New lower bound on $SU(4)_C$ gauge boson mass from CERN LEP measurements and $K_L \rightarrow \mu e$

M. K. Parida and Bornali Purkayastha

Physics Department, North-Eastern Hill University, Bijni Complez, P. 0. Box No. 21, Laitumkhrah, Shillong 793003, India

(Received 26 July 1995)

Using the most recent experimental limit on $K_L \to \mu\bar{e}$, the inputs from the CERN LEP measurements, and the top-quark mass, we obtain a new lower bound on the heavy $SU(4)_C$ gauge boson

mass due to Pati and Salam to be $M_C > 906^{+241}_{-195}$ TeV.

PACS number(s): 12.60.Cn

One of the most interesting extensions of the standard model is through the $SU(4)_C$ model of Pati and Salam [1] where leptons have been suggested as the fourth color. Apart from answering the question as to why leptons are different from quarks, the parity (P) and CP violations in weak interactions [2] and small neutrino masses [3] can be attributed to arise from spontaneous symmetry breakings if $SU(4)_C$ forms a part of a left-right symmetric gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_C \times P$ $(\equiv G_{224P}, g_{2L} = g_{2R})$ which might undergo spontaneous symmetry breaking to the standard model through $\text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{SU}(2)_R \times \text{U}(1)_{B-L} \times \text{SU}(3)_C$ with or without the left-right discrete symmetry ($\equiv P, g_{2L} = g_{2R}$). A number of grand unified theories such as SO(10), $SU(16)$, $SU(8)_L \times SU(8)_R$, $SO(18)$, E_6 , and $SU(4)^4$ contains G_{224P} as its subgroup. While G_{224P} might exist as a good symmetry at high mass scales, the leftright asymmetric gauge group G_{224} [3] with $g_{2L} \neq g_{2R}$ or $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{I_{3R}} \times SU(4)_C$ might survive as a good symmetry at much lower mass scales. Whether or not these gauge symmetries emerge from grand unified theories (GUT'S), their spontaneous breaking to the standard gauge group at a scale $M_C \gg M_Z$ is always accompanied by heavy $SU(4)_C$ gauge bosons leading to interesting muon-number-violating processes such as $K_L \to \mu \bar{\epsilon}$. Thus experimental limits on rare decay processes can set bounds on the $SU(4)_C$ -breaking scale, signifying observable new physics beyond the standard model.

Several attempts were made earlier to obtain bounds on *MC.* While Pati and Salam [l] obtained a rough estimate $M_C > 3 \times 10^4$ GeV from the ratio $\Gamma(K_L \to t)$ $\mu\bar{\epsilon}$ / $\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$, Dimopoulos, Raby, and Kane (DRK) [4] derive

$$
(M_C/g_{4C}) > 3.1 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV} \tag{1}
$$

using the experimental ratio $\Gamma(K_L \to \mu e)/\Gamma(K^+ \to$ $(\mu^+\nu)$. In (1), g_{4C} is the SU(4)_C gauge coupling. Using renormalization group equations (RGE's), QCD carrections, and the same experimental ratio as DRK, Deshpande and Johnson [5] have obtained the improved bound

$$
M_C > 3.5 \times 10^5 \text{ GeV} . \tag{2}
$$

The purpose of this analysis is to obtain a more refined bound on *MC* using the measurements from the CERN e^+e^- collider LEP, the available data on the top quark mass and including the strong and electroweak interaction effects on quark mass evolutions from $\mu = M_C$ to $\mu = M_Z$, whereas only strong interaction effects were included in this range in Ref. [5] using the old data. As in Ref. [5], we include the impact of $\mathrm{SU}(3)_C$ on the evolution of the down quark masses from $\mu = M_Z$ to $\mu = 1$ GeV, but using more accurate data on $\alpha_S(M_Z)$ from CERN LEP and its extrapolations. For ow estimation we also use the most recent experimental limit on $K_L \to \mu^{\mp} e^{\pm}$, which gives [6,7]

$$
B(K_L \to \mu \bar{e}) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(K_L \to \mu^{\mp} e^{\pm})}{\Gamma(K_L \to \mu^{\mp} \nu_{\mu})} < 10^{-10.28} . \qquad (3)
$$

We consider the symmetry-breaking chain

$$
\mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_R \times \mathrm{SU}(4)_C
$$

or
$$
\stackrel{\text{def}}{\rightarrow} SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_C
$$

 $\text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{U}(1)_{I_{3R}} \times \text{SU}(4)_C$ \downarrow^{M_Z}

 $U(1)_{em} \times SU(3)_C$,

where the particle content below M_C is taken to be minimal as in the standard model with three fermion generations and the standard Higgs scalar doublet. Using the effects of renormalization on the d - and s -quark masses on the theoretical expression [5], the branching ratio in (3) at $\mu = \mu_0 = 1$ GeV can be written as

$$
B(K_L \to \mu \bar{e}) = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_S^2 (M_C) m_K^4 R}{G_F^2 \sin^2 \theta_C m_\mu^2 (m_S + m_d)^2 M_C^4} , \quad (4)
$$

where the factor R in the right-hand side (RHS) of (4) accounts for the evolution of the quark masses from $\mu=$ M_C to $\mu=\mu_0=1$ GeV:

$$
R = \left\{ R_S \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_S(M_C)} \right]^{24/21} \right\} \left\{ R_{2L} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{2L}(M_C)} \right]^{27/19} \right\}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ R_{1Y} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{1Y}(M_C)} \right]^{2/41} \right\}, \tag{5}
$$

$$
R_S = [\alpha_S(m_t)]^{24/21} \left[\frac{\alpha_S(M_Z)}{\alpha_S(m_t)} \right]^{24/23} \left[\frac{\alpha_S(m_b)}{\alpha_S(M_Z)} \right]^{24/23} \times \left[\frac{\alpha_S(m_C)}{\alpha_S(m_b)} \right]^{24/25} \left[\frac{\alpha_S(\mu_0)}{\alpha_S(m_C)} \right]^{24/27} , \tag{6}
$$

0556-2821/96/53(3)/1706(3)/\$06.00 53

1706

01996 The American Physical Society

FIG. **1. Graphical** representation **of the method of obtaining a numerical solution on the lower bound on** *MC.* **The horizontal shaded region (FR) is the RHS of the inequality** (11), whereas the curve F_L represents the LHS. The lower **bound is confined within the dashed vertical lines. Here log,, stands for logarithm based on powers of ten.**

$$
R_{2L} = [\alpha_{2L}(m_t)]^{27/19} \left[\frac{\alpha_{2L}(M_Z)}{\alpha_{2L}(m_t)} \right]^{27/23}, \qquad (7)
$$

$$
R_{1Y} = [\alpha_{1Y}(m_t)]^{2/41} \left[\frac{\alpha_{1Y}(M_Z)}{\alpha_{1Y}(m_t)} \right]^{6/103} . \tag{8}
$$

The first, second, and third factors inside the three curly brackets on the RHS of (5) represent evolutions of the d_{τ} (or s-) quark mass via $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$, and $U(1)_Y$, respectively. For the sake of simplicity, we have ignored a very small contribution to the quark mass evolution due to $U(1)_{em}$. In all earlier attempts [1,4,5], the electroweak effects on the d-quark mass evolution were ignored due to want of accurate values on the relevant gauge couplings. Also the top quark mass was not known. Now that the precision measurements at CERN LEP have led to the determination of the standard model gauge couplings and the top quark mass is given by the data from the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) Collaboration [7-11],

$$
\alpha_{1Y}^{-1}(M_Z) = 58.9 \pm 0.21 , \quad \alpha_{2L}^{-1}(M_Z) = 29.72 \pm 0.15 ,
$$

$$
\alpha_{3C}^{-1}(M_Z) = 8.33 \pm 0.60 , \quad m_t = 174 \pm 15 \text{ GeV} , \quad (9)
$$

the strong and electroweak effects on mass evolutions are known more accurately. We use the following well-known values of the masses for neutral *K meson [7], muon, cur*rent quark masses m_d and m_s [7,12], Fermi coupling constant, and Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Makawa mixing an gle $[7]$:

$$
m_K = 0.493 \text{ GeV} , m_{\mu} = 0.105 \text{ GeV} ,
$$

\n
$$
m_s = 0.150 \text{ GeV} , m_d = 0.010 \text{ GeV} ,
$$

\n
$$
G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2} , \sin \theta_C = 0.22 .
$$
 (10)

Using the renormalization of gauge couplings at the oneloop level and the values at M_Z from (9), we obtain

$$
M_C \left[9.118 \pm 0.6 + \frac{7}{2\pi} \ln \frac{M_C}{m_t}\right]^{3/14} \left[30.114 \pm 0.15 + \frac{19}{12\pi} \ln \frac{M_C}{m_t}\right]^{-27/76} \left[58.54 \pm 0.21 - \frac{41}{20\pi} \ln \frac{M_C}{m_t}\right]^{-1/82},
$$

$$
> \left[\frac{4\pi^2 m_K^4 R_S R_{2L} R_{1Y}}{G_F^2 \sin^2 \theta_C m_\mu^2 (m_s + m_d)^2} \times 10^{10.28}\right]^{1/4}.
$$
 (11)

derived in Ref. (51. For the sake of convenience, we denote the LHS (RHS) of inequality (11) as the function F_L (F_R) . Using input values from (9) and (10) gives

$$
F_R = 456.9^{+123.3}_{-96.2} \text{ TeV} \tag{12}
$$

cal solution for the allowed range of values on the lower bound for M_C is clearly demonstrated in Fig. 1 by the

The inequality in (11) is distinctly different from that dashed vertical lines, leading to the improved estimates

$$
M_C > 906^{+241}_{-195} \text{ TeV} \tag{13}
$$

 $F_R = 456.9^{+12.5}_{-96.2}$ TeV. (12) As compared to the earlier bound $M_C > 350$ TeV [5], the lower limit has increased at least by a factor of 2 and In order to obtain the bound, we plot the LHS graphi- our analysis reveals that the rare kaon decay experiments cally as a function of M_C with $m_t = 174 \pm 15$ GeV as [6,7] have already probed into the SU(4)_C gauge boso cally as a function of M_C with $m_t = 174 \pm 15$ GeV as [6,7] have already probed into the SU(4)_C gauge boson shown by the solid curve in Fig. 1 where the horizontal mass of 700 TeV. As stated earlier, the reasons for ob shown by the solid curve in Fig. 1 where the horizontal mass of 700 TeV. As stated earlier, the reasons for ob-
shaded region represents F_R given by (12). The numeri-
taining the improved bound in the present analysis a shaded region represents F_R given by (12). The numeri-
cal solution for the allowed range of values on the lower due to (i) the inclusion of the effects of $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$, and $U(1)_Y$ on the quark mass evolutions, (ii) more accurate information on the standard model gauge couplings tion of the down quark mass from M_Z to μ_0 , which is based upon the precision CERN LEP measurements. (iii) negligible. based upon the precision CERN LEP measurements, (iii) information on the top quark mass, and (iv) the improved experimental limit on the branching ratio for $K_L \to \mu \bar{\epsilon}$. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support For the sake of simplicity, we have neglected two-loop through the research Project No. SP/S2/K-09/91 from effects and excluded the effects of $U(1)_{em}$ on the evolu-
the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi. effects and excluded the effects of $U(1)_{em}$ on the evolu- \sim 0.00 $^{\circ}$

- [1] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 274 (1974).
- [2] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566 (1975).
- [3] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Sanjanovic, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1502 (1975).
- [4] S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby, and G. L. Kane, Nucl. Phys. B182, 77 (1981).
- [S] N. G. Deshpande and R. J. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D 27, 1193 (1984).
- [6] K. Arisaka et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1049 (1993).
- [7] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet et al., Phys. Rev. D so,:173 (1994).
- [S] CDF collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 225 (1994):
- [9] LEP Collaborations, Phys. Lett. B 276, 247 (1992); T. Hebbeker, in *Proceedings of the Joint International Lep-*

ton Photon Symposium and Ewophysica Conference on High Energy Physics, Geneva, Switzerland, 1991, edited by S. Hegarty, K. Potter, and E. Quercigh (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992); G. Degrassi, S. Fanshiotti, and A. Sirlin, Nucl. Phys. B351, 49 (1991); P. Langacker and N. Polonsky, Phys. Rev. D 47, 4028 (1993).

- [10] J. L. Harton, in *The Fermilab Meeting*, Proceedings of the Division of Particles and Fields of the American Physical Society, Batavia, Illinois, 1992, edited by C. Albright *et* al. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993), p. 397.
- [11] The standard model gauge couplings at $M_Z = 91.187$ GeV given in Eq. (9) are obtained with $\alpha^{-1} = 127.9 \pm 0.1$, $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.2321 \pm 0.0006$, and $\alpha_S = 0.12 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.002$.
- 1121 S. W&b&g, in A *FestschtiJ! for* I. I. *Rabi,* edited by L. Motz (New York Academy of Sciences, New York, 1977).