Three-loop free energy for pure gauge QCD

Peter Arnold and Chengxing Zhai Department of Physics, FM-15, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195 (Received 12 August 1994)

We compute the free energy density for pure non-Abelian gauge theory at high temperature and zero chemical potential. The three-loop result to $O(g^4)$ is

$$egin{aligned} F &= d_A T^4 rac{\pi^2}{9} \Bigg\{ -rac{1}{5} + \left(rac{g_A}{4\pi}
ight)^2 - rac{16}{\sqrt{3}} \left(rac{g_A}{4\pi}
ight)^3 - 48 \left(rac{g_A}{4\pi}
ight)^4 \ln\left(rac{g_A}{2\pi\sqrt{3}}
ight) \ &+ \left(rac{g_A}{4\pi}
ight)^4 \Bigg[rac{22}{3} \lnrac{ar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + rac{38}{3} rac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} - rac{148}{3} rac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - 4\gamma_E + rac{64}{5}\Bigg] + O(g_A^5) \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

where T is the temperature, ζ is the Riemann zeta function, $g_A \equiv g(\bar{\mu})C_A^{1/2}$, $\bar{\mu}$ is the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization scale, $g(\bar{\mu})$ is the corresponding coupling constant, and d_A and C_A are the dimension and Casimir number of the adjoint representation. We examine the sensitivity of this result to the choice of renormalization scale $\bar{\mu}$. We also give a result for the free energy of scalar ϕ^4 theory, correcting a result previously given in the literature.

PACS number(s): 11.10.Wx, 12.38.Bx

I. INTRODUCTION

The perturbative expansion of the free energy of hot non-Abelian gauge theory is of the form

$$F \sim T^4 [c_0 + c_2 g^2 + c_3 g^3 + (c'_4 \ln g + c_4) g^4 + O(g^5)],$$
(1.1)

where the c_i are numerical coefficients (with some dependence on the choice of renormalization scale). The leading term is just the free energy of an ideal, ultrarelativistic gas of bosons. The first effect of interactions appears at $O(g^2)$ and can be computed from two-loop diagrams such as Fig. 1. To compute to higher order requires reorganizing perturbation theory to account for Debye screening of electric fields in the plasma and yields terms nonanalytic in g^2 such as $O(g^3)$ and $O(g^4 \ln g)$. The full $O(g^4)$ term requires a three-loop calculation, and a full accounting of Debye screening at three loops would produce the $O(g^5)$ terms. And that is it; perturbation theory is believed incapable of pushing the calculation to any higher order. Beginning with four loops, infrared problems associated with magnetic confinement appear and there is a non-perturbative $O(g^6)$ contribution to the free energy.¹ A complete three-loop calculation of the free energy therefore has the special significance that

it is the best anyone will ever do with perturbation theory. In this paper, our goal is slightly more modest. We shall only tackle the $O(g^4)$ contribution from three loops and leave the $O(g^5)$ contribution for another day.

Another interest of the three-loop calculation is that $O(g^4)$ is the first order that begins to implement the renormalization-scale independence of the free energy. The coupling in (1.1) is really $g(\mu)$, where μ is some renormalization scale, and some of the coefficients depend on $\ln \mu$. The leading term that depends on the interaction is order $g^2(\mu)$, and by itself depends logarithmically on our choice of μ . A change in this term due to a small change in renormalization scale,

$$g^{2}(\mu') = g^{2}(\mu) + \beta_{0}g^{4}(\mu)\ln\frac{{\mu'}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \cdots,$$
 (1.2)

is compensated by changes in higher-order contributions, first starting at $O(g^4)$. The $O(g^4)$ result should therefore



FIG. 1. A two-loop contribution to the free energy.

¹For a review of this, and also of the previously mentioned reorganization of perturbation theory due to Debye screening, see Sec. IV of Ref. [1] and also Ref. [2].

have a flatter dependence on μ than the $O(g^2)$ result. By checking this claim, we can get some idea of the theoretical uncertainties of lower order calculations and perhaps learn some qualitative lessons that will carry over to other thermal quantities.

The $O(g^3)$ piece of the free energy of non-Abelian gauge theory was previously obtained by Kapusta [3], and the $O(g^4 \ln g)$ piece by Toimela [4]. We shall compute an analytic result for the full $O(g^4)$ contribution. In somewhat related work, Corianò and Parwani [5] have recently studied high-temperature QED and numerically extracted the $O(g^4)$ contribution, and Parwani [6] has also found the $O(g^5)$ piece. (Unlike in non-Abelian gauge theory, the perturbation series in QED does not break down after g^5 .) We shall only study pure gauge theory in this paper and do not include any fermions. Fermions will be included in a later work.

In the next section, we begin our task by computing the $O(g^4)$ contribution to the free energy in pure scalar theory. The result for the basic, three-loop scalar diagram will be essential to the later gauge theory calculation, and we shall step through our technique for evaluating it analytically. We shall also briefly review the reorganization of the perturbation theory to account for the scalar analogue of the Debye mass. In Sec. III, we turn to non-Abelian gauge theory and show how many threeloop diagrams can be reduced to the scalar case. We then discuss how to evaluate the exceptions, which are two-particle-reducible diagrams. Finally, in Sec. IV we discuss our results and examine the renormalization scale dependence. The details of several calculations needed along the way are relegated to appendices.

Throughout this paper we shall find it convenient to work almost exclusively in the Euclidean (imaginary time) formulation of thermal field theory. We shall conventionally refer to four-momenta with capital letters K and to their components with lower case letters: $K = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$. Unless explicitly noted otherwise, all four-momenta are Euclidean with discrete frequencies $k_0 = 2\pi nT$.

II. SCALAR THEORY

A. Basics

Consider the theory of a real-scalar field with Euclidean Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_E = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{4!} g^2 \phi^4 \,, \tag{2.1}$$

where we consider temperatures large enough that any zero-temperature mass can be neglected. The $O(g^4)$ contribution to the free energy of this theory has been computed numerically by Frenkel, Saa, and Taylor [7].² In

this section, we show how to obtain the result analytically and also correct an error in the derivation of Frenkel et al.

At high temperature, the scalar picks up a thermal contribution $\frac{1}{24}g^2T^2$ to its effective mass from the oneloop diagram of Fig. 2. It is inefficient to do perturbation theory with zero-temperature scalar propagators, which do not account for this effect. We follow Refs. [7,8] and rewrite the Lagrangian as³

$$\mathcal{L}_E = \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{4!}g^2\phi^4 - \frac{1}{48}g^2T^2\phi^2, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial \phi)^{2} + \frac{1}{24} g^{2} T^{2} \phi^{2} \right] , \qquad (2.3)$$

where the thermal mass has simply been added in and subtracted out so that nothing is changed. Now treat \mathcal{L}_0 as the *unperturbed* Lagrangian and the last term as a perturbation. This reorganization of the perturbative expansion is necessary to get a well-behaved expansion in g. One can imagine including yet-higher order corrections to the thermal mass in \mathcal{L}_0 above, but this is unnecessary and we shall not do so.

We regularize the theory by working in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions with the modified minimal subtraction ($\overline{\text{MS}}$) scheme. This corresponds to doing minimal subtraction (MS) and then changing the MS scale μ to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scale $\bar{\mu}$ by the substitution

$$\mu^2 = \frac{e^{\gamma_E} \bar{\mu}^2}{4\pi} \,. \tag{2.4}$$

In dimensional regularization, the one-loop thermal mass generated by Fig. 2 is

$$m^2 = \frac{1}{2}g^2 \sum_P \frac{1}{P^2} , \qquad (2.5)$$

where the integral-summation sign above is shorthand for the Euclidean integration

$$\oint_{P} \to \mu^{2\epsilon} T \sum_{p_0} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon} p}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}}$$
(2.6)



FIG. 2. One-loop contribution to the scalar thermal mass.

²Note that our g^2 is 4! times their g^2 and that our ϵ is half of theirs.

³For a short review in a slightly different context, that also contrasts this resummation scheme with the slightly different one we shall use in the next section for gauge theories, see Ref. [9].

and the sum is over $p_0 = 2\pi nT$ for all integers *n*. Our reorganized Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{E} &= \mathcal{L}_{0} + \frac{1}{2} (Z_{1} - 1) (\partial \phi)^{2} + \frac{1}{4!} \mu^{2\epsilon} Z_{2} g^{2} \phi^{4} - \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \phi^{2} , \\ (2.7) \\ \mathcal{L}_{0} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial \phi)^{2} + m^{2} \phi^{2} \right] , \end{aligned}$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 are the usual zero-temperature multiplicative renormalizations:

$$Z_1 = 1 + O(g^4), \qquad (2.9)$$

$$Z_2 = 1 + \frac{3}{2\epsilon} \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2} + O(g^4).$$
 (2.10)

The diagrams contributing to the free energy F through three loops are shown in Fig. 3, where all propagators represent the reorganized propagators of \mathcal{L}_0 . The sum of these diagrams gives -F. All of the diagrams except the last, the basketball diagram, are simple because they factorize into one-loop integrals. Diagrams (e)-(g) in Fig. 3 are particularly simple because they cancel each other at $O(g^4)$. Figure 3(a) represents the contribution to -F of a noninteracting gas of bosons of mass m, and its high-temperature expansion is well known [10]:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \oint_{P} \ln(P^{2} + m^{2}) = \frac{\pi^{2}}{90} T^{4} - \frac{1}{24} m^{2} T^{2} + \frac{1}{12\pi} m^{3} T$$
$$+ \frac{1}{64\pi^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + 2\gamma_{E} \right] m^{4}$$
$$+ O(m^{6}/T^{2}, \epsilon) . \qquad (2.11)$$

The one-loop integral needed for the remaining diagrams



FIG. 3. Diagrams contributing to the free energy in scalar ϕ^4 theory. Dots represent zero-temperature counterterms, and crosses represent the "thermal counterterm" arising from the last term of (2.7).

is obtained⁴ by differentiation with respect to m^2 :

We have shown only those terms that can contribute to the free energy at $O(g^4)$, but this requires introducing a term of $O(\epsilon)$ that was not needed in (2.11). The coefficient ι_{ϵ} of this term is less well known, and it is worth taking a moment to focus on the m = 0 case and review its simple derivation:

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{P} \frac{1}{P^2} = \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}p}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{1}{p^2} + 2\mu^{2\epsilon} T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}p}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{1}{(2\pi nT)^2 + p^2} \\ &= 2\mu^{2\epsilon} T \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}p}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{1}{(2\pi T)^2 + p^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-2\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{T^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\pi T^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right) \zeta(-1 + 2\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{12} \left[1 + \epsilon \left(2\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + 2\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + 2\right)\right] + O(\epsilon^2) \,, \end{split}$$
(2.13)

so that

$$u_{\epsilon} = 2\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + 2\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + 2. \qquad (2.14)$$

⁴For a sketch of an alternative derivation directly in Euclidean space, see for example Sec. III D of Ref. [9].

With these tools, all of the diagrams but the last are straightforward. The individual contributions of each diagram are summarized in Appendix A. Frenkel *et al.* [7] did not properly account for the ι_{ϵ} term of (2.12) when evaluating Figs. 3(a)-3(c).⁵

B. The basketball diagram

The last diagram of Fig. 3 is more difficult. One simplification occurs because the diagram remains infrared convergent when the mass m in the propagators is set to zero. This means that the presence of m has only a subleading effect on the diagram, since m itself is O(g). We can ignore m here if we are interested in the free energy only to $O(g^4)$, and the basketball diagram is then proportional to

$$I_{\text{ball}} \equiv \oint_{PQK} \frac{1}{P^2 Q^2 K^2 (P+Q+K)^2} \,. \tag{2.15}$$

Our attack on this integral starts with the observation that the basketball diagram of Fig. 3(h) requires three independent four-momentum integrations if evaluated in momentum space but only one four-space integration if instead evaluated in configuration space. This suggests the diagram may be more tractable in configuration space. Indeed it would be if the diagram were ultraviolet (UV) convergent and we could set ϵ to zero. The configuration space propagator in four dimensions, with period 1/T in Euclidean time, is the relatively simple function

$$\Delta(\tau, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{T}{4\pi r} \frac{\sinh(2\pi rT)}{\left[\cosh(2\pi rT) - \cos(2\pi\tau T)\right]}, \qquad (2.16)$$

but in $4-2\epsilon$ dimensions it is a nightmare. We therefore need to first subtract out the UV divergent pieces, and evaluate them separately, so that we can then evaluate the remainder in four dimensions. We found, however, that making these subtractions is more convenient in momentum space than in configuration space. As a result, our derivation mixes the use of momentum and configuration space. First, we shall always treat the Euclidean time direction in frequency space. In configuration space for the remaining, spatial dimensions, the propagator $1/P^2$ then has a very simple form in exactly four dimensions:

$$\Delta(p_0, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^{-|p_0|r}}{4\pi r} \,. \tag{2.17}$$

Our approach will be to start with the the momentum space form (2.15) of the basketball integral, convert it

step by step into a configuration space form, and make needed subtractions as we go along.

1. A careless derivation

To simplify the presentation, let us first forget about the UV subtractions and run through the derivation pretending that it makes sense in exactly four dimensions despite the UV divergences. We will later step through it a second time, handling the divergences more carefully. We start by noting that, by a shift of variables, the momentum integral (2.15) can be written in the form

$$I_{\text{ball}} = \sum_{P} [\Pi(P)]^2 , \qquad (2.18)$$

where

$$\Pi(P) \equiv \oint_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2 (P+Q)^2} \,. \tag{2.19}$$

Now let us evaluate $\Pi(P)$ using configuration space and (2.17):

$$\Pi(P) = T \sum_{q_0} \int d^3 r \, e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \Delta(q_0,\mathbf{r}) \, \Delta(p_0+q_0,\mathbf{r})$$

$$= \frac{T}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{q_0} \int d^3 r \, \frac{1}{r^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{-|q_0|r} e^{-|p_0+q_0|r}$$

$$= \frac{T}{(4\pi)^2} \int d^3 r \, \frac{1}{r^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} (\coth\bar{r}+|\bar{p}_0|) e^{-|p_0|r} ,$$
(2.20)

where we have introduced the dimensionless variables

$$\bar{r} \equiv 2\pi T r$$
, $\bar{p}_0 \equiv p_0/2\pi T$. (2.21)

Plugging this into (2.18), the **p** integral becomes trivial, producing

$$\begin{split} I_{\text{ball}} &= \frac{T^3}{(4\pi)^4} \sum_{p_0} \int d^3 r \, r^{-4} (\coth \bar{r} + |\bar{p}_0|)^2 e^{-2|p_0|r} \\ &= \frac{T^4}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\bar{r} \, \bar{r}^{-2} \left(\coth^2 \bar{r} - \coth \bar{r} \, \partial_{\bar{r}} + \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\bar{r}}^2 \right) \\ &\times \coth \bar{r} \, . \end{split}$$

As we shall discuss later, integrals such as this can be performed analytically when they are convergent. The present result does not make any sense, however, because the UV behavior $(\bar{r} \rightarrow 0)$ of the integrand makes the integral divergent. We shall now repeat the above derivation while making necessary subtractions as we go along.

2. Subtraction of UV divergences

Let us start with the expression (2.20) for $\Pi(P)$. This integral is logarithmically divergent in the ultraviolet. As usual with one-loop integrals at finite temperature, however, it can be made finite simply by subtracting out the zero-temperature contribution. So we write

⁵More specifically, because their definition of $m^2 = \frac{1}{24}g^2T^2$ differs from (2.5) at $O(\epsilon)$, their thermal counterterm does not exactly cancel the one-loop diagram of Fig. 2 and they should have an extra term in their Eq. (14). If one instead uses our definition (2.5), then their Eq. (14) is correct but there should be an extra term in the one-loop pressure in their Eq. (11).

$$\Pi(P) = \Pi^{(0)}(P) + \Pi^{(T)}(P), \qquad (2.23)$$

where $\Pi^{(0)}(P)$ is the zero-temperature result

$$\Pi^{(0)}(P) = \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^d Q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{Q^2 (P+Q)^2} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{P^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \gamma_E + O(\epsilon)\right).$$
(2.24)

In four dimensions, $\Pi^{(T)}(P)$ can be obtained from (2.20) by subtracting out its $T \to 0$ limit (with P fixed):

$$\Pi^{(T)}(P) = \frac{T}{(4\pi)^2} \int d^3r \, \frac{1}{r^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left(\coth\bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right) e^{-|\mathbf{p}_0|r} + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{2.25}$$

We now split our computation of the basketball diagram into

$$I_{\text{ball}} = \oint [\Pi^{(T)}]^2 + 2 \oint \Pi^{(T)} \Pi^{(0)} + \oiint [\Pi^{(0)}]^2 \,. \quad (2.26)$$

Though $\Pi^{(T)}$ is finite in four dimensions, the first term above is not because $\Pi^{(T)} \sim 1/P^2$ as $P \rightarrow \infty$; the first term therefore has a logarithmic UV divergence. The large P (i.e., $P \gg T$) behavior of $\Pi^{(T)}$ is easy to extract by staring at the definition (2.19) of Π . The dominant contribution comes from routing the large momentum P solely through one of the two propagators and then integrating over the relatively small momentum $Q \lesssim T$ in the other propagator:

$$\Pi^{(T)}(P) \to \frac{2}{P^2} \left(\sum \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)^{(T)} = \frac{2}{P^2} \sum \frac{1}{Q^2} \frac{1}{Q^2} \,. \quad (2.27)$$

A more rigorous derivation may be found in Appendix B. This limit is not restricted to four dimensions, so we are now in a position to subtract out the UV divergence in our integral:

$$\begin{split} \sum f'[\Pi^{(T)}]^2 &= \sum f'_P \left\{ [\Pi^{(T)}(P)]^2 - \left(\frac{2}{P^2} \sum f_Q \frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^2 \right\} \\ &+ \sum f'_P \left(\frac{2}{P^2} \sum f_Q \frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^2 \,. \end{split}$$
(2.28)

The first integral is now UV finite, and so we might hope to evaluate it in exactly four dimensions. However, it is not also *infrared* finite if we evaluate the $p_0 = 0$ term of the frequency sum; for $p_0 = 0$, the subtraction we made diverges linearly with **p** in the infrared. We shall therefore treat the $p_0 = 0$ mode separately and put primes on integrals, as we have above, to denote that this mode is excluded:

$$\oint_{P}^{\prime} \to \mu^{2\epsilon} T \sum_{p_0 \neq 0} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon} p}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \,. \tag{2.29}$$

We can now evaluate the first term of (2.28) in exactly four dimensions.

The leading large P behavior (2.27) of $\Pi^{(T)}$ is related to the leading small r behavior of the integrand in (2.25) and is given by

$$\Pi^{(T)}(P) \to \frac{T}{(4\pi)^2} \int d^3r \, \frac{1}{r^2} \, e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \, \frac{\bar{r}}{3} \, e^{-|\mathbf{p}_0|\mathbf{r}} + O(\epsilon) \,.$$
(2.30)

Following the same steps as in the careless derivation of the preceding section, we then obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{P} \left\{ [\Pi^{(T)}(P)]^2 - \left(\frac{2}{P^2} \sum_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^2 \right\} &= \frac{T^3}{(4\pi)^4} \sum_{p_0 \neq 0} \int d^3 r \, r^{-4} \left[\left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{3}\right)^2 \right] e^{-2|p_0|\bar{r}} + O(\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{T^4}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\bar{r} \, \bar{r}^{-2} \left[\left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{r}}{3}\right)^2 \right] (\coth \bar{r} - 1) + O(\epsilon) \,. \end{split}$$
(2.31)

This integral is both IR and UV convergent and can be evaluated using the techniques of Appendix C to give

$$\mathfrak{P}_{P}^{f'}\left\{ [\Pi^{(T)}(P)]^{2} - \left(\frac{2}{P^{2}} \mathfrak{P}_{Q}^{f} \frac{1}{Q^{2}}\right)^{2} \right\} \\
= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left(\frac{T^{2}}{12}\right)^{2} \left[-16 \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + 1152\zeta'(-2) + 24 \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - 8\gamma_{E} + \frac{28}{15} \right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(2.32)

The last term in (2.28) is easily evaluated in $4-2\epsilon$ dimensions using (2.13) and

$$\oint_{P} \frac{1}{P^{4}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + 2\gamma_{E} \right] + O(\epsilon),$$
(2.33)

which may be obtained in a manner similar to (2.13).

Completing the derivation of the $[\Pi^{(T)}]^2$ contribution to I_{ball} now just requires adding in the contribution of the $p_0 = 0$ mode, which is UV convergent and does not require any subtractions:

PETER ARNOLD AND CHENGXING ZHAI

$$T \int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} [\Pi^{(T)}(0,p)]^2 = \frac{T^4}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\bar{r} \, \bar{r}^{-2} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right)^2 + O(\epsilon)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12} \right)^2 [-1152\zeta'(-2)] + O(\epsilon) \,, \tag{2.34}$$

where we have again used the techniques of Appendix C to do the integral. Putting together (2.13), (2.28), (2.32), (2.33), (2.34) then gives

$$\oint [\Pi^{(T)}]^2 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{4}{\epsilon} + 24\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} - 16\frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + 40\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{268}{15}\right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(2.35)

Now that we have covered the basic ideas of our technique, we will leave the evaluation of the remaining two terms in (2.26) to Appendix D. The final result for the basketball integral (2.15) is

$$I_{\text{ball}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{6}{\epsilon} + 36\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} - 12\frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + 48\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{182}{5}\right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(2.36)

This agrees with the numerical result of Ref. [7]. We should mention that our analytic result can also be obtained from the integrals generated by a real-time analysis, such as in Ref. [7], and we show how to do this in Appendix E. We have found it simpler to stick to Euclidean space, however, to evaluate diagrams involving double poles $1/P^4$ which will appear later in gauge theories.

C. The result

Putting together all the diagrams, which are independently tabulated in Appendix A, one finds that the free energy in ϕ^4 theory at high temperature is

$$F = T^{4} \frac{\pi^{2}}{9} \left\{ -\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{g}{4\pi}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\frac{g}{4\pi}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{g}{4\pi}\right)^{4} \left[-\frac{3}{8} \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - \frac{1}{8} \gamma_{E} + \frac{59}{120} \right] + O(g^{5}) \right\}.$$
 (2.37)

Before leaving scalar theory, we should mention one other basic scalar integral which appears in the literature [8,9] and which will be needed when we analyze gauge theories. It is the integral corresponding to the setting sun diagram of Fig. 4:

$$\begin{split} I_{\rm sun}(m_1,m_2,m_3) \\ &\equiv \oint_{PQ} \frac{1}{(P^2+m_1^2)(Q^2+m_2^2)[(P+Q)^2+m_3^2]}\,, \ \ (2.38) \end{split}$$

evaluated to leading order in the masses. It has previously only been evaluated numerically [8], but the same techniques we applied to the basketball diagram can be used to obtain an analytic result. We give the derivation in Appendix F, with the result that

$$I_{sun} = \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \ln\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O(m,\epsilon) \,.$$
(2.39)

III. NON-ABELIAN GAUGE THEORY

We now turn to pure non-Abelian gauge theory, given by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_E = \frac{1}{4} \left(\partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \right)^2 + (\text{gauge fixing}) \,.$$
(3.1)

We shall work exclusively in Feynman gauge. (It would be nice to explicitly verify that our results are independent of gauge choice, but we have not done so.) Let d_A and C_A be the dimension and Casimir operator of the adjoint representation, with C_A given by

$$f^{abc}f^{dbc} = C_A \delta^{ad} . \tag{3.2}$$

For SU(N), they are



FIG. 4. The setting sun diagram.

$$d_A = N^2 - 1, \quad C_A = N.$$
 (3.3)

It is also convenient to define the effective coupling g_A of the adjoint representation by

$$g_A^2 \equiv g^2 C_A \,. \tag{3.4}$$

As before, we shall regulate the theory with dimensional regularization in the \overline{MS} scheme.

As in the scalar case, one-loop effects induce a thermal mass contribution. This mass is given by the one-loop self-energy $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ at zero momentum, and in Euclidean space a mass is generated for A_0 but not for $\mathbf{A}.^6$ This mass M is the Debye screening mass for static electric fields and may be evaluated from the diagrams of Fig. 5 as

$$M^{2}\delta^{ab} = \Pi^{ab}_{\mu\mu}(0) = g^{2}_{A}(d-2)^{2} \sum_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \delta^{ab} \,. \tag{3.5}$$

In four dimensions, M^2 is simply $g_A^2 T^2/3$. In the gauge theory calculation, we find it calculationally convenient to use a slightly different reorganization of perturbation theory than we did in the scalar case. The success of the reorganization only depends on the behavior of the propagator in the infrared $(p_0=0, p \ll T)$, where the mass cannot be treated as a perturbation. We follow Ref. [9] and only introduce the mass for the $p_0 = 0$ mode. That is, we rewrite our Lagrangian density, in frequency space, as

$$\mathcal{L}_{E} = \left(\mathcal{L}_{E} + \frac{1}{2}M^{2}A_{0}^{a}A_{0}^{a}\delta_{p_{0}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}M^{2}A_{0}^{a}A_{0}^{a}\delta_{p_{0}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where δ_{p_0} is shorthand for the the Kronecker delta function $\delta_{p_0,0}$. Then we absorb the first A_0^2 term into our unperturbed Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_0 and treat the second A_0^2 term as a perturbation.⁷

Since the necessity of resummation is an infrared phenomenon, associated with the mass scale gT, it is worth noting that the prescription (3.6) can be naturally expressed in the language of decoupling. First imagine integrating out all the physics associated with scales $\gtrsim T$. In particular, integrating out all of the $p_0 \neq 0$ modes in Euclidean space generates an effective three-dimensional theory of the remaining $p_0 = 0$ modes. This effective theory will have the thermal mass for A_0 and other in-



FIG. 5. The one-loop gluon self-energy.

teractions induced by the heavy modes, which can be computed to any desired order in perturbation theory. Only then does one finally integrate out the $p_0 = 0$ modes after deciding on a sensible partition of the effective three-dimensional Lagrangian into an unperturbed piece, containing the thermal mass terms, and a perturbative piece. Rather than carry out the reduction to this effective theory explicitly, however, we find it simplest to just introduce the reorganization (3.6). We refer the reader to Secs. IIID and VI of Ref. [9] for details of how to implement this form of reorganization on two-loop graphs.⁸



FIG. 6. Diagrams contributing to the free energy in gauge theory. The crosses are the "thermal counterterms" arising from the last term of (3.6). We have not explicitly shown any zero-temperature counterterms, and each diagram should be multiplied by the appropriate multiplicative renormalizations for vertices and propagators.

⁶Throughout this article, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(0)$ will refer to the Euclidean limit $(p_0=0, \mathbf{p}\to 0)$ and never to the limit $(p_0\to 0, \mathbf{p}=0)$ which may be achieved by analytic continuation and which gives the mass gap for propagating plasma waves.

⁷This reorganization only helps in the evaluation of static quantities such as the free energy. To evaluate timedependent correlations in real time, one would need the resummation scheme of Braaten and Pisarski [11].

⁸The reader should beware that many of the specific formulas of Ref. [9] are particular to Landau gauge, whereas in the present work we are working in Feynman gauge.

The diagrams that contribute to the free energy are shown in Fig. 6. The diagrams involving only one-loop integrations are trivial, and the resummation and the twoloop graphs can be handled by the methods of Ref. [9]. Let us therefore focus on the three-loop diagrams. The first potential problem is that some of the individual diagrams, such as Fig. 7, are infrared divergent because of the masslessness of the **A** propagator. However, the particular combination we have shown in Fig. 6(1) is well behaved in the infrared since the shaded blobs,

$$\Delta \Pi_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Pi_{\mu\nu}(P) - \Pi_{\mu\nu}(0)\delta_{P_0}, \qquad (3.7)$$

are O(pT) for $(p_0=0, \mathbf{p}\rightarrow 0)$. We can then also drop the Debye mass M in evaluating the three-loop graphs since, as in the scalar case, the corrections to the free energy will be beyond $O(g^4)$.

All of the three-loop diagrams except (l) can be reduced to the scalar basketball integral of (2.15). For example, diagram (i) is equal to

$$\mu^{2\epsilon} F^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{8} d_A g_A^4 \sum_{PQK} \frac{P \cdot (Q-K) (P-K) \cdot Q}{P^2 Q^2 K^2 (P-Q)^2 (Q-K)^2 (K-P)^2} \,.$$
(3.8)

This may be reduced by (1) expanding numerator factors in terms of denominator factors to cancel factors between numerator and denominator, such as

$$P \cdot (Q - K) = \frac{1}{2} [(K - P)^2 - K^2 - (P - Q)^2 + Q^2],$$
(3.9)

(2) performing appropriate changes of variables to collect similar terms and (3) using the identity

which follows by averaging the left-hand side with itself, after applying the change of variables $P \rightarrow -P-Q-K$. Appendix G steps through this reduction for the example (3.8), and the reductions of diagrams (g-k,m) are all tabulated in Appendix A.

Unfortunately, diagram (l) cannot be reduced to the scalar basketball. If one tries the above tricks, one finds a term of the form

$$I_{\text{hard}} \equiv \oint_{PQK} \frac{(Q \cdot K)^2}{P^4 Q^2 K^2 (P+Q)^2 (P+K)^2} \qquad (3.11)$$

for which the tricks fail to remove the numerator factor. So we have a new basic integral that we must evaluate, like the basketball integral of scalar theory. We have found it more tractable, however, to apply our integration method directly to the original diagram (l) because the orthogonality of the one-loop self-energy $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(P)$ to P_{μ} leads to useful algebraic simplifications. Diagram (l) is proportional to

$$d_A g_A^4 I_{\rm QCD} \equiv \oint_P \frac{1}{P^4} {\rm tr}[\Delta \Pi_{\mu\nu}(P)]^2 \,.$$
 (3.12)

The evaluation of $I_{\rm QCD}$ is somewhat similar to that of the basketball integral $I_{\rm ball}$ and is presented in Appendix H. We should mention, however, that the derivation is more complicated and involves a miraculous cancellation between two complicated integrals that we do not know how to calculate individually. The appearance and cancellation of such complications suggests that we may still be missing the most elegant method for making these calculations.

All the results for individual graphs are collected in Appendix A, with the final result that

$$F = d_A T^4 \frac{\pi^2}{9} \left\{ -\frac{1}{5} + \left(\frac{g_A}{4\pi}\right)^2 - \frac{16}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{g_A}{4\pi}\right)^3 - 48 \left(\frac{g_A}{4\pi}\right)^4 \ln\left(\frac{g_A}{2\pi\sqrt{3}}\right) + \left(\frac{g_A}{4\pi}\right)^4 \left[\frac{22}{3} \ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \frac{38}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} - \frac{148}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - 4\gamma_E + \frac{64}{5}\right] + O(g_A^5) \right\}.$$
(3.13)

For those who prefer ζ functions with positive arguments,

$$\frac{\zeta'(-n)}{\zeta(-n)} = \ln(2\pi) + \gamma_E - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\zeta'(1+n)}{\zeta(1+n)}, \qquad n \text{ odd}.$$
(3.14)

IV. DISCUSSION

Evaluated numerically, our result (3.13) is

$$F = -d_A \frac{\pi^2 T^4}{45} \left[1 - 0.31250 \left(\frac{g_A}{\pi}\right)^2 + 0.72168 \left(\frac{g_A}{\pi}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{g_A}{\pi}\right)^4 \left(0.93750 \ln \frac{g_A}{\pi} - 0.14323 \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{T} + 0.74582\right) + O(g_A^5) \right].$$
(4.1)



FIG. 7. A diagram with infrared problems.

We have chosen the expansion parameter g_A/π simply because it makes all the coefficients of order 1.

Now we can ask whether perturbation theory is behaving well for physically realized values of the couplings. In particular, we can investigate (1) the size of corrections from different orders for a fixed choice of renormalization scale, such as $\bar{\mu} = T$, and (2) whether higher-order results are less sensitive to the choice of the renormalization scale $\bar{\mu}$ than lower-order results. This information is summarized in Fig. 8 for pure gauge QCD with $\alpha_s(T) = 0.1$, which for real QCD would correspond to a temperature around the electroweak scale. We have used the two-loop renormalization group to compute $g(\mu)$:

$$\frac{1}{g_A^2(\bar{\mu})} \approx \frac{1}{g_A^2(T)} - \beta_0 \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{T} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \ln \left(1 - \beta_0 g_A^2(T) \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{T}\right) ,$$

$$(4.2)$$

where

$$\beta_0 = -\frac{22}{3(4\pi)^2}, \qquad \beta_1 = -\frac{68}{3(4\pi)^4}.$$
 (4.3)

At $\bar{\mu} = T$, the terms of (4.1) for $\alpha_s(T)=0.1$ are

$$F = -d_A \frac{\pi^2 T^4}{45} \times [1 - 0.12 + 0.17 + (-0.07 + 0 + 0.11) + O(g_A^5)].$$

$$(4.4)$$

The behavior of the perturbative expansion does not look particularly good, though a partial cancellation between the $g^4 \ln g$ and g^4 terms makes the total $O(g^4)$ contribution relatively small. Alternatively, examine the sensitiv-



FIG. 8. The dependence of the free energy F on the choice of renormalization scale $\bar{\mu}$ for pure gauge QCD with $\alpha_s(T) = 0.1$. The free energy is normalized in units of the ideal gas result $-d_A \pi^2 T^4/45$. The thick solid, dashed, and dotted lines are the results for F including terms through g^4 , g^3 , and g^2 , respectively. The light solid curve is the g^4 result plus the $g^5 \ln(\bar{\mu}/T)$ term required by renormalization group invariance. The light dashed curve is the g^4 result minus the g^3 term.

ity of the result to the choice of renormalization scale by examining the slopes of the curves in Fig. 8 at $\bar{\mu} = T$. Contrary to one's expectation for a well-behaved perturbative expansion, the $O(g^4)$ result is *more* sensitive to $\bar{\mu}$ than the $O(g^2)$ or $O(g^3)$ results.

The $O(g^4)$ result has to be less sensitive to $\bar{\mu}$ if g is sufficiently small. Figure 9 shows the dependence for $\alpha_s(T) = 0.02$. This is equivalent to a system of interest pure electroweak theory at the electroweak scale, with $\alpha_W \approx 1/33$. Yet still the $O(g^4)$ result is no less sensitive than the $O(g^2)$ result. Figure 10 shows $\alpha_s(T) = 0.001$, where we finally see the expected behavior. (In six-flavor QCD, this α_s would correspond to a temperature of 10^{387} GeV.)

The source of the sensitivity problem can be found by remembering that the g^3 term probes different physics



FIG. 9. The same as Fig. 8 but for $\alpha_s(T) = 0.02$ [which is identical to SU(2) gauge theory with $\alpha_w(T) \approx 1/33$].

7612



FIG. 10. The same as Fig. 8 but for $\alpha_s(T) = 0.001$.

than the g^2 term: the g^2 term is produced by particles with hard thermal momenta of order T, while the g^3 term arises from the interactions of particles with softer momentum of order gT. Since the g^3 term is the *leading*order contribution of the physics of scale gT, it perhaps should be treated independently of the g^2 term when discussing whether the perturbation expansion is well behaved. The g^4 terms reduce the sensitivity of the g^2 term to $\bar{\mu}$, and the g^5 contribution will be needed to reduce the sensitivity of the g^3 term. The light dashed line in Figs. 8 and 9 show the result of the free energy through $O(g^4)$ if the g^3 term is artificially *excluded*. The sensitivity to $\bar{\mu}$, compared to the $O(g^2)$ result, is indeed much better than before.

In order to put the $O(g^3)$ term back in, we have tried adding the $g^5 \ln \mu$ term that is determined by renormalization group invariance. The light solid lines in Figs. 8 and 9 represent our result (3.13) with the addition

$$\Delta F = d_A T^4 \frac{\pi^2}{9} \left\{ -\frac{16}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{g_A}{4\pi} \right)^5 \left[11 \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} \right] \right\}.$$
(4.5)

The results are much better behaved than the $O(g^4)$ results discussed earlier. Of course, the constant under the log at $O(g^5)$ is unknown and will change the curves somewhat. Our understanding of whether perturbative results are indeed well behaved in high-temperature QCD, for realistic coupling constants, would therefore benefit by a true calculation to $O(g^5)$ —the last order accessible to perturbation theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Grant No. DE-FG06-91ER40614. We thank Larry Yaffe, and most especially Lowell Brown, for their repeated suggestions that we try analyzing our basic diagrams in configuration space using the simple form of the propagators in three spatial dimensions. We also thank Rajesh Parwani and Claudio Corianò for useful discussions.

APPENDIX A: RESULTS FOR INDIVIDUAL GRAPHS

1. Scalar theory

The diagrams of Fig. 3 are given by

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(\mathbf{a})} = -\frac{1}{2} \oint \ln(P^2 + m^2), \qquad (A1a)$$

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(b)} = -\frac{1}{8}g^2 \left(\sum \frac{1}{P^2 + m^2} \right)^2 , \qquad (A1b)$$

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(c)} = \frac{1}{2}m^2 \oint \frac{1}{P^2 + m^2},$$
 (A1c)

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon} F^{(d)} = -\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{3g^4}{32\pi^2 \epsilon} \right) \left(\sum \frac{1}{P^2 + m^2} \right)^2 + O(g^6) ,$$
(A1d)

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}(F^{(e)} + F^{(f)} + F^{(g)}) = O(g^5), \qquad (A1e)$$

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon} F^{(h)} = \frac{1}{48} g^4 I_{\text{ball}} + O(g^5) \,. \tag{A1h}$$

2. Gauge theory

Writing $F = \mu^{-2\epsilon} d_A \mathcal{F}$ and ignoring terms of $O(\epsilon)$, the diagrams of Fig. 6 are given by

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(a)} = -\frac{d}{2} \oint \ln P^2 + \frac{1}{12\pi} M^3 T, \qquad (A2a)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(b)} = \oiint \ln P^2, \qquad (A2b)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(c)} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} (M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2) MT , \qquad (A2c)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(d)} = -\frac{1}{4}g_A^2 Z_g^2 d(d-1) \left(\sum \frac{1}{P^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} M_1^2 MT ,$$
(A2d)

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{e})} = g_A^2 Z_g^2 \left[\frac{3}{4} (d-1) \left(\sum \frac{1}{P^2} \right)^2 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 \right] , \qquad (A2e)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{1} &= -M^{2} \sum \int \frac{\delta_{P_{0}}(1-\delta_{q_{0}})}{(P^{2}+M^{2})Q^{2}(P+Q)^{2}} + \left(d-\frac{3}{2}\right) I_{\text{resum}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{M_{2}^{2}MT}{g_{A}^{2}Z_{g}^{2}} ,\\ \delta_{2} &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{M^{2}T^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \\ &- M^{2} \sum \int \frac{\delta_{P_{0}}\delta_{q_{0}}}{(P^{2}+M^{2})(Q^{2}+M^{2})(P+Q)^{2}} ,\\ -\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{f})} &= g_{A}^{2}Z_{g}^{2} \left[-\frac{1}{4} \left(\sum f \frac{1}{P^{2}} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}I_{\text{resum}} \right] + \frac{1}{8\pi}M_{3}^{2}MT , \end{split}$$
(A2f)

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{g})} = \left(\frac{5}{8}d - \frac{23}{32}\right)g_A^4 I_{\mathbf{ball}} + O(g^5), \qquad (A2g)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(h)} = -\frac{1}{16}g_A^4 I_{ball} + O(g^5), \qquad (A2h)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{32}g_A^4 I_{\text{ball}} + O(g^5), \qquad (A2i)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(j)} = \frac{3}{16}d(d-1)g_A^4 I_{\text{ball}} + O(g^5), \qquad (A2j)$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{k})} = -\frac{27}{16}(d-1)g_A^4 I_{\text{ball}} + O(g^5), \qquad (A2\mathbf{k})$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}g_A^4 I_{\rm QCD} + O(g^5) \,, \tag{A21}$$

$$-\mathcal{F}^{(m)} = -\frac{1}{8}g_A^4 I_{ball} + O(g^5).$$
 (A2m)

The multiplicative renormalization constant used for the coupling is given by

$$g_{\text{bare}} = Z_g \mu^{\epsilon} g = \left[1 - \frac{11}{6} \frac{g_A^2}{(4\pi)^2 \epsilon} + O(g^4) \right] \mu^{\epsilon} g.$$
 (A3)

Wave function renormalization constants are unnecessary because they cancel between vertices and propagators. To this end, the most convenient choice of M is

$$M^{2} = g_{A}^{2} Z_{g}^{2} Z_{A}^{2} (d-2)^{2} \oiint \frac{1}{P^{2}}$$
(A4)

which differs from (3.5) by the introduction of Z_g^2 and the photon wave function renormalization Z_A^2 . At $O(g^4)$, however, this is not an issue—the factor of $Z_g^2 Z_A^2$ in M^2 can be ignored for all of our diagrams. M_1^2 , M_2^2 , and M_3^2 denote the three pieces of M^2 originating, respectively, from the three diagrams in Fig. 5. We will not give explicit formulas for these pieces because they explicitly cancel between Figs. 5(c)-5(f).

The integrals needed above are given by (2.11), (2.12), (2.36), (A10), and (F3), (F17), (H31) below. The integral I_{resum} is defined by

$$I_{\text{resum}} \equiv \oint \left[\frac{\delta_{p_0}}{P^2 + M^2} - \frac{\delta_{p_0}}{P^2} \right] \left[\frac{q_0^2}{Q^2 (P+Q)^2} - \frac{q_0^2}{Q^4} \right]$$
(A5)

$$= -\sum \int \delta_{p_0} \frac{M^2}{P^4} \left[\frac{q_0^2}{Q^2 (P+Q)^2} - \frac{q_0^2}{Q^4} \right] + O(g^3) ,$$
(A6)

and will be discussed below.

The effect of the thermal mass term in the $p_0=0$ gauge propagator appears fairly simply in the last terms of (A2a), (A2d), and in (A2c). The case of diagram (e) is a little more complicated. The first term of (A2e) is the result when M is ignored. δ_1 is the correction to this result for the contribution to the diagram where exactly one of the three propagators has $p_0 = 0$, and δ_2 is the correction for the contribution where all three have $p_0 = 0$. So, for instance,

$$\delta_{1} = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum \int \frac{\delta_{p_{0}}(1 - \delta_{q_{0}})}{(P^{2} + M^{2})Q^{2}(P + Q)^{2}} \right\} \times \left[(P - Q)_{\mu}\delta_{0\nu} + 2Q_{0}\delta_{\mu\nu} - (2P + Q)_{\nu}\delta_{\mu0} \right]^{2} - \{M \rightarrow 0\}, \qquad (A7)$$

where the factor in square brackets is the triple gauge vertex. Using the reduction tricks described after (3.8), δ_1 may be reduced to

$$\delta_{1} = -M^{2} \oint \frac{\delta_{p_{0}}(1 - \delta_{q_{0}})}{(P^{2} + M^{2})Q^{2}(P + Q)^{2}} \\ + (d - \frac{3}{2}) \oint \left[\frac{\delta_{p_{0}}}{P^{2} + M^{2}} - \frac{\delta_{p_{0}}}{P^{2}}\right] \frac{q_{0}^{2}}{Q^{2}(P + Q)^{2}} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{\delta_{p_{0}}}{(P^{2} + M^{2})} \frac{1}{Q^{2}}, \qquad (A8)$$

which may be recast in the form shown in (A2e). δ_2 , and the mass effects in (A2f), are calculated similarly.

 I_{resum} is easily evaluated by taking the form (A6) and scaling all three-momenta by $|q_0|$:

$$I_{\text{resum}} = -M^2 T^2 \mu^{4\epsilon} \sum_{q_0} |q_0|^{2d-8} \int \frac{d^{d-1}p}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{d^{d-1}q}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{p^4} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q^2)(1+|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}|^2)} - \frac{1}{(1+q^2)^2} \right] + O(g^3).$$
(A9)

Recognizing the q_0 sum as giving a ζ function, and that the integrals are finite, it is easy to now take the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit:

$$I_{\text{resum}} = -2M^2 T^2 \zeta(0) \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{p^4} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q^2)(1+|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}|^2)} - \frac{1}{(1+q^2)^2} \right] + O(g^3, \epsilon)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8} \frac{M^2 T^2}{(4\pi)^2} + O(g^3, \epsilon).$$
(A10)

APPENDIX B: LARGE P BEHAVIOR OF SCALAR $\Pi(P)$

In this appendix, we shall derive the large momentum behavior of $\Pi(P)$. Recalling the definition (2.19),

$$\Pi(P) = \oint_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2 (P+Q)^2},$$
(B1)

one may sum over the bosonic frequency modes by the usual contour integral trick.⁹ Subtracting out the zero-temperature piece gives the finite-temperature part of $\Pi(P)$:

$$\Pi^{(T)}(P) = -\mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}q}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{n(q)}{q} \left[\frac{1}{(q-ip_0)^2 - |\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{p}|^2} + \frac{1}{(q+ip_0)^2 - |\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}|^2} \right],$$
(B2)

where we have defined the thermal bosonic factor

$$n(q) \equiv \frac{1}{e^{q/T} - 1} \,. \tag{B3}$$

The exponential fall-off of n(q) for large q ensures that only $q \leq T$ is important. For $P \gg T$, we can then expand the denominators in (B2):

$$\Pi^{(T)}(P) = \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}q}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{n(q)}{q} \left[\frac{2}{P^2} + \frac{8(ip_0q + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{P^6} \right] + O(T^6/P^6)$$

$$= \frac{2}{P^2} \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}q}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{n(q)}{q} + \frac{8}{P^6} \left(\frac{p^2}{d-1} - p_0^2 \right) \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}q}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} n(q)q + O(T^6/P^6).$$
(B4)

Г

The momentum integrals we need are of the form

$$J_{\alpha} \equiv T^{-3-\alpha} \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon}q}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} n(q) q^{\alpha}$$

= $\frac{2}{(4\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon\right)} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{T^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \int_0^{\infty} dq \, q^{2-2\epsilon+\alpha} \frac{1}{e^q - 1}$
= $\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{T^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma(3-2\epsilon+\alpha)\zeta(3-2\epsilon+\alpha)}{4\pi^{3/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon\right)},$ (B5)

and our large P expansion is

$$\Pi^{(T)}(P) = 2J_{-1}\frac{T^2}{P^2} + 8J_1\frac{T^4}{P^6}\left(\frac{p^2}{d-1} - p_0^2\right) + O(T^6/P^6).$$
(B6)

We note here that

$$J_{-1}T^2 = \sum_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2} \,, \tag{B7}$$

and so the limit (2.27) in the main text is the same as the leading piece of (B6) above.

APPENDIX C: INTEGRALS OF HYPERBOLIC FUNCTIONS

In this appendix, we discuss how to evaluate convergent integrals of the form

$$I = \int_0^\infty dx \left(\sum_{m,n} c_{mn} x^m \coth^n x + \sum_m d_m x^m e^{-a_m x} \right) ,$$
(C1)

J

where a_m , c_{mn} , and d_m are constants. We shall evaluate such integrals term by term. Since the individual terms will in general be divergent, we first regulate in a manner similar to dimensional regularization by rewriting I as

$$I = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_0^\infty dx \left(\sum_{m,n} c_{mn} x^{m+\delta} \coth^n x + \sum_m d_m x^{m+\delta} e^{-a_m x} \right).$$
(C2)

 δ will be used to independently regulate both the $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$ pieces of the integrals, again similar to dimensional regularization. Now we can compute three basic regulated integrals:

$$\int_0^\infty dx \, x^z = 0 \,, \tag{C3}$$

$$\int_0^\infty dx \, x^z \coth x = \int_0^\infty dx \, x^z \left[1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-2kx} \right]$$
$$= 2^{-z} \Gamma(z+1) \zeta(z+1) , \qquad (C4)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dx \, x^z e^{-ax} = a^{-1-z} \Gamma(1+z) \,. \tag{C5}$$

The rest of the integrals we need are obtainable recursively by

$$\int_0^\infty dx \, x^z \coth^n x = \int_0^\infty dx \, x^z \left[-\frac{1}{n-1} \partial_x \left(\coth^{n-1} x \right) + \coth^{n-2} x \right] \tag{C6}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dx \, \left[\frac{z}{n-1} x^{z-1} \coth^{n-1} x + x^z \coth^{n-2} x \right] \,. \tag{C7}$$

⁹See Ref. [2] for a review.

After assembling the individual terms of a particular integral (C2), it is straightforward to expand in δ and take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

APPENDIX D: COMPLETION OF THE CALCULATION OF Iball

In Sec. IIB, the basketball diagram was split into three terms,

$$I_{\text{ball}} = \sum \left[\Pi^{(T)} \right]^2 + 2 \sum \Pi^{(T)} \Pi^{(0)} + \sum \left[\Pi^{(0)} \right]^2, \quad (D1)$$

and the first term was evaluated. Here we shall evaluate the two remaining terms.

We first calculate the second term in (D1). To apply the calculational method of Sec. IIB, we must first subtract the ultraviolet divergences. $\Pi^{(0)}$ is given by

$$\Pi^{(0)} = A \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{P^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \tag{D2}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \gamma_E \right) + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{D3}$$

Because $\Pi^{(T)}(P) \sim 1/P^2$ at large momentum P, the second term of (D1) is quadratically divergent and so requires two subtractions. Using our result (B6) for the

large momentum expansion of
$$\Pi^{(T)}$$
, we rewrite this term
as

$$\oint_{P} \Pi^{(T)} \Pi^{(0)} = I_{\mathbf{a}} + I_{\mathbf{b}} + I_{\mathbf{c}} , \qquad (D4)$$

where

$$I_{\mathbf{a}} \equiv \oint_{P} \left[\Pi^{(0)}(P) - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}\epsilon} \right] \left[\Pi^{(T)}(P) - \Pi^{(T)}_{UV}(P) \right] \,,$$
(D5)

$$I_{\rm b} \equiv \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2 \epsilon} \oint_{P} \left[\Pi^{(T)}(P) - \Pi^{(T)}_{\rm UV}(P) \right], \qquad (\rm D6)$$

$$I_{\rm c} \equiv \oint_P \Pi^{(0)}(P) \Pi_{\rm UV}^{(T)}(P) , \qquad ({\rm D7})$$

and

$$\Pi_{\rm UV}^{(T)}(P) \equiv 2J_{-1}\frac{T^2}{P^2} + (1-\delta_{p_0})8J_1\frac{T^4}{P^6}\left(\frac{p^2}{d-1} - p_0^2\right).$$
(D8)

 I_a is ultraviolet and infrared finite, and so it can be evaluated in d = 4. Note that we have used one less subtraction in (D8) for the $p_0 = 0$ mode.

Using the integral representation (2.25) for $\Pi^{(T)}$ gives

$$I_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{T}{(4\pi)^4} \sum_{P} \left[\ln\left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{P^2}\right) + 2 - \gamma_E \right] \int d^3r \frac{1}{r^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left[\coth\bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} + (1 - \delta_{p_0})\frac{\bar{r}^3}{45} \right] e^{-|p_0|r} + O(\epsilon) , \tag{D9}$$

where we have expressed the large P behavior (D8) of $\Pi^{(T)}(P)$ in terms of three-dimensional coordinate space integrals. In fact, it is easy to see that the $P \to \infty$ behavior of $\Pi^{(T)}(P)$ in (2.25) simply corresponds to the $\bar{r} \to 0$ behavior of $\coth \bar{r} - 1/\bar{r}$, and the subtractions in (D9) simply reflect the small \bar{r} expansion

$$\coth \bar{r} = \frac{1}{\bar{r}} + \frac{\bar{r}}{3} - \frac{\bar{r}^3}{45} + \cdots .$$
 (D10)

The **p** integral in (D9) is trivial for the terms that do not involve $\ln P^2$: it just gives $\delta(\mathbf{r})$, which in turn gives zero. The **p** integral involving the logarithm can be evaluated by first writing

$$\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \ln \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{p^2 + p_0^2} = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[\int_0^\infty dp \, \frac{p^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{\sin pr}{pr} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{p^2 + p_0^2} \right)^\alpha \right] \Big|_{\alpha=0} \\ = \frac{1}{4i\pi^2 r} \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty dp \, p \, e^{ipr} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{p^2 + p_0^2} \right)^\alpha \right] \Big|_{\alpha=0} .$$
(D11)

Deforming the contour to wrap around the cut in the upper half of the complex plane gives

$$\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \ln \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{p^2 + p_0^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r} \left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \left[\sin(\pi\alpha) \int_{|p_0|}^{\infty} dq \, q e^{-q\mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{(q^2 - p_0^2)^{\alpha}} \right] \right|_{\alpha=0}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi r} \left(\frac{|p_0|}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) e^{-|p_0|r} \,. \tag{D12}$$

Inserting this result into (D9) and carrying out the p_0 sum yields

$$I_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{T^4}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{r}}{\bar{r}^3} \left\{ \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} + \frac{\bar{r}^3}{45} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\bar{r}}{2} \frac{d}{d\bar{r}} \right) \left(\coth \bar{r} - 1 \right) + \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} \right) \right\} + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{D13}$$

The integral can be computed by the method of Appendix B to give

$$I_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{8}{5} \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} - 4\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{12}{5}\gamma_E - \frac{46}{15}\right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(D14)

We now study I_b defined by (D6). Though the P integration converges, we still need to evaluate I_b in $d=4-2\epsilon$ dimensions because of the overall factor of $1/\epsilon$. Writing $\Pi^{(T)}$ as $\Pi - \Pi^{(0)}$ gives

$$I_{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \oint_{P} \left[\oint_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2 (Q+P)^2} - \Pi^{(0)}(P) - \Pi^{(T)}_{\mathbf{UV}}(P) \right]$$

$$= \frac{T^4}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ -J_{-1}^2 - A \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{T^2} \right)^{\epsilon} S_0(\epsilon) - \frac{8J_1}{d-1} \left[S_0(2) - d S_1(3) \right] \right\},$$
(D15)

where we have defined

$$S_n(\alpha) \equiv T^{2\alpha - 4 - 2n} \sum_Q \frac{q_0^{2n}}{Q^{2\alpha}} \tag{D16}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\pi T^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \frac{(2\pi)^{3+2n-2\alpha}}{4\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(\alpha)} \Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2}+\alpha+\epsilon\right) \zeta\left(-3-2n+2\alpha+2\epsilon\right).$$
(D17)

Taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$I_{\rm b} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{8}{5} \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} - 4\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{12}{5}\gamma_E - \frac{46}{15}\right] + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{D18}$$

(We do not have an explanation for the fact that $I_{a} = I_{b}$.)

Using the explicit expression (D2) for $\Pi^{(0)}$, I_c is

$$I_{c} = 2AT^{4} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)^{\epsilon} \left\{ J_{-1}S_{0}(1+\epsilon) + \frac{4J_{1}}{d-1} \left[S_{0}(2+\epsilon) - dS_{1}(3+\epsilon)\right] \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left(\frac{T^{2}}{12}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{4}{5\epsilon} + \frac{24}{5}\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} - \frac{12}{5}\frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + 12\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - \frac{24}{5}\gamma_{E} + 13\right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(D19)

Adding the results (D14), (D18), and (D19) produces

$$\oint \Pi^{(T)} \Pi^{(0)} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{4}{5\epsilon} + \frac{24}{5}\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \frac{4}{5}\frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + 4\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{103}{15}\right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(D20)

It is straightforward to also evaluate the third term in (D1):

$$\begin{split}
\sum \int \left[\Pi^{(0)}\right]^2 &= A^2 T^4 \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{T^2}\right)^{2\epsilon} S_0(2\epsilon) \\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{2}{5\epsilon} + \frac{12}{5} \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \frac{12}{5} \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + \frac{24}{5}\right] + O(\epsilon) \,. \end{split} \tag{D21}$$

Assembling (2.35), (D20), and (D21) gives our final result (2.36).

APPENDIX E: REAL-TIME CALCULATION OF Iball

In Ref. [7], I_{ball} is expressed as

$$I_{\text{ball}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right) \left[\frac{6}{\epsilon} + 18\ln\frac{\pi\mu^2}{T^2} + 18\gamma_E + 6 - 36\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right] + NT^4 + O(\epsilon),$$
(E1)

where N is given by

$$N = 4 \mathcal{P} \int \frac{d^4 P}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^4 Q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^4 K}{(2\pi)^3} \,\delta(P^2) \,\delta(Q^2) \,\delta(K^2) \,\frac{n(p) \,n(q) \,n(k)}{(P+Q+K)^2} = \frac{1}{32\pi^6} \int dp \,dq \,dk \,n(p) \,n(q) \,n(k) \Big[(p+q+k) \ln(p+q+k) - (p+q-k) \ln|p+q-k| - (q+k-p) \ln|q+k-p| - (k+p-q) \ln|k+p-q| \Big]$$
(E2)

7616

and was evaluated numerically to get

$$N \approx \frac{14.17}{32\pi^6} \,. \tag{E3}$$

In (E2), unlike the rest of this paper, P refers to Minkowski rather than Euclidean four-momentum, with metric $P^2 = -p_0^2 + p^2$. \mathcal{P} denotes that the integrations are to be performed with the principal value prescription. The n(p) are the usual Bose factors but in units where T=1:

$$n(p) = \frac{1}{e^p - 1} \,. \tag{E4}$$

The second equality in (E2) is obtained by doing the

trivial p_0 , q_0 , and k_0 integrations and then doing the angular integrations. Their final result was then obtained by numeric integration. We shall show how to obtain the same result analytically starting from (E2).

We start by making use of the peculiar identity that $(P + Q + K)^2$ can be replaced by $4|\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}|^2$ in the first line of (E2) for any function n(p) of $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ to give

$$N = 8 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n(p)}{2p} \frac{n(q)}{2q} \frac{n(k)}{2k} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}|^2}.$$
(E5)

This identity can be proved by brute force by doing the angular integrals in (E5) by the same steps Ref. [7] used for (E2) and verifying that the result is the same as (E2):

$$N = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{n(p)}{2p} \frac{n(q)}{2q} n(k) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}|} \ln \frac{|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}| + k}{||\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}| - k|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{32\pi^6} \int_0^\infty dp \, dq \, dk \, n(p) \, n(q) \, n(k) \int_{|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}|}^{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} d|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}| \ln \frac{|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}| + k}{||\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}| - k|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{32\pi^6} \int_0^\infty dp \, dq \, dk \, n(p) \, n(q) \, n(k) \Big[(p+q+k) \ln(p+q+k) - (p+q-k) \ln |p+q-k| + (|p-q|-k) \ln ||p-q| - k| - (|p-q|+k) \ln(|p-q|+k) \Big].$$
(E6)

Sadly, we do not have a more elegant derivation.

Now take (E5) and convert it to coordinate space using the Fourier transform

$$\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{n(p)}{p} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty dp \; \frac{\sin pr}{e^p - 1} \\ = \frac{1}{4\pi r} \left(\coth(\pi r) - \frac{1}{\pi r} \right) \,.$$
(E7)

Equation (E5) then becomes

$$N = \int d^3r \left[\frac{1}{4\pi r} \left(\coth \pi r - \frac{1}{\pi r} \right) \right]^3 \frac{1}{4\pi r}$$

= $\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{1}{x^2} \left(\coth x - \frac{1}{x} \right)^3$
= $\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - \frac{7}{45} \right]$
 $\approx \frac{14.1723}{32\pi^6}.$ (E8)

Equations (E1) and (E8) give the same result for the basketball integral as (2.36), which was our result using the Euclidean formalism.

APPENDIX F: DERIVATION OF Isun

Let us evaluate the integral for the setting sun diagram defined by (2.38) in a similar way as the basketball integral. As usual, we work in the limit that masses are all much smaller than T, and we shall denote their order of magnitude simply as O(m). Only the leading term in the m/T expansion will be calculated. To this order, the masses can be taken to be zero except in the $p_0=q_0=0$ contribution, where the mass cuts off a logarithmic infrared divergence. But it is convenient to keep only one mass nonzero in the $p_0=q_0=0$ contribution and to set $m_2=m_3=0$. The discrepancy introduced by doing so is easily computed in coordinate space to be [9]

$$T^{2} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{p^{2} + m_{1}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{(q^{2} + m_{2}^{2}) \left[(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})^{2} + m_{3}^{2} \right]} - \frac{1}{q^{2}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})^{2}} \right] + O(m, \epsilon)$$

$$= T^{2} \int d^{3}r \frac{1}{(4\pi r)^{3}} e^{-m_{1}r} \left[e^{-(m_{2} + m_{3})r} - 1 \right] + O(m, \epsilon)$$

$$= \frac{T^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3}} + O(m, \epsilon).$$
(F1)

PETER ARNOLD AND CHENGXING ZHAI

1. Quick derivation using the contour trick

We now need to compute

$$I_{\rm sun} = \oint \frac{\delta_{p_0} \delta_{q_0}}{(P^2 + m_1^2)(Q^2 + m_2^2)[(P+Q)^2 + m_3^2]} + \oint \frac{1 - \delta_{p_0} \delta_{q_0}}{P^2 Q^2 (P+Q)^2} + O(m,\epsilon) \,. \tag{F2}$$

We start with the purely three-dimensional contribution [12]

$$\oint \frac{\delta_{p_0} \delta_{q_0}}{(P^2 + m_1^2)(Q^2 + m_2^2)[(P+Q)^2 + m_3^2]} = \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O(\epsilon),$$
(F3)

which follows from

$$\begin{split} \sum \int \frac{\delta_{p_0} \delta_{q_0}}{(P^2 + m_1^2) Q^2 (P + Q)^2} &= \sum \int \frac{\delta_{p_0}}{(P^2 + m_1^2)} \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon} T}{p^{1+2\epsilon}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon\right) \Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)}{(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon} \Gamma(1 - 2\epsilon)} \\ &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{m_1} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O(\epsilon) \end{split}$$
(F4)

and (F1). For the second term of (F2), note that if dimensional regularization is used to regulate the infrared as well as the ultraviolet then

$$\sum f \sum \frac{\delta_{p_0} \delta_{q_0}}{P^2 Q^2 (P+Q)^2} = 0 \tag{F5}$$

simply by dimensional analysis. (There is no scale to make up for the $\mu^{2\epsilon}$.) So

$$\begin{split} \sum f \frac{1 - \delta_{p_0} \delta_{q_0}}{P^2 Q^2 (P+Q)^2} &= \sum f \frac{1}{P^2 Q^2 (P+Q)^2} \\ &= -\frac{3}{2} \sum f \frac{d^{d-1} p}{(2\pi)^{d-1} 2p} \frac{d^{d-1} q}{(2\pi)^{d-1} 2q} [n(p) - n(-p)] [n(q) - n(-q)] \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{|\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}|^2 - (p+q)^2} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}|^2 - (p-q)^2} \right] + (T \text{ independent}) \\ &= 0, \end{split}$$
(F6)

where we have used the standard contour trick [2] to do the sums and n(p) is the usual Bose function (B3). The result is zero because (1) the temperature-independent piece in the penultimate line vanishes by dimensional analysis in $4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions, and (2) the two terms $1/(P+Q)^2$ and $1/(P-Q)^2$ in brackets exactly cancel each other. Because of this cancellation, the full result (2.39) for I_{sun} is just equal to the purely three-dimensional contribution (F3) at leading order in the masses.

2. Euclidean derivation

In other computations in this paper, we will need to know the separate contributions of various subsets of Euclidean modes (p_0, q_0) to I_{sun} . To get the formulas we need, we shall now rederive the result for I_{sun} using purely Euclidean methods, similar to our derivation of the basketball integral. Start with

$$I_{sun} = A_{sun} + B_{sun} + \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} + O(m, \epsilon), \qquad (F7)$$

where A_{sun} and B_{sun} are defined by

$$A_{\rm sun} \equiv \sum_{P}^{\prime} \frac{\Pi(P)}{P^2} \,, \tag{F8}$$

$$B_{\rm sun} \equiv T \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{3-2\epsilon} p}{(2\pi)^{3-2\epsilon}} \frac{\Pi(p_0=0,\mathbf{p})}{p^2 + m_1^2} \,. \tag{F9}$$

First consider A_{sun} . As usual, we need to subtract out the UV divergences:

$$A_{\rm sun} = \sum_{P} \int_{P}^{\prime} \frac{\Pi^{(0)}(P)}{P^2} + \sum_{P} \int_{P}^{\prime} \frac{2}{P^4} \sum_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2} + \sum_{P} \int_{P}^{\prime} \frac{1}{P^2} \left[\Pi^{(T)}(P) - \frac{2}{P^2} \sum_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2} \right], \qquad (F10)$$

where we have used the limiting behavior (2.27) of $\Pi^{(T)}(P)$. The second term is now convergent in four dimensions. Exploiting the expression (D2) for $\Pi^{(0)}(P)$ and the integral (D17) enables us to evaluate the divergent parts of $A_{\rm sun}$ as

THREE-LOOP FREE ENERGY FOR PURE GAUGE QCD

$$\begin{split} \sum_{P} \int_{P}^{\prime} \frac{\Pi^{(0)}(P)}{P^{2}} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + 2 - \gamma_{E} + O(\epsilon) \right) \\ &\times \sum_{P} \int_{P}^{1} \frac{1}{P^{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^{2}}{P^{2}} \right)^{\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{T^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{12\epsilon} + \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{1}{2} \right] \\ &+ O(\epsilon) , \end{split}$$
(F11)

$$\Sigma \int_{P}^{\prime} \frac{2}{P^{4}} \sum_{Q} \int_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^{2}} = \frac{T^{2}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{6\epsilon} + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{E} + \frac{1}{3} \right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(F12)

The finite part of A_{sun} is calculated by utilizing the coordinate space integral representation (2.25) for $\Pi^{(T)}(P)$ and (2.30) for its UV behavior. Performing the **p** integration and the p_0 sum produces

$$\begin{split} \sum_{P} \int_{P} \frac{1}{P^2} \left[\Pi^{(T)}(P) - \frac{2}{P^2} \sum_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2} \right] &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\bar{r} \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \left(\coth \bar{r} - 1 \right) \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} \right) + O(\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[-\frac{2}{3} \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_E + \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{3} \right] + O(\epsilon) \,, \end{split}$$
(F13)

where the method of Appendix C has been used for the \bar{r} integral. Adding (F11), (F12), (F13) yields

$$A_{\rm sun} = \sum f' \frac{\Pi(P)}{P^2} = \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} + \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{F14}$$

Now consider B_{sun} . Though (F9) contains ultraviolet divergences due to the zero temperature part of $\Pi(P)$, dimensional analysis shows that the contribution from the zero temperature part is O(m) and so it need not concern us at leading order. Making use of (2.25) and completing the **p** integration,

$$\begin{split} B_{\rm sun} &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int d^3r \frac{e^{-m_1 r}}{4\pi r} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right) + O(m, \epsilon) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{r}}{\bar{r}} \left[e^{-\bar{m}_1 \bar{r}} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - 1 \right) + e^{-\bar{m}_1 \bar{r}} \right] + O(m, \epsilon) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{r}}{\bar{r}} \left[\left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - 1 \right) + e^{-\bar{m}_1 \bar{r}} \right] + O(m, \epsilon) \\ &= \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{2T}{m_1} + O(m, \epsilon) \,, \end{split}$$
(F15)

where we have defined $\bar{m}_1 = m_1/2\pi T$ and done the last step by the method of Appendix C. Combining (F7), (F14), (F15) gives

$$I_{\rm sun} = \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \ln \frac{\bar{\mu}}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O(m,\epsilon) \,. \tag{F16}$$

Before leaving this section, we should collect some additional results that will be useful elsewhere. Subtracting (F4) from (F15) gives

$$\oint \frac{\delta_{p_0}(1-\delta_{q_0})}{(P^2+m^2)Q^2(P+Q)^2} = \frac{T^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[-\frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \ln\frac{2T}{\bar{\mu}} - \frac{1}{2} \right] + O(m,\epsilon) \,. \tag{F17}$$

Finally, adding (F12) and (F13) gives

APPENDIX G: EXAMPLE OF REDUCTION TO THE SCALAR BASKETBALL

Consider the reduction of Fig. 6(i):

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(i)} = -\frac{1}{8}d_A g_A^4 \oint_{PQK} \frac{P \cdot (Q-K) (P-K) \cdot Q}{P^2 Q^2 K^2 (P-Q)^2 (Q-K)^2 (K-P)^2} \,. \tag{G1}$$

PETER ARNOLD AND CHENGXING ZHAI

By expanding the numerator as in (3.9), we get

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(i)} = \frac{1}{16}d_{A}g_{A}^{4} \oint_{PQK} \left[-\frac{(P-K)\cdot Q}{P^{2}Q^{2}K^{2}(P-Q)^{2}(Q-K)^{2}} + \frac{(P-K)\cdot Q}{P^{2}Q^{2}(P-Q)^{2}(Q-K)^{2}(K-P)^{2}} + \frac{(P-K)\cdot Q}{P^{2}Q^{2}K^{2}(Q-K)^{2}(K-P)^{2}} - \frac{(P-K)\cdot Q}{P^{2}K^{2}(P-Q)^{2}(Q-K)^{2}(K-P)^{2}} \right].$$
(G2)

Now switch the variables K and Q in the second lines:

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(i)} = \frac{1}{16}d_A g_A^4 \oint_{PQK} \left[-\frac{P \cdot (Q-K)}{P^2 Q^2 K^2 (P-Q)^2 (Q-K)^2} + \frac{P \cdot (Q-K)}{P^2 Q^2 (P-Q)^2 (Q-K)^2 (K-P)^2} \right].$$
(G3)

Use the identity (3.10) to substitute $P, K \rightarrow Q/2$ in the first numerator and $K \rightarrow (P+Q)/2$ in the second:

$$-\mu^{2\epsilon}F^{(i)} = \frac{1}{64}d_{A}g_{A}^{4} \oint_{PQK} \left[-\frac{1}{P^{2}K^{2}(P-Q)^{2}(Q-K)^{2}} + \frac{2P \cdot Q - 2P^{2}}{P^{2}Q^{2}(P-Q)^{2}(Q-K)^{2}(K-P)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{64}d_{A}g_{A}^{4} \oint_{PQK} \left[-\frac{1}{P^{2}K^{2}(P-Q)^{2}(Q-K)^{2}} - \frac{1}{P^{2}Q^{2}(Q-K)^{2}(K-P)^{2}} \right]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{32}d_{A}g_{A}^{4} \oint_{PQK} \frac{1}{P^{2}Q^{2}K^{2}(P+Q+K)^{2}}, \qquad (G4)$$

where we have written $2P \cdot Q$ as $P^2 + Q^2 - (P - Q)^2$ for the second step and shifted integration variables in the last step.

APPENDIX H: DERIVATION OF I_{QCD}

The one-loop self-energy of Fig. 5 can be reduced, using the methods discussed after (3.8), to the form

$$\Pi^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(P) = g_A^2 \delta^{ab} \left[\frac{d-2}{2} \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}(P) - 2(P^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - P_\mu P_\nu) \sum_Q \frac{1}{Q^2 (P+Q)^2} \right], \tag{H1}$$

where

$$\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu} \equiv 2\delta_{\mu\nu} \oint_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^2} - \oint_{Q} \frac{(2Q+P)_{\mu}(2Q+P)_{\nu}}{Q^2(P+Q)^2} \,. \quad (H2)$$

 $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ happens to be the form the self-energy would take in scalar QED. We find it convenient to introduce $\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$ mostly for reasons historic to our original derivation and because the decomposition (H1) simplifies some of the algebra of the following calculation.

By again applying the same reduction methods, one may easily verify that both (H1) and (H2) share the property that $P_{\mu}\Pi_{\mu\nu} = 0$. In finite temperature non-Abelian gauge theory, this is a property of the one-loop self energy which does not persist to higher loops [13]. We shall use this property in our derivation.

1. Consequences of $P_{\mu}\Pi_{\mu\nu} = 0$ at one loop

The orthogonality of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ to P_{μ} implies that it can be decomposed into separate transverse and longitudinal pieces:¹⁰

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(P) = \Pi_T(P) \mathcal{P}_{T\mu\nu} + \Pi_L(P) \mathcal{P}_{L\mu\nu}, \qquad (H3)$$

where the Euclidean projection operators are given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{Tij} = \delta_{ij} - p_i p_j / p^2 , \qquad \mathcal{P}_{T00} = \mathcal{P}_{T0i} = \mathcal{P}_{Ti0} = 0 ,$$
(H4)

$$\mathcal{P}_{L\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - P_{\mu}P_{\nu}/P^2 - \mathcal{P}_{T\mu\nu} \,. \tag{H5}$$

(H3) then gives

$$(\Pi_{\mu\nu})^2 = \Pi_L^2 + (d-2)\Pi_T^2$$
$$= \left(\frac{P^2}{p^2}\Pi_{00}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{d-2}\left(\Pi_{\mu\mu} - \frac{P^2}{p^2}\Pi_{00}\right)^2.$$
(H6)

2. Scalar QED

We now work to evaluate the integral

$$I_{\text{SQED}} \equiv \sum_{P} \frac{[\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}(P)]^2}{P^4} \tag{H7}$$

and start by separating out the zero-temperature piece of $\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$:

¹⁰For a review, see Refs. [1,2].

to obtain

$$I_{\text{SQED}} = \oint \frac{1}{P^4} \left[\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)}(P) \right]^2 + 2 \oint \frac{1}{P^4} \bar{\Pi}^{(0)}(P) \Delta \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)}(P) + \oint \frac{1}{P^4} \left[\bar{\Pi}^{(0)}(P) \right]^2.$$
(H8)

a. The finite-temperature-squared piece

Let us evaluate the $p_0 \neq 0$ part of the sum for the first integral. First apply the standard reduction tricks

$$\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\mu}(P) = P^2 \Pi(P) + 2(d-2) \oint_Q \frac{1}{Q^2}, \qquad (\mathrm{H9})$$

where $\Pi(P)$ is the scalar integral (2.19). Next, we need to isolate the UV divergence of the *P* integration by isolating the large *P* behavior of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\mu}^{(T)} \xrightarrow[P \to \infty]{} 2(d-1) \sum \int \frac{1}{Q^2} , \qquad \bar{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \xrightarrow[P \to \infty]{} \frac{2p^2}{P^2} \sum \int \frac{1}{Q^2} .$$
(H10)

Specializing to the finite-temperature pieces of $\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}$, (H6) can be algebraically rewritten as

$$\left[\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)}\right]^{2} = \frac{(d-1)}{(d-2)} \frac{P^{4}}{p^{4}} \left[\hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)}\right]^{2} - \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{P^{4}}{p^{2}} \hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \hat{\Pi}^{(T)} + \frac{1}{(d-2)} P^{4} \left[\Pi^{(T)}\right]^{2} + 4 \frac{(d-3)}{(d-2)} P^{2} \Pi^{(T)} \sum \int \frac{1}{Q^{2}} \frac{1}{Q^{2}} + 4 \left(d-3 + \frac{1}{d-2}\right) \left(\sum \int \frac{1}{Q^{2}}\right)^{2},$$
(H11)

where we have introduced the UV subtracted

$$\hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)}(P) \equiv \bar{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)}(P) - \frac{2p^2}{P^2} \oint \frac{1}{Q^2} \,, \tag{H12}$$

$$\hat{\Pi}^{(T)}(P) \equiv \Pi^{(T)}(P) - \frac{2}{P^2} \oint \frac{1}{Q^2} \,. \tag{H13}$$

The integral we want is now

$$\begin{split} \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{P^4} \left[\bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)} \right]^2 &= \frac{(d-1)}{(d-2)} \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{p^4} \left[\hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \right]^2 - \frac{2}{(d-2)} \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{p^2} \hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \hat{\Pi}^{(T)} + \frac{1}{(d-2)} \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{(d-2)} \right)^2 \right) \\ &+ 4 \frac{(d-3)}{(d-2)} \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{P^2} \Pi^{(T)} \sum \int \frac{1}{Q^2} + 4 \left(d - 3 + \frac{1}{d-2} \right) \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{P^4} \left(\sum \int \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)^2 \right) \right) \end{split}$$
(H14)

The integrals in the last three terms can be obtained from (2.13), (2.33), (2.35), (F18). We need to focus on the first two terms, which are convergent and may be evaluated with $\epsilon = 0$. From the observation that

$$\partial_{r}^{2}\left(\sum_{q_{0}}e^{-|q_{0}|r}e^{-|p_{0}+q_{0}|r}\right) = \sum_{q_{0}}(2q_{0}+p_{0})^{2}e^{-|q_{0}|r}e^{-|p_{0}+q_{0}|r} + \frac{2}{3}(2\pi T)^{2}e^{-|p_{0}|r}|\bar{p}_{0}|(\bar{p}_{0}^{2}-1),$$
(H15)

where ∂_r^2 means d^2/dr^2 and not ∇^2 , one may easily relate $\bar{\Pi}_{00}$ to the scalar case (2.20):

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Pi}_{00}(P) &= 2 \oint_{Q} \frac{1}{Q^{2}} - \oint_{Q} \frac{(2q_{0} + p_{0})^{2}}{Q^{2}(P + Q)^{2}} \\ &= \frac{T^{2}}{6} - \frac{T^{3}}{4} \int d^{3}r \, \frac{1}{r^{2}} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left\{ \partial_{\bar{r}}^{2} \left[e^{-|p_{0}|r} \left(\coth \bar{r} + |\bar{p}_{0}| \right) \right] - \frac{2}{3} e^{-|p_{0}|r} |\bar{p}_{0}| (\bar{p}_{0}^{2} - 1) \right\} + O(\epsilon) \,, \end{split}$$
(H16)

$$\hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)}(P) = -\frac{T^3}{4} \int d^3r \, \frac{1}{r^2} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \partial_{\bar{r}}^2 \left[e^{-|\mathbf{p}_0|\mathbf{r}} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} \right) \right] + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{H17}$$

Performing the angular integration and then integrating by parts yields

$$\hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)}(P) = -\frac{T}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty dr \, \left(\partial_r^2 \frac{\sin pr}{pr}\right) e^{-|p_0|r} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3}\right) + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{H18}$$

The first two integrals in (H14) are then

PETER ARNOLD AND CHENGXING ZHAI

$$\frac{3}{2} \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{p^4} \left[\hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \right]^2 \right) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{T^3}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{p_0 \neq 0} \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^\infty ds \, e^{-|p_0|(r+s)} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} \right) \left(\coth \bar{s} - \frac{1}{\bar{s}} - \frac{\bar{s}}{3} \right) \\ \times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{p^4} \partial_r^2 \frac{\sin pr}{pr} \partial_s^2 \frac{\sin ps}{ps} + O(\epsilon) \,, \tag{H19}$$

$$-\sum \int \frac{1}{p^2} \hat{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \hat{\Pi}^{(T)} = \frac{T^3}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{p_0 \neq 0} \int_0^\infty dr \int_0^\infty ds \, e^{-|p_0|(r+s)} \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{r}}{3} \right) \left(\coth \bar{s} - \frac{1}{\bar{s}} - \frac{\bar{s}}{3} \right) \\ \times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{p^2} \partial_r^2 \frac{\sin pr}{pr} \frac{\sin ps}{ps} + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{H20}$$

Now plug in

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{p^4} \partial_r^2 \frac{\sin pr}{pr} \partial_s^2 \frac{\sin ps}{ps} = -\frac{1}{6\pi r_>^3} + \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \delta(r-s) , \qquad (\text{H21})$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{p^2} \partial_r^2 \frac{\sin pr}{pr} \frac{\sin ps}{ps} = \frac{1}{2\pi r^3} \theta(r-s) - \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \delta(r-s) \,. \tag{H22}$$

Amazingly, the terms not proportional to $\delta(r-s)$ cancel in the sum of (H19) and (H20). The $\delta(r-s)$ terms then give

This could be easily evaluated using the techniques of Appendix C, but we will leave it in this form for now.

The evaluation of the $p_0=0$ piece of (H8) proceeds in much the same way, but we do not need to make any UV subtractions. One finds

$$\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)}(0,p) = -\frac{T^3}{4} \int d^3 r \, \frac{1}{r^2} \left(e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} - 1 \right) \partial_{\bar{r}}^2 \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right) + O(\epsilon)$$
$$= -\frac{T}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty dr \left(\partial_r^2 \frac{\sin pr}{pr} \right) \left(\coth \bar{r} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right) + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{H24}$$

The integral is

$$T \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{p^4} \left[\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)}(0,p) \right]^2 = T \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{p^4} \left[\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{p^2} \Delta \bar{\Pi}_{00}^{(T)} \Pi^{(T)} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\Pi^{(T)} \right]^2 \right\} + O(\epsilon) \,. \tag{H25}$$

The same sort of cancellation occurs between the first two terms as in the $p_0 \neq 0$ case, and we are left with

$$\oint \frac{\delta_{p_0}}{P^4} \left[\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)} \right]^2 = \oint \delta_{p_0} \left[\Pi^{(T)} \right]^2 + O(\epsilon) \,. \quad (H26)$$

Putting this together with the $p_0 \neq 0$ results (H14) and (H23) yields, after a little reorganization,

$$\begin{split} \sum f \frac{1}{P^4} \left[\Delta \bar{\Pi}_{\mu\nu}^{(T)} \right]^2 &= \sum f \left[\Pi^{(T)} \right]^2 \\ &+ 4(d-2) \sum f \frac{1}{P^4} \left(\sum f \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)^2 + O(\epsilon) \,. \end{split}$$
(H27)

The first integral is given by (2.35). One wonders if there is an easier way to get (H27).

b. The rest of it

The cross term between $\bar{\Pi}^{(T)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\Pi}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ is easy because $\bar{\Pi}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ is proportional to $P^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - P_{\mu} P_{\nu}$. Using (H9),

$$\begin{split} \sum \int \frac{1}{P^4} \bar{\Pi}^{(T)}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Pi}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{d-1} \sum \int \frac{1}{P^2} \bar{\Pi}^{(T)}_{\mu\mu} \Pi^{(0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{d-1} \sum \Pi^{(T)} \Pi^{(0)} \\ &+ 2 \frac{(d-2)}{(d-1)} \sum \int \frac{1}{P^2} \Pi^{(0)} \sum \int \frac{1}{Q^2} . \quad (H28) \end{split}$$

The integrals can be found in (2.13), (D20), (F11). The final integral we need is

$$\oint \frac{1}{P^4} \left[\bar{\Pi}^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{d-1} \oint \left[\Pi^{(0)} \right]^2, \qquad (H29)$$

which may be found in (D21). Combining (H8), (H27), (H28), (H29), and incorporating the results for the assorted basic integrals, gives

$$I_{\text{SQED}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\frac{T^2}{12}\right)^2 \left[\frac{46}{3\epsilon} + 92\ln\frac{\bar{\mu}}{4\pi T} - \frac{44}{3}\frac{\zeta'(-3)}{\zeta(-3)} + \frac{272}{3}\frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)} + 16\gamma_E + \frac{1034}{15}\right] + O(\epsilon).$$
(H30)

3. Non-Abelian gauge theory

Using (H1) and our standard reduction tricks, it is easy to obtain

$$I_{\rm QCD} = \left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^2 I_{\rm SQED} + 2dI_{\rm ball} - 4(d-2)^2 \oint' \frac{1}{P^2} \Pi(P) \oint \frac{1}{Q^2} \,, \tag{H31}$$

which, when combined with (2.13, F14, H30), is our final result for I_{QCD} .

- D. Gross, R. Pisarski, and L. Yaffe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 43 (1981).
- [2] J. Kapusta, Finite-Temperature Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1989).
- [3] J. Kapusta, Nucl. Phys. B148, 461 (1979).
- [4] T. Toimela, Phys. Lett. 124B, 407 (1983).
- [5] C. Corianò and R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2398 (1994); Argonne National Laboratory Report No. ANL-HEP-PR-94-32, 1994 (unpublished).
- [6] R. Parwani, Phys. Lett. B 334, 420 (1994).
- [7] J. Frenkel, A. Saa, and J. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3670

(1992).

- [8] R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. D 45, 4965 (1992).
- [9] P. Arnold and O. Espinosa, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3546 (1993); 50, 6662(E) (1994).
- [10] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D 20, 3320 (1974).
- [11] E. Braaten and R. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. B337, 569 (1990).
- [12] K. Farakos, K. Kajantie, K. Rummukainen, and M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B425, 67 (1994).
- [13] S. Vokos (private communication).



FIG. 6. Diagrams contributing to the free energy in gauge theory. The crosses are the "thermal counterterms" arising from the last term of (3.6). We have not explicitly shown any zero-temperature counterterms, and each diagram should be multiplied by the appropriate multiplicative renormalizations for vertices and propagators.