Schwinger-Dyson equation approach to chiral symmetry breaking in an external magnetic field

C. N. Leung*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716
and Institute of Field Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina,
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599

Y. J. Ng[†]

Institute of Field Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina,
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599
and Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
and School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

A. W. Ackley

Institute of Field Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina,
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599

(Received 14 December 1995)

We develop a method for using the nonperturbative Schwinger-Dyson equation to study chiral symmetry breaking in an external field. We apply it to massless QED in a constant magnetic field and obtain a nontrivial solution for the fermion self-energy at weak coupling, suggesting that chiral symmetry is dynamically broken. [S0556-2821(96)04018-0]

PACS number(s): 12.20.Ds, 11.30.Qc, 11.30.Rd

Chiral symmetry plays an important role in elementary particle and nuclear physics. In this paper we examine its breaking in the theory of quantum electrodynamics. It has been known [1] for some time that QED may have a nonperturbative strong-coupling phase, characterized by spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, in addition to the familiar weak-coupling phase. The existence of this new phase was exploited in a novel interpretation [2] of the multiple correlated and narrow-peak structures in electron and positron spectra [3] observed at GSI several years ago. According to this scenario, the e^+e^- peaks are due to the decay of a bound e^+e^- system formed in the new QED phase, which is induced by the strong and rapidly varying electromagnetic fields present in the neighborhood of the colliding heavy ions. While the experimental situation with regard to these anomalous e^+e^- events is unclear, especially after similar experiments at Argonne have yielded negative results [4], it is still of great interest to investigate whether background fields can be physically used to induce chiral symmetry breaking. Now the question is [5]: what kind of background fields can potentially induce chiral symmetry breaking in gauge theories? We recall that in a magnetic monopole field a gauge field breaks chiral symmetry [6] and that in the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model a magnetic field drives the critical transition point towards weaker coupling [7]. Thus, magnetic fields are obvious candidates. We will take advantage of the strong-field techniques introduced by Schwinger and others to consider a constant magnetic field of arbitrary strength. In order to put our problem in as general a setting as possible, we will use the nonperturbative Schwinger-

Dyson equation approach. The presence of the external field makes it difficult to analyze the Schwinger-Dyson equations in the usual momentum representation. It is the purpose of this Brief Report to introduce an alternative approach which is applicable to any external field. We apply it to the case of a constant magnetic field and compare our result with that obtained recently by Gusynin, Miransky, and Shovkovy [8] whose method is very different from ours and is specific to magnetic fields. A comparison of the two approaches will sharpen our understanding of the underlying physics and the kind of approximations involved.

The motion of a massless fermion of charge e in an external electromagnetic field is described by the Green's function that satisfies the modified Dirac equation proposed by Schwinger:

$$\gamma \cdot \Pi(x) G_A(x,y) + \int d^4x' M(x,x') G_A(x',y) = \delta^{(4)}(x-y), \tag{1}$$

where $\Pi_\mu(x) = -i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu(x)$, and $M(x,x')$ is the mass operator M in the coordinate representation. For a constant magnetic field of strength H, we may take $A_2 = Hx_1$ to be the only nonzero component of A_μ. In the following we will use the method due to Ritus [9], which is based on the use of the eigenfunctions of the mass operator and the diagonalization of the latter. As shown by Ritus, M is diagonal in the representation of the eigenfunctions $E_p(x)$ of the operator $(\gamma \cdot \Pi)^2$:

$$-(\gamma \cdot \Pi)^2 E_p(x) = p^2 E_p(x). \tag{2}$$

The advantage of using this representation is obvious: M can now be put in terms of its eigenvalues, so the problems arising from its dependence on the operator Π can be avoided.

---

*Electronic address: cnleung@chopin.udel.edu
[†]Electronic address: Ng@Physics.UNC.edu

In the chiral representation in which σ_3 and γ_5 are diagonal with eigenvalues $\sigma = \pm 1$ and $\chi = \pm 1$, respectively, the eigenfunctions $E_{p\sigma\chi}(x)$ take the form

$$E_{p\sigma\chi}(x) = N e^{i(p_0 x^0 + p_2 x^2 + p_3 x^3)} D_n(\rho) \omega_{\sigma\chi} \equiv \widetilde{E}_{p\sigma\chi} \omega_{\sigma\chi}, \quad (3)$$

where $D_n(\rho)$ are the parabolic cylinder functions [10] with indices

$$n = n(k,\sigma) \equiv k + \frac{eH\sigma}{2|eH|} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \quad (4)$$

and argument $\rho = \sqrt{2|eH|}(x_1 - p_2/eH)$. Note that $k = 0,1,2,\ldots$. The normalization factor is $N = (4\pi|eH|)^{1/4}/\sqrt{n!}$; p stands for the set (p_0, p_2, p_3, k); and $\omega_{\sigma\chi}$ are the bispinors of σ_3 and γ_5.

Following Ritus, we form the orthonormal and complete [11] eigenfunction-matrices $E_p = \text{diag}(\widetilde{E}_{p11}, \widetilde{E}_{p-11}, \widetilde{E}_{p1-1}, \widetilde{E}_{p-1-1})$. They satisfy

$$\gamma \cdot \Pi E_p(x) = E_p(x) \gamma \cdot \bar{p} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\int d^4 x' M(x,x') E_p(x') = E_p(x) \widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p}), \quad (6)$$

where $\widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p})$ represents the eigenvalues of the mass operator, and $\bar{p}_0 = p_0$, $\bar{p}_1 = 0$, $\bar{p}_2 = -\text{sgn}(eH)\sqrt{2|eH|k}$, $\bar{p}_3 = p_3$. These properties of the $E_p(x)$ allow us to express the Green's function and the mass operator in the E_p representation as ($\bar{E}_p \equiv \gamma^0 E_p^\dagger \gamma^0$)

$$G_A(x,y) = \sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} E_p(x) \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot \bar{p} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p})} \bar{E}_p(y),$$

$$\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int d^4 p \equiv \sum_k \int dp_0 dp_2 dp_3, \quad (7)$$

and

$$M(p,p') = \int d^4 x d^4 x' \bar{E}_p(x) M(x,x') E_{p'}(x')$$

$$= \widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p})(2\pi)^4 \hat{\delta}^{(4)}(p-p'), \quad (8)$$

respectively, where

$$\hat{\delta}^{(4)}(p-p') \equiv \delta_{kk'} \delta(p_0 - p_0') \delta(p_2 - p_2') \delta(p_3 - p_3').$$

We work in the ladder approximation in which

$$M(x,x') = ie^2 \gamma^\mu G_A(x,x') \gamma^\nu D_{\mu\nu}(x-x'), \quad (9)$$

where $D_{\mu\nu}(x-x')$ is the bare photon propagator:

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x-x') = \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{iq\cdot(x-x')}}{q^2 - i\epsilon}\left(g_{\mu\nu} - (1-\xi)\frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2}\right). \quad (10)$$

The Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equation then takes the form

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p})(2\pi)^4 \hat{\delta}^{(4)}(p-p')$$

$$= ie^2 \int d^4 x d^4 x' \sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int \frac{d^4 p''}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{E}_p(x) \gamma^\mu E_{p''}(x)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot \bar{p}'' + \widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p}'')} \bar{E}_{p''}(x') \gamma^\nu E_{p'}(x') D_{\mu\nu}(x-x'). \quad (11)$$

After integrations over x, x', p_0'', p_2'', and p_3'', the SD equation is simplified to read [$r \equiv \sqrt{(q_1^2 + q_2^2)/(2|eH|)}$, $\varphi \equiv \arctan(-q_2/q_1)$]

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p}) \delta_{kk'} = ie^2 \sum_{k''} \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n! n'! n''! \widetilde{n}''!}} e^{-r^2}$$

$$\times e^{i\text{sgn}(eH)(n'-n+n''-\widetilde{n}'')\varphi} \frac{1}{q^2}\left(g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi)\frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2}\right)$$

$$\times \gamma^0 \Delta \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \Delta'' \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot \bar{p}'' + \widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p}'')}$$

$$\times \gamma^0 \widetilde{\Delta}'' \gamma^0 \gamma^\nu \Delta' J_{nn''}(r) J_{\widetilde{n}''n'}(r), \quad (12)$$

where summing over $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''$, and $\widetilde{\sigma}''$ on the right-hand side is understood, and

$$J_{nn'}(r) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\min(n,n')} \frac{n! n'!}{m!(n-m)!(n'-m)!} \times [i\,\text{sgn}(eH)r]^{n+n'-2m}. \quad (13)$$

We have also used the following notation [12] in Eq. (12): $\bar{p}_0'' = p_0 - q_0$, $\bar{p}_1'' = 0$, $\bar{p}_2'' = -\text{sgn}(eH)\sqrt{2|eH|k''}$, $\bar{p}_3'' = p_3 - q_3$, $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma) = \text{diag}(\delta_{\sigma 1}, \delta_{\sigma-1}, \delta_{\sigma 1}, \delta_{\sigma-1})$, $\Delta' = \Delta(\sigma')$, etc., $n' = n(k',\sigma')$, $n'' = n(k'',\sigma'')$, and $\widetilde{n}'' = n(k'',\widetilde{\sigma}'')$.

Equation (12) may be solved by following the standard procedure [13] of writing $\widetilde{\Sigma}_A(\bar{p}) = \beta\gamma\cdot\bar{p} + \Sigma_A(\bar{p})$, where $\Sigma_A(\bar{p})$ corresponds to the dynamically generated fermion mass. We will assume that $\Sigma_A(\bar{p})$ is proportional to the unit matrix (it will be seen later from the solution that this is a self-consistent assumption). Equation (12) then leads to two coupled equations for β and Σ_A:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_A(\bar{p}) \\ \beta\gamma\cdot\bar{p} \end{pmatrix} \delta_{kk'} = ie^2 \sum_{k''} \int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n! n'! n''! \widetilde{n}''!}} e^{-r^2}$$

$$\times e^{i\text{sgn}(eH)(n'-n+n''-\widetilde{n}'')\varphi} J_{nn''}(r) J_{\widetilde{n}''n'}(r)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2 \bar{p}''^2 + \Sigma_A^2(\bar{p}'')} \frac{1}{q^2}$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_A(\bar{p}'')\left(G_1 - \frac{1-\xi}{q^2}Q_1\right) \\ -(1+\beta)\left(G_2 - \frac{1-\xi}{q^2}Q_2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$G_1 = \Delta \gamma^\mu \Delta'' \widetilde{\Delta}'' \gamma_\mu \Delta'$$

$$= -2(\delta_{\sigma''1}\delta_{\widetilde{\sigma}''1} + \delta_{\sigma''-1}\delta_{\widetilde{\sigma}''-1})$$

$$\times \text{diag}(\delta_{\sigma 1}\delta_{\sigma' 1}, \delta_{\sigma-1}\delta_{\sigma'-1}, \delta_{\sigma 1}\delta_{\sigma' 1}, \delta_{\sigma-1}\delta_{\sigma'-1}),$$

$$Q_1 = \Delta \gamma \cdot q \Delta'' \widetilde{\Delta}'' \gamma \cdot q \Delta', \quad G_2 = \Delta \gamma^\mu \Delta'' \gamma \cdot \bar{p}'' \widetilde{\Delta}'' \gamma_\mu \Delta',$$

and

$$Q_2 = \Delta \gamma \cdot q \Delta'' \gamma \cdot \bar{p}'' \widetilde{\Delta}'' \gamma \cdot q \Delta'.$$

We seek solutions with $\beta = 0$. We will show later that such a solution is consistent with all covariant gauges, and that the second equation in Eq. (14) is trivially satisfied. We will also show that the form of the solution is the same for all values of ξ. Without loss of generality, let us now adopt the Feynman gauge ($\xi = 1$). In this case the two SD equations decouple and only G_1 is relevant for determining the dynamical fermion mass. The spin structure of G_1 implies that necessarily $\sigma'' = \widetilde{\sigma}''$, which, in turn, implies that necessarily $n'' = \widetilde{n}''$. It is convenient to make a change of integration variables from (q_1, q_2) to the ''polar coordinates'' (r, φ). The integration over φ yields

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \, e^{i\text{sgn}(eH)(n'-n)\varphi} = 2\pi \delta_{nn'}. \tag{15}$$

We note that the spin structure of G_1 also implies that $\sigma = \sigma'$, which, together with the $\delta_{nn'}$ from Eq. (15), matches the $\delta_{kk'}$ on the left-hand side of Eq. (14).

Because of the factor e^{-r^2} in the integrand in Eq. (14), contributions from large values of r are suppressed. Let us, therefore, as an approximation (we will find out later what physical condition validates this approximation), keep only the smallest power of r in $J_{nn''}(r)$: i.e.,

$$J_{nn''}(r) \rightarrow \frac{[\max(n, n'')]!}{|n - n''|!} [i\text{sgn}(eH)r]^{|n-n''|}. \tag{16}$$

Since the leading contributions come from the term corresponding to $n'' = n$, we need only keep the two terms given by $k'' = n + \frac{1}{2} - (\sigma''/2)\text{sgn}(eH)$ in the summation over k''. As a result, we can replace $J_{nn''}$ by $n!$. The SD equation [Eq. (14)], thereby vastly simplified, becomes

$$\Sigma_A(\bar{p}) \simeq \frac{ie^2}{(2\pi)^3}|eH| \int dq_0 dq_3 \int_0^\infty dr^2 e^{-r^2}$$

$$\times \frac{G_1}{q^2} \frac{\Sigma_A(\bar{p}'')}{\bar{p}''^2 + \Sigma_A^2(\bar{p}'')}, \tag{17}$$

where $q^2 = -q_0^2 + q_3^2 + 2|eH|r^2$ and $\bar{p}''^2 = -(p_0 - q_0)^2 + (p_3 - q_3)^2 + 2|eH|k''$.

Let us make a Wick rotation to Euclidean space: $p_0 \rightarrow ip_4$, $q_0 \rightarrow iq_4$. Consider the case with $p = 0$, i.e., $p_0 = p_3 = k = 0$. Notice that $k = 0$ means that, for positive (negative) eH, $\sigma = 1(-1)$ and $n = 0$, the last of which implies that for $k'' = 0$ (the contribution from the $k'' = 1$ term is relatively small), $\sigma'' = 1(-1)$ for the respective sign of eH. We also note that for either sign of eH, the matrix G_1

can be effectively replaced by $-2 \times \mathbf{1}$, with $\mathbf{1}$ being a 2×2 unit matrix for either sign of σ. We will assume that the dominant contributions to the integral in Eq. (17) come from the infrared region of small q_3 and q_4 (this assumption will be seen to be self-consistent). Thus, it is reasonable to replace $\Sigma_A(\bar{p}'')$ in the integrand by $\Sigma_A(0) = m \times \mathbf{1}$. Equation (17) then becomes

$$m \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\pi^2} \int dq_3 dq_4 \int_0^\infty dr^2 e^{-r^2}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{2r^2 + L^2(q_3^2 + q_4^2)} \frac{m}{m^2 + (q_3^2 + q_4^2)}, \tag{18}$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$ is the fine structure constant and $L = 1/\sqrt{|eH|}$ is the magnetic length. The integrations over q_3 and q_4 give

$$1 \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dr^2 \frac{e^{-r^2} \ln(2r^2/m^2 L^2)}{2r^2 - m^2 L^2}, \tag{19}$$

which yields the nonzero dynamical mass as

$$m \simeq a \sqrt{|eH|} e^{-b\sqrt{\pi/\alpha}}, \tag{20}$$

where a and b are positive constants of order 1.

Equation (20) clearly demonstrates the nonperturbative nature of the result. As a further check on the consistency of our assumptions, we note that, according to Eq. (18), the dominant contributions to the integrals come from the region $2r^2 \sim L^2(q_3^2 + q_4^2) \sim m^2 L^2$. Our earlier assumption that effectively $r \ll 1$ is now translated to the physical assumption that $mL \ll 1$, which requires that $\alpha \lesssim 1$; in other words, the solution we have found applies to the weak-coupling regime of QED. Now it is also evident that indeed the infrared region of q_3 and q_4 gives the dominant contributions to the integrals.

It remains for us to show that $\beta = 0$ solves Eq. (14) and that the form of the solution in Eq. (20) holds for arbitrary ξ. The main point to note is that, consistent with the $k'' = 0$ approximation made above, we can approximate the $\gamma \cdot \bar{p}''$ in G_2 by $-(\gamma^0 q_0 + \gamma^3 q_3)$ (recall also that we are considering the case of $p = 0$). But then the piece of the integrand involving G_2 is odd in q_0 as well as in q_3, and hence vanishes upon integration. Similarly, the Q_2 term integrates to zero. Hence, $\beta = 0$ is consistent with arbitrary ξ. Finally we note that, consistent with the $r \ll 1$ approximation, $Q_1/q^2 = -\mathbf{1}$. In other words, for either sign of σ, both G_1 and Q_1/q^2 in Eq. (14) are proportional to the (2×2) unit matrix. It follows that the additional contributions from the Q_1/q^2 term (for $\xi \neq 1$) do not change the form of the solution given by Eq. (20).

In summary, using the approach introduced here, we have found a nontrivial solution to the Schwinger-Dyson equation for the fermion self-energy in the presence of a constant magnetic field. This result suggests that, even at weak gauge coupling, an external magnetic field may trigger the dynamical breaking of chiral symmetry in QED, with the dynamical mass of the fermion given by Eq. (20), which agrees with

that found by Gusynin *et al.* [8] based on an approach which is very different from ours.

To establish that chiral symmetry is indeed dynamically broken, we need to demonstrate the existence of the corresponding Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons. This issue has been addressed in a recent work of Gusynin *et al.* [14]. Specifically, since there is an effective dimensional reduction by two in the charged fermion propagator in the infrared region [see the second propagator factor in Eq. (17) or Eq. (18)], reflecting the fact that the motion of a charged particle is restricted to the directions perpendicular to the magnetic field, the Mermin-Wagner-Coleman theorem [15] calls into question whether chiral symmetry can be spontaneously broken in this case. Note, however, that this kind of dimensional reduction is not operative for neutral modes like the NG bosons or photons [see the first propagator factor in Eq. (17) or Eq. (18) where r is effectively the transverse momentum]. It thus seems likely that the dimensional reduction for charged particle propagators in this case does not affect the existence of NG bosons; but it is responsible for generating a fermion dynamical mass at arbitrarily weak couplings. We wish to study in detail these related issues based on our approach in a future communication. It would also be interesting to examine if there are additional solutions of chiral symmetry breaking due to an external magnetic field, e.g., at strong gauge couplings. A parallel calculation for the case of a constant background electric field [16] or other background field configurations may also shed light on the dynamics of chiral symmetry breaking in gauge theories. The formalism proposed here will be most suitable for these studies.

[1] T. Maskawa and H. Nakajima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **52**, 1326 (1974); **54**, 860 (1975); R. Fukuda and T. Kugo, Nucl. Phys. **B117**, 250 (1976); V.A. Miransky, Nuovo Cimento A **90**, 149 (1985); W.A. Bardeen, C.N. Leung, and S.T. Love, Nucl. Phys. **B273**, 649 (1986); **B323**, 493 (1989); K. Yamawaki, M. Bando, and K. Matumoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1335 (1986); J.B. Kogut, E. Dagotto, and A. Kocic, *ibid.* **60**, 772 (1988).

[2] Y.J. Ng and Y. Kikuchi, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2880 (1987); D.G. Caldi and A. Chodos, *ibid.* **36**, 2876 (1987); L.S. Celenza, V.K. Mishra, C.M. Shakin, and K.F. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 55 (1986); D.G. Caldi and S. Vafaeisefat, Phys. Lett. B **356**, 386 (1995).

[3] M. Clemente *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **137B**, 41 (1984); T. Cowan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 444 (1986).

[4] I. Ahmad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2658 (1995).

[5] See, e.g., contribution of Y.J. Ng and Y. Kikuchi, in *Vacuum Structure in Intense Fields*, edited by H.M. Fried and B. Muller (Plenum, New York, 1991); contribution of Y.J. Ng, in *Tests of Fundamental Laws in Physics*, edited by O. Fackler and J. Tran Thanh Van (Editions Frontieres, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, 1989), and references therein.

[6] A.S. Blaer, N.H. Christ, and J.F. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1364 (1981).

[7] S.P. Klevansky and R.H. Lemmer, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3478 (1989).

[8] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky, and I.A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4747 (1995).

[9] Contribution of V.I. Ritus, in *Issues in Intense-Field Quantum Electrodynamics*, edited by V.L. Ginzburg (Nova Science, Commack, 1987).

[10] See, e.g., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, edited by M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Dover, New York, 1964).

[11] The orthonormality property reads $\int d^4x \bar{E}_{p'}(x) E_p(x) = (2\pi)^4 \hat{\delta}^{(4)}(p-p')$ and the completeness property is as contained in

$$\sum\!\!\!\!\!\!\int d^4p E_p(x) \bar{E}_p(y) = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(x-y).$$

[12] In the absence of an electric field, we have $\tilde{E}_{p11} = \tilde{E}_{p1-1}$ and $\tilde{E}_{p-11} = \tilde{E}_{p-1-1}$ so that references to χ are irrelevant and can be dropped.

[13] See, e.g., K. Higashijima and A. Nishimura, Nucl. Phys. **B113**, 173 (1976). Also see Ref. [1] and references contained therein.

[14] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky, and I.A. Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. **B462**, 249 (1996). See also V. A. Miransky, talk at the Yukawa International Seminar '95, "From the Standard Model to Grand Unified Theories," Kyoto, Japan, 1995 (unpublished).

[15] N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966); S. Coleman, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 259 (1973).

[16] Probably chiral symmetry breaking is inhibited by an electric field. See E. Dagotto and H.W. Wyld, Phys. Lett. B **205**, 73 (1988); also see Ref. [5].