$(1)$ 

$$
D_s^+\rightarrow \pi^+\eta
$$
 and  $\pi^+\eta'$  decays

## K. Terasaki

Uji Research Center, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Uji 611, Japan (Received 6 October 1992)

From a perspective in which the dynamical contribution of hadrons is manifested, Cabibbo-anglefavored two-body decays of charm mesons into final states involving  $\eta$  or  $\eta'$  are studied in consistency with the other charm meson decays.

PACS number(s): 13.25.+m, 11.30.Hv, 14.40.Aq, 14.40.Jz

Although the decays  $F^+$  (recently  $D_s^+$ ) $\rightarrow \pi^+\eta$  and  $\pi^+\eta'$  have been studied by many authors [1] the theoretical understanding of these decays has not reached any consensus. In this Brief Report, we investigate these decays from a theoretical perspective from which the other two-body decays of charm mesons have already been described well.

cesses,  $P_1(\mathbf{p}_1) \rightarrow P_2(\mathbf{p}_2) + P_3(\mathbf{q}),$  $M \simeq M_{\text{ETC}} + M_{\text{S}}$ ,

which can be obtained by using PCAC (partial conservation of axial-vector current) and an extrapolation  $q \rightarrow 0$  in the *infinite momentum frame* (IMF), i.e.,  $p_1 \rightarrow \infty$ . Here the equal-time commutator (ETC) term

amplitudes [2,3] for three pseudoscalar (PS) meson pro-

Our crucial starting point is the following approximate

$$
M_{\rm ETC}(P_1 \to P_2 P_3) = -i \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} f_{P_3}} \langle P_2(\mathbf{p}_2) | [V_{\overline{P}_3}, H_W] | P_1(\mathbf{p}_1) \rangle + (P_2 \leftrightarrow P_3) \right]
$$
(2)

and the surface term, which is expressed in terms of a sum of asymptotic pole amplitudes,

$$
M_{S}(P_{1} \rightarrow P_{2}P_{3}) = -i \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f_{P_{3}}} \left[ \sum_{n} \left( \frac{m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}}{m_{n}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}} \right) \langle P_{2} | A_{\overline{P}_{3}} | n \rangle \langle n | H_{W} | P_{1} \rangle \right. \right.+ \sum_{l} \left( \frac{m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}}{m_{l}^{2} - m_{2}^{2}} \right) \langle P_{2} | H_{W} | l \rangle \langle l | A_{\overline{P}_{3}} | P_{1} \rangle \left. \right] + (P_{2} \leftrightarrow P_{3}) \right\},
$$
(3)

have to be evaluated in the IMF, where the notation is given in Refs. [2] and [3]. The above can be considered as an innovation of the old soft pion technique [4]. We note that the amplitude  $[Eq. (1)$  with Eqs. (2) and (3) is thus expressed solely in terms of asymptotic matrix elements of the vector and axial-vector charges  $V_a$  and  $A_a$  and the effective weak Hamiltonian  $H_W$  [matrix elements taken between single-hadron (not only the ordinary  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}$  but also hypothetical [5] four-quark  $[QQ][\overline{Q}\overline{Q}]$  and  $(QQ)(\overline{Q}\overline{Q})$ , glueball, hybrid  $\{Q\overline{Q}g\}$ , etc.) states with infinite momentum] and that the asymptotic matrix elements of  $V_a$  and  $A_a$  can be well parametrized by using the asymptotic flavor symmetry [6]. We thus realize that the essential features of nonleptonic weak decays must be found in the dynamics which will show up among the asymptotic matrix elements of  $H_W$  appearing in Eqs. (2) and (3).

In the past, we have already obtained constraints on asymptotic matrix elements of  $H_W$  responsible for nonleptonic weak decays of  $K$  and charm mesons, i.e., diagonal

 $\langle \{Q\overline{Q}\}_0|H_W|\{Q\overline{Q}\}_0\rangle$ 

and nondiagonal

 $\langle$ [QQ][ $\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ ]| $H_w$ |{Q $\bar{Q}$ }<sub>0</sub> $\rangle$ 

and

 $\langle \{Q\overline{Q}\}_0|H_w|[QQ][\overline{Q}\overline{Q}] \rangle$ 

vatisfy the asymptotic  $|\Delta I| = \frac{1}{2}$  rule and its charm counterpart under the asymptotic  $SU_f(3)$  symmetry, while  $\langle (QQ)(\bar{Q}\bar{Q})|H_W|\{Q\bar{Q}\}_0\rangle$  and  $\langle \{\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\}_0|H_W|\{QQ\}|\{\bar{Q}\bar{Q}\}\rangle\rangle$ can violate the selection rules, where the exact  $SU<sub>1</sub>(2)$ symmetry is always assumed. To this, we have used two alternative but complementary methods, i.e., one [7,8] is algebraic and based on the asymptotic realization of commutation relations involving  $V_{\alpha}$ ,  $A_{\alpha}$ , and  $H_{\psi}$ , and the other [3,9, 10] is based on more intuitive quark-line arguments. We have also pointed out  $[8-10]$  that the schannel contribution of four-quark mesons and a glueball to  $M<sub>S</sub>$  is important in the charm meson decays. However, in the  $u$  channel, only charm mesons can contribute to

 $M<sub>S</sub>$ . Among these charm mesons, exotic ones provide negligibly small contributions because of their high masses and small wave-function overlappings with the ground-state  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}_0$  meson. In the  $K \to \pi\pi$  decays, contributions of exotic mesons are not very important [3] unless we are interested in small effects such as the violation of the  $|\Delta I| = \frac{1}{2}$  rule. A possible solution to the longstanding puzzle in the Cabibbo-angle-suppressed decays of the  $D^0$  meson,  $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-)/\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ .  $\simeq$  2–3, has also been provided [8–10] preserving the overall consistency, by taking account of contributions of the ground-state  ${Q\overline{Q}}_0$ , the exotic  ${Q\overline{Q}}\overline{Q\overline{Q}}$  and  $(QQ)(\overline{Q}\overline{Q})$  mesons, and a glueball.

On the same line as our previous papers mentioned above, we now investigate Cabibbo-angle-favored decays of charm mesons into two-body final states involving  $\eta$  or  $\eta'$ . To this, we need further information of additional asymptotic matrix elements of  $H_W$ , i.e., constraints on asymptotic matrix elements of  $H_W$  involving  $\eta$  or  $\eta'$ , although we have already obtained [9,10] constraints on various asymptotic matrix elements of  $H_W$ . In the asymptotic matrix element of the charm-changing  $H_W$ , the partner which sandwiches  $H_W$  with  $\eta$  or  $\eta'$  must be a charm meson. Noting that the charm-changing  $H_W$  must be of  $|\Delta C| = |\Delta S| = |\Delta I| = 1$ , we obtain

$$
\langle \eta | H_W | D^0 \rangle = \langle \eta' | H_W | D^0 \rangle = 0 \tag{4}
$$

Since the excited-state mesons with charm provide negligibly small contribution to  $M<sub>S</sub>$  as was mentioned before, we do not need to worry about nondiagonal matrix elements of  $H_W$  in the present crude discussion.

Substituting the constraints on the diagonal and nondiagonal asymptotic matrix elements of  $H_W$  obtained in Refs. [9] and [10] and also in Eq. (4) into the general form of the amplitude, Eq. (1) with Eqs. (2) and (3), we can calculate explicitly the amplitudes for the  $F^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta, \pi^+ \eta'$ and the  $\hat{D}^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \eta$ ,  $\overline{K}^0 \eta'$  decays which involve the contributions of the four-quark  $[QQ][\overline{Q}\overline{Q}]$  and  $(QQ)(\overline{Q}\overline{Q})$ mesons in addition to the ground-state  $[QQ]_0$  mesons:

$$
M(F^{+} \to \pi^{+} \eta) \approx \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}f_{\pi}} \langle \overline{K}^{0} | H_{W} | D^{0} \rangle a_{\eta}^{s} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \frac{f_{\pi}}{f_{\eta}} e^{i\delta} + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \frac{f_{\pi}}{f_{\eta}} \left[ \frac{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{F}^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} h
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[ \left[ \frac{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}} \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \frac{f_{\pi}}{f_{\eta}} \left[ \frac{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}} \right] \right] f_{\sigma}^{*}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[ \left[ \frac{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{c}^{2} * m_{F}^{2}} \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \frac{f_{\pi}}{f_{\eta}} \left[ \frac{m_{F}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{c}^{2} * m_{F}^{2}} \right] \right] f_{\sigma}^{*} + \cdots , \qquad (5)
$$
\n
$$
M(D^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0} \eta) \approx - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}f_{K}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \langle \overline{K}^{0} | H_{w} | D^{0} \rangle a_{\eta}^{0} \left[ \left[ 1 - \left[ 1 - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \frac{f_{K}}{f_{\eta}} \right] \frac{\sqrt{2}a_{\eta}^{2}}{a_{\eta}^{0}} \right] e^{i\delta} + \left[ \left[ \left[ \frac{m_{D}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{D}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}} \right] - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \frac{f_{K}}{f_{\eta}} \left[ \frac{m_{D}^{2}
$$

The amplitudes  $M(F^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\eta')$  and  $M(D^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \eta')$  are obtained by replacing  $\eta$  by  $\eta'$  in Eqs. (5) and (6), respectively. h denotes the asymptotic invariant matrix element of  $A_a$  taken between the ground-state meson states and is defined by  $h = \langle \rho^0 | A_{\pi^+} | \bar{n}^- \rangle$ . In the above, we have

taken the usual  $\eta$ - $\eta'$  mixing,  $|$  $+a_{n}^{(0)}|\eta^{(0)}_{0}\rangle = a_{\eta}^{0}|\eta_{0}\rangle + a_{\eta}^{2}|\eta_{s}\rangle$  and  $|\eta'\rangle = a_{\eta}^{(8)}|\eta^{(8)}\rangle$  $+a_{\eta}^{(0)}|\eta^{(0)}\rangle = a_{\eta'}^{(0)}|\eta_0\rangle + a_{\eta'}^{(0)}|\eta_s\rangle$ , where  $\eta^{(0)}$  and denote the singlet and the eighth component of the octet of SU<sub>f</sub>(3) and  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_s$  denote the  $(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$  and

 $(s\bar{s})$  components, respectively. In Eqs. (5) and (6), the terms proportional to  $e^{i\delta}$ , h,  $f_a^*$ , and  $f_s^*$  arise from  $M_{ETC}$ , contributions of the ground-state  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}_0$ , the s-channel contributions of the exotic  $[QQ][\overline{Q}\overline{Q}]$  and  $(QQ)(\overline{Q}\overline{Q})$ mesons to  $M<sub>S</sub>$ , respectively, and ellipses denote the neglected contributions of excited  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}\$ , possible + hybrid  $\{Q\overline{Qg}; 1^{-+}\}$ , four-quark mesons with charm, etc. The contribution of the orbitally excited  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}_{L\neq 0}$  will be small since matrix elements of  $H_W$  taken between  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}_{L\neq0}$  and the  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}_{0}$  are expected to be small [8]. The four-quark mesons with charm will provide only a small contribution through the  $u$  channel as stated before. If the matrix element  $\langle \{ Q \bar{Q}g \} | H_W | \{ Q \bar{Q} \} \rangle$  is described by the diagram in Fig. 1, we can obtain  $\langle \{Q\overline{Q}g;1^{-+}\}\,|H_W|\{Q\overline{Q}\}\,]_0 \rangle = 0$ , since  $J^{PC}=1^{-+}$  cannot be realized by the  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}\$  states.

If the intermediate meson mass in  $M<sub>S</sub>$  is very close to that of the external meson, the width of the intermediate meson will be important. This is possible in charm meson decays, i.e., the masses of some exotic mesons which can contribute to the s-channel intermediate states have been predicted [11] to be very close to the parent charm meson masses, for example, parent charm meson masses, for example,<br>  $(m_F^2 - m_\pi^2) / (m_F^2 - m_{\hat{\delta}^{*s}}^2) \gg 1$  (in the narrow-width limit) in Eq. (5). As long as the value of the width  $\Gamma_{\text{exotic}}$  of the exotic mesons is taken to be very large ( $\Gamma_{\text{exotic}} \approx 0.3-0.5$ ) GeV), our calculated two-body decay rates are not very sensitive to the value of  $\Gamma_{\text{exotic}}$  in contrast with the phases of the amplitudes under consideration. We take the following values of the parameters involved,  $\delta \approx 50^{\circ}$ ,  $h \approx 1.0$ ,  $f_a^* \approx f_s^* \approx 0.05$ , and  $\Gamma_{\text{exotic}} \approx 0.4$  GeV, which have already reproduced [10] the observed values of two-body decay rates of charm mesons and the phase difference [12], es of charm mesons and the phase difference [12]<br> $\bar{K} = (\delta_{1/2} - \delta_{3/2})_{\pi \bar{K}} \approx 80^{\circ}$ , consistent with the approximate  $|\Delta I| = \frac{1}{2}$  rule in the  $K \rightarrow \pi \pi$  decays. Substituting into the amplitudes Eqs. (5) and (6) and those obtained by exchanging  $\eta$  by  $\eta'$  in these equations, the above values of the parameters, and the masses of the four-quark mesons



FIG. 1. The quark-line diagram describing the matrix elements  $\langle \{Q\overline{Q}g\} |H_W|\{Q\overline{Q}\}\rangle$ . The solid lines represent a quark and an antiquark, the dashed line a constituent gluon, the solid circle the weak vertex in the  $m_W \rightarrow \infty$  limit, and the gray box the strong interactions.

given in Ref. [11], we can compute the decay rates for the  $F^+\rightarrow \pi^+\eta$ ,  $\pi^+\eta'$  and  $D^0\rightarrow \bar{K}^0\eta$ ,  $\bar{K}^0\eta'$ , in which the remaining parameters are the asymptotic matrix element  $\langle K^0|H_W|D^0\rangle,$  the decay constant  $f_{|\eta|}$  or  $f_{|\eta'},$  and the  $\eta$ - $\eta'$ mixing parameters.

In the orthonormal  $\eta$ - $\eta'$  mixing with the mixing angle  $\partial_p$ , where  $a_{\eta}^{(8)} = a_{\eta'}^{(0)} = \cos\theta_p$  and  $a_{\eta}^{(0)} = -a_{\eta'}^{(8)} = -\sin\theta_p$ , the mixing parameters  $a_{\eta}^{s}$  and  $a_{\eta}^{0}$  which appear in Eqs. (5) and (6) are given by  $a_{\eta}^{s} = -(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos \theta_{P} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \sin \theta_{P})$  and  $a_{\eta}^{0} = (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \cos \theta_{P} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin \theta_{P}).$  Here we take  $\theta_{P} \approx -18^{\circ}$ estimated by Pham [13]. The decay constants  $f_n$  and  $f_{n'}$ have recently been estimated [14] as  $f_{\eta} = 91 \pm 6$  MeV and  $f_{\eta}$  =78±5 MeV by fitting the calculated  $\eta\gamma^*\gamma$  and  $\eta'\gamma^*\gamma$  transition form factors to the observed ones. Using these values of the mixing parameters and the decay constants, we obtain the branching ratios (v) listed in Table I. Our result reproduces well the observed values [15,16] of  $B(F^+\to \pi^+\eta)$ ,  $B(F^+\to \pi^+\eta')$ ,  $B(D^0\to \bar{K}^0\eta)$ ,

TABLE I. Branching ratios (%) for the  $F^+ \to \pi^+\eta, \pi^+\eta'$  and  $D^0 \to \overline{K}^0\eta, \overline{K}^0\eta'$  decays. (i) Bauer-Stech-Wirbel (BSW), (ii) Blok-Shifman (BS), (iii) Kamal-Sinha-Sinha (KSS), and (iv) Fham denote the theoretical predictions in Ref. [1], where the observed branching ratio [15]  $B(F^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \phi)_{\text{expt}} \approx 2.8\%$  has been used as the input in (iv). (v) is the present result, which contains the contributions of the groundstate  $\{Q\overline{Q}\}_0$  and the exotic  $[QQ][\overline{Q}\overline{Q}]$  and  $(QQ)(\overline{Q}\overline{Q})$  mesons  $(f_a^* = f_s^* = 0.05)$ , where the observed value  $\widetilde{B(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ K^-)}_{\text{vert}} \approx 3.7\%$  given in Ref. [15] is used as the input.

value $D \setminus D \longrightarrow a$ is $v_{expt} = 3.7$ /e given in sect. [15] is used as the input.						
Decays	$(i)$ BSW	$(ii)$ BS	(iii) KSS	$(iv)$ Pham	(v)	Experiments
$F^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta$	2.9	3.2	3.8	1.0	1.7	$1.5 \pm 0.4^a$
$F^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta'$	1.7	0.28	4.1	1.1	3.4	$3.7 \pm 1.2^a$
						$1.6 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4^b$
$D^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}{}^0 n$	0.31	0.4			0.7	$1.4 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$ <sup>c</sup>
						$0.85 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.16$ <sup>d</sup>
						$3.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.0^b$
$D^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}{}^0 \eta'$	0.12	1.2			1.5	$1.9 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$ °
						$2.5 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.6$ <sup>d</sup>

'World average of observed branching ratios given by the Particle Data Group [15].

 $<sup>b</sup>$ As measured by the Mark III Collaboration, summarized in [16].</sup>

'As measured by the ARGUS Collaboration, summarized in [16].

<sup>d</sup>As measured by the CLEO II Collaboration, summarized in [16].

In summary, we have studied the Cabibbo-anglefavored two-body decays of charm mesons into the final states involving  $\eta$  or  $\eta'$  from the perspective in which contributions of various kinds of hadrons are explicitly

- [1]For example, (a) M. Bauer, B. Stech, and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C 34, 103 (1987); (b) B.Yu. Blok and M. A. Shifman, Yad. Fiz. 45, 211 (1987) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 45, 135 (1987)]; (c) 45, 478 (1987) [45, 301 (1987)]; (d) 45, 841 (1987) [45, 522 (1987)]; (e) A. N. Kamal, N. Sinha, and R. Sinha, Phys. Rev. D 38, 1612 (1988); (f) T. N. Pham, ibid. 46, 2080 (1992).
- [2] K. Terasaki, S. Oneda, and T. Tanuma, Phys. Rev. D 29, 456 (1984).
- [3]K. Terasaki and S. Oneda, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 5, 2423 (1990).
- [4] H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. Lett. 15, 870 (1965); 15, 997(E) (1965); M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 15, 986 (1965).
- [5] Indications of evidence for exotic mesons are increasing. See, for example, A. Zaitsev, in Hadron '91, the Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, College Park, Maryland, 1991, edited by S. Oneda and D. C. Peaslee (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991), p. 903, and references therein. See also S. Kawabata, in Proceedings of the Joint International Lepton-Photon Symposium and Europhysics Conference on High Energy Phys ics, Geneva, 1991, edited by S. Hegarty, K. Potter, and E. Quercigh {World Scientific, Singapore, 1991), p. 53, and references therein.
- [6] Asymptotic flavor symmetry implies that  $a_{\beta}(\mathbf{k})$ 's (the annihilation operators of physical hadrons such as  $\pi$ , K,  $\eta$ , ...) can be transformed as  $[V_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}(\mathbf{k})]=i\sum_{\gamma}f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}a_{\gamma}(\mathbf{k})$  $+ \delta f_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k})$ , where  $\delta f_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., in the IMF. As long as asymptotic matrix elements are concerned with, the result from asymptotic flavor symmetry is the same as that from the usual recipe of flavor symmetry plus mixings. Asymptotic flavor symmetry and its fruitful results were reviewed in S. Oneda and K. Terasaki, Frog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 82, <sup>1</sup> (1985). See also S. Oneda and Y. Koide, Asymptotic Symmetry and Its Implication in Elementary Particle Physics (World Scientific, Singapore,

taken into account. The contributions of the groundstate  ${Q\overline{Q}}_0$  and the exotic four-quark mesons to  $M_s$  in addition to  $M_{ETC}$  representing the continuum contribution have been important. Choosing reasonable values of the parameters involved, we have reproduced well the observed values of the branching ratios for these decays, preserving the consistency with the other two-body decays of charm mesons.

1991). The measure of the accuracy of the asymptotic flavor symmetry is given by the value of the form factor  $f_{+}(0)$  of the relevant vector current at the zeromomentum-transfer squared. The estimated values  $f_+^{\pi K}(0) \simeq 1$  and  $f_+^{KD}(0) \simeq 0.7$  suggest that the asymptotic  $SU_f(3)$  is very accurate, while the asymptotic  $SU_f(4)$  may make about 30% errors. For the  $f^{KD}_{+}(0)$ , see Z. Bai et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1011 (1991).

- [7] T. Tanuma, S. Oneda, and K. Terasaki, Phys. Rev. D 29, 444 (1984).
- [8] K. Terasaki and S. Oneda, Phys. Rev. D 38, 132 (1988).
- [9] S. Oneda and K. Terasaki, in Hadron '89, the Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, Ajacio, Corsica, France, 1989, edited by F. Binon, J.-M. Frere, and J.-P. Peigneux (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), p. 651; K. Terasaki, in Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Light Quark Meson Spectroscopy, Tsukuba, Japan, 1992, edited by K. Takamatsu and T. Tsuru (KEK Report No. 92-8, Tsukuba, 1992), p. 144.
- [10] K. Terasaki and S. Oneda, Phys. Rev. D 47, 199 (1993).
- [11] R.J. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D 15, 267 (1977); 15, 281 (1977).
- [12] L.-L. Chau, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Physics and Detector for KEK Asymmetric B Factory, Tsukuba, Japan, 1991, edited by H. Ozaki and N. Sato (KEK Report No. 90-23, Tsukuba, 1991), p. 304, and references therein.
- [13] T. N. Pham, Phys. Lett. B 246, 175 (1990).
- [14]  $TPC/2\gamma$  Collaboration, H. Aihara et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 172 (1990).
- [15] Particle Data Group, K. Hikasa et al., Phys. Rev. D 45, S1 (1992).
- [16] P. E. Karchin, in Proceedings of the XIVth International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions, Stanford, California, 1989, edited by M. Riordan (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990), p. 105. See also CLEO Collaboration, R. Ammar, in Hadron '91 [5], p. 598.