

Errata

**Erratum: Analysis of $g_T(2050-2350)$ as glueball candidate
[Phys. Rev. D 35, 952 (1987)]**

Rahul Sinha, Susumu Okubo, and S. F. Tuan

On p. 954, the second inequality after Eq. (1.16) should read

$$B(\psi/J \rightarrow \omega\omega\gamma) \leq 2.6 \times 10^{-4} \quad (2.1 < M < 2.4 \text{ GeV}).$$

On p. 963, the sentence just above the note added, (“However, since we expect . . . eliminate the background for $g_T^{(1)}$.”) should be placed after the sentence following Eq. (5.6).

On p. 963, in the *Note added*, the last sentence should read “Trieste Report No. IC/86/84, 1986 (unpublished)” instead of “Trieste Report No. IC/86/84, 1984 (unpublished).” This paper subsequently has been published [Z. Phys. C 32, 391 (1986)].

Reference 8 should include S. J. Lindenbaum and R. S. Longacre, Phys. Lett. **165B**, 202 (1985).

**Erratum: Electroweak production of heavy quarks in e^+e^- annihilation
[Phys. Rev. D 25, 1218 (1982)]**

J. Jersák, E. Laermann, and P. M. Zerwas

The asymmetry parameter β_q was plotted incorrectly in Fig. 7. The corrected figure is given for the updated parameters $m_Z = 93 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.226$, and $\Lambda = 200 \text{ MeV}$ (PM=parton-model value, QCD=including lowest-order QCD correction). We thank P. Warming for making us aware of this point. Since an estimate of the top-quark mass is not at hand at the moment, we have not updated the energy dependence for fixed quark masses.




FIG. 7. QCD corrections to the asymmetry parameter β_q on the Z, compared with parton-model value.