

Erratum

Erratum: On the relic, cosmic abundance of stable, weakly interacting massive particles [Phys. Rev. D 33, 1585 (1986)]

Robert J. Scherrer and Michael S. Turner

In the Appendix of our paper we discussed the relic abundance of a particle (and antiparticle) species with a nonzero chemical potential ($\mu \neq 0$) associated with a conserved quantum number carried by the species. In our analysis of the second limiting case considered in the Appendix, the case in which the effect of $\mu \neq 0$ alters the relic abundance significantly from the $\mu = 0$ result, we have made an error in our analysis. The correct analysis follows below.

The Boltzmann equations which describe the evolution of the relic abundances of the particle and its antiparticle are

$$Y^{\pm\prime} = -\lambda x^{-n-2} (Y^+ Y^- - Y_{\text{EQ}}^2),$$

where all quantities are as defined in our paper. For the case at hand, freeze-out occurs when $\xi \equiv \mu/T \gg 1$ (i.e., for $x \gg x_Q$), so that

$$Y^+ \simeq Y_{\text{EQ}}^+ \simeq (Q/q), \quad Y_{\text{EQ}}^- \simeq Y_{\text{EQ}}^2 / (Q/q).$$

By using $Y^+ \simeq (Q/q)$, the Boltzmann equation for Y^- becomes

$$Y^{-\prime} \simeq -\lambda x^{-n-2} (QY^- / q - Y_{\text{EQ}}^2),$$

which is easily integrated to give

$$Y_{\infty}^- = a^2 \lambda \int_0^{\infty} x^{-n+1} \exp\{-2x - \lambda Q x^{-n-1} / [q(n+1)]\} dx.$$

The integral can be evaluated by the method of steepest descent

$$Y_{\infty}^- \simeq \frac{a^2 q}{Q} \left[\frac{4\pi}{n+2} \right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{\lambda Q}{2q} \right]^{3.5/(n+2)} \exp \left[- \left[\frac{2n+4}{n+1} \right] \left[\frac{\lambda Q}{2q} \right]^{1/(n+2)} \right].$$

[Using the corrected formula, the estimated relic abundance of antiprotons in the Universe is $Y_{\infty}^- \simeq 10^{18} \exp(-9 \times 10^5)$.]

The relic abundance obtained by correctly integrating the Boltzmann equation for Y^- differs significantly from our estimate which was made by calculating freeze-out using the criterion $\Gamma/H \simeq 1$. From our corrected result it can be seen that the correct freeze-out temperature is about

$$x_f' \simeq (\lambda Q / q)^{1/(n+2)}$$

rather than $(\lambda Q / q)^{1/(n+1)}$. By examining the Boltzmann equation it is clear that freeze-out occurs when $Y^{-\prime} / Y^- \simeq 1$. From the definitions of $\Gamma_{\text{ann}}, H, x$ it follows that this corresponds to the criterion $\Gamma_{\text{ann}} / xH \simeq 1$. Using this criterion leads to an estimated freeze-out temperature of $x_f' \simeq (\lambda Q / q)^{1/(n+2)}$, which agrees with the result obtained above by integrating the Boltzmann equation. In fact, in general it is true that this criterion is a more appropriate and accurate one than the more familiar $\Gamma/H \simeq 1$. (This point has also been emphasized in Ref. 14.) The error made here by using the criterion $\Gamma/H \simeq 1$ is particularly severe as the final abundance depends exponentially upon the freeze-out temperature, whereas in the $\mu = 0$ case the dependences are only logarithmic, cf. Eq. (8).

We thank David Seckel and Gary Steigman for calling this error to our attention and for useful conversations.