

### The decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-$

A. Acker, S. Pakvasa, S. F. Tuan

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822*

S. P. Rosen

*Office of the Dean, College of Science, University of Texas at Arlington, UTA Box 19047, Arlington, Texas 76019-0047*

(Received 6 November 1990)

Using the heavy-quark approximation and factorization, it is shown that  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-$  has a branching ratio 60% that of  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \pi^-$  and an asymmetry parameter  $\alpha$  of  $-0.9$ .

In the near future, a large sample of  $\Lambda_b$  baryons should be available at the CERN  $e^+e^-$  collider LEP and other machines. Using the heavy-quark approximation,<sup>1</sup> the form factors for the semileptonic decay  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c e^- \bar{\nu}_e$  have been predicted.<sup>2</sup> In this Brief Report, we consider the decay mode  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \rho^-$  in the same approximation with the additional assumption of factorization.<sup>3</sup>

The effective Hamiltonian, including short distance QCD corrections, for decays of  $b$ -flavored hadrons into charmed, nonstrange states in the standard model is given by<sup>4,5</sup>

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} U_{bc} U_{ud} ( f_c \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu b_L \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu u_L + f_d \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu u_L ), \quad (1)$$

where  $f_c = \frac{1}{3} (2f_+ + f_-)$ ,  $f_d = \frac{1}{3} (2f_+ - f_-)$ ,  $f_- = [\alpha_s(\mu)/\alpha_s(M_W)]^\beta$ ,  $f_+ = (f_-)^{-1/2}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{12}{23}$  and  $\mu$  is between  $m_c$  and  $m_b$ . For a decay mode such as  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-$  where the amplitude is assumed to be factorizable, only the first term contributes with the coefficient  $f_c$  in the range 1–1.1.<sup>4,5</sup> In the factorized form, the amplitude for  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-$  is given by

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} U_{bc} U_{ud} f_c \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle \langle \rho | \bar{d} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) u | 0 \rangle. \quad (2)$$

The matrix element  $\langle \rho | \bar{d} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) u | 0 \rangle$  is  $f_\rho \epsilon_\mu(q)$ , where  $f_\rho \approx 0.11 \text{ GeV}^2$ , and  $\epsilon_\mu(q)$  is the polarization vector for a  $\rho$  of momentum  $q$ . The baryonic matrix element, in the heavy-quark limit has been evaluated<sup>2</sup> and is given by

$$\langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle = F \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) u_{\Lambda_b}, \quad (3)$$

where the single form factor  $F$  is given by

$$F = \left( \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(m_c)} \right)^{a_I} \left( \frac{\alpha_s(m_c)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{a_L} \eta(v \cdot v'), \quad (4)$$

where  $a_I = -6/25$ ,  $a_L = 8[v \cdot v' r(v \cdot v') - 1]/27$ , with

$$r(v \cdot v') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(v \cdot v')^2 - 1}} \ln[v \cdot v' + \sqrt{(v \cdot v')^2 - 1}]$$

and  $v$  and  $v'$  are the four-velocities of the  $b$  and  $c$  quarks. The function  $\eta(v \cdot v')$  is the universal, nonperturbative Isgur-Wise function, normalized to 1 at  $v \cdot v' = 1$ . The relation of  $v \cdot v'$  to the four-momentum transfer squared  $q^2$  is

$$v \cdot v' = \frac{m_{\Lambda_b}^2 + m_{\Lambda_c}^2 - q^2}{2m_{\Lambda_b} m_{\Lambda_c}}. \quad (5)$$

For example, for  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-$ ,  $q^2 = m_\rho^2$  and for a  $\Lambda_b$

mass of 5.7 GeV,  $v \cdot v' = 1.42$  and for  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \pi^-$ ,  $v \cdot v' = 1.45$ . For the  $\rho$  decay mode,  $F_\rho \cong 1.066 \eta(1.42)$ , and for the  $\pi$  decay mode,  $F_\pi \cong 1.06 \eta(1.45)$ .

With this baryonic matrix element for (3), the matrix element for the decay  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-$  becomes

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} U_{bc} U_{ud} f_\rho f_c F \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) u_{\Lambda_b} \epsilon_\mu. \quad (6)$$

Comparing this to the general form<sup>6</sup> in the rest frame of  $\Lambda_b$ ,

$$\chi_{\Lambda_c}^\dagger [S \boldsymbol{\sigma} + P_1 \mathbf{p} + iP_2 (\mathbf{p} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + D (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{p}] \cdot \epsilon \chi_{\Lambda_b} \quad (7)$$

(with  $\mathbf{p}$  now a unit vector in the direction of outgoing baryon<sup>7</sup>), we can read off the values for the four amplitudes  $S, P_1, P_2$ , and  $D$  as

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_F U_{bc} U_{ud} f_\rho f_c F, \quad (8)$$

$$P_1/S = - \left( \frac{m_{\Lambda_b} + m_{\Lambda_c}}{E_{\Lambda_c} + m_{\Lambda_c}} \right) \left( \frac{p}{E_\rho} \right) \approx -1.368, \quad (9)$$

$$P_2/S = p/(E_{\Lambda_c} + m_{\Lambda_c}) \approx 0.42, \quad (10)$$

$$D/S = p^2/E\rho(E_{\Lambda_c} + m_{\Lambda_c}) \approx 0.4. \quad (11)$$

From these expressions, the asymmetries and the decay rate can be read off. The up-down asymmetry  $\alpha$  of the final  $\Lambda_c$  with respect to  $\Lambda_b$  polarization is

$$A(\text{up-down}) = 1 + \alpha \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\Lambda_b}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\alpha = 2\text{Re} \frac{[(1 + D/S)^* P_1/S + 2(P_2^*/S)m_\rho^2/E_\rho^2]}{K} \quad (13)$$

and

$$K = [ |1 + D/S|^2 + |P_1/S|^2 + 2(1 + |P_2/S|^2)m_\rho^2/E_\rho^2 ]. \quad (14)$$

We find  $\alpha \approx -0.9$ , a reflection of the  $V - A$  structure of the matrix elements. There are other asymmetry parameters defined by the polarization of the final  $\Lambda_c$ :

$$A(N_{\Lambda_c}) = d\Omega [1 + \alpha \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Lambda_b}] [1 + \mathbf{n}_{\Lambda_c} \cdot \mathbf{N}] \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{(\alpha' + \gamma' \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Lambda_b}) \mathbf{p} + \beta \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{n}_{\Lambda_b} + \gamma (\mathbf{p} \times [\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{n}_{\Lambda_b}])}{1 + \alpha \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Lambda_b}} \quad (16)$$

Using the expressions for  $\alpha'$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma'$  given elsewhere,<sup>6,7</sup> we find  $\alpha' \approx -1$ ,  $\gamma \approx 0.02$  and  $\gamma' \approx 0.88$ .

The total decay rate is given by<sup>6,7</sup>

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \frac{E_{\Lambda_c} + m_{\Lambda_c}}{m_{\Lambda_b}} \right) p_\rho K (E\rho^2/m_\rho^2) |S|^2, \quad (17)$$

which can be written as

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \rho^-) = \left( \frac{G_F^2 |U_{cb}|^2 \Sigma^3 \Delta^3 |f_c|^2 |F_\rho|^2}{16\pi m_{\Lambda_b}^3} \right) \times \frac{\Sigma^2}{(2m_{\Lambda_b})^2} \frac{f_\rho^2}{4m_\rho^2} K, \quad (18)$$

where  $\Sigma = m_{\Lambda_b} + m_{\Lambda_c}$  and  $\Delta = m_{\Lambda_b} - m_{\Lambda_c}$  and corrections of order  $m_\rho^2/E_\rho^2$  are neglected. The decay rate for  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi^-$  in the same approximation is<sup>4</sup>

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi^-) = \left( \frac{G_F^2 |U_{cb}|^2 \Sigma^3 \Delta^3 |f_c|^2 |F_\pi|^2}{16\pi m_{\Lambda_b}^3} \right) f_\pi^2. \quad (19)$$

If we assume that the form factor  $F$  for  $\rho$  and  $\pi$  are nearly equal [this amounts to just assuming  $\eta(1.45) \approx \eta(1.42)$ ], then we have that

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \rho^-)}{\Gamma(\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c + \pi^-)} = \left( \frac{\Sigma}{2m_{\Lambda_b}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{f_\rho}{2f_\pi m_\rho} \right)^2 K \approx 0.6. \quad (20)$$

We predict  $\Lambda_c \rho^-$  mode at 60% of  $\Lambda_c \pi^-$  mode for  $\Lambda_b$  decay.

If the absolute value of the function  $\eta$  is known, the individual decay rates can be calculated. For this one has to await the measurement of the form factor in  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c e^- \bar{\nu}_e$ .

We are indebted to J. D. Bjorken for several helpful communications. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AM03-76SF00235.

<sup>1</sup>N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **232**, 113 (1989); **237**, 527 (1990); M. B. Voloshin and M. A. Shifman, Yad. Fiz. **47**, 801 (1988) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **47**, 511 (1988)].

<sup>2</sup>A. F. Falk *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B343**, 1 (1990); N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, *ibid.* **B348**, 276 (1991); M. B. Wise, Report No. CALT-68-1654 (unpublished), to appear in Proceedings of PANIC XII.

<sup>3</sup>D. Fakirov and B. Stech, Nucl. Phys. **B133**, 315 (1978); N. Cabibbo and L. Maiani, Phys. Lett. **73B**, 418 (1978); J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1513 (1989).

<sup>4</sup>M. Bauer, B. Stech, and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **34**, 103

(1987), and references therein.

<sup>5</sup>S. Rudaz and M. Voloshin, Phys. Lett. B **252**, 443 (1990).

<sup>6</sup>S. Pakvasa, S. F. Tuan, and S. P. Rosen, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3746 (1990).

<sup>7</sup>Here we follow the more standard practice of using the momentum of the final-state baryon than that of the final vector meson as was done in Ref. 6. Thus the subsequent discussion on asymmetry parameters is defined in terms of the up-down asymmetry of the final baryon, etc. Under this change  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha'$ , and  $\beta$  change sign, but  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma'$  do not change sign.