

---



---

**Errata**


---



---

**Erratum: QCD-based effective Lagrangian including quark mass effects: Calculation of  $f_k$**   
**[Phys. Rev. D 39, 888 (1989)]**

Herman J. Munczek and Douglas W. McKay

PACS number(s): 12.38.Aw, 11.10.Lm, 11.30.Qc, 11.30.Rd, 99.10.+g

The following misprints in the original manuscript should be corrected.

The integrand factor in the equation above (32a) should be  $1/(\ln y)^{1+d}$ .There should be no  $1/\pi$  factor in the second term of Eq. (33).The definition of  $k$  below Eq. (C5) should read  $k \equiv (k_1 + k_2)/2$ .There should be a factor 6 rather than  $\frac{1}{6}$  in the definition of  $\langle r_\pi^2 \rangle$  in Appendix C. Equation (C8) should have a factor  $6i$  rather than  $\frac{1}{6}$ .The expression for  $E_2$  in Appendix C should have a factor  $D'$  instead of  $\Sigma'$  in the first term in the brackets multiplying  $x$ .

All calculations were done with the correct formulas.

We thank Chris Belyea for pointing out the misprint in the definition of  $\langle r_\pi^2 \rangle$ .

© 1992 The American Physical Society

---

**Erratum: Hyperfine-interaction meson spectroscopy and the linkage between constituent-, dynamical-, and current-quark masses**  
**[Phys. Rev. D 40, 3670 (1989)]**

V. Elias, Mong Tong, and M. D. Scadron

PACS number(s): 12.70.+q, 14.80.Dq, 99.10.+g

The Taylor series in the caption to Fig. 1, p. 3672, is correctly given by

$$m_\pi = \hat{m}_L \{ 2(1 + \tau) - (\hat{m}_L / m_{\text{dyn}})(14\tau + 8\tau^2)/3 + O((\hat{m}_L / m_{\text{dyn}})^2) \},$$

where  $\tau \equiv K / (m_{\text{dyn}})^4$ .

© 1992 The American Physical Society

---

**Erratum: CP violation in the decay  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$**   
**[Phys. Rev. D 46, 1035 (1992)]**

L. M. Sehgal and M. Wanninger

PACS number(s): 13.20.Eb, 11.30.Er, 13.40.Hq, 99.10.+g

In the phase convention adopted for the bremsstrahlung term  $g_{\text{BR}}$  [Eq. (9)], the magnetic dipole coupling  $g_{M1}$  should have the phase  $g_{M1} = i|g_{M1}|e^{i\delta_1}$ , and not  $g_{M1} = |g_{M1}|e^{i\delta_1}$  as assumed. This affects the relative phases given in Eq. (24):

$$\Theta_1 = \arg(g_{M1} g_{\text{BR}}^*) = \Phi_{+-} + \delta_0 - \bar{\delta}_1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ mod } \pi$$

(instead of  $\Phi_{+-} + \delta_0 - \bar{\delta}_1 \text{ mod } \pi$ ),

$$\Theta_2 = \arg(g_{M1} g_{E1}^*) = \Phi_{+-} - \frac{\pi}{2} \bmod \pi$$

(instead of  $\Phi_{+-} \bmod \pi$ ).

As a consequence, the  $CP$ -violating asymmetry given by Eq. (26),

$$\langle A \rangle = 15\% \cos\Theta_1 + 38\% \cos\Theta_2 \left| \frac{g_{E1}}{g_{M1}} \right|,$$

is  $(14.3 \pm 1.3)\%$ , significantly larger than the result  $(3.8 \pm 1.4)\%$  stated in the paper.

© 1992 The American Physical Society

---

### Erratum: Review of Particle Properties [Phys. Rev. D 45, S1 (1992)]

K. Hikasa, K. Hagiwara, S. Kawabata, R. M. Barnett, D. E. Groom, T. G. Trippe,  
C. G. Wohl, G. P. Yost, B. Armstrong, G. S. Wagman, J. Stone, F. C. Porter, R. J. Morrison,  
R. E. Cutkosky, L. Montanet, K. Gieselmann, M. Aguilar-Benitez, C. Caso, R. L. Crawford, M. Roos,  
N. A. Törnqvist, K. G. Hayes, G. Höhler, D. M. Manley, K. A. Olive, R. E. Shrock, S. Eidelman,  
R. H. Schindler, J. J. Hernández, G. Conforto, and R. A. Eichler  
(Particle Data Group)

PACS number(s): 14.20.-c, 14.40.-n, 14.60.-z, 14.80.-j, 99.10.+g

On page III.5 (and on page 205 of the *Particle Properties Data Booklet*), in the table on Atomic and Nuclear Properties of Materials, the radiation length for  $\text{SiO}_2$  should be 10.2 cm. The radiation length in  $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  is given correctly. The line should read

SiO <sub>2</sub> (quartz)	67.0	99.2	1.72	27.05	10.2	2.64	1.458
---------------------------	------	------	------	-------	------	------	-------

In the expression on page III.36 relating  $\ln \mathcal{L}$  to the  $\chi^2$  sum, a constant was omitted. The equation should read

$$\chi^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{L} + \text{const} = \sum_1^N \frac{[y_i - F(x_i; \vec{a})]^2}{\sigma_i^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

The expression is given correctly in the *Particle Properties Data Booklet*.

The two structure function definitions for  $F_3$  given on page III.52 contain an extra factor of  $x$ . For the reaction  $e_L^- p \rightarrow \nu X$  the expression should be

$$F_3 = 2[f_u(x, Q^2) + f_c(x, Q^2) + f_t(x, Q^2) - f_{\bar{d}}(x, Q^2) - f_{\bar{s}}(x, Q^2) - f_{\bar{b}}(x, Q^2)],$$

and for  $\nu p \rightarrow e^- X$  the corrected expression is

$$F_3 = 2[f_d(x, Q^2) + f_s(x, Q^2) + f_b(x, Q^2) - f_{\bar{u}}(x, Q^2) - f_{\bar{c}}(x, Q^2) - f_{\bar{t}}(x, Q^2)].$$

The expressions are given correctly in the *Particle Properties Data Booklet*.

On page VI.29, the title for the section on the  $\tau$  decay parameter

#### AXIAL VECTOR COUPLING CONSTANT RATIO $g_V/g_A$

should be changed to read

$$v_\tau/a_\tau, \text{ RATIO OF COUPLINGS TO } Z.$$

Similarly, on page II.3 (and on page 12 of the *Particle Properties Data Booklet*), the  $\tau$  decay parameter should read

$$v_\tau/a_\tau = 0.01 \pm 0.04.$$


---