

## Limits on right-handed interactions from SN 1987A observations

Riccardo Barbieri

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pisa, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa, Italy*

Rabindra N. Mohapatra

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742*

(Received 20 April 1988; revised manuscript received 11 July 1988)

We consider the emission of light ( $m_{\nu_R} \lesssim 10$  MeV) right-handed neutrinos from supernova 1987A via right-handed charged- and neutral-current interactions. By requiring that the neutronization process  $e^-_R p \rightarrow \nu_R n$  does not carry away most of the energy that can be radiated by the supernova,  $E_T \lesssim (4-5) \times 10^{53}$  ergs, we exclude the range of values for the right-handed  $W$ -boson mass,  $(3.7-6.7)M_{W_L} \leq M_{W_R} \leq (280-500)M_{W_L}$  for vanishing  $W_L - W_R$  mixing parameter  $\xi$ , and for  $\xi \neq 0$  we get  $(0.4-1.2) \times 10^{-5} \leq [\xi^2 + (M_{W_L}^4/M_{W_R}^4)]^{1/2} \leq (0.02-0.07)$ . For right-handed  $W$  bosons heavier than  $300 M_{W_L}$ , a significant  $\nu_R$  emission may arise from the neutral-current-induced process  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_R \nu_R$ , as well as  $nn \rightarrow nn \nu \bar{\nu}$  mediated by an extra gauge boson  $Z'$ . In this case, for an appropriately defined effective mass  $M_N$  for  $Z'$ , we find the excluded region  $(2.4-4.3)M_{W_L} < M_N < (30-85)M_{W_L}$ , mainly dependent on the core temperature and density profile.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of neutrino flux from the supernova 1987A by the Kamiokande<sup>1</sup> and Irvine-Michigan-Brookhaven<sup>2</sup> (IMB) experiments has not only confirmed the standard picture of stellar collapse studied by astrophysicists for years<sup>3</sup> but also has yielded a wealth of information on the properties of the neutrino as well as other physics ideas beyond the standard model. In this paper, we discuss implications for supernova neutrino luminosity if there are right-handed charged- or neutral-current interactions as is the case in left-right-symmetric models of weak interactions<sup>4</sup> or the superstring models.<sup>5</sup> If the right-handed neutrino is light ( $m_{\nu_R} \leq 10$  MeV) and if it has a charged-current coupling, it can be produced in the core of the supernova via the reaction  $e^-_R p \rightarrow \nu_R n$ . For a certain range of the strength of this charged-current interaction, either of two things can happen. (i) For one range for the strength of this charged-current interaction ( $G_R$ ) $\nu_R$  has a mean free path larger than the core radius so that it escapes. As  $G_R$  increases, the flux of  $\nu_R$  increases. Since  $\nu_R$ 's are produced in the inner core, they have typical energies of the order of 200–300 MeV. This can cause the integrated  $\nu_R$  luminosity to saturate the total energy  $E_T$  that can be released in the neutron-star formation, thereby putting an upper bound on the strength of the charged-current interactions. (ii) On the other hand, if  $\nu_R$  has a mean free path smaller than the core radius, it gets trapped and its subsequent thermalization can reduce the luminosity. In order to estimate the  $\nu_R$  luminosity in this case, one has to calculate the radius of the  $\nu_R$ -sphere. The  $\nu_R$ 's emitted from the surface of the  $\nu_R$ -sphere carry away the energy corresponding to its temperature and radius. In turn, if the radius is not big enough, the temperature at the surface of the  $\nu_R$ -sphere will be high and the energy loss via  $\nu_R$  emission will dominate. It turns out that the stronger the interaction, the lower the  $\nu_R$  luminosity. This gives a lower limit on  $G_R$ . These considerations therefore rule

out a range of the strength of the right-handed current interactions. In terms of the conventional left-right-symmetric models, these limits translate into a range of excluded values for  $M_{W_R}$  and the  $W_L - W_R$  mixing parameter  $\xi$  as follows:

$$(0.4-1.2) \times 10^{-5} \leq [\xi^2 + (M_{W_L}^4/M_{W_R}^4)]^{1/2} \leq 0.02-0.07 \quad (1)$$

or, for  $\xi=0$ ,

$$(3.7-6.7)M_{W_L} \leq M_{W_R} \leq (280-500)M_{W_L} \quad (2)$$

To get these bounds, we assume a maximum total energy  $E_T \lesssim 4-5 \times 10^{53}$  ergs, which is the gravitational binding energy  $E_B$  liberated in the formation of a daughter star of mass  $M \simeq (1.6-1.8) M_\odot$  by the implosion of the mother star's core. We wish to point out here that our basic strategy is similar to several recent papers which consider bounds on other exotic new physics from SN 1987A observations.<sup>5</sup>

If there are no charged currents but only neutral-current interactions due to the presence of extra light  $Z'$  bosons,  $\nu_R \bar{\nu}_R$  emission can take place via the process  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu_R \bar{\nu}_R$ . Again similar considerations as before lead to bounds on the mass of the extra  $Z'$  boson depending on the nature of its coupling. If we define

$$\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_R \nu_R) \equiv \frac{G_F^2 s}{12\pi} \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_N} \right]^4 \quad (3)$$

(where we have absorbed coupling strengths in the definition of the effective mass  $M_N$  and  $s$  denotes the center-of-mass energy squared), then we find the region

$$(2.4-4.3)M_{W_L} \leq M_N \leq (7.5-40)M_{W_L} \quad (4)$$

excluded by the above considerations. The uncertainties in these bounds reflect the uncertainties in the temperature and the density profile of the stellar core after collapse (see below). Unlike the bounds (1) coming from the neutronization process, the bounds (4) from  $e^+ e^-$  annihilation

lation, which can also be mediated by charged-current interactions, do not depend upon assuming a maximum energy that can be released from the star.

In view of the various uncertainties surrounding the detailed situation in a collapsing core, in what follows, we shall take a simplified picture of the core immediately after collapse.<sup>6,7</sup> For the inner core we shall assume a constant matter density  $\rho_C \simeq 8 \times 10^{14}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> (corresponding to a total mass  $M \simeq 1.4M_\odot$  and a radius  $R_C \simeq 10^6$  cm) and a temperature  $T_C = 30\text{--}70$  MeV. Furthermore, we shall take approximately equal numbers of neutrons, protons, and electrons, with number density  $n_C \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{38}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, for  $t \lesssim 0.5\text{--}1$  sec, before the standard  $\nu_L$  interactions can become operative in carrying away the star's lepton number.<sup>6</sup> During this time, the electrons are highly degenerate, with a chemical potential  $\mu \simeq 300$  MeV. The nucleons on the contrary can be taken as semidegenerate and quasistatic. Outside the constant-density inner core ( $R > R_C \simeq 10^6$  cm), for the calculation of the  $\nu_R$ -sphere, we shall take  $\rho(R) = \rho_C (R_C/R)^m$ ,  $m = 3\text{--}7$  and correspondingly  $T(R) = T_C (R_C/R)^{m/3}$  since thermodynamic considerations imply that  $T \propto \rho^{1/3}$ .

## II. TRAPPING CONDITION

Let us first discuss trapping of the right-handed neutrinos, which occurs if the interactions are strong enough. In turn this sets the lower values of the excluded regions (1) and (4). The most relevant reactions that contribute to trapping are  $\nu_R n \rightarrow e^- p$  arising from  $W_R$  exchange or  $W_L$ - $W_R$  mixing, and  $\nu_R n \rightarrow \nu_R n$ ,  $\nu_R p \rightarrow \nu_R p$  arising from  $Z_R$  (or  $Z'$ ) exchange. If we define, for both of them,

$$\sigma = AG_F^2 E_\nu^2 \quad (5)$$

we find that, for  $A \leq 10^{-6}$ , the  $\nu_R$  mean free path  $l_{\nu_R}$  exceeds the inner core radius  $l_{\nu_R} \lesssim R_C$ , and no trapping occurs. For  $A \gtrsim 10^{-6}$ , trapping occurs and to estimate the neutrino luminosity, we have to calculate the radius of the neutrino ( $\nu_R$ ) sphere.<sup>7</sup> Let us define an  $R$ -dependent mean free path

$$l_{\nu_R}(R) = \frac{1}{n(R)\sigma(R)}, \quad (6)$$

where  $n(R) = n_C (R_C/R)^m$  and  $(\langle E_\nu^2 \rangle \sim 10T^2)$

$$\sigma(R) \simeq AG_F^2 10T(R)^2 \simeq AG_F^2 10T_C^2 (R_C/R)^{2m/3}. \quad (7)$$

The neutrino-sphere radius  $R_{\nu_R}$  is defined<sup>8</sup> as the value of  $R$  at which the "optical depth"

$$\tau_{\nu_R}(R) = \int_R^\infty \frac{dR}{l_{\nu_R}(R)} \quad (8)$$

equals  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\tau_{\nu_R}(R_{\nu_R}) = \frac{2}{3}$ . This equation sets the following dependence of  $R_{\nu_R}$  on the parameter  $A$ , defined in Eq. (5),

$$R_{\nu_R} \propto A^{3/(5m-3)} \quad (9)$$

and correspondingly, for the temperature  $T_{\nu_R}$  of the  $\nu_R$ -sphere,

$$T_{\nu_R} \propto A^{-m/(5m-3)}. \quad (10)$$

Rather than computing the absolute  $\nu_R$  luminosity  $Q(\nu_R)$ , we look at its value relative to the standard  $\nu_L$  luminosity  $Q(\nu_L)$ , associated with the long-term  $\nu_L$ -diffusion flux

$$Q(\nu_L) \simeq (5\text{--}10) \times 10^{51} \text{ ergs/sec} \quad (11)$$

in rough agreement with observation.<sup>1,2</sup> In turn  $Q(\nu_L)$  is determined by  $\nu_L$  trapping and the radius of the  $\nu_L$ -sphere which in turn are determined by  $\nu_L n \rightarrow e^- p$ , as well as other charged- and neutral-current processes with a cross section also given by (7) with  $A \simeq 1$ . Since, from the Stephan-Boltzmann law,  $Q \propto T^4 R^2$ , we get the desired equation

$$\frac{Q(\nu_R)}{Q(\nu_L)} = \left[ \frac{T_{\nu_R}}{T_{\nu_L}} \right]^4 \left[ \frac{R_{\nu_R}}{R_{\nu_L}} \right]^2 = A^{-(4m-6)/(5m-3)}. \quad (12)$$

Demanding as an efficient  $\nu_R$ -trapping condition  $Q(\nu_R) \lesssim 20Q(\nu_L)$ , we find ( $m = 3\text{--}7$ )

$$A \geq 10^{-3} \text{--} 10^{-2}. \quad (13)$$

This bound will provide the lower limit on the range of masses of  $M_{W_R}$  or  $M_{Z_R}$  ( $M_{Z'}$ ) that is excluded by supernova observations.<sup>9</sup>

We arrive at the condition  $Q_{\nu_R} \lesssim 20Q_{\nu_L}$  by requiring that the  $\nu_R$  luminosity be less than  $10^{53}$  ergs/sec. If  $Q(\nu_R) \geq 10^{54}$  ergs/sec, the core would have been cooled in less than a second—in contradiction with observation—whereas  $\nu_R$  emission at  $Q(\nu_R) \leq 10^{52}$  ergs/sec would have only had a slight effect. We think that  $A > 10^{-3}\text{--}10^{-2}$  is a conservative bound, which could perhaps be strengthened by the consideration of core evolution during collapse. On the other hand, this bound will be enough to close the gap of left- to right-handed charged-current interactions by laboratory observations.

## III. LIMITS ON RIGHT-HANDED CHARGED-CURRENT INTERACTIONS

Let us write down the charged-current interactions in the left-right-symmetric models:

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} [W_{1\mu}^+ (J_L^\mu \cos \xi + J_R^\mu \sin \xi) + W_{2\mu}^+ (J_R^\mu \cos \xi - J_L^\mu \sin \xi)] + \text{H.c.}, \quad (14)$$

where  $J_L^\mu$  ( $J_R^\mu$ ) is the left-handed (right-handed) charged current and  $\xi$  is the left-right mixing parameter. In what follows, we denote the masses of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  by  $M_{W_L}$  and  $M_{W_R}$ , respectively. The presence of the right-handed current will lead to  $\nu_R$  production in the epoch of neutronization via the reaction  $e^- \bar{p} \rightarrow \nu_R n$  with cross section

$$\sigma \simeq B \frac{G_F^2 E_e^2}{\pi} (g_V^2 + 3g_A^2) \simeq \frac{6B}{\pi} G_F^2 E_e^2, \quad (15)$$

where

$$B = \xi^2 + \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_{W_R}} \right]^4. \quad (16)$$

The  $\nu_R$  luminosity by this process, at fixed proton and electron densities  $n_p$  and  $n_e$ , is given by

$$Q(\nu_R) = V n_p n_e B 6 \frac{G_F^2}{\pi} \langle E_\nu^3 \rangle. \quad (17)$$

Using  $V = 4 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^3$ ,  $\langle E_\nu^3 \rangle \simeq \langle E_e^3 \rangle \simeq (300 \text{ MeV})^3$ , and the number densities given in Sec. I, one obtains (we assume that the core density is constant)

$$Q(\nu_R) \simeq 10^{64} B \text{ ergs/sec} \quad (18)$$

which would naively imply  $B \lesssim 10^{-11}$ .

One has to take into account however that the overall number of protons is fixed  $N_p \simeq 1.4 M_\odot / 2m_p \simeq 10^{57}$  and is decreasing with time via the neutronization process itself. In order to put the bound on  $B$ , rather than the differential luminosity, one has to consider the integrated luminosity on the total energy carried away by the  $\nu_R$  neutronization process:

$$E_N(t) \simeq -V \int_0^t dt \frac{dn_p}{dt} \langle E_\nu \rangle_t. \quad (19)$$

We require that  $E_N(t \simeq 1 \text{ sec})$ , before the left-handed neutrinos can carry away the star's lepton number, saturates the total energy  $E_T$  that can be released

$$E_T \simeq E_B \simeq \frac{3}{5} \frac{GM^2}{R} = 3 \times 10^{53} \text{ ergs} \left[ \frac{10^6 \text{ cm}}{R} \right] \left[ \frac{M}{1.4 M_\odot} \right]^2. \quad (20)$$

Using

$$\langle E_\nu \rangle_t \simeq \langle E_e \rangle_t \simeq \mu_e(t) \simeq \mu_e(0) \left[ \frac{n_p(t)}{n_p(0)} \right]^{1/3}$$

and

$$\frac{dn_p}{dt} = -6B \frac{G_F^2}{\pi} n_p^2 \langle E_e \rangle_t^2, \quad (21)$$

we get

$$E_N(t) = E_N(\infty) \left[ 1 - \left[ 1 + \frac{t}{\tau} \right]^{-4/5} \right], \quad (22)$$

where

$$E_N(\infty) = \frac{3}{4} \mu_e(0) n_p(0) V = 4 \times 10^{53} \text{ ergs} \left[ \frac{M}{1.4 M_\odot} \right]^{4/3} \left[ \frac{10^6 \text{ cm}}{R} \right] \quad (23)$$

and

$$\tau = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\pi}{6BG_F^2 n_p(0) \mu_e^2(0)} \simeq \frac{2.4 \times 10^{-11}}{B} \text{ sec}.$$

Needless to say, the fact that the  $E_N(\infty)$  is even greater than  $E_T$  is an artifact of the naive calculation. Nevertheless the same calculation shows that  $E_N(1 \text{ sec})$  will saturate  $E_T$ , provided, say,  $E_N(1 \text{ sec}) \lesssim 0.8 E_N(\infty)$ , which gives in turn  $B \geq 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ . Using Eq. (16) this implies  $M_{W_R} \leq 280 M_{W_L}$  for  $\zeta = 0$ . On the other hand, one might assume that half the energy escapes from the collapse of the hot shocked mantle; in this case, it is more appropriate to choose  $t = 2 \text{ sec}$  and  $E_N \simeq \frac{1}{2} E_N(\infty)$ . This then implies  $\tau = 1.4 \text{ sec}$  and  $M_{W_R} \simeq 500 M_{W_L}$ . This reflects a basic uncertainty in our estimate of the bound. Furthermore the bound in Eq. (13), using Eqs. (5), (15), and (16), and setting  $E_\nu = E_e$  leads to  $\zeta > 0.07 - 0.02$  and  $M_{W_R} \leq (3.7 - 6.7) M_{W_L}$ , ignoring possible neutral-current

effects. These give the excluded ranges of  $M_{W_R}$  and  $\zeta$  stated in Eqs. (1) and (2). Note, however, that present laboratory limits<sup>10,11</sup> from  $\mu^+$  decay already rule out the lower limits in Eq. (1) ( $M_{W_R} \lesssim 514 \text{ GeV}$  for  $\zeta = 0$  from  $\mu$  decay<sup>11</sup>). This combination of supernova observations with laboratory observations would imply  $M_{W_R} \geq 23 \text{ TeV}$  and  $\zeta < 10^{-5}$  for  $m_{\nu_R} \lesssim 10 \text{ MeV}$ . These are the most stringent bounds to date on  $M_{W_R}$  and  $\zeta$ .

#### IV. LIMITS ON NEUTRAL-CURRENT INTERACTIONS

In this section we consider the  $\nu_R$  production via  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. This will be the only production process of right-handed neutrinos in the case of exclusive neutral-current interactions. The same process may also play a role for charged-current interactions, if the bound on the total luminosity,  $E_T \leq 4 - 5 \times 10^{53} \text{ ergs}$ , is evaded and a residual significant energy is left after neutronization.

The luminosity for the annihilation process is

$$Q(\nu_R, \bar{\nu}_R) = V n(e^+) n(e^-) \times \langle \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_R \bar{\nu}_R) v_{\text{rel}}(E_\nu + E_{\bar{\nu}}) \rangle \quad (24)$$

(where  $v_{\text{rel}}$  is the relative velocity of  $e^+$  and  $e^-$ ). Neglecting for the moment the electron chemical potential, one finds, using Eq. (3),

$$Q(\nu_R, \bar{\nu}_R) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_N} \right]^4 G_F^2 V \frac{16}{3\pi^4} T^9 F_3 F_4, \quad (25)$$

where

$$F_n = \int_0^\infty \frac{y^n dy}{e^y + 1}$$

so that

$$Q(\nu_R, \bar{\nu}_R) = \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_N} \right]^4 43 \times (10^{56} - 10^{59}) \text{ ergs/sec} \quad (26)$$

depending on the inner core temperature  $T_C = 30 - 70 \text{ MeV}$ . A similar range of values is obtained for a nonzero chemical potential ( $\mu_e \simeq 300 \text{ MeV}$  for  $t \leq 0.5 \text{ sec}$ ) since in this case the suppression of the positron density ( $e^{-\mu/T}$ ) is almost completely compensated by the larger electron density.

Requiring  $Q(\nu_R, \bar{\nu}_R) \leq 10^{53} \text{ ergs/sec}$  gives

$$M_N \lesssim (7.5 - 40) M_{W_L}. \quad (27)$$

Also in the case of pure neutral-current interactions trapping of  $\nu_R$ 's can take place, as discussed in Sec. II via  $\nu_R N \rightarrow \nu_R N$ . Defining, for the relevant cross section, Eq. (5),

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M'_N} \right]^4 \quad (28)$$

we get, from Eq. (13),

$$M'_N \leq (2.4 - 4.3) M_{W_L} \quad (29)$$

in order to suppress trapping. Notice that  $M_N$ , Eq. (3), and  $M'_N$ , Eq. (28), are in general different, since different vertices are involved in emission and trapping. In turn the different couplings are model dependent. The identification of  $M_N$  and  $M'_N$  leads to the excluded region (4). Depending on the number of right-handed neutrinos

and on their mass, the bound (29) may be in conflict with the cosmological constraint on nucleosynthesis.<sup>12</sup>

After submission of this paper, we came across the paper by Raffelt and Seckel,<sup>13</sup> which also discusses limits on right-handed currents from supernova 1987A observations.

Although obtained employing somewhat different criteria we agree on the upper value of the excluded region for the charged-current interaction strength. On the contrary we disagree on the analogous bound for the neutral currents. This is due to the fact that (i) we consider, as source of right-handed neutrinos, the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_R \bar{\nu}_R$ , whereas Raffelt and Seckel (RS) consider the "neutrino bremsstrahlung" processes  $nn \rightarrow nn \bar{\nu}\nu$ ,

$$Q(nn \rightarrow nn \bar{\nu}\nu) \approx \frac{V n_n^2}{2\pi^5} m_n \langle p \rangle g_A^2 G_F^2 \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_N} \right]^4 \frac{T^5}{m_\pi^4} \approx 2 \times 10^{60} \left[ \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_N} \right]^4 \left[ \frac{T}{70 \text{ MeV}} \right]^5 \text{ ergs/sec}, \quad (30)$$

where  $g_A = 1.25$ ,  $\langle p \rangle \simeq 400$  MeV is the average nucleon momentum, and  $m_n$ ,  $m_\pi$  are the nucleon and pion masses. At  $T = 70$  MeV, this luminosity is about 20 times smaller than the luminosity extrapolated from the degenerate case,<sup>15</sup> probably due to the overestimation of the nucleon density. However,  $Q(nn \rightarrow nn \bar{\nu}\nu)$  appears to be larger than  $Q(\nu_R, \bar{\nu}_R)$ , Eq. (26), from  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. Using this result together with the analogous calculation for  $Q(np \rightarrow np \bar{\nu}\nu)$  and taking into account the uncertainty in the temperature of the supernova core, the upper value for the excluded range of the neutral-current interaction strength, Eq. (27), can be improved to

$$M_N \lesssim (30-85) M_{W_L}.$$

This lower bound is stronger than the one obtained from

$np \rightarrow np \bar{\nu}\nu$ , and (ii) we take into account the uncertainty in the temperature of the supernova core,  $T = 30-70$  MeV, whereas RS considered a fixed value of the same temperature,  $T = 75$  MeV.

Using the matrix element of Friman and Maxwell,<sup>14</sup> we have reevaluated the rate for the bremsstrahlung processes in the nondegenerate limit appropriate to the case of the hot supernova core in its initial stage. (Because of the approximations made by Friman and Maxwell, their calculation, appropriate to the strongly degenerate situation of a cold neutron star, cannot be extrapolated to the supernova situation.<sup>15</sup> As we understand, this extrapolation has been made in Ref. 15.) For the thermally averaged luminosity, we find

considerations of  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, but weaker than what is claimed in Ref. 13.

The lower values of the excluded regions, both for the charged- and for the neutral-current interaction strengths, that we discuss quantitatively, remain unchanged.

In conclusion, we have excluded a significant range of values for mass of right-handed  $W_R$  bosons and  $W_L$ - $W_R$  mixing parameter for a light  $\nu_R$  using the observations of SN 1987A. We also obtain bounds on the masses of extra  $Z$  bosons of left-right and superstring models from the same considerations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work of R.N.M. was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

<sup>1</sup>K. Hirata *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1490 (1987).

<sup>2</sup>R. Bionta *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1494 (1987).

<sup>3</sup>M. Aglietta *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **3**, 1315 (1987). The neutrino burst observed in this experiment has also been due to neutrino emission by the supernova. This interpretation, however, requires significant deviation from current theoretical models of supernova explosion, which is not pursued here. See A. De Rújula, in *Proceedings of the European Physical Society High Energy Physics Conference [International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics]*, Uppsala, Sweden, 1987, edited by O. Botner (European Physical Society, Geneva, Switzerland, 1987).

<sup>4</sup>J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 275 (1974); R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, *ibid.* **11**, 566 (1975); **11**, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović and R. N. Mohapatra, *ibid.*, **12**, 1502 (1975). For a recent review, see R. N. Mohapatra, in *Quarks, Leptons and Beyond*, proceedings of a NATO Advanced Study Institute, Munich, West Germany, 1983, edited by H. Fritzsch *et al.* (NATO ASI Series B, Vol. 122) (Plenum, New York, 1985), p. 219.

<sup>5</sup>J. Ellis and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. B **193**, 525 (1987); M. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1699 (1988); R. Mayle *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **203**, 198 (1988); R. Barbieri and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 27 (1988).

<sup>6</sup>A. Burrows and J. Lattimer, Astrophys. J. **307**, 178 (1986); R. Mayle, J. Wilson, and D. Schramm, *ibid.* **318**, 288 (1987).

<sup>7</sup>Turner (Ref. 5).

<sup>8</sup>T. Mazurek, Astrophys. J. Lett. **207**, L87 (1976).

<sup>9</sup>The limit on neutrino magnetic moment derived from SN 1987A observations in recent papers [R. Barbieri and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 27 (1988); Y. Aharonov, G. Alexander, I. Goldman, and S. Nussinov, *ibid.* **60**, 1789 (1988); J. Cooperstein and J. Lattimer, *ibid.* **61**, 23 (1988) and A. Dar, Tel Aviv report, 1987 (unpublished)] is evaded if the trapping of  $\nu_R$ 's takes place by some of the interactions discussed in this paper.

<sup>10</sup>M. A. B. Bég, R. Budny, R. N. Mohapatra, and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1252 (1977).

<sup>11</sup>J. Carr *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 627 (1983); D. Stoker *et al.*, *ibid.* **54**, 1887 (1985).

<sup>12</sup>For a recent discussion, see E. Cohen, J. Ellis, K. Enqvist, and D. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. **165B**, 76 (1985).

<sup>13</sup>G. Raffelt and D. Seckel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1793 (1988).

<sup>14</sup>B. Friman and O. V. Maxwell, Astrophys. J. **232**, 541 (1979).

<sup>15</sup>For a discussion that extends the work of Ref. 14 to the cross-over region between the degenerate and nondegenerate cases, see R. Brinkmann and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 2338 (1988).