

Predictions for the quark-mixing doubly suppressed decays of charmed mesons

Ling-Lie Chau

*Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, California 95616
and Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545*

Hai-Yang Cheng

*Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
and Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529*

(Received 30 November 1989)

Based on the results from analyzing the currently available data of quark-mixing nonsuppressed or singly suppressed decays of charmed mesons, the branching ratios of the quark-mixing doubly suppressed charm decays are predicted using the quark-diagram scheme.

Recently, considerable progress has been made in the experimental observation of quark-mixing nonsuppressed or singly suppressed charmed meson decays. Their implications, both experimental and theoretical, had been studied using the quark-diagram scheme.¹⁻³ Here we continue such studies and obtain further new results. It is now hopeful that the mixing-matrix doubly suppressed charm decays will be within reach by experiments in the near future.⁴ The quark-mixing doubly suppressed decays are integrated parts with the quark-mixing nonsuppressed and singly suppressed decays in the analyses using the quark-diagram scheme.⁵ Here we report the interesting results that most of the doubly suppressed decays can already be predicted based upon the current nonsuppressed and singly suppressed data. These results ought to be very useful for experimental planning, observation, and for furthering our understanding of nonleptonic weak decays.

All nonleptonic decays of charmed mesons can be described by amplitudes from the six distinct types of quark diagrams:^{1,2} \mathcal{A} , the external- W -emission amplitude; \mathcal{B} , the internal- W -emission amplitude; \mathcal{C} , the W -exchange amplitude; \mathcal{D} , the W -annihilation amplitude; \mathcal{E} , the horizontal W -loop amplitude; and \mathcal{F} , the vertical W -loop amplitude. These are distinct diagrams with all QCD gluon effects included. The quark-diagram amplitudes for quark-mixing doubly suppressed D^+ , D^0 , and D_s^+ decays are given in Tables I-III for vector-pseudoscalar (VP), pseudoscalar-pseudoscalar (PP), and vector-vector (VV) decays, respectively. Note that we have included the effects of final-state interactions as well as some SU(3)-breaking effects. Our predicted branching ratios are also given in Tables I-III. [For decays involving SU(3) singlets in the final states, there exist in principle the hairpin diagrams, denoted in these tables by a subscript h . However, we ignore them here since there is no clear experimental evidence for their existence.⁶]

$D \rightarrow VP$ decays. Our analysis³ of recent data of a charmed meson decaying into a vector meson and a pseudoscalar meson $D \rightarrow VP$ have established the following: From the measured decay rates of $D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$, $D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+$, $\bar{K}^{*0}\pi^+$, and $D^0 \rightarrow \phi\bar{K}^0$ we obtained the values for various quark-diagram amplitudes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}' &= (2.01 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{B}' &= -(3.12 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-6}, \\ |\underline{\mathcal{C}}'| &= (1.77 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-6}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the primed amplitudes denote the case that the vector meson arises from the decay of the charm quark, and the underlined amplitude refers to the amplitudes of graphs involving the creation of $\bar{s}s$. From the measurements of $D_s^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0}K^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^{*-}\pi^+$, $\bar{K}^{*0}\pi^0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}' &= -0.43 \times 10^{-6}, \quad \underline{\mathcal{D}} = (1.43 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \Delta_{\bar{K}^*\pi} &\equiv (\delta_{1/2} - \delta_{3/2})_{\bar{K}^*\pi} = (97 \pm 13)^\circ. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

For unprimed amplitudes, three sets of solutions³ can be derived from the observed rates of $D^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\bar{K}^0$, $D^0 \rightarrow \omega\bar{K}^0$, $\rho^0\bar{K}^0$, and ρ^+K^- . However, the recent ARGUS result⁷ $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^0\bar{K}^{*0}) < 3 \times 10^{-4}$ implies $\mathcal{C} \sim \mathcal{C}'$. This favors the solution

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= (3.50 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= -(1.97 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{C} &= -(0.39 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \Delta_{\rho\bar{K}} &\equiv (\delta_{1/2} - \delta_{3/2})_{\rho\bar{K}} = (0 \pm 26)^\circ. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The other two solutions give too large values for the amplitude \mathcal{C} . The small rate for $D_s^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^0$ indicates³ $\mathcal{D} \approx \mathcal{D}'$. The recent E691 result⁸ for negligible $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\omega$ implies $|\underline{\mathcal{D}}| \gg |\mathcal{D}| \approx |\mathcal{D}'|$. Motivated by $\mathcal{C} \sim \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{C}'$, though not very compelling, we will assume that $\mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{D}' \sim \frac{1}{4}\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ for the purpose of giving specific $D \rightarrow VP$ rates.

With the quark-diagram amplitudes and the isospin phase-shift differences given by Eqs. (1)-(3), we are able to compute the branching fractions for all doubly suppressed $D \rightarrow VP$ decays except $D_s \rightarrow K^*K$.⁹ The results are shown in Table I. Note that our predictions do

take into account effects of final-state interactions obtained from data analyses in the quark-diagram scheme, as indicated by the phase shifts in Eqs. (2) and (3). Without final-state interactions the predicted branching ratio for $D \rightarrow K^* \pi^0$ will be smaller by a factor of 3. Such final-state-interaction effects are still beyond the capability of most theoretical model calculations.

It is also worth emphasizing that our analysis gives non-negligible the so-called nonspectator diagrams, the W -annihilation diagram \underline{D} and the W -exchange diagram, C and C' , as evidenced by Eqs. (2) and (3), in contrast with most model calculations.¹⁰⁻¹² For example, in our scheme $D^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+$ arises solely from the W -annihilation diagram \underline{D} .

$D \rightarrow PP$ decays. With the new data of $D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \eta'$, $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta$, and $D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta'$, a unique set of solutions for the $D \rightarrow PP$ quark-diagram amplitudes \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} , $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ can now be obtained.⁶ More precisely, the six amplitudes¹³ can be determined from the measured decay rates of $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+$, $\bar{K}^0 K^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \eta$, $\bar{K}^0 \eta'$, $K^- \pi^+$, $\bar{K}^0 \pi^0$, and $D_s^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 K^+$, $\pi^+ \eta$ (in units of GeV):

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{A}]_{PP} &\sim 3.4 \times 10^{-6}, \quad [\mathcal{B}]_{PP} \sim -2.1 \times 10^{-6}, \\ [\mathcal{C}]_{PP} &\sim -1.8 \times 10^{-6}, \quad [\mathcal{D}]_{PP} \sim -0.5 \times 10^{-6}, \\ [\underline{\mathcal{C}}]_{PP} &\sim -0.8 \times 10^{-6}, \quad [\underline{\mathcal{D}}]_{PP} \sim -0.4 \times 10^{-6}, \\ \Delta_{\bar{K}\pi} &\equiv (\delta_{1/2} - \delta_{3/2})_{\bar{K}\pi} = (79 \pm 11)^\circ. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Evidently, the nonspectator contributions, especially the W -exchange diagrams, play an essential role in $D \rightarrow PP$ decays.

Using the quark-diagram amplitudes given by Eq. (4), it is straightforward to compute the branching fractions for quark-mixing doubly suppressed $D \rightarrow PP$ decays (see Table II). Again, it should be stressed that nonspectator diagrams and final-state interactions are included in our calculations. In addition to the prediction based upon detailed information of Eq. (4), we have also the following general relations between the doubly suppressed decays and nonsuppressed D^0 decays by comparing Table II with those of nonsuppressed decays given in the tables of Ref. 3:

TABLE I. Doubly suppressed charm-meson decays into a vector boson and a pseudoscalar meson.

Channels	Predicted branching ratios	Amplitudes with SU(3) symmetry and no final-state interactions	Amplitudes with SU(3) breaking and final-state interactions
$D^+ \rightarrow \phi K^+$	0.5×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\underline{\mathcal{D}} + D_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{\underline{\mathcal{D}} + D_h\} e^{i\delta^{\phi K}}$
$\rightarrow \omega K^+$	2.5×10^{-4}	$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A}' + D' + 2D_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{\mathcal{A}' + D' + 2D_h\} e^{i\delta^{\omega K}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*+} \eta_8$		$-(1/\sqrt{6})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A} + D - 2D'\}$	$\rightarrow \{\mathcal{A} + D - 2D' + 2D'_h - 2D'_h\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \eta_8}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*+} \eta_0$		$-(1/\sqrt{3})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A} + D + D' + 3D'_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{\mathcal{A} + D + D' + 2D'_h + D'_h\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \eta_0}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*+} \eta$	1.7×10^{-4}	$\eta = \eta_8 \cos \theta + \eta_0 \sin \theta; \eta' = -\eta_8 \sin \theta + \eta_0 \cos \theta; \theta \approx 20^\circ$	
$\rightarrow \rho^+ K^0$	2.0×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B} + D'\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{B} + D') + (\mathcal{A}' - \mathcal{B} - 2D')(1/3)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{\rho K}})\} e^{i\delta^{\rho K}}$
$\rightarrow \rho^0 K^+$	1.0×10^{-4}	$(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A}' - D'\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{A}' - D') - (\mathcal{A}' - \mathcal{B} - 2D')(2/3)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{\rho K}})\} e^{i\delta^{\rho K}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*0} \pi^+$	1.1×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B}' + D\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{B}' + D) + \frac{1}{3}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}' - 2D)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K^* \pi}})\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \pi}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^0$	2.2×10^{-4}	$(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A} - D\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{A} - D) - \frac{2}{3}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}' - 2D)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K^* \pi}})\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \pi}}$

$D^0 \rightarrow \phi K^0$	0.2×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\underline{\mathcal{C}} + C_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{\underline{\mathcal{C}} + C_h\} e^{i\delta^{\phi K}}$
$\rightarrow \omega K^0$	0.9×10^{-4}	$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B} + C' + 2C_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{\mathcal{B} + C' + 2C_h\} e^{i\delta^{\omega K}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^-$	1.9×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A} + C\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{A} + C) - \frac{1}{3}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K^* \pi}})\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \pi}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*0} \pi^0$	1.2×10^{-4}	$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B} - C\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{B} - C) - \frac{2}{3}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K^* \pi}})\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \pi}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*0} \eta_8$		$-(1/\sqrt{6})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B}' + C - 2C'\}$	$\rightarrow \{\mathcal{B}' + C - 2C' + 2C'_h - 2C'_h\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \eta_8}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*0} \eta_0$		$-(1/\sqrt{3})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B}' + C + C' + 3C'_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{\mathcal{B}' + C + C' + 2C'_h + C'_h\} e^{i\delta^{K^* \eta_0}}$
$\rightarrow K^* \eta$	0.2×10^{-4}	$\eta = \eta_8 \cos \theta + \eta_0 \sin \theta; \eta' = -\eta_8 \sin \theta + \eta_0 \cos \theta; \theta \approx 20^\circ$	
$\rightarrow \rho^- K^+$	0.9×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A}' + C'\}$	$\rightarrow (\mathcal{A}' + C') - 2/3(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B})(1 - e^{i\Delta_{\rho K}}) e^{i\delta^{\rho K}}$
$\rightarrow \rho^0 K^0$	0.4×10^{-4}	$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{B} - C'\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{B} - C') - 1/3(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B})(1 - e^{i\Delta_{\rho K}})\} e^{i\delta^{\rho K}}$

$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} K^0$	0.7×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K^* K}})\} e^{i\delta^{K^* K}}$
$\rightarrow K^{*0} K^+$	0.6×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B}'\}$	$\rightarrow \{(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B}') - \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B})(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K^* K}})\} e^{i\delta^{K^* K}}$

TABLE II. Doubly suppressed charm-meson decays to two pseudoscalars.

Channels	Predicted branching ratios	Amplitudes with SU(3) symmetry and no final-state interactions	Amplitudes with SU(3) breaking and final-state interactions
$D^+ \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+$	2.1×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{B + D\}$	$\rightarrow \{(B + D) + (A - B - 2D)(1/3)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K\pi}})\} e^{i\delta_{3/2}^{K\pi}}$
$\rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$	3.7×10^{-4}	$(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{A - D\}$	$\rightarrow \{(A - D) - (A - B - 2D)(2/3)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{K\pi}})\} e^{i\delta_{3/2}^{K\pi}}$
$\rightarrow K^+ \eta_8$		$-(1/\sqrt{6})(s_1)^2 \times \{A - D\}$	$\rightarrow \{A - D + 2D_h - 2D_{\bar{h}}\} e^{i\delta^{K\eta_8}}$
$\rightarrow K^+ \eta_0$		$-(1/\sqrt{3})(s_1)^2 \times \{A + 2D + 3D_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{A + 2D + 2D_h + D_{\bar{h}}\} e^{i\delta^{K\eta_0}}$
$\rightarrow K^+ \eta$	1.5×10^{-4}	$\eta = \eta_8 \cos \theta + \eta_0 \sin \theta$	
$\rightarrow K^+ \eta'$	0.1×10^{-4}	$\eta' = -\eta_8 \sin \theta + \eta_0 \cos \theta; \theta \approx 20^\circ$	

$D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$	1.2×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{A + C\}$	\rightarrow same as for $K^- \pi^+$
$\rightarrow K^0 \pi^0$	0.6×10^{-4}	$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{B - C\}$	\rightarrow same as for $\bar{K}^0 \pi^0$
$\rightarrow K^0 \eta_8$		$-(1/\sqrt{6})(s_1)^2 \times \{B - C\}$	\rightarrow same as for $\bar{K}^0 \eta_8$
$\rightarrow K^0 \eta_0$		$-(1/\sqrt{3})(s_1)^2 \times \{B + 2C + 3C_h\}$	\rightarrow same as for $\bar{K}^0 \eta_0$
$\rightarrow K^0 \eta$	0.4×10^{-4}	$\eta = \eta_8 \cos \theta + \eta_0 \sin \theta$	
$\rightarrow K^0 \eta'$	0.6×10^{-4}	$\eta' = -\eta_8 \sin \theta + \eta_0 \cos \theta; \theta \approx 20^\circ$	

$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^0$	0.3×10^{-4}	$-(s_1)^2 \times \{A + B\}$	$\rightarrow \{(A + B)\} e^{i\delta^{K^+ K^0}}$

$$\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-) = \left(\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right)^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+), \quad \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^0) = \left(\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right)^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^0),$$

$$\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \eta) = \left(\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right)^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \eta), \quad \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \eta') = \left(\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right)^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \eta'),$$
(5)

where

$$s_1 \approx |V_{cd}^*| \approx |V_{us}|, \quad c_1 \approx |V_{cs}^*| \approx |V_{ud}|, \quad \eta = \eta_8 \cos \theta + \eta_0 \sin \theta, \quad \eta' = -\eta_8 \sin \theta + \eta_0 \cos \theta, \quad \theta \approx 20^\circ.$$
(6)

TABLE III. Doubly suppressed charm-meson decays into two vector bosons.

Channels	Predicted branching ratios	Amplitudes with SU(3) symmetry and no final-state interactions	Amplitudes with SU(3) breaking and final-state interactions
$D^+ \rightarrow \phi K^{*+}$		$-(s_1)^2 \times \{D + D_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{D + D_h\} e^{i\delta^{\phi K^*}}$
$\rightarrow \omega K^{*+}$		$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{A + D + 2D_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{A + D + 2D_h\} e^{i\delta^{\omega K^*}}$
$\rightarrow \rho^+ K^{*0}$		$-(s_1)^2 \times \{B + D\}$	$\rightarrow \{(B + D) + (A - B - 2D)(1/3)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{\rho K^*}})\} e^{i\delta_{3/2}^{\rho K^*}}$
$\rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*+}$		$(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{A - D\}$	$\rightarrow \{(A - D) - (A - B - 2D)(2/3)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{\rho K^*}})\} e^{i\delta_{3/2}^{\rho K^*}}$

$D^0 \rightarrow \phi K^{*0}$		$-(s_1)^2 \times \{C + C_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{C + C_h\} e^{i\delta^{\phi K^*}}$
$\rightarrow \omega \bar{K}^{*0}$	6×10^{-5}	$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{B + C + 2C_h\}$	$\rightarrow \{B + C + 2C_h\} e^{i\delta^{\omega K^*}}$
$\rightarrow \rho^- K^{*+}$		$-(s_1)^2 \times \{A + C\}$	$\rightarrow \{(A + C) - 2/3(A + B)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{\rho K^*}})\} e^{i\delta_{1/2}^{\rho K^*}}$
$\rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*0}$		$-(1/\sqrt{2})(s_1)^2 \times \{B - C\}$	$\rightarrow \{(B - C) - 1/3(A + B)(1 - e^{-i\Delta_{\rho K^*}})\} e^{i\delta_{1/2}^{\rho K^*}}$

$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} K^{*0}$		$-(s_1)^2 \times \{A + B\}$	$\rightarrow \{(A + B)\} e^{i\delta^{K^+ K^0}}$

$D \rightarrow VV$ Decays. Based on the quark-diagram scheme (see Table III), the following predictions can be made:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \phi K^{*0}) &= \left[\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right]^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \phi \bar{K}^{*0}), \\ \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \omega K^{*0}) &= \left[\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right]^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \omega \bar{K}^{*0}), \\ \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^- K^{*+}) &= \left[\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right]^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ K^{*-}), \quad (7) \\ \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 K^{*0}) &= \left[\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right]^4 \Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \bar{K}^{*0}), \\ |\mathcal{A}(D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} K^{*0})| &= \left[\frac{s_1}{c_1} \right]^2 |\mathcal{A}(D^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \bar{K}^{*0})|.\end{aligned}$$

Using the recent ARGUS measurement⁷ $B(D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} \omega) = (2.0 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3)\%$, we predict $B(D^0$

$\rightarrow K^{*0} \omega) \sim 6 \times 10^{-5}$.

To summarize, branching fractions of the doubly quark-mixing-matrix suppressed decays of charmed mesons are predicted using the quark-diagram scheme together with the existing data. Measurements of these doubly suppressed charm decays are important in our effort to understand the nonleptonic-decay mechanism.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Council of Taiwan. L.L.C. would like to thank the University of California Coordinate Committee for Nonlinear Studies, for an INCOR grant which made her visit at Los Alamos National Laboratory possible, and would also like to thank Dr. David K. Campbell and the members of the Center for Nonlinear Studies for their warm hospitality. H.Y.C. wishes to thank the Theory Group of the Physics Department of the Brookhaven National Laboratory for their hospitality.

¹L. L. Chau, in *Proceedings of the 1980 Guangzhou Conference on Theoretical Particle Physics*, Guangzhou, China, 1980 (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1981; Science Press, Beijing, 1980); Phys. Rep. **95**, 1 (1983).

²L. L. Chau and H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1655 (1986); Phys. Rev. D **36**, 137 (1987); **39**, 2788 (1989).

³L. L. Chau and H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B **222**, 285 (1989).

⁴The Tau-Charm Factory Workshop, Stanford, California, 1989 (unpublished).

⁵These aspects were emphasized in a talk by L. L. Chau at the Tau-Charm Factory Workshop (Ref. 4).

⁶See L. L. Chau, H. Y. Cheng, and T. Huang [Report No. UCD-89-18 (unpublished)] for an updated analysis. In our previous paper (Ref. 3) an assumption on the nonspectator amplitudes \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} has to be made in order to determine six quark-diagram amplitudes from five measured decay rates as the data for $D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^{*0} \eta'$ were not available at that time.

⁷P. E. Karchin, in *Proceedings of the XIV International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions*, Stanford, California, 1989, edited by M. Riordan (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).

⁸J. C. Anjos *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **223**, 267 (1989).

⁹The present data for $D^0 \rightarrow K^{*-} K^+$ and $K^{*+} K^-$ are not accu-

rate enough to determine the phase-shift difference of $(\delta_0 - \delta_1)_{K^* K}$. Our predictions for $D_s \rightarrow K^* K$ rates are obtained in the absence of final-state interactions.

¹⁰I. I. Bigi, in Proceedings of the 16th SLAC Summer Institute, Stanford, California, 1988 (unpublished); see also T. E. Browder, Report No. SLAC-PUB-5083, 1989 (unpublished).

¹¹M. Bauer, B. Stech, and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **34**, 103 (1987).

¹²B. Yu. Blok and M. A. Shifman, Yad. Fiz. **45**, 211 (1987) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **45**, 135 (1987)]; **45**, 478 (1987) [**45**, 301 (1987)]; **45**, 841 (1987) [**45**, 522 (1987)]; see also L. L. Chau and H. Y. Cheng, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4**, 877 (1989), for comments.

¹³The other set of solutions is (in units of GeV)

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{A}]_{PP} &\sim 1.9 \times 10^{-6}, \quad [\mathcal{B}]_{PP} \sim -0.6 \times 10^{-6}, \\ [\mathcal{C}]_{PP} &\sim -3.0 \times 10^{-6}, \quad [\mathcal{D}]_{PP} \sim -1.3 \times 10^{-6}, \\ [\underline{\mathcal{C}}]_{PP} &\sim -0.8 \times 10^{-6}, \quad [\underline{\mathcal{D}}]_{PP} \sim -1.9 \times 10^{-6}, \\ (\delta_{1/2} - \delta_{3/2})_{\bar{K}^* \pi} &= (101 \pm 11)^\circ.\end{aligned}$$

However, this solution leads to the prediction $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta') \sim 0.1\%$ and hence is ruled out by current experiments.