Measurement of π^0 production at large transverse momentum in π^-p , π^+p , and pp collisions at 300 GeV/c

C. De Marzo, M. De Palma, C. Favuzzi, G. Maggi, E. Nappi, F. Posa, A. Ranieri, G. Selvaggi, and P. Spinelli Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universita di Bari and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Bari, Italy

> A. Bamberger, M. Fuchs, W. Heck, C. Loos, R. Marx, K. Runge, E. Skodzek, C. Weber, M. Wülker, and F. Zetsche University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

V. Artemiev, Yu. Galaktionov, A. Gordeev, Yu. Gorodkov, Yu. Kamyshkov, M. Kossov,
V. Plyaskin, V. Pojidaev, V. Shevchenko, E. Shumilov, and V. Tchudakov
Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

J. Bunn,* J. Fent, P. Freund, J. Gebauer, M. Glas, P. Polakos,[†] K. Pretzl, T. Schouten,[‡] P. Seyboth, J. Seyerlein, and G. Vesztergombi[§]

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik und Astrophysik, München, Germany

(NA24 Collaboration) (Received 19 December 1986)

Cross sections for inclusive π^0 production at large transverse momentum p_T were measured in $\pi^- p$, $\pi^+ p$, and pp collisions at 300 GeV/c. The cross-section ratio $\sigma(\pi^- p \to \pi^0 X)/\sigma(\pi^+ p \to \pi^0 X)$ was found to be consistent with unity in the p_T region of 1 to 5 GeV/c. The cross-section ratio $\sigma(\pi^+ p \to \pi^0 X)/\sigma(pp \to \pi^0 X)$ however is growing with increasing p_T and increasing π^0 c.m.-system rapidity in agreement with parton-model expectations, where the partons in the pions have on average higher momenta than in the proton.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of single-particle production at large transverse momentum p_T in hadron-hadron collisions gave valuable insight into the role of hadronic constituents in hadron-hadron scattering processes. First measurements of inclusive π^0 production in pp and πp collisions were reported from the ISR (CERN)¹⁻³ and from Fermilab.^{4,5} Large- $p_T \pi^{0,5}$ s however are mainly fragmentation products of partons and do not directly participate in the partonparton scattering process. It is therefore difficult to obtain direct information about parton scattering dynamics without the precise knowledge of the fragmentation process.

A more direct way to study parton-parton scattering is the investigation of large- p_T direct photons, since the photon couples directly to the quarks. The measurement of direct photon production in π^-p , π^+p , and pp collisions at 300 GeV/c was the main aim of this experiment, results of which are published in Ref. 6.

In this experiment it was essential to detect π^{0} 's and η 's with high efficiency, since unresolved $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decays represented the main background to the direct photon detection. For this purpose a large-acceptance photon detector with high spatial resolution for electromagnetic showers was employed and operated in an intense particle beam (~10⁷ particles/sec) of the SPS at CERN. The experimental details and a more extensive description of the data analysis are given in Ref. 6.

In this paper, we report on π^0 production cross sections in $\pi^- p$, $\pi^+ p$, and pp collisions at 300 GeV/c. In Sec. II of this paper a short description of the apparatus is given. Section III contains a discussion of the analysis. In Sec. IV the experimental results are presented. Section V gives the summary.

II. APPARATUS

A 300-GeV/c momentum beam of negative or positive charge with up to 10⁷ particles/sec impinged on a 1-m liquid-H₂ target. Protons and pions in the beam were identified using two Cedar Cherenkov counters. Protons which were misidentified as pions in the Cherenkov counter and conversely pions which were misidentified as protons were measured to be less than one per thousand. Following the H₂ target a set of proportional chambers (22 planes) was used for vertex determination. A finegrained photon position detector (PPD) (Ref. 7) of 9.6 radiation lengths (X_0) thickness was located 8.12 m downstream of the target. Its sensitive area was 3×3 m² excluding a central hole of 0.5×0.5 m². It consisted of alternating layers of $1.1X_0$ lead sheets and proportional tubes with 0.773-cm wire spacing.

The PPD was followed by a 240-cell ring calorimeter⁸ consisting of a 16 X_0 lead/scintillator-sandwich photon section and a $6\lambda_a$ iron/scintillator-sandwich hadron section. The acceptance of the calorimeters was between -0.8 and +0.8 in center-of-mass-system (c.m.s.) rapidity

and 2π in azimuth. The energy flow through the 56-cmdiam central hole of the ring calorimeter was measured by a downstream calorimeter. An iron wall and a vetocounter array positioned upstream of the detector were used to reduce the trigger rate due to upstream interactions and muon background.

The combined PPD/ring calorimeter system was calibrated with electrons and hadrons of 5–170 GeV energy. The final calibration was obtained by normalizing the reconstructed π^0 and η masses to the expected values. The systematic uncertainty in the p_T scale was estimated to be $\pm 1\%$ and the normalization uncertainty in the cross-section determination to be $\pm 7\%$.

III. ANALYSIS

The data were taken at various p_T trigger thresholds to cover the full p_T range accessible. The highest trigger threshold was at $p_T > 3.75$ GeV/c. The trigger events were off-line selected by requiring (i) the reconstructed event vertex to be inside the H₂-target fiducial volume, (ii) the direction of the triggering shower (as determined from the shower position in the front and the back parts of the fine-grained PPD) to point at the H₂ target, (iii) the total energy measured in all calorimeters to be consistent with the beam energy, and (iv) the shower signal to be in coincidence with the beam interaction in the hydrogen target [as determined from 30-MHz flash analog-to-digital converters (ADC's)]. These cuts removed most of the pile up and muon backgrounds.

After this event selection the electromagnetic shower with the largest p_T (triggering shower) in an event was paired with every other shower in the event. If a pair of photons was found with an invariant mass between 55 and 210 MeV (470 and 620 MeV) it was assigned to originate from a π^0 (η) decay. The two-photon effective mass spectra of these combinations containing the trigger shower are plotted in Fig. 1 for the π^0 mass region and in Fig. 2 for the η mass region. The obtained mass resolutions are $\sigma_{m_{\pi^0}} = 16$ MeV and $\sigma_{m_{\eta}} = 30$ MeV. In the following p_T refers to the transverse momentum of the π^0 and the η , respectively.

The acceptance and the π^0 reconstruction efficiency were estimated using a Monte Carlo program. This program generated π^{0*} s with rapidity and p_T distributions as parametrized in Ref. 9 and utilized shower profiles obtained from photon and electron beam calibration runs to simulate the detector response. The Monte Carlo-generated events were analyzed with the same shower-reconstruction program as used with the real data. The Monte Carlo $\gamma\gamma$ mass distributions for π^0 and η events are shown as curves in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

The π^0 decay asymmetry, defined by $A = |E_1 - E_2| / (E_1 + E_2)$, with E_1 and E_2 being the energies of the two decay photons, is plotted in Fig. 3. Also shown in Fig. 3 is the asymmetry distribution obtained for the Monte Carlo-generated π^0 events. For the determination of the π^0 cross section only events with $A \le 0.8$ were used.

In order to remove edge effects in the calorimeters the geometrical acceptance was restricted to a c.m.s. rapidity



FIG. 1. Two-photon invariant-mass spectrum in the π^0 mass region with $4 < p_T < 5$ GeV/c. The distribution has a σ of 16 MeV. The solid curve gives the result of a Monte Carlo simulation. (Because of the cuts in the reconstruction procedure the mass values come out somewhat lower than the true values.)



FIG. 2. Two-photon invariant-mass spectrum in the η region with $3 < p_T < 4$ GeV/c. The η mass peak has a σ of 30 MeV. The solid curve gives the result of the Monte Carlo calculation, the dashed line is an estimate of the combinatorial background. (Because of the cuts in the reconstruction procedure the mass values come out somewhat lower than the true values.)



FIG. 3. Asymmetry distribution $A = |E_1 - E_2| / (E_1 + E_2)$ of reconstructed π^0 decays with $4 < p_T < 5$ GeV/c. The solid curve represents the result of the Monte Carlo simulation.

region from -0.65 to +0.52. Finally the measured π^0 yields were corrected for the effects of the finite-energy resolution of the calorimeter. The correction factors were obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation by comparing the number of π^{0} 's generated to the number of π^{0} 's found by the reconstruction program in the various p_T and rapidity bins.

IV. RESULTS

In this section we present our measurements of the inclusive π^0 production cross sections averaged over the c.m.s. rapidity region from -0.65 to +0.52. In Fig. 4 and Table I the π^0 cross sections in pp, π^-p , and π^+p collisions at 300 GeV/c are shown as a function of p_T . The errors are of statistical nature only. As mentioned above, there is an additional normalization uncertainty of $\pm 7\%$ and a p_T scale uncertainty of $\pm 1\%$.

Previous results from pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 23.5$ GeV in the ISR¹ are shown for comparison in Fig. 4. One can also use inclusive cross sections of π^+ and π^- production in pp and $\pi^- p$ collisions from Fermilab¹⁰ at 300 GeV/c to



FIG. 4. Invariant cross sections for inclusive π^0 production in pp, π^-p , and π^+p collisions at 300 GeV/c. The data are compared to results from pp collisions in the ISR (Ref. 1) and from pp and π^-p collisions at Fermilab (Ref. 10) (see text).

p_T (GeV/c)	$pp \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$
1.25	$(1.23\pm0.06)\times10^{-28}$	$(8.36\pm0.70)\times10^{-29}$	$(8.28\pm0.39)\times10^{-29}$
1.75	$(1.10\pm0.15)\times10^{-29}$	$(7.16 \pm 1.80) \times 10^{-30}$	$(7.26\pm0.88)\times10^{-30}$
2.25	$(1.07\pm0.08)\times10^{-30}$	$(1.06\pm0.11)\times10^{-30}$	$(9.50\pm0.50)\times10^{-31}$
2.75	$(1.50\pm0.30)\times10^{-31}$	$(1.68\pm0.38)\times10^{-31}$	$(1.32\pm0.17)\times10^{-31}$
3.25	$(1.61\pm0.03)\times10^{-32}$	$(1.74\pm0.04)\times10^{-32}$	$(1.88\pm0.02)\times10^{-32}$
3.75	$(3.05\pm0.13)\times10^{-33}$	$(4.18\pm0.22)\times10^{-33}$	$(3.87\pm0.09)\times10^{-33}$
4.25	$(4.70\pm0.12)\times10^{-34}$	$(7.81\pm0.25)\times10^{-34}$	$(7.94\pm0.09)\times10^{-34}$
5.00	$(5.35\pm0.26)\times10^{-35}$	$(1.23\pm0.06)\times10^{-34}$	$(1.10\pm0.02)\times10^{-34}$
6.00	$(4.41\pm0.87)\times10^{-36}$	$(6.95\pm1.70)\times10^{-36}$	$(9.27\pm0.64)\times10^{-36}$
7.00		$(1.33\pm0.96)\times10^{-36}$	$(7.79\pm2.30)\times10^{-37}$

TABLE I. Invariant cross sections $E d^3\sigma/dp^3$ (in cm²GeV⁻² c^3) for inclusive π^0 production averaged over the c.m.s. rapidity range from -0.65 to 0.52. The errors are statistical. The systematic uncertainties are $\pm 1\%$ in the p_T scale and $\pm 7\%$ in the normalization.

predict inclusive π^0 cross sections:

$$\sigma(pp \to \pi^0 X) \approx \frac{\sigma(pp \to \pi^+ X) + \sigma(pp \to \pi^- X)}{2} , \qquad (1)$$

$$\sigma(\pi^- p \to \pi^0 X) \approx \frac{\sigma(\pi^- p \to \pi^+ X) + \sigma(\pi^- p \to \pi^- X)}{2} \quad . \tag{2}$$

These predictions are also shown for comparison in Fig. 4. There is a good agreement between the various data.

In Fig. 5 the cross-section ratios

$$\frac{\sigma(\pi^+ p \to \pi^0 X)}{\sigma(pp \to \pi^0 X)} \tag{3}$$



FIG. 5. Cross-section ratios of inclusive π^0 production for different incident beam particles vs p_T and x_T , with $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$.

and

$$\frac{\sigma(\pi^- p \to \pi^0 X)}{\sigma(\pi^+ p \to \pi^0 X)} \tag{4}$$

are plotted versus p_T . The ratio (4) is consistent with unity as expected if the detected π^{0} 's with large p_T are fragments of quarks or gluons.

The increase of the cross-section ratio (3) with increasing p_T has also been observed in a previous experiment.⁴ It can be understood in terms of simple parton dynamics where on average the partons in the pion have higher momenta than in the proton. Therefore the available parton-parton c.m.s. energy is on average larger in πp collisions than in pp collisions. This leads to a larger π^0 production cross section at large p_T in πp collisions than in pp collisions. At low p_T however the cross-section ratio (3) drops below unity and approaches at $p_T \leq 1$ GeV/c the ratio of the total cross sections $\sigma_{\pi p}^{tot}/\sigma_{pp}^{tot} \sim 0.63$. The cross-section ratio (3) is shown as a function of the

The cross-section ratio (3) is shown as a function of the c.m.s. π^0 rapidity in Fig. 6 for $p_T=5$ GeV/c. The observed rise of this ratio with increasing rapidity can also be understood from the simple parton dynamics discussed



FIG. 6. Cross-section ratio of inclusive π^0 production in $\pi^+ p$ and pp collisions as a function of the c.m.s. π^0 rapidity.

above. On average the c.m. system of parton-parton scattering moves along the incident beam direction in the $\pi^+ p$ c.m. system whereas, of course, it is at rest in the *pp* c.m. system.

V. SUMMARY

The inclusive π^0 production cross sections at large p_T were measured in $\pi^- p$, $\pi^+ p$, and pp collisions at 300 GeV/c. The cross-section ratio $\sigma(\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 X) / \sigma(\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^0 X)$ was found to be consistent with unity in the p_T range from 1 to 5 GeV/c. The cross-section ratio $\sigma(\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^0 X) / \sigma(pp \rightarrow \pi^0 X)$ is growing with increasing p_T and increasing rapidity. The results are consistent with the expectations from constituent-scattering models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the excellent technical help provided by R. Ferorelli, H. Fessler, W. Fröchtenicht, B. Gordeev, M. Kellner, M. Mongelli, H. J. Osthoff, M. Perchiazzi, H. Röser, A. Sacchetti, J. Seyboth, and V. Vinogradov. We wish to thank the staff at CERN for the operation of the SPS accelerator and the H2 beam line and the supporting help of the SPS coordinators.

- *Present address: CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.
- [†]Present address: Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, New Jersey.
- [‡]Present address: University of Nijmegen, Netherlands.
- [§]On leave of absence from Central Research Institute for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ¹F. W. Büsser et al., Phys. Lett. 46B, 471 (1973).
- ²K. Eggert et al., Nucl. Phys. B98, 49 (1975).
- ³For a general review, see, for instance, P. Darriulat, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **30**, 159 (1980).
- ⁴G. Donaldson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1110 (1976).
- ⁵D. C. Carey et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 327 (1974).
- ⁶C. De Marzo *et al.*, preceding paper, Phys. Rev. D 36, 8 (1987).
- ⁷V. Artemiev et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 224, 408 (1984).
- ⁸C. De Marzo et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 217, 405 (1983).
- ⁹G. Donaldson et al., Phys. Lett. 73B, 375 (1978).
- ¹⁰H. J. Frisch *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 27, 1001 (1983); 19, 764 (1979).