Single top quark production as a probe for anomalous moments at hadron colliders

Thomas G. Rizzo

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

Single production of top quarks at hadron colliders via gW fusion is examined as a probe of possible anomalous chromomagnetic and/or chromoelectric moment type couplings between the top quark and gluons. We find that this channel is far less sensitive to the existence of anomalous couplings of this kind than is the usual production of top quark pairs by gg or $q\bar{q}$ fusion. The contribution to the cross section and various kinematical distributions from anomalous couplings with interesting magnitudes is essentially hidden by the present theoretical uncertainties in the calculation. This result is found to hold at both the Fermilab Tevatron as well as the CERN LHC, although somewhat greater sensitivity for anomalous couplings in this channel is found at the higher energy machine. [S0556-2821(96)02911-6]

The discovery of the top quark at the Fermilab Tevatron by both the CDF and D0 Collaborations [1,2] has renewed interest in what may be learned from a detailed study of top properties. One point of view is that this clear discovery of the top quark represents a great triumph and confirmation of the predictions of the standard model (SM), in that the top lies in the mass range anticipated by precision electroweak data [3]. Another viewpoint is that the subtleties of top quark physics itself may shed some light on new physics beyond the SM. Indeed, due to its large mass, it is widely believed that top quark physics will be the first place where nonstandard effects will appear.

If the top does have non-SM interactions associated with a new mass scale it may be possible to express them in the form of higher dimensional nonrenormalizable operators. These are naturally divided into those associated with the strong interactions, i.e., QCD, and those associated with the electroweak sector. New interactions for the top quark in both sectors have been discussed in the literature [4,5] and may arise as a result of, e.g., compositeness or new dynamics associated with fermion mass generation [6]. In the case of QCD, the lowest dimensional operator representing new physics and conserving CP that we can introduce is the anomalous chromomagnetic moment κ. On the other hand, the corresponding chromoelectric moment, $\widetilde{\kappa}$, violates CP. In this modified version of QCD for the top quark the $t\bar{t}g$ interaction Lagragian takes the form

$$\mathscr{L} = g_s \bar{t} T_a \left(\gamma_\mu + \frac{i}{2m_t} \sigma_{\mu\nu}(\kappa - i\widetilde{\kappa}\gamma_5)q^\nu \right) t G_a^\mu, \quad (1)$$

where g_s, is the strong coupling constant, m_t is the top quark mass, T_a are the color generators, G_a^μ is the gluon field, and q is the outgoing gluon momentum. (Due to the non-Abelian nature of QCD, a four-point $t\bar{t}gg$ interaction is also generated, but this will not directly concern us in the present work.)

The study of the tree-level effect of a nonzero value of κ at high energy e^+e^- colliders, such as the NLC, requires a high precision examination of the tail of the gluon jet energy spectrum in the process $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}g$. Although the sensitivity to nonzero values of κ and/or $\widetilde{\kappa}$ is quite high [4] in this

process, such an analysis is unfortunately many years away and so we must turn our attention to what can be learned at hadron colliders. The pair production of the top quark via $q\bar{q}, gg \to t\bar{t}$ at both the Fermilab Tevatron and the CERN LHC in the case of nonvanishing anomalous couplings has already been considered [4]. It was found that both the LHC and, eventually, the Tevatron are sensitive to values of κ of order 0.1. This was demonstrated in detail in our earlier work for the Tevatron and will be summarized below for the LHC for purposes of comparison. Present cross section measurements at the Tevatron being performed by CDF and D0 are probing values of κ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ which are somewhat larger, of order 0.2–0.3. It thus seems natural to ask if this potential new physics is accessible through any other top quark production channels at hadron colliders.

In the present analysis, we turn our attention to what may be learned about κ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ through an examination of single top quark production via $gW \to t\bar{b}$ [7]. We anticipate that this production mechanism is far less sensitive to these anomalous couplings than is the usual pair production process. The reason for this is abundantly clear: the cross section receives its dominant contribution from the u-channel b quark exchange diagram which has no anomalous $t\bar{t}g$ vertex associated with it. To see if our expectations are indeed realized and to complete the analysis of the influence of anomalous couplings on top quark production we proceed with the calculation. In the SM, assuming $m_t = 175$ GeV, single top quark production at both the Tevatron and LHC occurs with a cross section only a factor of $\simeq 5$ or so less than that for top quark pairs, thus implying that adequate statistics should eventually be available at either machine to probe for anomalous effects in this channel. To show the rather weak dependence of this process on the values of κ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$, we will make use of the effective gauge boson approximation (EGBA) [8] to greatly simplify our calculations. We find that the cross section estimates obtained in this manner are sufficient for our purposes since the contributions due to anomalous couplings are so weak. A number of "exact" calculations for the single top quark production cross section exist in the literature for the SM case which do not make use of this EGBA approach [7,9]. As we will see below, our estimate of the gW cross section at the Tevatron (with $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV) and the LHC is 1.12(0.57) pb and 208 (143) pb, respectively, for

$m_t = 170(200)$ GeV. The corresponding values in [7,9] are approximately 1.2(0.7) pb for the Tevatron and 200(150) pb for the LHC. This shows that our estimates in the SM case are good to the level of $\simeq 15\%$. This value is expected to change when the anomalous couplings are present for at least two reasons: (i) the presence of the anomalous couplings changes the relative contributions to the cross section due to longitudinal and transverse W's and (ii) the anomalous couplings modify the energy dependence of the cross section. We might expect, however, that the validity of the EGBA should remain true also when anomalous couplings are introduced at a qualitatively similar level to the SM case. To ascertain just how well the EGBA actually does perform in this case would require a complete exact calculation which is beyond the scope of this paper. Of course, as will be discussed below, the general theoretical uncertainty in the calculation of the rate for this process is quite comparable to or even larger than this value of 15%, implying that the contributions to the cross section from anomalous couplings of interesting size will remain masked by the theoretical uncertainties.

The relevant subprocess to examine for sensitivity to κ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ in single top quark production is $g(q) + W(k)^+ \rightarrow t(p_t) + \bar{b}(p_b)$ (+H.c.), which includes the $gt\bar{t}$ vertex in the diagram with t-channel top exchange. Denoting the W polarization vector by ϵ and for the moment neglecting the mass of the b quark, i.e., $m_b = 0$, we obtain the following parton level differential cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{G_F M_W^2 \alpha_s(m_t)}{24\sqrt{2}s} \frac{2p_t}{\sqrt{s}} [T_1 + \kappa T_2 + (\kappa^2 + \widetilde{\kappa}^2)T_3], \quad (2)$$

where $z \equiv \cos\theta^*$, with θ^* being the top quark production angle in the center of mass frame, and p_t is the magnitude of the top quark three-momentum. The T_i are given by

$$T_1 = \frac{2}{ut'^2} \{t'(u^2 + t'^2) + 4(t'^2 + 2um_t^2)\epsilon \cdot q\, \epsilon \cdot p_b$$

$$+ 4ut'\epsilon \cdot q\, \epsilon \cdot p_t - 4t'^2(\epsilon \cdot p_b)^2 - 4ut'(\epsilon \cdot p_t)^2$$

$$+ 4[t'(s - m_t^2) - 2um_t^2]\epsilon \cdot p_b\, \epsilon \cdot p_t\}, \quad (3)$$

$$T_2 = \frac{2}{ut'} \{u^2 - 2(s - m_t^2)(\epsilon \cdot q)^2 - 2\epsilon \cdot q[(2u + t')\epsilon \cdot p_b$$

$$+ u\epsilon \cdot p_t]\},$$

$$T_3 = \frac{1}{2m_t^2} [s - m_t^2 + 4\epsilon \cdot p_b\, \epsilon \cdot p_t],$$

where s, u, and $t' = t - m_W^2$ are the usual subprocess Mandelstam variables. In our numerical analysis, we will keep m_b finite and evaluate α_s at the scale $\mu = m_t$, which we perform via three-loop renormalization group equation evolution from $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.125$ [3]. Since m_t is not far from M_Z, this procedure is not greatly influenced by the use of three-loop evolution. However, since the higher order QCD corrections to this process have not yet been calculated there is still reasonable sensitivity to the choice of μ.

This subprocess cross section is apparently sensitive to the nature of the polarization of the incoming W. To obtain the full cross section, we first sum over the weighted contributions of the longitudinal and transverse W's for a given incoming quark flavor and then sum over the weighted quark densities. To be specific, we use the Martin, Roberts, and Stirling MRSA and MRSA$'$ parton densities [10], since they are in very good agreement with the latest data from the Tevatron and HERA. This particular choice of parton densities does not affect our results in any substantive manner. We assume that the scattering takes place in the x-z plane with the incoming W and g three-momenta along the z axis. In this case, we can choose the three W polarization states, $\epsilon_T^i (i = x, y)$, and ϵ_L so that $\epsilon_T^i \cdot q = 0$ and $\epsilon_L \cdot q = (s - M_W^2)/(2s)$. We also obtain the following explicit expressions for the other dot products in Eq. (3):

$$\epsilon_T^1 \cdot p_b = -\epsilon_T^1 \cdot p_t = -p_b(1 - z^2)^{1/2},$$

$$\epsilon_T^2 \cdot p_b = \epsilon_T^2 \cdot p_t = 0,$$

$$\epsilon_L \cdot p_b = (p_W E_b - E_W p_b z)/M_W,$$

$$\epsilon_L \cdot p_t = (p_W E_t + E_W p_t z)/M_W, \quad (4)$$

where p_i and E_i are the magnitude of the momenta and energies of the various particles in the parton frame. Similarly,


FIG. 1. (a) Cross section for the process $gW^+ \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ (+H.c.) as a function of m_t at the Tevatron. The solid curve is the SM prediction whereas the dash-dot (solid dot, dot, dash) curve corresponds to $\kappa = 2(-2, 1, -1)$. MRSA parton densities are assumed. (b) κ dependencies of the cross section shown in (a) for $m_t = 175$ GeV. In both plots, $\bar{\kappa} = 0$ is assumed.

FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but for the LHC.

$$t = -2E_g(E_t - p_t z) + m_t^2,$$

$$u = -2E_g(E_b + p_b z) + m_b^2. \quad (5)$$

From the kinematics it is easily seen that any terms in the cross section which are linear in $\widetilde{\kappa}$ must vanish, as they should, since the total cross section is not a CP violating observable.

In order to compare the sensitivity of the top quark pair and single production modes to nonzero anomalous couplings via cross section measurements at the Tevatron and LHC, we must determine how well these cross sections can be determined from future data. This issue has been a subject of extensive study by a large number of groups, the most complete and extensive on the experimental issues being that performed by the Top Quark Working Group at the TeV2000 Workshop [11,12] and we will use their preliminary results in our analysis below. This working group considered how well the pair and single top quark cross section can be measured as a function of the Tevatron integrated luminosity, accounting for uncertainties due to statistics, machine luminosity, tagging efficiencies, lepton and jet acceptance, and backgrounds from other processes. For the pair production process, the estimated cross section uncertainty was found to be 13(9, 5, 4, 3.5)% for $\mathscr{L}=1(2, 10, 25, 100)$ fb^{-1}. At a luminosity of $\mathscr{L}=1$ fb^{-1}, the error sources were 8.4% from acceptance, 10% from backgrounds, 3.5% from the machine luminosity uncertainty and the remaining due to statistics. For single top quarks, the corresponding uncertainties were found to be 14(10, 5, 4, 3.5)%, respectively. Essentially, the growing statistics associated with the ever-increasing integrated luminosity allows for dramatic reductions in both the systematic as well as statistical errors. At very high luminosities, the largest remaining uncertainty is the machine luminosity itself, a situation that will also be dramatically realized at the LHC with $\mathscr{L}=100$ fb^{-1}.

On the theoretical side, top quark pair production at the Tevatron is now a very well studied process with full NLO calculations, including gluon resummation, now available [13]. The present uncertainties, from the Berger and Contopanagos analysis, are dominated by the choice of scale ($\simeq 10\%$), parton densities ($\simeq 5\%$), and the as yet uncalculated full NNLO contributions, which are expected to be small. Given the rapid evolution in this area, we can expect the total theoretical error to be at or below the 10% level by the end

of the decade. In the case of single top quarks, the theoretical error remains rather large at present, $\simeq 30\%$. In particular, only the three level result is presently available for the $gW \to t\bar{b}$ subprocess of interest to us [14]. It seems likely, however, that this situation will substantially improve over the next few years, particularly after single top quark production is directly observed at the Tevatron and the $t\bar{t}$ channel is well understood. We thus might expect that the theoretical uncertainty in the cross section for single top quark production may eventually drop to a level comparable to that obtainable for top quark pairs. Of course, this will require an exact calculation of the full cross section with anomalous couplings and not just the EGBA that is used here.

Let us first consider the case where $\widetilde{\kappa}=0$ Figure 1 shows both the dependence of the total cross section on m_t for several values of κ, as well as the κ dependence of the cross section for m_t fixed to 175 GeV at the Tevatron. We note two features immediately: (i) a nonzero value for κ almost always leads to a cross section increase except for the case of very small negative values and (ii) the difference between


FIG. 3. Cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production as a function of κ at the LHC for $m_t=180$ GeV. The dotted (dash-dotted) curve is the $q\bar{q}(gg)$ contribution and the solid line is their sum. MRSA parton densities were assumed.

FIG. 4. (a) Cross section for the process $gW^+\to t\bar{b}(+\text{H.c.})$ as a function of m_t at the Tevatron. The solid curve is the SM prediction whereas the dotted or dashed curve corresponds to $\bar{\kappa}=1$ or 2, respectively. MRSA parton densities are assumed. (b) $\tilde{\kappa}$ dependencies of the cross section shown in (a) for $m_t=175$ GeV. In both plots, $\kappa=0$ is assumed.

the SM result and that with κ of order 2 is only of order 10%. Thus a determination of the cross section with a combined theoretical and experimental error of about 10% centered on or near the SM prediction would tell us only that $-2.9\lesssim\kappa\lesssim2.1$. (This 10% value is probably the best that can be done based on the discussion above and we will use it as a suggestive figure for purposes of comparison.) At present the approximate 15% error in the use of the EGBA is already large enough to mask the contribution of any but the largest values of the anomalous couplings we are considering. A similar study of the κ dependence of the $gg,q\bar{q}\to t\bar{t}$ would yield sensitivities about a factor of 20 or so better as we showed in our previous work [4]. This difference is due to the lack of sensitivity in the parton-level cross section itself and cannot be overcome by better statistics, of which there is always more in the pair production channel. Of course, as the average parton center of mass energy increases and the top quark becomes relatively light, i.e., $m_t^2/\hat{s}\ll1$, the sensitivity to κ increases both due to the growing importance of the t-channel exchange as well as the different momentum dependence in the anomalous coupling term in the interaction Lagrangian. Thus in Fig. 2, which shows the corresponding cross section results for the LHC, we see that there is an enhanced dependency on κ. A 10% determination (i.e., combined theoretical and experimental errors) centered on the SM value would restrict the range of κ to $-1.6\lesssim\kappa\lesssim1.1$. Although this is an improvement it cannot match the pair production mode at either the Tevatron or the LHC for sensitivity. To verify this claim, we show the κ dependence of

the top quark pair production cross section at the LHC's κ dependence in Fig. 3. Here we directly see that an overall uncertainty of 10% in the cross section allows us to probe κ values of order 0.1 or less. The bottom line is that even if we neglect the uncertainty in the theoretical calculation due to the use of the EGBA the deviations in the cross section due to interesting values of the anomalous couplings are likely to be too small to be observed given the remaining theoretical uncertainties.

What happens in the reverse case, i.e., when $\tilde{\kappa}$ only is nonzero? Since $\tilde{\kappa}$ appears only quadratically in the cross section, we can restrict ourselves to semipositive definite values of this parameter. The resulting cross sections for the Tevatron and LHC are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. The general features are quite similar to the κ case in that nonzero values of $\tilde{\kappa}$ increase the cross section and the magnitude of the effect is comparable to that with nonvanishing κ. Here, a 10% determination centered on the SM value would yield $\tilde{\kappa}\lesssim2.5$ at the Tevatron and $\lesssim1.4$ at the LHC, respectively. We thus conclude that to probe for either anomalous chromomagnetic or chromoelectric moment couplings of top quark to gluons, the cross section in the single production channel can in no way compete with that for pair production due to greatly reduced sensitivity even when large statistics is available.

Of course we might ask if other observables are better probes of nonzero anomalous couplings than just the cross sections themselves. In our previous [4] work we showed that this was *not* the case for pair production of top quarks at


FIG. 5. Same as Fig. 4 but for the LHC.

FIG. 6. (a) $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass distribution at the LHC for various values of κ assuming $m_t = 180$ GeV. (b) The same distribution scaled to the SM result. (c) $t\bar{t}p_t$ distribution at the LHC and (d) the same distribution scaled to the SM. In all cases, the SM is represented by the solid curve whereas the upper(lower) pairs of dotted(dashed, dash-dotted) curves correspond to $\kappa = 0.5(-0.5)$, $0.25(-0.25)$, and $0.125(-0.125)$, respectively.

the Tevatron due to the fact that the cross section was dominated by the region near the production threshold. What about top quark pairs at the LHC? Figure 6 and 7 show the $t\bar{t}$ invariant mass (M), transverse momentum (p_t), rapidity (y), and center of mass scattering angle $(\cos \theta^*)$ distributions for the LHC for several values of κ as well as the SM. These were obtained following the same procedure as in our previous analysis [4]. Also shown, in Figs. 6(b) and 6(d), are the ratios of the M and p_t distributions to their SM values, i.e., R_M and R_{pt}. Although not all independent, these distributions inform us that at the LHC both the M and p_t distributions have comparable sensitivities to nonzero values of κ as does the total cross section itself, i.e., values of κ of order 0.1 will be readily separable from the SM.

Unfortunately, the same distributions for single top quark production at either the Tevatron or LHC do not show sensitivities to anomalous couplings even remotely comparable to what we have just seen for pair production. Figure 8 shows the $t\bar{b}$ invariant mass and $z = \cos \theta^*$ distributions for single top quark production at the Tevatron and LHC for the SM as well as for several large values of κ. For nonzero $\tilde{\kappa}$, the results lie midway between the two curves with the corresponding values of $|\kappa|$. Even for these large values of κ or $\tilde{\kappa}$ we see that the distributions at the Tevatron are not particularly useful as probes of anomalous couplings. The z distributions are a bit more interesting, particularly at LHC energies. Note that as $z \to -1$ where the b exchange dominates the amplitude all sensitivity to anomalous couplings com-

FIG. 7. (a) Rapidity and (b) $z=\cos\theta^*$ distributions for top quark pair production at the LHC assuming $m_t=180$ GeV. The curves are labeled as in the previous figure.


FIG. 8. $t\bar{b}+b\bar{t}$ invariant mass distribution from Wg fusion at (a) the Tevatron and (b) LHC $\cos\theta^*$ distribution for the same process at (c) the Tevatron and (d) LHC. In all cases the curves are labeled as in Fig. 1. MRSA parton densities are assumed.

pletely vanishes, i.e., all of the sensitivity comes from the ''forward'' direction where the cross section is smallest. Imposing a modest angular cut at the LHC, say $z > 0$, would cleanly allow separation between the SM and $|\kappa| \approx 1-2$. However, this level of sensitivity is still about an order of magnitude worse that the pair production channel. (We remind the reader that we have ignored the additional uncertainty due to the use of the EGBA.)

In this paper, we have examined the single production of top quarks via gW fusion assuming the existence of anomalous chromomagnetic and/or chromoelectric dipole moment $t\bar{t}g$ couplings. The analysis was performed for both the Tevatron as well as the LHC. Our main results can be summarized as follows. Since the gW fusion process cross section is about a factor of 5 smaller than that for top quark pairs via $gg + q\bar{q}$ annihilation, a substantially larger sensitivity is needed in the gW channel for it to be competitive. Unfortunately, for either chromomagnetic or chromoelectric moments we found sensitivities more than an order of magnitude smaller than in the annihilation channel from considerations of the total cross section as well as various kinematic distributions. Our analysis made use of the EGBA which we showed to be adequate in the SM case and we expect it to remain so once anomalous couplings are included, although we do not have a quantitative analysis to compare the two approaches in this case. It is certainly more than adequate to establish our main result that the cross section and distributions for single top quark production is so weakly dependent upon the values of the anomalous couplings that this channel is essentially useless in comparison to usual pair production channel. The main reason for this is that the variation in the cross section and distributions for interesting values of the anomalous top quark couplings is smaller than both the current theoretical uncertainties and is likely to remain so in the future. We thus can conclude that indeed the annihilation channel offers the best opportunity to hunt for anomalous top quark–gluon couplings at hadron colliders, although the single production mode may provide a cross check on the underlying physics.

[1] CDF Collaboration, F. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 225 (1994); Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2966 (1994); **51**, 4623 (1995); Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2626 (1995).

[2] D0 Collaboration, S. Abachi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2138 (1994); **74**, 2422 (1995); **74**, 2632 (1995).

[3] D. Schaile, talk presented at, and LEP Reports No. LEPEWWG/94-02, No. LEPTAU/94-02, No. LEPLINE/94-01, and No. LEPHF/94-03 (unpublished), submitted to the 27th International Conference on High Energy Physics, Glasgow, Scotland, 1994. For an update on electroweak data, see the talks given by D. Comelli and M. Calvi at the XXXth Recontres de Moriond, Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories, Meribel, France, 1995 (unpublished). See also LEP Report No. LEPEWWG/95-01 (unpublished).

[4] D. Atwood, A. Kagan, and T. Rizzo, Report No. SLAC-PUB-6580, 1994 (unpublished); T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 4478 (1994); P. Haberl, O. Nachtmann, and A. Wilch, *ibid.* **53**, 4875 (1996). See also, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, *ibid.*, **49**, 319 (1994).

[5] G. Kane, G. A. Ladinsky, and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 124 (1992); C. P. Yuan, *ibid.*, **45**, 782 (1992); D. Atwood, A. Aeppli, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2754 (1992); M. Peskin, talk presented at the Second International Workshop on Physics and Experiments at Linear e^+e^- Collider, Waikoloa, HI, 1993 (unpublished); M. Peskin and C. R. Schmidt, talk presented at the First Workshop on Linear Colliders, Saariselkä, Finland, 1991 (unpublished); P. Zerwas, *ibid.*; W. Bernreuther *et al.*, in Proceedings of the Workshop on e^+e^- Collisions at 500 GeV, The Physics Potential (DESY, Hamburg), 1992, edited by P. Igo-Kemenes and J. H. Kühn (unpublished); A. Djouadi, Report No. ENSLAPP-A-365-92, 1992 (unpublished); D. O. Carlson, E. Malkawi, and C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B **337**, 145 (1994).

[6] A. Kagan, talk presented at the Fourth International Conference on Physics Beyond the Standard Model, Lake Tahoe, CA, 1994 (unpublished) and references therein.

[7] For a review of the physics behind this process and original references, see C. P. Yuan, in *Proceedings of 6th Mexican School of Particles and Fields*, Villahermosa, Mexico, 1994, edited by J. C. D'Olive, M. Moreno, and M. A. Perez (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).

[8] See, for example, S. Dawson, Nucl. Phys. **B249**, 42 (1985) and Phys. Lett. B **217**, 347 (1989); G. L. Kane, W. W. Repko, and W. B. Rolnick, Phys. Lett. **148B**, 367 (1984); Z. Kunst and D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. **B296**, 253 (1988); J. F. Gunion, J. Kalinowski, and A. Tofighi-Niaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2351 (1986); W. B. Rolnick, Nucl. Phys. **B274**, 171 (1986).

[9] D. O. Carlson and C. P. Yuan, Michigan State University Report No. MSUHEP-40903, 1995 (unpublished); D. O. Carlson, Michigan State University Report No. MSUHEP-050727, 1995 (unpublished); F. I. Olness and W. K. Tung, Nucl. Phys. **B308**, 813 (1988).

[10] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, and R. G. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 6734 (1994).

[11] A summary of the results of the TeV2000 Workshop are presented by J. Womersley in D0 Note 2607, June 1995, and in a talk given at the 10th Topical Workshop on $p\bar{p}$ Collider Physics, Fermilab, 1995 (unpublished). A detailed summary of the top quark physics potential is given in the working group report by D. Amidei *et al.*, CDF Note CDF/DOC/TOP/PUBLIC/3265 (in preparation).

[12] CDF Collaboration, D. Amidei, talk given at the Lafex Inter-

national School on High Energy Physics, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 1995 (unpublished). For a very detailed discussion of the experimental aspects of single top quark physics, see P. Baringer and A. P. Heinson, D0 Note 2600, June 1995.

[13] E. Laenen, J. Smith, and W. L. van Neervan, Nucl. Phys. **B369**, 543 (1992) and Phys. Lett. B **321**, 254 (1994); E. L. Berger and H. Contopanagos, Argonne National Lab Report No. ANL-HEP-PR-95-31, 1995 (unpublished). For an overview of the theoretical situation, see S. Willenbrock, talk presented at the International Symposium on Particle Theory and Phenomenology, Ames, IA, 1995 (unpublished) and University of Illinois Report No. ILL-TH-95-31 (unpublished).

[14] See, however, the recent detailed analysis by G. Bordes and B. van Eijk, Nucl. Phys. **B435**, 23 (1995). For a review of the theoretical issues associated with single top quark production at the Tevatron and LHC, see the thesis by D. O. Carlson, Michigan State University Report No. MSUHEP-050727, 1995 (unpublished).