

Magnetic moments of composite W bosons

Thomas G. Rizzo

Ames Laboratory and Department of Physics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

Mark A. Samuel

Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

(Received 5 May 1986)

We have calculated the anomalous magnetic moment of the W boson (κ_W) in a class of nonrelativistic composite models. We have found, for example, that in a model with only scalar preons, $\kappa_W \geq 3$. For the case of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ preons with $L=0$ and equal masses we find the very surprising result that $\kappa_W=1$, the standard gauge-theory value. There are four possible preon spin states. We have found a general expression for κ_W for any linear combination of these states. A range of allowed values for κ_W has been obtained. We also discuss the quadrupole moment for a composite W .

The idea that quarks and leptons may be composite objects has received much attention recently.¹ The possibility exists that gauge bosons, such as the W and Z , are also composite objects.² One signal for a composite W boson would be the observation of an anomalous magnetic moment (κ_W) which differs from the standard gauge-theory value³ $\kappa_W=1$. A method of determining the value of κ_W is to make use of the phenomenon of radiation amplitude zeros.⁴ These could be observed in the process $\bar{p}p \rightarrow W^\pm \gamma + X$ at the CERN Collider or the Fermilab Tevatron. There is also a dramatic change in the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ at high energies⁵ when $\kappa_W \neq 1$.

In this paper we calculate the anomalous magnetic moment of the W boson in a class of nonrelativistic composite models. The general expression for the Z component of the W^+ magnetic moment is given in a nonrelativistic model by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_z^W &= \frac{e}{2M_W} (1 + \kappa_W) J_z^W \\ &= \frac{e}{2m_1} gq S_{1z} + \frac{e}{2m_2} g(1-q) S_{2z} + \frac{e}{2\mu} L_z, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the W constituents, which have charges q and $(1-q)$, respectively, and gyromagnetic ratio g . μ is the reduced mass of the system: $\mu = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)$.

We first consider the simple use of two spinless preons which require $L=1$ to form a spin-1 W^+ . In this case $S_{1z} = S_{2z} = 0$ and we obtain

$$\kappa_W = x^{-1} (1+x)^2 - 1, \quad (2)$$

where $x = m_1/m_2$. It is easy to see from this expression that $\kappa_W \geq 3$ and thus must be different from the gauge-theory value $\kappa_W=1$. The minimum value ($\kappa_W=3$) occurs when $x=1$; i.e., the preons have the same mass. There are, however, renormalizable models⁶ of the weak interactions where the W is a bound state of spinless preons and $\kappa_W=1$.

We now turn to the more complex case of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$

preons with $L=0$. In this case we find that

$$\kappa_W = \frac{g}{2} [x(1-q) + q/x + 1] - 1. \quad (3)$$

Note that if $x=1$, κ_W is independent of q , $\kappa_W = g-1$, and for $g=2$, $\kappa_W=1$, the gauge-theory value. (If preons are elementary we may expect that their gyromagnetic ratio should be that for a point Dirac particle, i.e., $g=2$.) Examining κ_W as a function of x [Eq. (3)] we find that a minimum value of κ_W exists provided q is in the range $0 < q < 1$ for which we obtain (for $g \geq 0$)

$$x^2 = q(1-q)^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

$$\kappa_W^{\min} = gq^{1/2}(1-q)^{1/2} + \left[\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right]. \quad (5)$$

Note that for q in the above range, the preons have the same-sign charge. From (5) we see that κ_W^{\min} lies in the range ($g \neq 2$), $g/2 - 1 \leq \kappa_W^{\min} \leq g-1$. For $g=2$, however, κ_W^{\min} must lie in the more limited region $0 \leq \kappa_W^{\min} \leq 1$. If q lies outside of the above range (opposite-sign preon charges) then all values of κ_W are possible and are given by (3). For the special case of $g=2$, if we demand $\kappa_W=1$ we find $q = x(1+x)^{-1}$ for $x \neq 1$; for $x=1$ any value of q will produce $\kappa_W=1$.

In general for a system of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles a total angular-momentum state $J=1$ can be obtained from four spin combinations:

$$\begin{aligned} |S=0, L=1\rangle &= {}^1P_1, & |S=1, L=0\rangle &= {}^3S_1, \\ |S=1, L=1\rangle &= {}^3P_1, & |S=1, L=2\rangle &= {}^3D_1. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We now consider the possibility that the W^+ is a general linear combination of these four states and calculate the corresponding value of κ_W . To be explicit we calculate the matrix element $\langle J^W=1, J_z^W=1 | \mu_W | J^W=1, J_z^W=1 \rangle$ using Eq. (1) and the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
|J^W=1, J_z^W=1\rangle &= \alpha |s=1, s_z=1\rangle |L=L_z=0\rangle + \sqrt{3/5}\beta |s=1, s_z=-1\rangle |L=2, L_z=2\rangle \\
&\quad - \sqrt{3/10}\beta |s=1, s_z=0\rangle |L=2, L_z=1\rangle + \sqrt{1/10}\beta |s=1, s_z=1\rangle |L=2, L_z=0\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} |s=1, s_z=1\rangle |L=1, L_z=0\rangle - \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} |s=1, s_z=0\rangle |L=1, L_z=1\rangle \\
&\quad + \delta |s=0, s_z=0\rangle |L=1, L_z=1\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_W &= g(1+x) \left[\frac{q}{x} + (1-q) \right] \left[\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(1+x)^2}{x} \left(\frac{3}{2}\beta^2 - \sqrt{2}\gamma\delta \right) \\
&\quad - g(1+x)[q/x - (1-q)](\gamma\delta/\sqrt{2}) - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We now consider the following special cases assuming $g=2$ and $x=1$ in which case (8) simplifies to

$$\kappa_W = 2\alpha^2 + 5\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \frac{4\gamma\delta}{\sqrt{2}}(1+2q) - 1. \tag{9}$$

First, consider the mixing of only the two negative-parity states 3S_1 and 3D_1 implying $\gamma=\delta=0$ and $\alpha^2=1-\beta^2$ so that $\kappa_W=1+3\beta^2$. Thus κ_W is confined to the range $1 \leq \kappa_W \leq 4$; note as $\beta \rightarrow 0$ we recover our previous result $\kappa_W=1$. Next, consider mixing only the two positive-parity states 1P_1 and 3P_1 for which $\alpha=\beta=0$ and

$\delta^2=1-\gamma^2$. We find that

$$\kappa_W = (\gamma^2 - 1) - \frac{4\gamma}{\sqrt{2}}(1-\gamma^2)^{1/2}(1+2q). \tag{10}$$

The next possibility to consider is the mixing of the three states which are odd under charge conjugation (C): 1P_1 , 3S_1 , 3D_1 . In this case $\gamma=0$ and $\delta^2=1-\alpha^2-\beta^2$ so that $\kappa_W=2\alpha^2+5\beta^2-1$; thus κ_W is in the range $-1 \leq \kappa_W \leq 4$. There is only a single state which is C even $-{}^3P_1$. If the W^+ corresponds to this state then $\alpha=\beta=\delta=0$ and $\gamma=1$ so that $\kappa_W=0$ uniquely.

If CP is a good classification symmetry then we must consider both the CP -even and CP -odd possibilities as well. The CP -even states are the 3S_1 , 3P_1 , and 3D_1 for which $\delta=0$ and $\kappa_W=\alpha^2+4\beta^2$. Thus in this case $0 \leq \kappa_W \leq 4$. In the CP -odd case the W^+ must be the 1P_1 state so that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\delta=1$. Here we find that $\kappa_W=-1$ uniquely.

We now turn to a calculation of the quadrupole moment of a composite W . We make use of Eq. (7) again. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle J=1, J_z=1 | Q | J=1, J_z=1 \rangle &= \int \langle J, J | r^2(3\cos^2\theta - 1) | J, J \rangle d^3r \\
&= \alpha^2 C_{00}^0(0) + \frac{3}{5}\beta^2 C_{22}^2(-\frac{4}{7}) + \frac{3}{10}\beta^2 C_{21}^2(\frac{2}{7}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{10}\beta^2 C_{20}^2(\frac{4}{7}) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 C_{10}^1(\frac{4}{5}) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 C_{11}^1(-\frac{2}{5}) + \delta^2 C_{11}^1(-\frac{2}{5}) \\
&\quad \text{with } C_{lm}^l = C_l = \langle L=l, L_z=m | r^2 | L=l, L_z=m \rangle \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We assume central forces so that the C_{lm}^l are m_l independent and

$$C_{1l}^1 = C_1 > 0, \quad C_{2l}^2 = C_2 > 0. \tag{12}$$

Thus we obtain the result

$$Q = \frac{1}{5}[C_1(\gamma^2 - 2\delta^2) - C_2\beta^2]. \tag{13}$$

For negative-parity $P=-1$ states $\gamma=\delta=0$ and $Q=-\frac{1}{5}\beta^2 C_2 < 0$. For positive parity $P=+1$, $\alpha=\beta=0$ and $Q=\frac{1}{5}C_1(\gamma^2 - 2\delta^2)$ and the sign is undetermined.

If we have positive charge conjugation $C=+1$, $\alpha=\beta=\delta=0$ and $\gamma=1$ and, hence, $Q=\frac{1}{5}C_1 > 0$. For negative charge conjugation $C=-1$, $\gamma=0$ and $Q=-\frac{1}{5}(2C_1\delta^2 + C_2\beta^2) < 0$. If we have $CP=+1$, $\delta=0$ and $Q=\frac{1}{5}(C_1\gamma^2 - C_2\beta^2)$ and the sign is undetermined. For $CP=-1$, $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\delta=1$, $Q=-\frac{2}{5}C_1 < 0$. The magnetic moment μ and the quadrupole moment Q are given, in terms of the parameters κ and γ by

$$\mu = \frac{e}{2M_W}(1 + \kappa + \gamma)$$

and

$$Q = \frac{e}{M_W^2} 2(\lambda - \kappa). \tag{14}$$

Thus $Q > 0 \rightarrow \lambda > \kappa$ and $Q < 0 \rightarrow \lambda < \kappa$.

In conclusion, we have shown, in a class of nonrelativistic composite models, how the anomalous magnetic moment of the W boson (κ_W) can serve as a probe of compositeness. In general, for a composite W one will obtain a value which differs from the gauge-theory value, $\kappa_W=1$. A surprising exception to this occurs for the case of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ preons with $L=0$ and equal masses for which we obtain $\kappa_W=1$. There are four possible preon spin states. We have found a general expression for κ_W for any linear combination of these states. A range of allowed values for κ_W has been obtained. We have also determined the

quadrupole moment for a composite W .

M.A.S. wishes to thank the Aspen Center for Physics for its warm hospitality. This work was supported in part

by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE/FG05/85ER40215 and Contract No. W-7405-Eng-82, Office of Energy Research (KA-01-01), Division of High Energy and Nuclear Physics.

¹For recent reviews on composite models see, for example, H. Terazawa, lectures given at Mexican School on Particles and Fields, Oaxtepec, Mexico (1984) (unpublished); R. D. Peccei, in *Proceedings of the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Brighton, 1983*, edited by J. Guy and C. Costain (Rutherford, Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, United Kingdom, 1984); D. Schildknecht, in *Electroweak Effects at High Energies*, proceedings of the 21st Course of the "Ettore Majorana" International School of Subnuclear Physics, Erice, 1983, edited by H. B. Newman (Plenum, New York, 1985).

²This possibility has been discussed by a large number of authors; see, for example, A. Grau and J. A. Grifols, *Phys. Lett.* **148B**, 358 (1984); M. Kuroda and D. Schildknecht, *ibid.* **121B**, 173 (1983); P. D. B. Collins and N. A. Speirs, *J. Phys. G* **11**, L115 (1985); G. Girardi, S. Narison, and M. Perrottet, *Phys. Lett.* **133B**, 234 (1983); S. R. Chowdhury, R. G. Ellis, and G. C. Joshi, *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 1250 (1985).

³S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 1364 (1967); *Phys. Rev. D* **5**,

1412 (1972); A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity (Nobel Symposium No. 8)*, edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367; S. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 1285 (1970).

⁴K. O. Mikaelian, M. A. Samuel, and D. Sahdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 746 (1979); S. J. Brodsky and R. W. Brown, *ibid.* **49**, 966 (1982); M. A. Samuel, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 2724 (1983); R. W. Brown, K. Kowalski, and S. J. Brodsky, *ibid.* **28**, 624 (1983); M. A. Samuel, in *Neutrino Mass and Gauge Structure of Weak Interactions*, proceedings of the Miniconference, Telemark, Wisconsin, 1982, edited by V. Barger and D. Cline (AIP, New York, 1983); R. W. Brown, in *Electroweak Effects at High Energies* (Ref. 1).

⁵K. Hagiwara, R. D. Peccei, and D. Zeppenfeld, University of Wisconsin Report No. MAD/PH/279, 1986 (unpublished).

⁶M. Claudson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 873 (1986); L. F. Abbott and E. Farhi, *Phys. Lett.* **101B**, 69 (1981); *Nucl. Phys.* **B189**, 547 (1981).