Semileptonic decays of heavy tetraquarks

Chi-Keung Chow

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125 (Received 8 November 1994)

There exist heavy tetraquark states $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ in the heavy quark limit. These states are stable with respect to strong interactions and hence must decay weakly. It is shown that the semileptonic decay depends on a single Isgur-Wise form factor, which can be expressed in terms of the Isgur-Wise form factors which govern the semileptonic decays of the Qqq and QQq baryons.

PACS number(s): 12.39.Mk, 12.39.Hg

The possibility of the existence of exotics, i.e., hadrons with properties incompatible with a $q\bar{q}$ or qqq description, is an important issue in QCD. In particular, the existence of tetraquark (or dimeson) states has been proposed by Jaffe [1] and since then investigated by many other groups [2-5].

The case of binding two heavy quarks to two light antiquarks to form a heavy tetraquark $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ is especially interesting. The two heavy quarks are bound by the short range Coulombic chromoelectric attraction in the $3 \otimes 3 \rightarrow \bar{3}$ channel. The bound state will have a binding energy of order $E \sim \alpha_s^2(m_Q)m_Q$. When m_Q is so large that $E \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, the large binding energy forbids the dissociation $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q} \rightarrow Q\bar{q} + Q\bar{q}$. Moreover, the light degrees of freedom cannot resolve the closely bound QQ system, which has size of order $[m_Q \alpha_s(m_Q)]^{-1} \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-1}$. This results in bound states that have a "brown muck" similar to that of $\bar{\Lambda}_Q$ states, with QQ playing the role of the heavy antiquark. Hence the stability of $\bar{\Lambda}_Q$ implies that $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ is also safe from decaying through $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q} \rightarrow QQq + \bar{q}\bar{q}\bar{q}$. As a result, $QQ\bar{q}\bar{q}$ is stable with respect to strong interactions and must decay weakly.

Since the "brown muck" of the ground state tetraquarks is spinless, the spins of the tetraquarks are just given by the spins of the heavy quarks. As a result, the ground states are degenerate spin S = 0 or 1 tetraquarks. We will denote the tetraquarks by $|T(p, S, m)\rangle$ where m is the z component of the spin. The

normalizations of these states are given by

$$\langle T(p',S',m')|T(p,S,m)\rangle = 16\pi^3\delta_{SS'}\delta_{mm'}\delta^3(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'),$$
(1)

such that, when the masses of the tetraquarks go to infinity, the states are still well defined.

Since the two heavy quarks are bound by a Coulombic potential, we can represent the heavy degrees of freedom as

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_a Q_b(v, S, m)\rangle &= \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \left| Q_a(v_a, s_a) \right\rangle \otimes |Q_b(v_b, s_b)\rangle \\ &\otimes \psi(B; \mathbf{p}) \left(\frac{1}{2}, s_a; \frac{1}{2}, s_b | S, m \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient describes the spin structure of the tetraquark, and $\mathbf{p} = m_a (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v_a}) = -m_b (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v_b})$ is the relative momentum between the heavy quarks. $\psi(B; \mathbf{p})$ is the ground state Coulombic wave function in momentum space:

$$\psi(B;\mathbf{p}) = \frac{4B^{5/2}}{(\mathbf{p}^2 + B^2)^2},\tag{3}$$

with $B = \mu_{ab} \alpha_s(\mu_{ab})$ the reciprocal of the Bohr radius. Together with the spinless light degrees of freedom $|\phi(v)\rangle$, we get the decomposition

$$|T_{ab}(v,S,m)\rangle = |Q_a Q_b \bar{q} \bar{q}(v,S,m)\rangle$$

=
$$\int d^3 \mathbf{p} |Q_a(v_a,s_a)\rangle \otimes |Q_b(v_b,s_b)\rangle \otimes \psi(B;\mathbf{p}) \otimes |\phi(v)\rangle (\frac{1}{2},s_a;\frac{1}{2},s_b|S,m).$$
(4)

We define the Isgur-Wise form factor $\tilde{\eta}_{abc}(w)$ of the semileptonic $T_{ab} \to T_{ac}$ decay by

$$\langle T_{ac}(v',S',m')|\bar{Q}_c\Gamma Q_b|T_{ab}(v,S,m)\rangle = \tilde{\eta}_{abc}(w)\,\delta_{s_as'_a}\,\bar{u}_c(v',s'_c)\Gamma u_b(v,s_b)\,(\frac{1}{2},s_a;\frac{1}{2},s_b|S,m)\,(\frac{1}{2},s'_a;\frac{1}{2},s'_c|S',m'),\tag{5}$$

where $w = v \cdot v'$. The form factor $\tilde{\eta}_{abc}(w)$ contains contributions from both perturbative QCD, which describes the attraction between the two heavy quarks, and nonperturbative QCD, which accounts for the interaction of the QQ system with the light degrees of freedom.

By Eq. (4), the matrix element can also be decomposed as

$$\langle T_{ac}(v',S',m')|\bar{Q}_{c}\Gamma Q_{b}|T_{ab}(v,S,m)\rangle = \int d^{3}\mathbf{p}' \int d^{3}\mathbf{p} \,\psi(C;\mathbf{p}')\psi(B;\mathbf{p}) \,\langle \phi(v')|\phi(v)\rangle \,\langle Q_{a}(v'_{a},s'_{a})|Q_{a}(v_{a},s_{a})\rangle \\ \times \langle Q_{c}(v'_{c},s'_{c})|\bar{Q}_{c}\Gamma Q_{b}|Q_{b}(v_{b},s_{b})\rangle \,(\frac{1}{2},s_{a};\frac{1}{2},s_{b}|S,m) \,(\frac{1}{2},s'_{a};\frac{1}{2},s'_{c}|S',m'),$$
(6)

0556-2821/95/51(7)/3541(3)/\$06.00

© 1995 The American Physical Society

3542

CHI-KEUNG CHOW

where analogously $\mathbf{p}' = m_a \left(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v}'_{\mathbf{a}} \right) = -m_c \left(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v}'_{\mathbf{c}} \right)$ and $C = \mu_{ac} \alpha_s(\mu_{ac})$. In order to evaluate $\langle \phi(v') | \phi(v) \rangle$, recall that

$$|\bar{\Lambda}_{Q}(v,s)\rangle = |\bar{Q}(v,s)\rangle \otimes |\phi(v)\rangle.$$
(7)

Then

The Isgur-Wise form factor $\eta(w)$ of Qqq baryons is defined by [6–9]

$$\langle \bar{\Lambda}_{c}(v',s') | \bar{Q}_{b} \Gamma Q_{c} | \bar{\Lambda}_{b}(v,s) \rangle = \eta(w) \, \bar{v}_{c}(v',s') \Gamma v_{b}(v,s).$$
(9)

Hence we get

$$\eta(w) = \langle \phi(v') | \phi(v) \rangle. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, we can also evaluate the $Q_b \rightarrow Q_c$ matrix element:

$$\langle Q_c(v'_c, s'_c) | \bar{Q}_c \Gamma Q_b | Q_b(v_b, s_b) \rangle = \bar{u}_c(v'_c, s'_c) \Gamma u_b(v_b, s_b).$$
(11)

However, since both $\mathbf{v_b} - \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/m_b$ and $\mathbf{v'_c} - \mathbf{v'} = \mathbf{p'}/m_c$

are small quantities of the order of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$, we can write

$$\langle Q_c(v'_c, s'_c) | \bar{Q}_c \Gamma Q_b | Q_b(v_b, s_b) \rangle$$

$$\sim \bar{u}_c(v', s'_c) \Gamma u_b(v, s_b) + O(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q). \tag{12}$$

The subleading terms will be neglected in our discussion. Lastly, making use of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q_a(v'_a) | Q_a(v_a) \rangle &= \delta^3 (m_a \mathbf{v}'_a - m_a \mathbf{v}_a) \\ &= \delta^3 (\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with $\mathbf{q} = m_a(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v})$, one of the integrals can be evaluated. Then we get

$$\langle T_{ac}(v', S', m') | \bar{Q}_c \Gamma Q_b | T_{ab}(v, S, m) \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \, \psi(C; \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \, \psi(B; \mathbf{p}) \, \delta_{s_a s'_a} \, \bar{u}_c(v', s'_c) \Gamma u_b(v, s_b) \\ \times \eta(w) \, (\frac{1}{2}, s_a; \frac{1}{2}, s_b | S, m) \, (\frac{1}{2}, s'_a; \frac{1}{2}, s'_c | S', m').$$

$$(14)$$

To see the significance of the integral, consider the semileptonic decay $Q_a Q_b q \rightarrow Q_a Q_c q$ which is described by a single Isgur-Wise form factor $\eta_{abc}(w)$ [10]. The "brown muck" of a QQq baryon is similar to that of a heavy meson $\bar{Q}q$, with the two closely bound heavy quarks playing the role of the antiquark. As a result, the analysis goes exactly as above except that the overlap of the light degrees of freedom gives the mesonic Isgur-Wise form factor $\frac{(w+1)}{2}\xi(w)$ instead of $\eta(w)$. Setting the slow varying $\frac{(w+1)}{2}\xi(w)$ to unity, we have

$$\langle Q_a Q_c q(v') | \bar{Q}_c \Gamma Q_b | Q_a Q_b q(v) \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \, \psi(C; \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \, \psi(B; \mathbf{p}) \, \bar{u}_c(v') \Gamma u_b(v). \tag{15}$$

Hence, according to the definition in Ref. [10], we conclude that

$$\eta_{abc}(w) = \eta_{abc} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m_a^2} \right) = \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \, \psi(C; \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \, \psi(B; \mathbf{p}). \tag{16}$$

We finally obtain

$$\langle T_{ac}(v',S',m')|\bar{Q}_c\Gamma Q_b|T_{ab}(v,S,m)\rangle = \eta(w)\,\eta_{abc}(w)\,\delta_{s_as'_a}\,\bar{u}_c(v',s'_c)\Gamma u_b(v,s_b)\,(\frac{1}{2},s_a;\frac{1}{2},s_b|S,m)\,(\frac{1}{2},s'_a;\frac{1}{2},s'_c|S',m'). \tag{17}$$

Comparing with Eq. (5), we get the main result of this paper:

$$\tilde{\eta}_{abc}(w) = \eta(w) \,\eta_{abc}(w). \tag{18}$$

 $[\]eta_{abc}(w)$ describes the perturbative attraction between the two heavy quarks; while $\eta(w)$ accounts for the nonperturbative interaction between the heavy quarks and the "brown muck."

It is in order to discuss the w dependences of various form factors involved in our discussion. The meson Isgur-Wise form factor $\xi(w)$ is a slow-varying function as its slope at the point of zero recoil is of the order of unity. On the other hand, $\psi(B, \mathbf{p})$ has a short range of the order of $B \sim m_Q \alpha_s(m_Q)$. Hence, near the point of zero recoil, the slope $\eta_{abc}(w)$ is of the order of $\alpha_s^{-2}(m_Q)$. In the heavy quark limit, this slope is large $[\alpha_s^{-2}(m_b) \sim 22.5]$ and the w dependence of $\eta_{abc}(w)$ does overwhelm that of $\xi(w)$. This justifies the neglect of the $\xi(w)$ factor in Eq. (15). On the other hand, we expect the baryon Isgur-Wise form factor $\eta(w)$ to be slow varying in the real world. In some models, however, $\eta(w)$ has a strong w dependence. For example, in the large N_c limit [11,12], the slope at the point of zero recoil is of the order of $N_c^{3/2}$. Therefore we keep $\eta(w)$ in our final expression, Eq. (18), for generality.

The form factor $\eta(w)$ is normalized to unity in the heavy quark limit [6]:

$$\eta(1) = 1. \tag{19}$$

No analogous statement exists for $\eta_{abc}(w)$, the analytical form of which is given in Ref. [10]. Indeed it can be seen that

 $\eta_{abc}(1) = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{BC}}{B+C}\right)^3,\tag{20}$

which is not equal to unity unless B = C, i.e, $m_b = m_c$. This is very different from the normalization of $\eta(w)$, which holds regardless of the size of $m_b - m_c$ as long as both m_b and $m_c \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$.

In conclusion, we found that the semileptonic decays of heavy tetraquarks are described by a single Isgur-Wise form factor $\tilde{\eta}_{abc}(w)$, which can be factorized into two pieces. The piece due to nonperturbative QCD is just the Isgur-Wise form factor $\eta(w)$ for $Qqq \rightarrow Qqq$ decays, while the perturbative piece is $\eta_{abc}(w)$, the Isgur-Wise form factor for $QQq \rightarrow QQq$ decays.

The discussion above is expected to hold when $\alpha_s^2(m_Q)m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. In the real world, since the top quark does not live long enough to form hadrons, we just have two "hadronizable" heavy quarks; the *b* quark and the *c* quark. The assumption above, however, holds for neither of them, and our results cannot be applied directly. Still, it is possible that the picture above is at least qualitatively correct and can serve as the starting point of quantitative investigations of the heavy tetraquark systems by including the effects of $1/m_Q$ corrections.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG03-92-ER 40701.

- [1] R.J. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D 15, 267 (1977).
- [2] M.J. Savage and M.B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 248, 177 (1990).
- [3] J.M. Richard, in *The Hadron Mass Spectrum*, Proceedings of the Conference, St. Goar, Germany, 1990, edited by E. Klempt and K. Peters [Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 21, 254 (1991)].
- [4] J.M. Richard, in *Quark Cluster Dynamics*, Proceedings of the Conference, Bad Honnef, Germany, 1992, edited by K. Goeke, P. Kroll, and H.R. Petry (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
- [5] A.V. Manohar and M.B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B399, 17

(1993).

- [6] N. Isgur and M.B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B348, 276 (1991).
- [7] H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. **B348**, 293 (1991).
- [8] T. Mannel, W. Roberts, and Z. Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B355, 38 (1991).
- [9] F. Hussain, J.G. Korner, M. Kramer, and G. Thompson, Z. Phys. C 51, 321 (1991).
- [10] M.J. White and M.J. Savage, Phys. Lett. B271, 410 (1991).
- [11] E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar, and M.B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B396, 38 (1991).
- [12] C.K. Chow, Phys. Rev. D 51, 1224 (1995).