Isospin corrections to charmless semileptonic $B \rightarrow V$ transitions

J. L. Díaz-Cruz

Instituto de Física, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apartado Postal J-48, 72500 Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

G. López Castro

Departamento de Física, Cinvestav del IPN, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000 México, D.F. Mexico

J. H. Muñoz

Departamento de Física, Cinvestav del IPN, Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000 México, D.F. Mexico and Departamento de Física, Universidad del Tolima, A. A. 546, Ibagué, Colombia (Received 12 January 1996)

We compute isospin corrections to the charmless semileptonic $B \rightarrow V$ transitions arising from $\rho - \omega$ mixing and discuss its relevance in the determination of V_{ub} . [S0556-2821(96)02715-4]

PACS number(s): 13.20.He, 11.30.Hv, 12.15.Hh, 12.40.Vv

The first measurement of an exclusive charmless semileptonic *B* decay has been reported recently by the CLEO Collaboration [1,4]. The yields for $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 l^+ \nu_l$ reported in Refs. [1,4] turn out to be strongly dependent on the theoretical models [2,3] used for the detection efficiencies, as it does the extraction of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) parameter $|V_{ub}|$ from these results.

The $|V_{ub}|$ parameter can be determined from exclusive charmless semileptonic *B* decays and also from the end-point region of the lepton spectrum in inclusive semileptonic *B* decays. Although, one does not expect that exclusive measurements will provide a better determination of $|V_{ub}|$ than what the inclusive measurements do [4], the former are important for several reasons. In addition to the complementary determination of $|V_{ub}|$ provided by exclusive *B* decays, these measurements will allow to test available theoretical models for form factors (relativistic [2] and nonrelativistic [3] quark models, and QCD sum rules [5]). In this paper we are concerned with important isospin-breaking corrections to $B \rightarrow Vl \nu (V = \rho^+, \rho^0, \text{ and } \omega)$ decays which have not been considered in previous analyses.

There are several motivations to consider all sources of theoretical corrections in the calculation of charmless $B \rightarrow V$ semileptonic decays. From the experimental point of view, the study of these decays can sensibly be improved at the *B* factory. In fact, actual measurements by the CLEO Collaboration [6] provide the upper limit $B(B^- \rightarrow \rho^0 l^- \overline{\nu}_l) < (1.6 - 2.7) \times 10^{-4}$, which lies at the verge of theoretical predictions.

Among the theoretical motivations we can mention the following: (a) the decay rate of $B \rightarrow \rho l \nu$ provides 3–14% [2,3,5] of the inclusive $B \rightarrow X_u l \nu_l$ decays, (b) the ratio of decay widths for $(B \rightarrow V l \nu)/(B \rightarrow \pi l \nu)$ and the polarization of the daughter vector mesons can be used to discriminate between form factor models and, (c) recent proposals [7] indicate that we could achieve a model-independent determination of $|V_{ub}|$ from $B \rightarrow \rho l \nu$, at the level of 10%, by using heavy quark effective theory (HQET) techniques and SU(3) flavor symmetry.

Let us now discuss the relevance of isospin-breaking corrections to semileptonic B decays. The experimental mea-

surements reported by the CLEO Collaboration on $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$ [1,4] and the upper limit set on $B \rightarrow \rho l \nu$ [6] rely on the assumptions

$$\Gamma(\overline{B}{}^{0} \to \rho^{+} l^{-} \overline{\nu}) = 2\Gamma(B^{-} \to \rho^{0} l^{-} \overline{\nu})$$
$$\approx 2\Gamma(B^{-} \to \omega l^{-} \overline{\nu}) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\Gamma(\overline{B}^0 \to \pi^+ l^- \overline{\nu}) = 2\Gamma(B^- \to \pi^0 l^- \overline{\nu}). \tag{2}$$

The first rows in Eqs. (1) and (2) are valid in the limit of exact isospin symmetry, while the second equality in Eq. (1) follows from the $u\bar{u}$ content of ρ^0 and ω mesons in the limit of exact isospin symmetry. Corrections to these relations arise from electromagnetic radiative corrections and from the u-d quark mass difference. Electromagnetic radiative corrections [8] to $B \rightarrow \rho l \nu$ and phase space corrections because of the physical masses of the mesons are expected to be negligible because of the large *B*-meson mass. Isospin corrections to Eq. (1) induced by $\rho-\omega$ mixing (which arise from the u-d quark mass difference) are the subject of this paper. This correction turns out to be large because of the small difference in the vector-meson masses ($m_{\omega}-m_{\rho} \approx 12$ MeV) and the large difference in their decay widths ($\Gamma_{\omega} \ll \Gamma_{\rho}$).¹

Let us proceed with our calculation. In the limit of isospin symmetry, ρ^0 and ω are isospin eigenstates with flavor content: $\rho^I = (u\overline{u} - d\overline{d})/\sqrt{2}$ and $\omega^I = (u\overline{u} + d\overline{d})/\sqrt{2}$. Since the spectator quark in $B^- \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega) l^- \overline{\nu}_l$ is \overline{u} , the ρ^0 and ω mesons are produced from their $u\overline{u}$ quark content. This provides the equality between hadronic matrix elements

$$\langle \rho^{I} | \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) b | B^{-} \rangle = \langle \omega^{I} | \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) b | B^{-} \rangle \quad (3)$$

2388

¹Isospin corrections to Eq. (2), arising from π^0 - η mixing, are negligible [9].

which leads to the second row in Eq. (1).

When we introduce isospin breaking, ρ^{I} and ω^{I} get mixed into physical states ρ^{0} and ω : namely,

$$\omega = \omega^{I} - \epsilon' \rho^{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \epsilon') u \overline{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 + \epsilon') d \overline{d}, \quad (4)$$

$$\rho^{0} = \rho^{I} + \epsilon \omega^{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 + \epsilon) u \overline{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1 + \epsilon) d \overline{d}, \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon(\epsilon')$ are the contributions of ρ - ω mixing, with the expressions

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \frac{m_{\rho\omega}^2}{m_{\rho}^2 - m_{\omega}^2 + im_{\omega}\Gamma_{\omega}},\tag{6}$$

$$\epsilon' = \frac{m_{\rho\omega}^2}{m_{\omega}^2 - m_{\rho}^2 + im_{\rho}\Gamma_{\rho}},\tag{7}$$

where $m_{\rho\omega}^2 = (-3.67 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^2$ [10] is the strength of ρ - ω mixing.

After including ρ - ω mixing, Eq. (3) becomes

$$\langle \rho^{0} | \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) b | B^{-} \rangle = \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon'} \langle \omega | \overline{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) b | B^{-} \rangle.$$
(8)

From this equation we can obtain the ratio for ρ^0 and ω production in B^- decays. If we use $m_{\rho}=757.5$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\rho}=142.5$ MeV as obtained from a recent fit to the pion form factor [10] and the $\omega(782)$ parameters from [11], we get

$$\frac{\Gamma(B^- \to \rho^0 l^- \overline{\nu})}{\Gamma(B^- \to \omega l^- \overline{\nu})} = \left| \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon'} \right|^2 \approx 1.172, \tag{9}$$

whereas the use of PDG values [11] for the ρ^0 parameters gives

$$\frac{\Gamma(B^- \to \rho^0 l^- \overline{\nu})}{\Gamma(B^- \to \omega l^- \overline{\nu})} \approx 1.367, \tag{10}$$

which looks like a rather large correction.

Note that the ratio $2\Gamma(B^- \to \rho^0 l^- \overline{\nu}_l)/\Gamma(\overline{B}{}^0 \to \rho^+ l^- \overline{\nu}_l)$ is modified by almost the same amount as Eq. (9) does, since ρ - ω mixing affects only the neutral vector mesons. We would like to stress that $B \to (\rho, \omega) l \nu$ are affected by this correction regardless of the model used to describe the form factors of the $B \to V$ transition. Let us comment that the calculation of the corresponding form factors in Refs. [2] and [3] assume explicitly $m_u = m_d$. Note that the ratio $\Gamma(B^- \to \rho^0 l^- \overline{\nu})/\Gamma(\overline{B}{}^0 \to \rho^+ l^- \overline{\nu})$ plays for *B* decays the same role as $\Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^0 l^+ \nu)/\Gamma(K_L \to \pi^- l^+ \nu)$ [9] does for *K* decays in order to test the flavor-symmetry-breaking corrections to form factors at zero momentum transfer (which are essential for the determination of V_{us}).

The individual decay rates for B^- semileptonic decays are affected by ρ - ω mixing as

$$\Gamma(B^{-} \to \rho^{0} l^{-} \overline{\nu_{l}}) = |1 + \epsilon|^{2} \Gamma^{0}(B^{-} \to \rho^{0} l^{-} \overline{\nu_{l}}), \quad (11)$$

$$\Gamma(B^{-} \to \omega l^{-} \overline{\nu}_{l}) = |1 - \epsilon'|^{2} \Gamma^{0}(B^{-} \to \omega l^{-} \overline{\nu}_{l}), \quad (12)$$

where Γ^0 denotes the decay rate without ρ - ω mixing. Since $|1 + \epsilon| \approx 1.095$ and $|1 - \epsilon'| \approx 1.011$ (1.18 and 1.005, respectively, if the ρ^0 parameters of [11] are used), the values of $|V_{ub}|$ as extracted from $B^- \rightarrow \rho^0 l^- \overline{\nu}$ and $B \rightarrow \omega l^- \overline{\nu}$ would be, respectively, 10% and 1% (18% and 0.5%) higher if ρ - ω mixing were not included.

It is straightforward to extend this analysis to strangenessconserving $D^+ \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega)$ semileptonic transitions. Since the $D^+ \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega) l^+ \nu$ decays proceed through the elementary transition $c \rightarrow dl^+ \nu$, the spectator \overline{d} quark gets combined with the daugther d quark to produce the ρ^0 and ω mesons. Using the ρ^0 parameters from Ref. [10], we get, after including ρ - ω mixing, the ratio

$$\frac{\Gamma(D^+ \to \rho^0 l^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(D^+ \to \omega l^+ \nu)} = \left| \frac{-1 + \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon'} \right|^2 \approx 0.837 \quad (0.692); \quad (13)$$

i.e., the correction because of ρ - ω mixing is similar as in *B* decays but it goes in the opposite direction (the number in brackets is obtained for the ρ , ω parameters of Ref. [11]). The corresponding experimental information [11] available for semileptonic *D* decays is not precise enough to allow a test of Eq. (13).

Finally, in order to trust our calculations, we can compute the ratio of decay widths for radiative decays of ρ mesons, namely, $R \equiv \Gamma(\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma) / \Gamma(\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \gamma)$. In this case, the ρ^0 decay receives an additional contribution from $\rho - \omega$ mixing $(\rho^0 \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)$. The ratio *R* is modified to become [10]

$$R = \left| 1 + \frac{f_{\omega}}{f_{\rho}} \cdot \epsilon \right|^2 \left| \frac{\vec{k}_{\pi^0}}{\vec{k}_{\pi^+}} \right|^3, \tag{14}$$

where k_{π} is the pion momentum in the ρ rest frame, and em_{V}^{2}/f_{V} defines the vector-meson-photon coupling.

Using $f_{\rho} = 5.0$ and $f_{\omega} = 17.0$ from $(\rho^0, \omega) \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ decays, we obtain [10]

$$R = 1.77 \quad (2.40), \tag{15}$$

where the number in brackets corresponds to the ρ^0 parameters of Ref. [11]. The above result is in good agreement with the experimental value $R^{\text{expt}} = 1.78 \pm 0.49$ [11].

In conclusion, the ρ - ω mixing induces a sizable correction to the isospin symmetry relations given in Eq. (1). This overall correction to $B \rightarrow (\rho, \omega) l^- \overline{\nu}$ is present regardless of the specific form factor model [2,3,5] used to describe the hadronic weak transition. The values of V_{ub} as extracted from $B^- \rightarrow (\rho^0, \omega) l^- \overline{\nu}$ would be overestimated by 10% and 1% if ρ - ω mixing is not included.

One of the authors (JHM) acknowledges financial support from COLCIENCIAS.

- L. Gibbons, talk presented at 30th Rencontres de Moriond, Les Arcs, France, 1995 (unpublished).
- [2] M. Wirbel, B. Stech, and M. Bauer, Z. Phys. C 29, 637 (1985).
- [3] N. Isgur, D. Scora, B. Grinstein, and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 39, 799 (1989).
- [4] CLEO Collaboration, M. A. Selen, "Measurement of V_{ub} ," talk presented at the 6th International Symposium on Heavy Flavor Physics, 1995, Pisa, Italy (unpublished), and Report No. hep-ph/9508304 (unpublished).
- [5] S. Narison, Phys. Lett. B 345, 166 (1995); P. Ball, Phys. Rev. D 48, 3190 (1993).
- [6] CLEO Collaboration, A. Bean *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2681 (1993).

- [7] A. I. Sanda and A. Yamada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2807 (1995);
 Z. Ligeti and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4937 (1996).
- [8] E. S. Ginsberg, Phys. Rev. D 142 1035 (1996); 171, 1675 (1968); D. Atwood and W. A. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1736 (1990).
- [9] H. Leutwyler and M. Roos, Z. Phys. C 25, 91 (1984); G. López Castro and G. Ordaz Hernández, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 5, 755 (1990).
- [10] A. Bernicha, G. López Castro, and J. Pestieau, Phys. Rev. D 50, 4454(1994).
- [11] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 50, 1173 (1994).