# **PHYSICAL REVIEW D**

## PARTICLES AND FIELDS

### THIRD SERIES, VOLUME 51, NUMBER 5 1 MARCH 1995

## RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

Rapid Communications are intended for important new results which deserve accelerated publication, and are therefore given priority in the editorial office and in production. A Rapid Communication in Physical Review D should be no longer than five printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. Page proofs are sent to authors, but because of the accelerated schedule, publication is generally not delayed for receipt of corrections unless requested by the author.

## Gluon fragmentation into polarized charmonium

Peter Cho and Mark B. Wise Lauritsen Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Sandip P. Trivedi Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510 (Received 29 August 1994)

Gluon fragmentation to  $\chi_{cJ}(1P)$  followed by single photon emission represents the dominant source of prompt  $J/\psi$ 's at the Fermilab Tevatron for  $p_{\perp} \ge 6$  GeV. Since fragmenting gluons are approximately transverse, their products are significantly polarized. We find that gluon fragmentation populates the helicity levels of  $\chi_{c2}$ , and  $J/\psi$  according to  $D_{\chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}: D_{\chi_{c1}^{(h|=1)}} \approx 1:1$ ,  $D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}}: D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h|=1)}}: D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h)=2)}} \approx 1:2.8:6.0$ , and  $D_{J/\psi^{(h=0)}}$ : $D_{J/\psi^{(h|=1)}} \approx 1$ :3.4. We also speculate that gluon fragmentation to the radially excited  $\chi_{c2}(2P)$  state followed by radiative decay could represent a large source of  $\psi'(2S)$ 's. A measurement of these states' polarizations would test this idea.

PACS number(s): 13.87.Fh, 13.85.Ni, 13.88.+e

The production of the  $J/\psi$  charmonium bound state is currently under active study at Fermilab [1]. Until recently, the dominant sources of  $J/\psi$ 's at a hadron collider were believed to be parton fusion and  $B$  meson decay. These two processes respectively produce prompt and delayed  $J/\psi$ 's which can be distinguished via  $B$  meson vertex displacement measurements. Comparison between the theoretical prediction and experimental measurement of the transverse momentum differential cross section  $d\sigma(p\bar{p}\rightarrow J/\psi+X)/dp_{\perp}$  reveals that parton fusion alone underestimates the prompt  $J/\psi$  production rate at high transverse momenta by approximately an order of magnitude [2—4]. Such <sup>a</sup> large discrepancy between theory and data clearly indicates that another prompt production mechanism must be at work.

Within the past few years, parton fragmentation has been examined as an alternate source of  $J/\psi$ 's [5]. Although fragmentation takes place at higher order in perturbative QCD than quark or gluon fusion, the falloff of the former with increasing transverse momentum is much slower than that of the latter. So for  $p_{\perp} \ge 6$  GeV, parton fragmentation represents the dominant source of prompt  $J/\psi$ 's.

The first charmonium fragmentation functions to be calculated were  $D_{g \to J/\psi}(z)$  and  $D_{c \to J/\psi}(z)$ , which specify the probability for gluons and charm quarks to hadronize into  $J/\psi$  as a function of its longitudinal momentum fraction z [5—7]. The only nonpeturbative piece of information which enters into the lowest order computation of these S-wave fragmentation functions is the square of the charmonium bound state's wave function at the origin. The remainder of the calculation is based upon perturbative QCD. More recently, the fragmentation functions for gluons and charm quarks to hadronize into the lowest lying P-wave charmonium bound states  $\chi_{c0}$ ,  $\chi_{c1}$ , and  $\chi_{c2}$  have been computed [8]. These  $\chi_{cJ}$  states decay radiatively down to  $J/\psi$  with the branching ratios 0.66%, 27.3%, and 13.5% for  $J=0$ , 1, and 2, respectively [9]. After folding together these branching ratios with the P-wave fragmentation functions, one finds that gluon fragmentation to  $\chi_{cJ}$  followed by single photon emission to  $J/\psi$  dominates at high  $p_{\perp}$  over all other prompt mechanisms by more than an order of magnitude. When this  $J/\psi$  source is included, the theoretical prediction for  $d\sigma(p\bar{p}\rightarrow J/\psi+X)/dp_{\perp}$  at  $\sqrt{s}=1.8$  TeV moves to within a factor of 2 of recent data from the Collider Detector at Fermilab.

Most of the fragmentation functions which have been calculated to date describe the production of unpolarized



FIG. 1. Lowest order Feynman diagrams which mediate gluon fragmentation to color-singlet P-wave charmonium bound states.

quarkonium. However, it is straightforward to compute polarized fragmentation functions as well. Charm fragmentation into transverse and longitudinal  $J/\psi$ 's was considered in Refs.  $[7]$  and  $[10]$  and found to yield essentially no polarization.  $J/\psi$ 's produced at a lepton collider such as CERN LEP are therefore not expected to be significantly polarized. But since gluon fragmentation to  $\chi_{cJ}$  represents the dominant source of  $J/\psi$ 's at a hadron matching such as the Fermilab Tevatron, the polarized fragmentation functions  $D_{g \to \chi_{cJ}^{(|h|)}(z)}$  where  $|h| \leq J$  denotes the helicity of the produced  $\chi_{cJ}$  must be determined before the degree of  $J/\psi$  polarization can be estimated. We present the results for these fragmentation functions and the  $\chi_{cJ}$  and  $J/\psi$  polarization which they induce in this Rapid Communication.

To begin, we adopt the notation and general methods for computing P-wave fragmentation functions established in Ref. [8]. The lowest order diagrams that contribute to  $\chi_{cI}$ fragmentation are illustrated in Fig. 1. They may be evaluated using standard Feynman rules for quarkonium processes [11]. The kinematic regime in which these graphs become important occurs when the laboratory frame energy  $q_0$  of the incoming off-shell gluon  $g^*$  is large, but its squared four-

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



FIG. 2. Lowest order Feynman diagram which mediates gluon fragmentation to a color-octet S-wave charmonium state.

momentum  $q^2$  is close to the square of the charmonium bound state's mass. We therefore neglect terms which are subdominant in the ratio  $q^2/q_0^2$ . The sum of the two diagrams in Fig. 1 logarithmically diverges in the limit  $z \rightarrow 1$ when the bound state carries off all the original gluon's energy and the outgoing gluon undergoes zero recoil. This infrared divergence is canceled by the diagram in Fig. 2 which depicts the conversion of  $g^*$  into a color-octet  ${}^3S_1$  state. The colored state can subsequently emit a soft gluon and turn into a color singlet  $\chi_{cJ}$ . The graphs in Figs. 1 and 2 must be added together to obtain an infrared finite result.

To determine the polarized fragmentation functions  $D_{g \to \chi_{cJ}^{(|h|)}(z)$ , we need the polarization sums for the individual helicity levels of  $\chi_{cJ}$ . These spin sums may be conveniently expressed in terms of an auxiliary lightlike vector  $n = (1, -\hat{p})$ , where  $\hat{p}$  denotes a unit vector oriented along the three-momentum of the  $\chi_{cJ}$  in the laboratory frame. The longitudinal and transverse polarization sums for the spin-1 boson can then be simply written in the covariant forms [12]

$$
\sum_{h=0} \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{(h)}(p) \varepsilon_{\beta}^{(h)}(p)^{*} = P_{\alpha\beta} - P_{\alpha\beta}^{T},
$$
  

$$
\sum_{\mu=1} \varepsilon_{\alpha}^{(h)}(p) \varepsilon_{\beta}^{(h)}(p)^{*} = P_{\alpha\beta}^{T},
$$
 (1)

where

$$
P_{\alpha\beta}^{T} = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{n \cdot p} (p_{\alpha}n_{\beta} + n_{\alpha}p_{\beta}) - \frac{p^{2}}{(n \cdot p)^{2}} n_{\alpha}n_{\beta},
$$
  

$$
P_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{p_{\alpha}p_{\beta}}{p^{2}},
$$
 (2)

represent two-dimensional transverse and three-dimensional projection operators. The corresponding spin-2 polarization sums are given by

$$
\sum_{h=0} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(h)}(p) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}(p)^* = \frac{2}{3} (P_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{3}{2} P_{\alpha\beta}^T) (P_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2} P_{\mu\nu}^T),
$$

$$
\sum_{|h|=1} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(h)}(p) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}(p)^{*} = \frac{1}{2} [P_{\alpha\mu} P_{\beta\nu} + P_{\alpha\nu} P_{\beta\mu} - P_{\alpha\mu}^{T} P_{\beta\nu}^{T} - P_{\alpha\nu}^{T} P_{\beta\mu}^{T}] + [P_{\alpha\beta} P_{\mu\nu}^{T} + P_{\alpha\beta}^{T} P_{\mu\nu} - P_{\alpha\beta} P_{\mu\nu} - P_{\alpha\beta}^{T} P_{\mu\nu}^{T}], \tag{3}
$$

$$
\sum_{|h|=2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{(h)}(p) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(h)}(p)^* = \frac{1}{2} \left[ P_{\alpha\mu}^T P_{\beta\nu}^T + P_{\alpha\nu}^T P_{\beta\mu}^T - P_{\alpha\beta}^T P_{\mu\nu}^T \right]
$$

#### GLUON FRAGMENTATION INTO POLARIZED CHARMONIUM

After a straightforward computation, we obtain the polarized  $\chi_{cJ}$  fragmentation functions:

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c0}}(z,M) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{H_1 \alpha_s(M)^2}{M} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-z)_+} + \left( \frac{13}{12} - \ln \frac{2\Lambda}{M} \right) \delta(1-z) - 1 + \frac{85}{8}z - \frac{13}{4}z^2 + \frac{9}{4}(5-3z)\ln(1-z) \right] + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\pi \alpha_s(M)H_8'(\Lambda)}{M} \delta(1-z),
$$
\n(4)

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}(z,M) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{H_1 \alpha_s(M)^2}{M} \left[ \frac{3}{2(1-z)_+} + \left( \frac{13}{8} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{2\Lambda}{M} \right) \delta(1-z) - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{4}z - \frac{3}{2}z^2 \right] + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi \alpha_s(M) H_8'(\Lambda)}{M} \delta(1-z),\tag{5a}
$$

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c1}^{(|h|=1)}(z,M) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{H_1 \alpha_s(M)^2}{M} \left[ \frac{3}{2(1-z)_+} + \left( \frac{5}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{2\Lambda}{M} \right) \delta(1-z) - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2} z^2 \right] + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi \alpha_s(M) H_8'(\Lambda)}{M} \delta(1-z), \quad (5b)
$$

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}}(z,M) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{H_1 \alpha_s(M)^2}{M} \left[ \frac{1}{2(1-z)_+} + \left( \frac{13}{24} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2\Lambda}{M} \right) \delta(1-z) + 108z^{-3} - 216z^{-2} + 117z^{-1} - \frac{19}{2} - \frac{5}{4}z - \frac{1}{2}z^{2} \right]
$$
  
+ 
$$
54 \frac{(2-z)(1-z)^2}{z^4} \ln(1-z) + \frac{1}{24} \frac{\pi \alpha_s(M)H_8'(\Lambda)}{M} \delta(1-z), \tag{6a}
$$

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(\vert h \vert = 1)}(z,M) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{H_1 \alpha_s(M)^2}{M} \left[ \frac{3}{2(1-z)_+} + \left( \frac{5}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{2\Lambda}{M} \right) \delta(1-z) - 144z^{-3} + 288z^{-2} - 228z^{-1} + \frac{165}{2} - 6z - \frac{3}{2} z^2 - 36 \frac{(2-z)(1-z)}{z^4} (z^2 - 2z + 2) \ln(1-z) \right] + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi \alpha_s(M) H_8'(\Lambda)}{M} \delta(1-z), \tag{6b}
$$

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(|h| = 2)}(z,M) = \frac{4}{81} \frac{H_1 \alpha_s(M)^2}{M} \left[ \frac{3}{(1-z)_+} + \left( \frac{13}{4} - 3 \ln \frac{2\Lambda}{M} \right) \delta(1-z) + 36z^{-3} - 72z^{-2} + 111z^{-1} - 78 + 21z - 3z^2 + 9 \frac{(2-z)}{z^4} (z^4 - 4z^3 + 6z^2 - 4z + 2) \ln(1-z) \right] + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\pi \alpha_s(M) H_8'(\Lambda)}{M} \delta(1-z).
$$
 (6c)

(We used the high energy physics MATHEMATtCA package FEYNCALC to perform much of the tedious algebra [13].) In these expressions,  $M \approx 2m_c$  denotes the charmonium bound state's mass,  $\Lambda$  represents the infrared cutoff, and  $H_1 = 72|R'_1(0)|^2/\pi M^4$  and  $H'_8(\Lambda) = 8|R_0^{(8)}(0)|^2/3\pi M^2$  respectively contain the squares of the derivative of the colorsinglet P-wave and color-octet 5-wave radial wave functions. All the functions displayed in Eqs.  $(4)$ – $(6)$  are regular at  $z=0$  and in fact vanish like z. If these polarized fragmentation functions are summed over their helicity levels, we recover the unpolarized P-wave fragmentation functions reported in Ref. [8]. (The longitudinal and transverse  $\chi_{c1}$  fragmentation functions were calculated in Ref. [14]. Our results in Eqs. (5a) and (5b) agree with those in [14] for  $z\neq1$  but differ for  $z=1$ .)

We adopt the parameter values  $M=3500$  MeV and  $\alpha_s(M)=0.265$ . Following [8], we also set the infrared cutoff to  $\Lambda = M/2$  and take  $H_1 \approx 15$  MeV and  $H_8'(M/2) \approx 3$ 

MeV. The functions in Eqs.  $(4)$ – $(6)$  can be evolved from the charmonium scale to higher energies using the Altarelli-Parisi equation and folded together with the gluon cross section  $d\sigma(p\bar{p}\rightarrow g+X)/dp_{\perp}$  to obtain the transverse momentum distribution of  $\chi_{cJ}$ 's produced at the Tevatron:

$$
\frac{d\sigma(p\bar{p}\to\chi_{cJ}^{(|h|)}+X)}{dp_{\perp}} = \int_0^1 dz \frac{d\sigma(p\bar{p}\to g(p_{\perp}/z)+X,\mu)}{dp_{\perp}} \times D_{g\to\chi_{cJ}^{(|h|)}(z,\mu)}.
$$
 (7)

Since the gluon cross section is a very rapidly decreasing function of  $p_{\perp}$ , it is a good approximation to evaluate the integral in (7) retaining just the terms proportional to  $\delta(1-z)$  in the fragmentation functions:

$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c0}}(z,M) \approx 0.76 \times 10^{-4} \delta(1-z) + \cdots,
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}(z,M) \approx 1.14 \times 10^{-4} \delta(1-z) + \cdots,
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c1}^{(|h|=1)}}(z,M) \approx 1.08 \times 10^{-4} \delta(1-z) + \cdots,
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}}(z,M) \approx 0.38 \times 10^{-4} \delta(1-z) + \cdots,
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(|h|=1)}}(z,M) \approx 1.08 \times 10^{-4} \delta(1-z) + \cdots,
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(|h|=2)}}(z,M) \approx 2.27 \times 10^{-4} \delta(1-z) + \cdots.
$$

(Altarelli-Parisi evolution approximately cancels in the ratios of fragmentation functions. We therefore neglect it in our polarization analysis.) Longitudinally and transversely polarized  $\chi_{c1}$ 's are therefore produced at the Tevatron in the ratio  $D_{\chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}:D_{\chi_{c1}^{(|h|=1)}} \approx 1:1$ , while the helicity levels of  $\chi_{c2}$  are populated according to  $D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}}: D_{\chi_{c2}^{(|h|=1)}}: D_{\chi_{c2}^{(|h|=2)}}$  $\approx$  1:2.8:6.0. (The ratios of the total integrated fragmentation probabilities yield  $D_{\chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}:D_{\chi_{c1}^{(|h|=1)}} \approx 1:1$  and  $D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}}:D_{\chi_{c2}^{(|h|=1)}}:D_{\chi_{c2}^{(|h|=2)}}\approx1:2.6:5.2.$  Numerical estimates relative helicity populations are therefore insensitive to terms beside those proportional to  $\delta(1-z)$  in  $D_{g \to \chi_{r}^{(|h|)}(z)$ .) Comparing these  $J=1$  and  $J=2$  ratios to their unpolarized counterparts  $D_{\chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}:D_{\chi_{c1}^{(|h|=1)}}=1:2$  and  $D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}} D_{\chi_{c2}^{(|h|=1)}} D_{\chi_{c2}^{(|h|=2)}} = 1:2:2$ , we clearly see that the their unpolation  $D_{\chi_{c2}^{(h=0)}}$ :  $D_{\chi_{c1}^{(h=0)}}$ <br> $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$ <br>tion are sign states produced as a result of gluon fragmenta tion are significantly polarized.

The source of this sizable  $\chi_{cJ}$  polarization can be traced to the fragmenting gluon. If the gluon were on shell, its polarization would be completely transverse. The extent to which  $g^*$  is actually off shell modifies this result by only  $O(q^2/q_0^2)$  terms. This effect can be seen most simply in the diagram of Fig. 2. The color-octet  ${}^3S_1$  state appearing in the figure corresponds to the  $c\bar{c}$  pair inside the  $|c\bar{c}g\rangle$  Fock component of the  $\chi_{cJ}$  wave function. In order to conserve angular momentum, it must inherit the gluon's polarization. The subsequent transformation of this pair into  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  populates their respective  $|h| = 0,1$  and  $|h| = 0,1,2$  helicity levels in the ratios 1:1 and 1:3:6. As the color-octet terms in Eqs. (4)—(6) numerically dominate over the color-singlet terms, this explanation accounts in large part for the  $\chi_{cJ}$  polarization which we have found.

We now turn to consider the radiative decay  $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma$ . Since the electromagnetic branching ratio for  $\chi_{c0}$  is more than an order of magnitude smaller than those for  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$ , we neglect its contribution to  $J/\psi$  production. The invariant amplitudes for the remaining  $J^{PC} = (1,2)^{++}$  P-wave charmonium states to decay via E1 transitions to the  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$  S-wave state must be parity

even, charge conjugation symmetric, and electromagnetic gauge invariant. They can be written down by inspection:

$$
i\mathcal{A}(\chi_{c1}(p){\to}{J/\psi}(p{-}k)+\gamma(k))
$$

$$
=g_1 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu}^{(\chi_{c1})} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{(J/\psi)} \epsilon_{\beta}^{(\gamma)},
$$
\n
$$
i\mathcal{A}(\chi_{c2}(p) \to J/\psi(p-k) + \gamma(k))
$$
\n
$$
=g_{2} p^{\mu} \epsilon_{(\chi_{c2})}^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{(J/\psi)} [k_{\mu} \epsilon_{\beta}^{(\gamma)} - k_{\beta} \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\gamma)}].
$$
\n(9)

Given these amplitudes, we can determine the photon's angular distribution in the  $\chi_{cJ}$  rest frame. Letting  $\theta$  denote the angle between the photon's three-momentum in this frame and the  $\chi_{cJ}$ 's three-momentum in the laboratory frame, we form the dimensionless ratio

$$
R^{(J)}(\cos\theta) = \sum_{h=-J}^{J} \frac{D_{g \to \chi_{cJ}^{(h)}}}{D_{g \to \chi_{cJ}}} \frac{d\Gamma(\chi_{cJ}^{(h)} \to J/\psi + \gamma)/d\cos\theta}{\Gamma(\chi_{cJ} \to J/\psi + \gamma)},\tag{10}
$$

which is a convenient measure of the angular distribution of photons from polarized  $\chi_{cJ}$ 's. The explicit dependence of  $R^{(J)}$  upon cos $\theta$  is given by

$$
R^{(1)}(\cos \theta) = \frac{3}{8} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\rho}{2} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \rho \right) \cos^2 \theta \right],
$$
  

$$
R^{(2)}(\cos \theta) = \frac{3}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{5}{6} - \frac{\sigma}{12} - \frac{\tau}{3} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4} - \tau \right) \cos^2 \theta \right],
$$
 (11)

where  $\rho = D_{g \to \chi_{c1}^{(|h|=1)}} / D_{g \to \chi_{c1}^{*}}$ ,  $\sigma = D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{(|h|=1)}} / D_{g \to \chi_{c2}^{*}}$ , and  $r=D_{g\to \chi_{c2}^{(|h|=2)}/D_{g\to \chi_{c2}}$ . If  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$  were unpolarized then  $\rho = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\sigma = \tau = \frac{2}{5}$ , and  $R^{(J)}$  would become independent of  $\cos \theta$ . But the fragmentation results in Eq. (8) imply  $\rho \approx 0.49$ ,  $\sigma \approx 0.29$ , and  $\tau \approx 0.61$  and yield

$$
R^{(1)}(\cos \theta) \approx 0.47[1 + 0.21 \cos^2 \theta], \quad (12a)
$$

$$
R^{(2)}(\cos \theta) \approx 0.46[1 + 0.30 \cos^2 \theta]. \tag{12b}
$$

In principle, measurements of  $R^{(1)}$  and  $R^{(2)}$  would determine the polarizations of  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$ . But in practice, it will be much easier to observe the average angular distribution

$$
R^{(\text{avg})}(\cos\theta) = \frac{\sum_{J=1}^{2} D_{g \to \chi_{cJ}} B(\chi_{cJ} \to J/\psi + \gamma) R^{(J)}(\cos\theta)}{\sum_{J=1}^{2} D_{g \to \chi_{cJ}} B(\chi_{cJ} \to J/\psi + \gamma)}
$$
  

$$
\approx 0.47[1 + 0.25 \cos^2\theta],
$$
 (13)

and extract an average  $\chi_{cJ}$  polarization.

The amplitude expressions in (9) can also be used to derive the polarization of the  $J/\psi$  which is induced by its  $\chi_{cJ}$ progenitor. The feeddown from the seperate  $\chi_{cJ}$  helicity modes to those of the  $J/\psi$  is given by

$$
D_{J/\psi(h=0)} = B(\chi_{c1} \to J/\psi + \gamma) \left[\frac{1}{2}D_{\chi_{c1}^{(\vert h \vert=1)}}\right] + B(\chi_{c2} \to J/\psi + \gamma) \left[\frac{2}{3}D_{\chi_{c2}^{(\vert h \vert=1)}}\right] + \frac{1}{2}D_{\chi_{c2}^{(\vert h \vert=1)}}.
$$
\n
$$
D_{J/\psi(\vert h \vert=1)} = B(\chi_{c1} \to J/\psi + \gamma) \left[D_{\chi_{c1}^{(\vert h \vert=0)}} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\chi_{c1}^{(\vert h \vert=1)}}\right] + B(\chi_{c2} \to J/\psi + \gamma) \left[\frac{1}{3}D_{\chi_{c2}^{(\vert h \vert=0)}} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\chi_{c2}^{(\vert h \vert=1)}} + D_{\chi_{c2}^{(\vert h \vert=2)}}\right].
$$
\n
$$
(14)
$$

After inserting the radiative branching ratios and  $\chi_{c,I}$ fragmentation probabilities, we find that longitudinal and transverse  $J/\psi$ 's are produced in the ratio  $D_{J/\psi^{(h=0)}}$ : $D_{J/\psi^{(h|=1)}} \approx 1:3.4$ . Equivalently, the ratio of transversely polarized to total  $J/\psi$ 's equals  $\zeta \approx 0.77$ . This ratio may be measured in the leptonic decay  $J/\psi \rightarrow l^+l^-$ . If  $\Theta$ represents the angle between the lepton three-momentum in the  $J/\psi$  rest frame and the three-momentum of the  $J/\psi$  in the laboratory frame, then

$$
\frac{(d\Gamma/d\cos\Theta)(\psi \to l^+l^-)}{\Gamma(\psi \to l^+l^-)} = \frac{3}{4} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\zeta}{2} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \zeta \right) \cos^2\Theta \right]
$$

$$
\approx 0.46 \left[ 1 + 0.25 \cos^2\Theta \right]. \tag{15}
$$

 $J/\psi$  production via gluon fragmentation to  $\chi_{cJ}$  consequently induces a 25% shift in the lepton pair angular distribution relative to unpolarized  $J/\psi$ 's.

The  $\chi_{cJ}$  and  $J/\psi$  polarizations that we have found represent model independent predictions of QCD which can be experimentally tested. Verification of these results would provide nontrivial checks of the entire fragmentation picture of quarkonium production at large  $p_{\perp}$ .

We have so far considered the production of only the lowest lying  $n = 1$  radial level charmonium states. However, fragmentation ideas can be simply applied to higher radial levels as well. In particular, gluon and charm fragmentation to  $\psi'(2S)$  have been studied in Ref. [2]. Even when fragmentation is included along with direct production, the theoretical prediction for  $\psi'$  production underestimates the number of  $\psi'$ 's which have been observed at the Tevatron by roughly a factor of  $30$  [1]. This large gap between theory and data strongly suggests that some important  $\psi'$  production mechanism still remains to be included.

It is important to recall that  $\psi'$  is the heaviest  $c\bar{c}$  bound state which lies below the  $D\overline{D}$  threshold. Therefore,  $n=1$   $\chi_{cJ}$  states cannot radiatively decay to  $\psi'$ , but their  $n = 2$  counterparts can. None of these latter states which lie above the  $D\overline{D}$  threshold have been observed. Estimates for their masses yield  $M(\chi_{c0}(2P))=3920$  MeV,  $M(\chi_{c1}(2P))$ =3950 MeV, and  $M(\chi_{c2}(2P))$ =3980 MeV [15]. These mass values kinematically allow the S-wave

transitions  $\chi_{c0}(2P) \rightarrow D\overline{D}$  and  $\chi_{c1}(2P) \rightarrow D^* \overline{D}$  to occur.<br>We therefore expect the  $J=0$  and  $J=1$  excited  $\chi_{cJ}$ 's to be broad and to have negligible branching fractions to lower  $c\bar{c}$  bound states. However, angular momentum and parity considerations require the analogous decays  $\chi_{c2}(2P) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ and  $\chi_{c2}(2P) \rightarrow D^*D$  for the  $J=2$  state to proceed via  $L=2$ partial waves. Although we cannot readily compute by how much these  $D$ -wave decays will be suppressed, it is possible that the branching fractions for  $\chi_{c2}(2P)$  transitions to charmonium states below  $D\overline{D}$  threshold could be significant. (The  ${}^{1}D_2$  charmonium state is forbidden from decaying to  $D\overline{D}$  by parity. Moreover, its mass is predicted to lie below the  $D^*D$  threshold [15]. This state is therefore narrow. However, its production is suppressed, and it is expected to have a very small branching ratio to  $\psi'$  y. The contribution of the  ${}^{1}D_2$  state to  $\psi'$  production is consequently negligible.) If so, the experimentally measured branching fraction  $B(\chi_{b2}(2P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S) + \gamma) = 19\%$  in the bb sector suggests that the corresponding fraction  $B(\chi_{c2}(2P) \rightarrow \psi'(2S) + \gamma)$ could lie in the few percent range. We estimate that a 5% branching fraction would enhance the theoretical prediction for  $\psi'$  production by more than an order of magnitude. Such an enhancement would help resolve the  $\psi'$  surplus problem.

Our proposal is admittedly speculative. If this idea is correct, then a  $\psi'$  should be accompanied by a photon resulting from  $\chi_{c2}(2P)$  decay. Since the  $\chi_{c2}(2P)$  is polarized to approximately the same extent as its  $n = 1$  counterpart, the photon will be distributed in angle according to  $R^{(2)}(\cos\theta)$  as specified in Eq. (12b). Moreover, the induced polarization for  $\psi'$  should be slightly enhanced relative to that of  $J/\psi$  since  $\chi_{c1}(2P)$  does not feed down along with  $\chi_{c2}(2P)$ . A measurement of these radially excited states' polarizations would therefore provide a test of this possible  $\psi'$  production mechanism.

The work of P.C. was supported in part by the SSC Laboratory and by the U.S. Department of Energy under DOE Grant No. DE-F603-92-ER40701. The work of M.B.W. was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under DOE Grant No. DE-FG03-92-ER40701. The work of S.P.T. was supported in part by U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC02- 76CHO3000.

- [1] CDF Collaboration, Report No. Fermilab-conf-94/136-E, 1994 (unpublished).
- [2] E. Braaten, M. A. Doncheski, S. Fleming, and M. L. Mangano, Phys. Lett. B 333, 548 (1994).
- [3] M. Cacciari and M. Greco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1586 (1994).
- [4] D. P. Roy and K. Sridhar, Phys. Lett. B 339, 141 (1994).
- [5] E. Braaten and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1673 (1993).
- [6] E. Braaten, K. Cheung, and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 48, 4230 (1993).
- [7] Y.-Q. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 48, 5181 (1993).
- [8] E. Braaten and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3176 (1994).
- [9] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet et al., Phys. Rev. D 50, 1173 (1994).
- [10] A. Falk, M. Luke, M. Savage, and M. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 312, 486 (1993).
- [11] J. H. Kühn, J. Kaplan, and E. G. O. Safiani, Nucl. Phys. **B157**, 125 (1979); B. Guberina, J. H. Kiihn, R. D. Peccei, and R. Rück, ibid. **B174**, 317 (1980).
- [12] K. Cheung and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3181 (1994).
- [13] R. Mertig, M. Böhm, and A. Denner, Comput. Phys. Commun. 64, 345 (1991).
- [14] J. P. Ma, Phys. Lett. B 332, 398 (1994).
- [15] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 32, 189 (1985).