14}/s_{13} \ln \left(\frac{-s_{14}}{s_{12}}\right) + 2\ln \left(\frac{Q_{\text{ES}}^2}{s_{14}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{s_{12}}{-s_{13}}\right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

- CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 438 (1996).
- [2] E. Eichten, K. Lane, and M. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 811 (1983).
- [3] E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 579 (1984).
- [4] R. K. Ellis and J. Sexton, Nucl. Phys. B269, 445 (1986).
- [5] Z. Bern and D. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B379, 451 (1992).
- [6] Z. Kunszt, A. Signer, and Z. Trocsanyi, Nucl. Phys. B411, 397 (1994).
- [7] Z. Kunszt and D. Soper, Phys. Rev. D 46, 192 (1992).
- [8] G. Altarelli, N. Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto, and M.

Mangano, Phys. Lett. B 375, 292 (1996).

- [9] M. Mangano and S. Parke, Phys. Rep. 200, 301 (1991).
- [10] M. Chanowitz and S. Drell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 807 (1973).
- [11] G. Guberina, R. Peccei, and R. Rueckl, Nucl. Phys. B171, 333 (1980).
- [12] K. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 179, 1499 (1969).
- [13] F. Gilman and M. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 20, 2392 (1979).
- [14] G. Altarelli, G. Curci, G. Martinelli, and S. Petrarca, Nucl. Phys. B187, 461 (1981).
- [15] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B44, 189 (1972).
- [16] G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, and G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B157, 461 (1979).
- [17] T. Lee (in preparation).