Tachyon field quantization and Hawking radiation

C. Chiou-Lahanas, G. A. Diamandis, * B. C. Georgalas, and X. N. Maintas Athens University, Physics Department, Nuclear and Particle Physics Section, Panepistimiopolis, Kouponia, GR-157 72, Athens, Greece

E. Papantonopoulos[†]

National Technical University of Athens, Physics Department, GR-157 80 Zografou, Athens, Greece (Received 21 June 1995)

We quantize the tachyon field in a static two-dimensional dilaton gravity black hole background, and we calculate the Hawking radiation rate. We find that the thermal radiation Hux, due to the tachyon field, is larger than the conformal matter one. We also find that massive scalar fields which do not couple to the dilaton do not give any contribution to the thermal radiation up to terms quadratic in the scalar curvature.

PACS number(s): 04.70.Dy, 04.60.Kz

I. INTRODUCTION

Witten's identification of a black hole in string theory [1] has created considerable activity in understanding two-dimensional black hole physics. A two-dimensional dilaton gravity model coupled to free scalar fields was proposed [2] as a toy model for black hole formation and evaporation. This model enables a semiclassical treatment of Hawking radiation and its back reaction on the geometry [3].

The above model can be extended to include the tachyon field, which, being a physical string mode that appears in the effective two-dimensional string theory, cannot be ignored. Tachyon effects, at the classical level, on the black hole geometry were studied by many authors, establishing the existence of two-dimensional static black hole solutions with tachyonic hair [4—6]. Also a new two-dimensional black hole solution with a timedependent tachyon field is discussed in [6].

It would be interesting to see the effect of the tachyon field on the Hawking radiation of a two-dimensional black hole. Kostelecky and Perry [5], calculating the change of the metric in the presence of the tachyon field and taking its value on the horizon, argued that Hawking radiation increases in the presence of tachyonic charge. Frolov, Massacand, and Schmid [7] calculated the tachyon quantum fluctuations near the horizon, studying $\langle T^2(x) \rangle$ in the Hartle-Hawking vacuum, T being the tachyon field. They found that the quantum fluctuations become very small for a large black hole mass. Nevertheless, there is no definite result in the literature for the Hawking radiation rate coming from the contribution of the tachyon field, as there exists for conformal matter.

We believe that a detailed study, according to Christensen and Fulling [8], of the Hawking radiation rate due

*Electronic address: gdiamant@atlas.uoa.ariadne-t.gr

[†]Electronic address: lpapa@isosun.ariadne-t.gr

to the tachyon field is interesting for two main reasons. First of all, the tachyon field is massive, and in general the effect of a massive scalar field on the Hawking radiation is not well known. Second, the effective action emerging from the tachyon field quantization, and in fact from the quantization of almost any field, even if we keep terms which are quadratic in the background fields, is highly nonlocal, and therefore the development of techniques which treat these nonlocalities is interesting in its own right.

In this work we undertake such a study, considering the one-loop effective action which emerges after the tachyon field quantization, keeping terms which are quadratic in the background fields. We find that the quantum effects of the tachyon field are more significant than those of conformal matter. Also in our approximation we 6nd that the contribution of the tachyon field to Hawking radiation is due to its coupling to the dilaton field. This means that for massive scalar fields which do not couple to a dilaton, the terms in the effective action quadratic in the scalar curvature R do not contribute to the thermal radiation of the black hole. This is a result which is valid only in two dimensions.

In Sec. II we set up the problem of calculating the Hawking radiation rate, following Christensen and Fulling. Quantizing the tachyon field, we discuss the effective action keeping terms quadratic in the background fields. In Sec. III we calculate the trace of the energymomentum tensor from the effective action. In particular we treat the nonlocality using a spectral analysis of the \Box operator in the metric of a static two-dimensional black hole background. In Sec. IV we calculate the Hawking radiation rate due to the tachyon field. Finally in Sec. V we discuss our results.

II. EFFECTIVE ACTION

The relation between the conformal anomaly and the Hawking radiation is well known [8]. In particular for

the static two-dimensional black hole expressed by the line element

$$
ds^2 = -gdt^2 + g^{-1}dr^2 \t\t(1)
$$

where

$$
g = 1 - \frac{M}{\lambda} e^{-2\lambda r} \tag{2}
$$

the Hawking radiation rate is given by

$$
K = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_H}^{\infty} g' T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} dr , \qquad (3)
$$

where T^{α}_{α} is the trace of the energy momentum tensor coming from quantum corrections and the integral is taken from the horizon $r_H = (1/2\lambda) \ln(M/\lambda)$ to infinity. The above result is valid, provided that the fluctuations of quantum fields in the given background generate a static trace anomaly.

Thus the basic ingredient for calculating the Hawking radiation rate is the conformal anomaly. This is given by the variation of the effective action under conformal transformations

$$
T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}}} \frac{\delta \Gamma[\bar{g}]}{\delta \sigma} \Big|_{\sigma=0} , \qquad (4)
$$

where

$$
\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{5}
$$

The classical action of the dilaton-tachyon system coupled to gravity in two dimensions is

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \{ e^{-2\Phi} [R + 4(\nabla \Phi)^2 - (\nabla T)^2 - V(T) + 4\lambda^2] \},
$$
\n(6)

where $V(T)$ is the tachyon potential. For the tachyon potential we take only the quadratic part $V(T) = -m_0^2 T^2$. Redefining $\tilde{T} = e^{-\Phi}T$ we get canonical kinetic terms of the field \tilde{T} and the action is written

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \{ e^{-2\Phi} [R + 4(\nabla \Phi)^2 + 4\lambda^2] - (\nabla \tilde{T})^2 - [(\nabla \Phi)^2 - \Box \Phi - m_0^2] \tilde{T}^2 \} .
$$
 (7)

The coupling of the tachyon to the dilaton is separated as

$$
(\nabla \Phi)^2 - \Box \Phi - m_0^2 = Q + m^2 ,
$$

where

$$
Q = (\nabla \Phi)^2 - \Box \Phi - \lambda^2
$$

and

$$
m^2 = \lambda^2 - m_0^2 \ .
$$

This separation is made in this way in order to recover

the fact that in the flat space with linear dilaton, the field \tilde{T} is massless if $\lambda^2 = m_0^2$. Furthermore classical stability of the background solution requires that $\lambda^2 \geq m_0^2$ [6,9].

Quantizing the tachyon in the background of the twodimensional static black hole, and with $\tilde{T}_{\text{cl}}=0$, the oneloop effective action, keeping terms quadratic in the classical fields R (scalar curvature of the metric) and Q , is given in Ref. [10]. It consists of a local part

$$
\Gamma_{\text{loc}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \left\{ m^2 \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \left(Q - \frac{1}{6} R \right) \right\} \tag{8}
$$

and a nonlocal one

$$
\Gamma_{\text{nloc}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \{ Q\beta^{(1)}(\Box) Q - 2Q\beta^{(3)}(\Box) R + R[\frac{1}{2}\beta^{(4)}(\Box) + \beta^{(5)}(\Box)]R \}, \qquad (9)
$$

where μ is a renormalization parameter and the operators $\beta^{(i)}(\square)$ are given by [10]

$$
\beta^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\Box} \sqrt{\gamma} \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{\gamma}}{1 - \sqrt{\gamma}},
$$

$$
\beta^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\Box} \left\{ \frac{\gamma - 1}{4\sqrt{\gamma}} \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{\gamma}}{1 - \sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \right\},
$$

$$
\beta^{(4)} = \frac{1}{\Box} \left\{ \frac{1}{6\gamma^{3/2}} \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{\gamma}}{1 - \sqrt{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{3\gamma} - \frac{1}{9} \right\}, \qquad (10)
$$

$$
\beta^{(5)} = \frac{1}{48\Box} \left\{ \left(3-\frac{6}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) \sqrt{\gamma} \ln \frac{1+\sqrt{\gamma}}{1-\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{38}{3} + \frac{2}{\gamma} \right\} ,
$$

where

$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{1-\frac{4m^2}{\Box}} \enspace .
$$

The expressions are given in Euclidean signature.

We note here that in the case $Q = m = 0$ we get the well-known result $(1/96\pi)R(1/\Box)R$ for conformal matter which leads to conformal anomaly $T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = (1/24)R$.

The quadratic terms in the one loop effective action are derived in [10] for any dimension. Especially in two dimensions the diagrammatic derivation of the above nonlocal terms is simple. In particular the Q^2 term comes from a finite graph. This graph can be evaluated for example in flat space and then the appropriate covariantization yields the result in (10) . Furthermore the QR term comes from the contribution of the tadpole graph in the effective action and can be calculated in the same way as the Q^2 one. The R^2 terms can also be derived diagrammatically in the light-cone gauge. The diagrammatic approach can also be used for the derivation of the higher order one-loop terms. The diagrammatic derivation of the form of the efFective action is beyond the scope of this paper so it is not given here. Details for the calculation and covariantization along the above lines can be found in [11].

52 TACHYON FIELD QUANTIZATION AND HAWKING RADIATION 5879

III. THE TRACE OF THE ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR R

The nonlocal character of the terms in (9) does not permit a straightforward variation of the action with respect to the metric. In order to deal with the nonlocal terms we use spectral analysis of the \Box operator in the given background. We work in the "unitary gauge" where the metric of the black hole has the well-known cigar form $[1]$

$$
ds^2 = \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \tanh^2(\lambda y) d\theta^2 + dy^2 \tag{11}
$$

and the angle coordinate θ is the compactified Euclidean time. The eigenvalue equation for the \Box operator is

$$
\frac{4\lambda^2}{\tanh^2(\lambda y)}\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{\tanh(\lambda y)}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\tanh(\lambda y)\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}\right) + \tilde{\kappa}^2 \Psi = 0.
$$
 (12)

Since the background fields are static quantities we ignore the θ dependence and we look for spherically symmetric solutions satisfying the equation

$$
g^{-1/2}\frac{d}{dx}\left[g^{1/2}\frac{d\Psi_{\kappa}}{dx}\right]+\kappa^2\Psi_{\kappa}=0\;, \qquad (13)
$$

where we have defined $g^{1/2} = \tanh(x/2), x = 2\lambda y$, and $\kappa^2 = \tilde{\kappa}^2/4\lambda^2$.

The solution of the above equation, which is regular on the horizon $(x = 0)$ and with a plane wave asymptotic behavior $(x \to \infty)$, is

$$
\Psi_{\kappa}(x) = N(\kappa)_{2} F_{1}\left(i\kappa, -i\kappa, 1; -\sinh^{2} \frac{x}{2}\right) , \qquad (14)
$$

where the normalization constant $N(\kappa)$ is given by

$$
N(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \frac{\Gamma(i\kappa)\Gamma(1+i\kappa)}{\Gamma(2i\kappa)} \right| . \tag{15}
$$

The eigenfunctions satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$
\int_0^\infty dx \sqrt{g(x)} \Psi_\kappa(x) \Psi_\mu(x) = \delta(\kappa - \mu)
$$

and the completeness relation in the x direction

$$
\int_0^\infty d\kappa \Psi_\kappa(x)\Psi_\kappa(z)=\delta^{(1)}(x,z)=\frac{\delta(x-z)}{\sqrt{g(x)}}.
$$

Any static scalar quantity $H(x)$ can be expanded as

$$
H(x) = \int_0^\infty d\kappa C_H(\kappa) \Psi_\kappa(x) , \qquad (16)
$$

where

$$
C_H(\kappa) = \int_0^\infty dx \sqrt{g(x)} H(x) \Psi_\kappa(x) . \qquad (17)
$$

In particular the scalar curvature is given by

$$
R(x) = 4\lambda^2 \int_0^\infty d\kappa C(\kappa) \Psi_\kappa(x) , \qquad (18)
$$

with

$$
C(\kappa) = N(\kappa) |\Gamma(1+i\kappa)|^2 . \qquad (19)
$$

Using the previously described spectral analysis, the nonlocal part of the effective action (9) becomes

$$
\Gamma_{\text{nloc}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \left[\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} C_Q(\kappa) C_Q(\kappa) \beta^{(1)}(\kappa) -2C_Q(\kappa) C(\kappa) \beta^{(3)}(\kappa) +4\lambda^2 C(\kappa) C(\kappa) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \beta^{(4)}(\kappa) + \beta^{(5)}(\kappa) \right\} \right], \quad (20)
$$

where $\beta^{(i)}(\kappa)$ are given in (10) with the substitution $\square \rightarrow -4\lambda^2\kappa^2$, and

$$
C_Q(\kappa) = \int_0^\infty dx \sqrt{g(x)} Q(x) \Psi_\kappa(x) .
$$

Note that if $m \neq 0$ then the functions $\beta^{(i)}(\kappa)$ have a finite limit at $\kappa = 0$.

According to our previous discussion, we need to calculate the trace of the energy momentum tensor. In order to derive it from the effective action written in the form (20), we need the variation of C and C_Q under conformal transformations. Equation (17) implies that the variation of the expansion coefficients can be derived from the variation of the corresponding scalar function, which is easy to perform, and the variation of the eigenfunctions of the \Box operator. For this it is more convenient to work with the rescaled functions

$$
U_{\kappa} = g^{1/4} \Psi_{\kappa}(x) \tag{21}
$$

which satisfy, according to (13), a Schrödinger-like equation

$$
\frac{d^2}{dx^2}U_{\kappa}(x) + [\kappa^2 - V(x)]U_{\kappa}(x) = 0 , \qquad (22)
$$

where

$$
V(x)=\frac{1-2\,\cosh x}{4\,\sinh^2 x}
$$

If we perform the conformal transformation (5) with the conformal factor depending only on x , the eigenfunctions (21) become

$$
\bar{U}_{\kappa} = \bar{g}^{1/4} \bar{\Psi}_{\kappa}(x)
$$

which will satisfy Eq. (22) with

$$
\bar{V}(x) = V(x) - \kappa^2 (e^{2\sigma(x)} - 1) \ .
$$

Since we are interested at the variation of U 's at the point $\sigma = 0$, as we can see from (4), we can solve the equation (22) perturbatively, getting

5880 C. CHIOU-LAHANAS et al. 52

$$
\bar{U}_{\kappa}(x) = U_{\kappa}(x) - \int_0^{\infty} d\mu \frac{\langle U_{\mu} | 2\kappa^2 \sigma | U_{\kappa} \rangle}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} U_{\mu}(x) + O(\sigma^2) ,
$$
\n(23)

where using (21) we have

$$
\langle U_{\mu}|2\kappa^2\sigma|U_{\kappa}\rangle = \int_0^{\infty} dx g^{1/2}(x)2\kappa^2\sigma(x)\Psi_{\mu}(x)\Psi_{\kappa}(x) .
$$
\n(24)

Then the eigenfunctions read

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{\kappa}(x) = \Psi_{\kappa}(x) - \int_0^{\infty} d\mu \frac{\langle \Psi_{\mu} | 2\kappa^2 \sigma | \Psi_{\kappa} \rangle}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} \Psi_{\mu}(x) + O(\sigma^2) .
$$
\n(25)

Their variation, keeping the first order term in the perturbation series, is given by

have
\n
$$
\frac{\delta \bar{\Psi}_{\kappa}(x)}{\delta \sigma(y)}\Big|_{\sigma=0} = -2\kappa^2 \Psi_{\kappa}(y) \int_0^{\infty} d\mu \frac{\Psi_{\mu}(y)\Psi_{\mu}(x)}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2}
$$
\n
$$
= -2\kappa^2 \Psi_{\kappa}(y)G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(y,x) , \qquad (26)
$$

where $G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(y,x)$ is the Green function satisfying

$$
(\Box_y + \kappa^2) G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(y, x) = \delta^{(1)}(y, x) = \frac{\delta(y - x)}{\sqrt{g(y)}} . \tag{27}
$$

Using now the relation (17) we find

$$
\left. \frac{\delta C(\kappa)}{\delta \sigma(x)} \right|_{\sigma=0} = +2\kappa^2 \sqrt{g(x)} \Psi_\kappa(x) - 2 \int d\mu C(\mu) \Psi_\kappa(x) \Psi_\mu(x) \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} \sqrt{g(x)} , \qquad (28)
$$

$$
\left. \frac{\delta C_Q(\kappa)}{\delta \sigma(x)} \right|_{\sigma=0} = -2\lambda^2 \sqrt{g(x)} \Psi_\kappa(x) - 2 \int d\mu C_Q(\mu) \Psi_\kappa(x) \Psi_\mu(x) \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} \sqrt{g(x)} \ . \tag{29}
$$

Note that the described procedure can be applied in the general case including the θ dependence of the eigenfunctions and considering conformal transformations, where the conformal factor is $\sigma(x, \theta)$. Using Eqs. (28) and (29) we can write down the trace of the energy momentum tensor

$$
T_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = -\frac{4\lambda^4}{8\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} C(\kappa) [\beta^{(1)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \Psi_{\kappa}(x) d\kappa + \int_0^{\infty} d\kappa C(\kappa) [\beta^{(1)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \int_0^{\infty} d\mu C(\mu) \Psi_{\kappa}(x) \Psi_{\mu}(x) \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} + \int_0^{\infty} d\kappa C(\kappa) [16\beta^{(t)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \int_0^{\infty} d\mu C(\mu) \Psi_{\kappa}(x) \Psi_{\mu}(x) \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} - \int_0^{\infty} C(\kappa) \kappa^2 [16\beta^{(t)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \Psi_{\kappa}(x) d\kappa \right\},
$$
(30)

where $\beta^{(t)} = \frac{1}{2}\beta^{(4)} + \beta^{(5)}$. In the derivation of (30), we have used the fact that in the static black hole background, $Q = \frac{1}{4}R$ which implies that $C_Q(\kappa) = \lambda^2 C(\kappa)$. This relation can be used only after the variation, since the two functions behave differently under conformal transformations as can be seen from Eqs. (28) and (29).

The above expression is quite complicated and especially the terms involving double momentum integration cannot be brought to a simpler expression in configuration space for the specific form of the functions $\beta(\Box)$ in Eqs. (10). Nevertheless one can easily check that for local operators and for the operator $1/\Box$ which appears in the one-loop efFective action of the conformal matter, the above expression reproduces the familiar results. In particular for the operator $1/\square$ the double momentum integral vanishes for symmetry reasons, and thus a trace

anomaly proportional to R arises from the effective action of conformal matter.

IV. HAWKING RADIATION RATE

The trace of the energy momentum tensor retains its form if we go to Minkowski signature, and if we use the metric of Eq. (2), the only change will be in the arguments of the hypergeometric functions in (14):

$$
\Psi_{\kappa}(r) = N(\kappa)_{2} F_{1}\left(i\kappa, -i\kappa, 1; 1 - \frac{\lambda}{M} e^{2\lambda r}\right) . \tag{31}
$$

Now using (30) the Hawking radiation rate in (3) becomes

52 TACHYON FIELD QUANTIZATION AND HAWKING RADIATION 5881

$$
K = -\frac{\lambda^4}{4} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \int_0^\infty dt C(\kappa) \{ [\beta^{(1)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(4)}(\kappa)] -\kappa^2 [16\beta^{(t)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \} e^{-t} N(\kappa)_2 F_1(i\kappa, -i\kappa, 1; 1 - e^t) -\frac{\lambda^4}{4} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \int_0^\infty d\mu \int_0^\infty dt C(\kappa) C(\mu) \{ [\beta^{(1)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] + [16\beta^{(t)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \} \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} N(\kappa) N(\mu) e^{-t} {}_2F_1(i\kappa, -i\kappa, 1; 1 - e^t)_2 F_1(i\mu, -i\mu, 1; 1 - e^t) . \tag{32}
$$

The expression for K consists of two terms. The first term involves a space integration (t integration) which can be easily performed giving

$$
\int_0^\infty e^{-t} {}_2F_1(i\kappa,-i\kappa,1;1-e^t)=|\Gamma(1+i\kappa)|^2,
$$

and a κ integral that can be calculated analytically or numerically for any form of the functions $\beta(\kappa)$. The second term is much more complicated reHecting the high nonlocality of the eBective action involving the space integration and a double integral over the momentum. The interesting thing here is that the integral over the space coordinate and the μ -momentum variable can be evaluated analytically. To see this we consider

$$
\int_0^{\infty} d\mu \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-t} C(\mu) \frac{1}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} \Psi_{\mu}(t) \Psi_{\kappa}(t) = \int_0^{\infty} d\mu \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-t} \int_0^{\infty} dz \sqrt{-g(z)} R(z) \frac{1}{\kappa^2 - \mu^2} \Psi_{\mu}(t) \Psi_{\kappa}(t) \Psi_{\mu}(z)
$$

$$
= \int_0^{\infty} dz \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{-t} \sqrt{-g(z)} R(z) G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(z, t) \Psi_{\kappa}(t) , \qquad (33)
$$

with $G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(z,t)$ the Green function defined in (26). We can proceed further and write

$$
\int_0^\infty dz \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} e^{-z} G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(z, t) \Psi_{\kappa}(t) = \int_0^\infty dz e^{-z} F_{\kappa}(z) ,
$$
\n(34)

where

$$
F_{\kappa}(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \Psi_{\kappa}(t) G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(z,t) ,
$$

a field satisfying the equation

$$
(\Box_{\kappa} + \kappa^2) F_{\kappa}(z) = e^{-z} \Psi_{\kappa}(z) . \qquad (35)
$$

The above equation can be solved, using Laplace transformations, yielding, for the field $F_{\kappa}(z)$,

$$
F_{\kappa}(z) = N(\kappa)(e^{z}-1)_{2}F_{1}(1-i\kappa,1+i\kappa,2;1-e^{z})
$$
 (36)

Inserting the form of the field $F_{\kappa}(z)$ in (34) we have

$$
\int_0^\infty dz \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} e^{-z} G_{\kappa}^{(1)}(z, t) \Psi_{\kappa}(t)
$$

$$
= N(\kappa)|\Gamma(1+i\kappa)|^2 \ . \ (37)
$$

So the second term in (32) reduces to a single κ integration:

$$
-\frac{\lambda^4}{4} \int_0^\infty d\kappa C(\kappa) N(\kappa) \{ [\beta^{(1)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] + \kappa^2 [16\beta^{(t)}(\kappa) - 4\beta^{(3)}(\kappa)] \} |\Gamma(1 + i\kappa)|^2 . \tag{38}
$$

Combining Eq. (38) with the κ integration from the first

term the final expression for the Hawking radiation rate becomes

$$
K = -\frac{\lambda^2}{16} \int_0^\infty d\kappa C(\kappa) N(\kappa) (\kappa^2 + 1) \{\bar{\beta}^{(1)}(\kappa) - 4\bar{\beta}^{(3)}(\kappa)\} |\Gamma(1 + i\kappa)|^2 , \qquad (39)
$$

where

$$
\bar{\beta}^{(i)}(\kappa) = 4\lambda^2 \beta^{(i)}(\kappa) \ .
$$

Thus we see that the treatment of the nonlocal action has led to a simple expression for the Hawking radiation rate of the static two-dimensional black hole. This turns out to be independent of the mass of the black hole as expected in two dimensions and depends on the mass of the tachyon field and especially on the parameter $\tilde{m}^2 =$ m^2/λ^2 . For the particular forms of the functions $\beta(\kappa)$, the κ integral cannot be evaluated analytically, but it can be calculated numerically. In Fig. 1 we give the plot of the ratio of the Hawking radiation rate due to the tachyon, over the one due to conformal matter, as a function of \tilde{m}^2 .

V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

The numerical calculation of the Hawking radiation rate shows that the tachyon field radiation is enhanced with respect to the conformal matter one as it is shown in Fig. 1. This is slightly above $\lambda^2/48$ at the maximum value of the mass of the tachyon field $(\tilde{m}^2 \rightarrow 1)$ but becomes significantly larger at lower tachyon masses. As the tachyon mass tends to zero the rate tends to infinity because of the infrared divergence. This divergence is due to the presence of the Q field which even in the absence

FIG. 1. The plot of the ratio of the Hawking radiation rate due to the tachyon over the one due to conformal matter as a function of \tilde{m}^2 .

of mass gives deviation from the conformal coupling. In particular the term responsible for the divergence is of the form $Q(1/\square) \ln(-\square/m^2)Q$ as can be seen from a small mass expansion of the effective action.

If we were dealing not with a tachyon field but with a field with ordinary mass term, and the same coupling with the dilaton, then $\tilde{m}^2 = (m_0^2 + \lambda^2)/\lambda^2$. In this case the parameter \tilde{m}^2 can be large independently of λ^2 and we can see that the Hawking radiation rate tends to zero as the mass becomes large. This is expected since such a massive field is actually classical.

One interesting feature that comes out from our calculation is that in the background of the static twodimensional black hole, if the effective action is just of the form $R\beta(\Box, m^2)R$ with $\beta(\Box, m^2)$ any operator with regular zero momentum limit, then the rate of the thermal Hawking radiation is zero, except from the case of conformal matter, where $\beta = 1/\square$, which does not satisfy the regularity requirement but yields the well-known result. This feature can be directly tested for local actions, without involving the analysis adopted in this work, but as we have shown it can be extended to all nonlocal ac-

tions except the one for the conformal matter. In all these cases any signal coming out from the horizon of the black hole, due to these terms, must be of nonthermal type. From this result, which is a peculiarity of the two-dimensional black hole background, one cannot infer that in the presence of terms of this type only, the black hole is quantum mechanically stable, without studying the back-reaction effects. For the tachyon field the enhancement of the thermal radiation is exclusively due to its coupling with the dilaton.

The one-loop effective action, unlike the case of conformal matter, has also higher order terms (cubic, quaric, etc.) in the background fields Q and R . These terms have an extra $(1/\square)$ nonlocality, as is easily seen from dimensional arguments, at next order. For example $R(1/\square)R(1/\square)R$ is expected to give the order of magnitude for the cubic terms. The equation $\Box \Phi = R$ has the solution $\Phi = x = 2(\Phi_H - \Phi)$, where x is the dimensionless coordinate variable we have used previously and Φ the dilaton field, while Φ_H is its value on the horizon. Using this prescription of the nonlocality the quadratic terms are of the order xe^{-x} and the cubic terms are of order x^2e^{-x} . We see that near the horizon $(x = 0)$ the quadratic terms are more significant than the cubic ones and the same holds for their contribution to the trace of the energy momentum tensor. From Eq. (32) we see that the main contribution to the Hawking radiation rate comes from the region near the horizon, due to the form of the conformal anomaly and the factor g' . Thus we conjecture that the higher order terms do not alter the results significantly. Of course this is not a proof and a more solid answer to this question requires a direct calculation of the contribution of the next order terms. The method described in this work can be used for such a calculation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by C.E.E. Science Program SCI-CT92-0792.

- [1] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 44, 314 (1991).
- [2] C. G. Callan, S. B. Giddings, J. H. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D 45 R1005 (1992).
- [3] J. G. Russo, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3444 (1992); A. Bilal and C. Callan, Nucl. Phys. H394, 73 (1993).
- [4] S. P. de Alwis and J. Lykken, Phys. Lett. B 269, ²⁶⁵ (1991); S. K. Rama, Nucl. Phys. **B407** 191 (1993); A. Peet, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2415 (1993); S. Chaudhuri and D. Minie, Phys. Lett. B 312, 79 (1993); N. Marcus and Y. Oz, Nucl. Phys. B407, 429 (1993); J. Russo, Phys. Rev. D 47, R4188 (1993).
- [5] V. A. Kostelecky and M. J. Perry, Phys. Lett. B 322, ⁴⁸

(1994).

- [6] G. A. Diamandis, B. C. Georgalas, and E. Papantonopoulos, Mod. Phys. Lett. 10, 1277 (1995).
- [7] V. P. Frolov, C. M. Massacand, and C. Schmid, Phys. Lett. B 279, 29 (1992).
- [8] S. M. Christensen and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2088 (1977).
- [9] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B 334, 29 (1994).
- [10] I. G. Avramidi, Phys. Lett. B 236, 443 (1990).
- [11] G. A. Diamandis, B. C. Georgalas, and A. B. Lahanas, Phys. Rev. D 42, 4094 (1990); G. A. Diamandis, B. C. Georgalas, A. Lahanas, and E. Papantonopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 277, 398 (1992).