Elusive *Z*^{\prime} coupled to quarks of third generation

Paul H. Frampton *University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255*

Mark B. Wise *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*

Brian D. Wright

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255

(Received 24 April 1996)

By extending the standard gauge group to $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_X$ with *X* charges carried only by the third family we accommodate the CERN LEP measurement of R_b and predict a potentially measurable the third family we accommodate the CERN LEP measurement of R_b and predict a potentially measurable discrepancy in A_{FB}^b in e^+e^- scattering and that $D^0\overline{D}^0$ mixing may be near its experimental limit. The uni ness of our model is that the *Z'* couplings are generation-dependent and, hence, explicitly violate the GIM mechanism, but can nevertheless be naturally consistent with FCNC constraints. Direct detection of this Z' is possible but challenging. $[S0556-2821(96)02021-8]$

PACS number(s): 12.60.Cn, 12.15.Ji, 13.38.Dg

Although the standard model (SM) survived the high precision at the CERN e^+e^- collider LEP measurements almost unscathed, there are a few discrepancies which persist, most of them at a low level of statistical significance and hence quite likely to disappear as more data are collected. One outstanding deviation from the SM which is quite large involves the couplings of the beauty (*b*) quark. In particular, volves the couplings of the beauty (*b*) quark. In particular,
the ratio $R_b = \Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\overline{b})/\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ is predicted by the SM to be $R_b = 0.2156 \pm 0.0003$ [1] (where the uncertainty comes from m_t and m_H) and is measured to be $R_b = 0.2219 \pm 0.0017$ [2], about 3% too high and a significant 3.7σ effect (for a recent analysis see Ref. [3]). In this paper, we shall thus take the R_b data at face value and construct an extension of the standard model that explains R_b and has other with testable predictions. The two simplest ways to extend the SM while preserving its principal features are to extend the gauge sector or to extend the fermion sector. In the former approach, the simplest possibility is to extend the gauge sector by a $U(1)$ gauge field which mixes with the usual *Z* boson and generates nonstandard couplings to *b* quarks and perhaps the other quarks and leptons. Such an approach was first discussed in Ref. $[4]$ and in a different context in Ref. [5]. More recently, attempts have been made to explain the R_b and R_c discrepancies with an extra $U(1)$ gauge field which couples also to light quarks [6]. The simplest fermion-mixing model to explain the R_b (and R_c) data was proposed in Ref. $|7|$.

It is not difficult to find models in which the radiative corrections can accommodate R_b measurements [8,9]; however, many popular models fail to provide a convenient solution. The minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) is a notable example of this. Only a small region of parameter space can yield a consistent result, corresponding to a light supersymmetric spectrum, detectable at LEP II $[10,11]$ (see however Ref. $[12]$ for a light gluino alternative). Two-Higgs doublet models also fall into this category $[8,13]$. For a comprehensive review of the possibilities see Ref. $[9]$ and references therein. We extend the gauge sector by adopting the choice of gauge group $SU(3)\times SU(2)_L\times U(1)_Y$ \times U(1)_{*X*}. Associated with the additional U(1)_{*X*} gauge group is a new quantum number *X* which defines the strength of the beauty and top couplings to the one new gauge boson which will be denoted by Z' for simplicity, although this Z' will certainly couple differently than any other Z' in the literature. What differentiates our model from others $[5,6,14]$ is that the $Z⁷$ couplings are generation dependent, the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism is explicitly broken and yet the flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) constraints can be naturally satisfied.

To proceed with presenting our model we shall first examine the decay of the *Z* and its relation to the fundamental *Z*-fermion couplings of the effective Lagrangian. The decay of the *Z* into a fermion-antifermion pair $f\overline{f}$ is given by

$$
\Gamma(Z \to f\overline{f}) = \left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}(M_Z)CM_Z}{6c_W^2 s_W^2}\right) \times \beta((g_L^{f2} + g_R^{f2})(1 - x) + 6x g_L^f g_R^f), \tag{1}
$$

where $c_W = \cos\theta_W$, $g_L^f = T_3^f - Q^f \sin^2\theta_W$, $g_R^f = -Q^f \sin^2\theta_W$, $x=(m_f/M_Z)^2$, and $\beta=\sqrt{1-4x}$. The color factor is $C=3$ for quarks and $C=1$ for leptons. For the light fermions, it is an adequate approximation to put $x=0$ and $\beta=1$ and, using $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.232$, this gives the familiar values $\Gamma_e = \Gamma_\mu = \Gamma_\tau \approx 83$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\nu_i} \approx 166$ MeV for $i = e, \mu, \tau$ and for the quarks, $\Gamma_u = \Gamma_c \approx 285 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma_d = \Gamma_s = \Gamma_b$ \approx 367 MeV.

The couplings $g_{L,R}^f$ are modified when the *Z* mixes with a *Z'*. The effective Lagrangian for the *Z* and *Z'* coupling to fermions is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = g_Z Z^{\mu} \overline{f} \gamma_{\mu} (g_L^f P_L + g_R^f P_R) f \n+ g_X Z^{\prime \mu} \overline{f} \gamma_{\mu} (X_L^f P_L + X_R^f P_R) f, \tag{2}
$$

where $g_Z = g_2 / c_W = 0.739$, and $P_{R,L} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$. This *Z'* does not mix with the photon and the electric charge still given by $Q = T_3 + Y/2$, where *Y* is the hypercharge and T_3 the third component of weak isospin. The mass eigenstates are mixtures of these states with a mixing angle according to $\hat{Z} = Z \cos \alpha - Z' \sin \alpha$ and $\hat{Z}' = Z' \cos \alpha + Z \sin \alpha$. If the mass matrix is given by

$$
(ZZ')\begin{pmatrix} M^2 & \delta M^2 \\ \delta M^2 & M'^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ Z' \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}
$$

then the mixing angle is given by

$$
\tan \alpha = \frac{\delta M^2}{\hat{M}_{Z'}^2 - M^2} = \frac{\delta M^2}{M'^2 - \hat{M}_Z^2},
$$
(4)

where the carets denote mass eigenvalues. Because of the level of agreement between the SM and leptonic *Z* decays at LEP, $\cos^2 \alpha$ must be near unity. In the presence of the *Z'*, we see from Eq. (2) that the *Z* couplings are modified according to

$$
\delta g_L^f = -\frac{g_X}{g_Z} X_L^f \tan \alpha, \quad \delta g_R^f = -\frac{g_X}{g_Z} X_R^f \tan \alpha, \tag{5}
$$

where we have factored out a $cos \alpha$ factor common to all the mass eigenstate \hat{Z} couplings. The change δR_b is given at lowest order in the mixing by

$$
\delta R_b = R_b - R_b^{(0)} = 2R_b^{(0)} (1 - R_b^{(0)}) \left(\frac{g_L^{b(0)} \delta g_L^b + g_R^{b(0)} \delta g_R^b}{(g_L^{b(0)})^2 + (g_R^{b(0)})^2} \right),\tag{6}
$$

where the superscript 0 denotes SM quantities and $g_L^{b(0)} = -0.423$ and $g_R^{b(0)} = 0.077$. Requiring R_b to be within one standard deviation of the experimental value means that $0.0080 > \delta R_b > 0.0046$. Depending on the U(1) charges of the *t* and *b* quarks we consider adding a second (ϕ) , $X_{\phi'} = +1$) and possibly third (ϕ'' , $X_{\phi''} = -1$) Higgs doublet to the SM doublet (ϕ , $X_{\phi}=0$). First consider the case of only *two* Higgs doublets. Here ϕ' couples to both *b* and *t* and so $X_{\phi} = X_L^b - X_R^b = -X_L^t + X_R^t$. Then we can write $\delta M^2 = -X_{\phi} g_{X}g_{Z} |\langle \phi' \rangle|^2$ and using Eq. (4) we see that $X_{\phi'}$ tan α <0.¹ If only b_L or b_R has nonzero *X* charge then $X_{\phi} = X_L^b$ or $X_{\phi} = -X_R^b$, respectively, and because of the signs of $g_L^{b(0)}$ and $g_R^{b(0)}$ in Eq. (6), R_b would always be *decreased*. We must therefore consider both $X_{L,R}^b$ nonzero. Then we can write Eq. (6) numerically as

$$
\delta R_b = g_X \tan \alpha (1.05X_{\phi'} + 0.86X_R^b),\tag{7}
$$

so $-X_R^b / X_{\phi} \geq 1.2$ in order to get a positive effect. To see that this is inconsistent, we must use another constraint: the measured *Z*-pole forward-backward asymmetry in $e^+e^$ measured Z-pole forward-backward asymn
 \rightarrow *bb*, $A_{FB}^{(0,b)}$. To leading order it is given by

$$
\delta A_{\text{FB}}^{(0,b)} = A_{\text{FB}}^{(0,b)} - A_{\text{FB}}^{(0,b)(\text{SM})}
$$

=
$$
A_{\text{FB}}^{(0,b)(\text{SM})} \frac{4(g_L^{b(0)})^2 (g_R^{b(0)})^2}{(g_L^{b(0)})^4 - (g_R^{b(0)})^4} \left(\frac{\delta g_L^b}{g_L^{b(0)}} - \frac{\delta g_R^b}{g_R^{b(0)}} \right).
$$
 (8)

Inserting the numerical values, including $A_{FB}^{(0,b)(SM)}$ $=0.101$, we find that

$$
\delta A_{\text{FB}}^{(0,b)} = g_X \tan \alpha (0.043 X_{\phi'} + 0.278 X_R^b). \tag{9}
$$

Comparison of the experimental forward-backward asymmetry with the SM prediction allows only a small departure satisfying $|\delta A_{FB}^{(0,b)}|$ < 0.003 [2]. Using the lowest consistent value of δR_b then shows that $A_{FB}^{(0,b)}$ is too big. This excludes all models with only the two scalar doublets ϕ and ϕ' .

So we must add a third doublet ϕ'' which gives mass to the *t* quark, ϕ' still coupling to the *b* quark. Thus $X_{\phi} = -X_L^t + X_R^t$ and $X_{\phi} = X_L^b - X_R^b$. In this case we have $\delta M^2 = -g_Xg_Z(X_{\phi'}|\langle \phi' \rangle|^2 + X_{\phi''}|\langle \phi'' \rangle|^2)$ and with opposite signs for $X_{\phi'}$ and $X_{\phi''}$ and the natural choice $|\langle \phi'' \rangle| > |\langle \phi' \rangle|$ we can make $X_{\phi'}$ tan $\alpha > 0$. We are thus free to make simple choices for the quark charges. There are two natural choices to consider: (i) $X_L^b = 1, X_R^b = 0$ and (ii) $X_L^b = 0, X_R^b = 1$. Of these, (ii) can be shown to be inconsistent with the data, as follows. Equations (7) and (9) give $\delta R_b = -0.19g_X \tan \alpha$ and $\delta A_{FB}^{(0,b)} = 0.24g_X \tan \alpha$. Requiring δR_b > 0.0046 implies $|\delta A_{FB}^{(0,b)}|$ > 0.005 is a contradicting experiment. This then leaves our preferred model: the charges for the third family, defined more carefully below, are simply $X_L^{b,t} = 1$ and $X_R^{b,t} = 0$. The model has three Higgs scalar doublets ϕ , ϕ' , and ϕ'' with *X* charges 0, +1, and -1, respectively.

Cancellation of chiral anomalies is most economically accomplished by adding two doublets of quarks $(w, w')_L$ $+(w,w')_R$ which are vectorlike in weak hypercharge. The doublet $(w, w')_L$ has the opposite *X* charge and hypercharge to $(t,b)_L$ while the right-handed doublet has zero *X* charge. These acquire mass from a complex weak singlet Higgs scalar. The electric charges of these *weird* quarks are $+1/3$ and $-2/3$; they thus give rise to stable fractionally charged color singlets which may be problematic cosmologically. An alternative anomaly cancellation is to add quark $SU(2)$ doublets, with $Y=+1/6$, $(t',b')_L(X=-1) + (t',b')_R(X=0)$ together with $SU(2)$ singlet $Y=-1$ charged leptons $l_L^-(X=1) + l_R^-(X=0)$ and $l_L^-(X=-1) + l_R^-(X=0)$.

There is a three-dimensional parameter space for the model spanned by tan α , g_{X_2} , and $\dot{\xi} = \hat{M}_Z / \hat{M}_{Z'}$. We consider, for simplicity, only $\hat{M}_{Z} < \hat{M}_{Z}$ and will be able to constrain these parameters. Using the analysis above we have from the constraint on R_h ,

$$
0.008 \ge g_X \tan \alpha \ge 0.004,\tag{10}
$$

as well as a weaker constraint from the asymmetry: g_X tan α <0.07. Turning this around using the δR_b constraint gives a *prediction* for the asymmetry:

$$
3 \times 10^{-4} \ge \delta A_{FB}^{(0,b)} \ge 2 \times 10^{-4}.
$$
 (11)

¹We are here assuming that $\hat{M}_{Z'} > \hat{M}_Z$. Models with $\hat{M}_Z > \hat{M}_{Z'}$ can be constructed but their parameter space is more restricted.

This will be detectable if the experimental accuracy can be increased by a factor of at least 3–5. The quantity tan α can be further restricted by perturbativity and by custodial SU(2). An upper limit $g_X(M_Z) < \sqrt{4\pi} = 3.54$, combined with the δR_b constraint dictates that

$$
\tan \alpha > 0.001. \tag{12}
$$

The accuracy of custodial SU(2) symmetry (the ρ parameter) in the presence of multiple *Z*'s can be expressed in terms of $\rho_i = M_W^2 / (\hat{M}_{Z_i} c_W^2)$ [15]. With just two *Z*'s we have the relationship

$$
\tan^2 \alpha = \frac{\overline{\rho}_1 - 1}{\xi^{-2} - \overline{\rho}_1},\tag{13}
$$

where $\overline{\rho_i} = \rho_i / \hat{\rho}$ with $\hat{\rho} = 1 + \rho_t$ which takes into account the top quark radiative corrections. Rewriting Eq. (1) in terms of the Fermi constant G_F , we find that all the decay rates are the Fermi constant G_F , we find that all the decay rates are
multiplied by a factor of $\overline{\rho}_{eff} = \overline{\rho}_1 \cos^2 \alpha$ compared to the SM. Using the global fit allowing new physics in R_b from Ref. [1] Using the global fit allowing new physics in K_b from Ref. [1]
we have $\bar{\rho}_{eff}$ = 1.0002±0.0013±0.0018 and Eq. (13) gives, for $\alpha \ll 1, \xi \ll 1$,

$$
\tan \alpha < 0.045 \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1 - 2\xi^2}}.
$$
 (14)

Since we have the lower bound on tan α from Eq. (12), we deduce that ξ > 0.028 implying that \hat{M}_{Z} < 3.3 TeV. It is very interesting that the present model produces such an *upper* limit on the new physics because it implies its testability in the next generation of accelerators.

Because we have assigned an *X* charge asymmetrically to the three families, there is inevitably a violation of GIM suppression $[16]$ of the FCNC's. In fact, study of FCNC's sharpens the definition of our model. When we assigned $X_L^{t,b} = 1$, there was an inherent ambiguity of basis for the left-handed doublet $(t,b)_L$ because in general a unitary transformation is needed to relate this doublet to the mass eigenstates. The two most predictive limiting cases, out of an infinite range, are where (i) *t*, (ii) *b* in $(t,b)_L$ is a mass eigenstate. If t is a mass eigenstate, then the empirical $[2]$ value $\Delta m_B = (3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13}$ GeV imposes an upper limit on the product $(g_X\xi)$ too small, to be consistent with the necessary increase δR_b . On the other hand, if *b* is a mass eigenstate the *Z'*-exchange contribution to Δm_B vanishes as do the (less constraining) FCNC effects like Δm_K , $b \rightarrow s \gamma$, $b \rightarrow s \overline{l} \overline{l}$.

The model with *b* a mass eigenstate can be made natural by imposing the discrete symmetry $b_R \rightarrow -b_R$, $\phi' \rightarrow -\phi'$. This symmetry is spontaneously broken at the weak scale² but because it suffers from a QCD anomaly there is no domain wall problem $[17]$. With the discrete symmetry the Yukawa couplings of the neutral components of the Higgs doublets are

FIG. 1. Cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{b}b$ for *Z'* masses (a) 500 GeV, (b) 250 GeV, and (c) 150 GeV. The model parameters for each case are (a) $g_X = 1.0$, tan $\alpha = 0.008$, $m_t = 180$ GeV giving $\Gamma_{Z'}$ = 32 MeV, (b) g_X = 0.5, tan α = 0.015, giving $\Gamma_{Z'}$ = 2.5 GeV and (c) $g_X = 0.3$, $\tan \alpha = 0.025$ giving $\Gamma_{Z'} = 570$ MeV.

$$
\mathcal{L} = g_t \overline{t}_L t_R \phi^{(0)''*} + g_b \overline{b}_L b_R \phi^{(0)'} + g_{ij}^{(u)} \overline{u}_{iL} u_{jR} \phi^{(0)*} \n+ g_{ij}^{(d)} \overline{d}_{iL} d_{jR} \phi^{(0)} + g_{i3}^{(u)} \overline{u}_{iL} t_R \phi^{(0)*} + \text{H.c.},
$$
\n(15)

where $\alpha, \beta \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $\{i, j\} \in \{1,2\}$ (the exotic fermions do not have Yukawa couplings to the ordinary ones). The weak eigenstate quark fields are related to primed mass eigenstate fields by

$$
u_L = U_L^{\dagger} u_L', \quad d_L = T_L^{\dagger} d_L',
$$

$$
u_R = U_R^{\dagger} u_R', \quad d_R = T_R^{\dagger} d_R', \tag{16}
$$

where $T_{33} = 1$ and $T_{3i} = T_{i3} = 0$. The Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix that occurs in the charged *W* boson couplings, matrix that occurs in the $(g_2/\sqrt{2})\overline{u}_{\alpha L}^{\prime}\gamma_{\mu}V_{\alpha\beta}d_{\beta L}^{\prime}W^{\mu}$, is

$$
V_{\alpha\gamma} = U_{L\alpha\beta} T_{L\beta\gamma}^{\dagger} \tag{17}
$$

implying that $V_{\alpha 3} = U_{L\alpha 3}$ and $V_{\alpha j} = U_{L\alpha i} T_{Lij}$. It follows that the flavor-changing Z' boson couplings are

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{FCNC}} = g_X Z'_{\mu} (\overrightarrow{u}_{\alpha L}^{\prime} \gamma^{\mu} V_{\alpha 3} V_{\beta 3}^* u'_{\beta L}) \tag{18}
$$

and that the flavor-changing neutral Higgs boson couplings are

²A second ϕ' field, without vacuum expectation values (VEV's) and not participating in the discrete symmetry, is actually necessary to avoid an undesirable spontaneously broken global $U(1)$.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{FCNC}} = \left(\frac{m_t}{v''}\right) \left(\phi^{(0)''} - \frac{v''}{v}\phi^{(0)*}\right) \overrightarrow{u'_{L\alpha}} V_{\alpha 3} U_{R3}^* \rho u'_{R\beta}.
$$
\n(19)

The chief FCNC constraint now comes from the experimental bound [2] $\Delta m_D < 1.3 \times 10^{-13}$ GeV. The *Z'*-
exchange contribution gives $\delta(\Delta m_D) \simeq (g_X \xi)^2$ $contribution$ gives $(7 \times 10^{-6} \text{GeV})\text{Re}[V_{13}V_{23}^*]^2[f_D/(0.22 \text{ GeV})]^2$ and hence requires instead only a mild constraint $g_X\xi \leq 1$, easily consistent with δR_b . There is also a contribution to (Δm_D) from neutral Higgs exchange but the neutral Higgs boson masses can be chosen so that this is acceptably small. For example, the ϕ - and ϕ ["]- exchange contribution to $D\overline{D}$ mixing is sufficiently suppressed (by third-family mixing) to allow Higgs boson masses \simeq 250 GeV.

Fitting the hadronic width of *Z* in our model gives rise to a *decrease* in $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ and tends to resolve discrepancies with low-energy determinations. Now let us consider the production of *Z'* in colliders. In $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z'X$, the *Z'* is dominantly produced in association with two *b* quarks. The cross section at \sqrt{s} = 1.8 TeV falls off rapidly with *M*_Z^t: for example, putting $g_X = g_Z$, it decreases from 16 pb at ample, putting $g_X = g_Z$, it decreases from 16 pb at $M_{Z'} = 100$ GeV to 1 fb at $M_{Z'} = 450$ GeV. Against the $b\overline{b}$ background from QCD such a signal would be difficult to observe at Fermilab. In particular, Z' production leads to final states with four heavy-flavor jets and one expects competition from QCD jet production to be severe. At an $e^+e^$ collider, sitting at the Z' pole, there is a possibility for detecting the *Z'*. The coupling to e^+e^- is suppressed by $tan \alpha$ but still the pole can show up above background. In tan α but still the pole can show up above background. In
Fig. 1 we display the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{b}b$ as a function of \sqrt{s} for *Z'* masses (a) 500 GeV, (b) 250 GeV, and (c) 150 GeV, respectively. The shape of the *Z'* resonance indicates the importance of *Z*-*Z*^{\prime} interference. The parameters g_X and α have been chosen to produce the most marked effect while still remaining within the limits discussed above.

In summary, we have constructed a model which can account for the measured value of R_b . It introduces a $Z⁶$ coupled almost entirely to the third family and to exotic fermions. The model has at least the esoteric interest that Z' couples with sizable strength to *b* and *t* quarks and can naturally avoid disastrous FCNC without a GIM mechanism. There is a prediction for the forward-backward asymmetry There is a prediction for the forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}^{(0,b)}$ and $D\overline{D}^0$ mixing may be near its experimental value. This $Z¹$ is particularly elusive because it is so difficult to detect at colliders — with the possible exception of detect at colliders — v
 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{b}b$ at the *Z'* pole.

Note added. A new experimental situation concerning R_b was reported by A. Blondel in a plenary talk to the International Conference on High Energy Physics held in Warsaw. The new world averages reported were $R_b=0.2178$ ± 0.0011 and $A^b = 0.867 \pm 0.022$, respectively, $+1.9\sigma$ and 3.1σ with respect to the standard model (SM). Charmed quark couplings to *Z* are fully consistent now with the SM predictions. To accomodate the new situation, the same strategy as in our paper is successful but the details of the model change. We find that assigning $X_L^{t,b} = 0$, $X_R^b = -1$, and X_R^t $=+1$ with g_X tan $\alpha = -0.018$ gives a satisfactory fit within $\pm 1.3\sigma$ of the data. Anomaly cancellation can be achieved by two additional SU(2) singlet quarks *t'*, *b'* with $X_L^{t'} = +1$, $X_L^{b'} = -1$, and $X_R^{b',t'} = 0$.

We would like to thank A. J. Buras, C. P. Burgess, L. Clavelli, and B. Grinstein for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG05-85ER-40219, Task B and under DOE Contract No. DE-FG-3-92-ER40701.

- [1] J. Erler and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 52, 441 (1995), and articles by these authors in Ref. $[2]$.
- [2] Particle Data Group, L Montanet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 1173 (1994) , and 1995 off-year partial update available at the URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov/.
- [3] P. Bamert, McGill University Report No. MCGILL-95-64, hep-ph/9512445, 1995 (unpublished); P. Bamert, C. P. Burgess, and I. Maksymyk, Phys. Lett. B 356, 282 (1995).
- [4] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B 339, 114 (1994).
- [5] F. Caravaglios and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 346, 159 (1995).
- [6] P. Chiappetta, J. Layssac, F. M. Renard, and C. Verzegnassi, Phys. Rev. D 54, 789 (1996); G. Altarelli, N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto, and M. Mangano, Phys. Lett. B **375**, 292 (1996); K. S. Babu, C. Kolda, and J. March-Russell, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4635 (1996).
- $[7]$ E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2276 (1996) .
- [8] J. T. Liu and D. Ng, Phys. Lett. B 342, 262 (1995).
- [9] P. Bamert, C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, D. London and E. Nardi, Phys. Rev. D 54, 4275 (1996).
- [10] J. D. Wells and G. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 869 (1996).
- [11] J. Ellis, J. L. Lopez, and D. V. Nanopoulos, CERN Report No. CERN-TH/95-314, hep-ph/9512288, 1995 (unpublished).
- [12] L. Clavelli, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 10, 949 (1995); J. L. Feng, N. Polonsky, and S. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **370**, 95 (1996).
- $[13]$ E. Ma and D. Ng, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 255 (1996) .
- [14] K. Agashe, M. Graesser, I. Hinchliffe, and M. Suzuki, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-38569, hepph/9604266, 1996 (unpublished); V. Barger, K. Cheung, and P. Langacker, Phys. Lett. B 381, 226 (1996).
- [15] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 45, 278 (1992).
- [16] S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1285 (1970).
- [17] J. Preskill, S. Trivedi, F. Wilczek, and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B363**, 207 (1991).