## Strengths of singularities in Vaidya spacetimes

Krishna Rajagopal and Kayll Lake

Department of Physics, Queen's University at Kingston, Kingston, Ontario, Canada KTL 3N6 (Received 26 September 1986)

The strengths of shell-focusing singularities in the ingoing Vaidya spacetimes are examined in terms of limiting focusing conditions. The singularities are found to be strong-curvature singularities only for mass functions which are initially linear functions of the advanced time.

In a recent paper Waugh and Lake' have given a regular covering of the Vaidya metric for a linear mass function including the cases with a naked shell-focusing singularity. The purpose of this report is to examine the strengths of shell-focusing singularities in the Vaidya metric. We find that strong-curvature singularities, in the sense of Tipler, $2$  arise only for mass functions which are initially linear functions of the advanced time.

The ingoing Vaidya spacetime (in standard geometrical units) is given by

$$
ds^{2} = 2 dr dv - [1 - 2m(v)/r] dv^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} , \qquad (1)
$$

where  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$  and m is a non-negative monotone increasing function of the advanced time  $v$ . The metric (1) represents the solution to the Einstein equations for a radial flux of unpolarized radiation with the eikonal energy tensor

$$
8\pi T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{dm}{dv} \delta^v_{\alpha} \delta^v_{\beta} \ . \tag{2}
$$

The affine radial null geodesic equations (with parameter  $\lambda$ ) of the "backscattered" test field (v $\neq$ const) reduce to<sup>3</sup>

$$
\frac{dr}{d\lambda} = \frac{r - 2m(v)}{\lambda} \tag{3}
$$

with

$$
\frac{dv}{d\lambda} = \frac{2r}{\lambda} \tag{4}
$$

In this report we consider these geodesics with the (assumed) conditions  $d\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda \ge 0$ , and  $v = r = m = 0$  at  $\lambda = 0$  (the shell-focusing singularity). Though the coordinates used in the metric (1) do not unfold the shellfocusing singularities,<sup>1</sup> they are adequate and convenien for the examination of their strengths.

he examination of their strengths.<br>
long a null geodesic, affinely parametrized by  $\lambda$ , with<br>
igent  $l^{\alpha}$  let<br>  $\psi(\lambda) \equiv R_{\alpha\beta}l^{\alpha}l^{\beta}$ , (5) Along a null geodesic, affinely parametrized by  $\lambda$ , with 4-tangent  $l^{\alpha}$  let

$$
\psi(\lambda) \equiv R_{\alpha\beta} l^{\alpha} l^{\beta} \,,\tag{5}
$$

where  $R_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Ricci tensor and the geodesic terminates at  $\lambda = 0$ . For the purpose of this report we consider the following focusing conditions: $<sup>4</sup>$  the limiting focusing con-</sup> dition (LFC)

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda \psi > 0 \tag{6}
$$

and the strong LFC

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda^2 \psi > 0 \tag{7}
$$

which is equivalent to the termination of the geodesic in a strong-curvature singularity in the sense of Tipler.<sup>4,2</sup>

From Eqs. (2) and (5) it follows that  $\psi = 0$  along all geodesics  $v = const.$  Along the backscattered test field, however, it follows from Eqs. (2), (4), and (5) that

$$
\psi = \frac{8}{\lambda^2} \frac{dm}{dv} \tag{8}
$$

As a result, from Eq. (7), it follows that the strong LFC holds as long as  $dm/dv \mid_{v=0} (\equiv \mu) > 0$ , that is

$$
m \sim \mu v \tag{9}
$$

as  $v \rightarrow 0$  [lim<sub>v $\rightarrow 0$ </sub> $(m/v) = \mu$ ]. It is worth noting that the strong LFC holds for all the geodesics which terminate at the shell-focusing singularity  $v = r = 0$ . The character of this singularity (other than its "strong" nature) depends on the exact form of  $m(v)$ . (For example, with  $m = \mu v$  it is known that the singularity is globally naked for  $\mu < \frac{1}{16}$ .)<sup>5,6</sup>

Condition (9) is a very strong restriction on  $m(v)$ . It is of interest, therefore, to examine the (albeit not strong) focusing character of a more general function. Here we examine

$$
m \sim \epsilon v^n, \quad n > 1 \tag{10}
$$

as  $v \rightarrow 0$  (where  $\epsilon = const > 0$ ) so that from Eq. (8)

$$
\psi \sim \frac{8n\epsilon v^{n-1}}{\lambda^2} \tag{11}
$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Equation (11) shows that details of the geodesic history are required [in particular,  $v(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ]. Following standard techniques, $\frac{7}{1}$  one can show that the system of Eqs. (3) and (4) has the regular critical direction

$$
v = 2r \tag{12}
$$

That is, a single null geodesic (the Cauchy horizon) leaves the origin tangent to this direction.<sup>8</sup>

As a result, from Eqs. (4), (11), and (12) we find that

$$
\psi \sim \frac{8n\epsilon}{\lambda^{3-n}}\tag{13}
$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  along the Cauchy horizon. With the limiting form (10) then the past most point of a shell-focusing singularity satisfies the LFC only for  $1 < n \leq 2$ .

35 1531 1987 The American Physical Society

With the above limitation it is of interest to examine the remainder of a shell-focusing singularity. Again, with the aid of standard techniques, it follows from Eqs. (3) and (4) that, with the form (10),

$$
\frac{dr}{dv} \sim 0\tag{14}
$$

along the remaining geodesics which reach  $v = r = 0$ . From Eqs. (3), (4), (11), and (14) then

$$
\psi \sim \frac{2}{\epsilon (n-1)\lambda^2 (-\ln \lambda)}
$$
\n(15)

as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  and so the LFC is satisfied along the remainder of a shell-focusing singularity.

Of course the limiting form (10) need not hold. An example has been given by Lake. $9$  For this example, however, we find that  $\psi$  grows simply as in (15) but with  $n=1/\epsilon=2$ .

As a final remark, we note that with the limiting form (15)  $\psi$  grows faster than  $1/\lambda^{\beta}$  for all  $\beta < 2$ , and so the remainder of the shell-focusing singularity just fails to be strong in the sense of Tipler.

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

- <sup>1</sup>B. Waugh and K. Lake, Phys. Rev. D 34, 2978 (1986).
- <sup>2</sup>See, for example, F. J. Tipler, C. J. S. Clarke, and G. F. R. Ellis, in General Relativity and Gravitation, edited by A. Held (Plenum, New York, 1980).

<sup>3</sup>B. Waugh and K. Lake, Phys. Lett. 116A, 154 (1986).

4For a detailed discussion of these conditions, see C. J. S. Clarke and A. Krolak, J. Geom. Phys. 2, 127 (1986). These conditions have been used recently in a study of the Tolman (spherical dust) solutions by R. P. A. C. Newman, Class. Quantum Gravit. 3, 527 (1986).

5See, for example, W. Israel, Can. J. Phys. 64, 120 (1986).

61t has been shown by G. Hollier, Class. Quantum Gravit. 3,

L111 (1986), that with  $m = \mu v$ , the Cauchy horizon of the shell-focusing singularity satisfies the strong LFC. This result is a special case of the result given here. The present result has been anticipated by Israel (Ref. 5).

<sup>7</sup>See, for example, V. V. Nemytskii and V. V. Stepanov, *Qualita*tive Theory of Differential Equations (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1960).

<sup>8</sup>From Eq. (12) it follows that the condition  $m(v) < v/4$  for all v is a necessary condition for the visibility of the origin from spatial infinity.

<sup>9</sup>K. Lake, Phys. Lett. 116A, 17 (1986).