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#### Abstract

It is shown that the Coulomb amplitude is the matrix element of the group element $e^{\mathrm{i}(\theta+\pi) \mathrm{J} 2}$ evaluated in a continuous basis in $S O(4,2)$. The method is applied to the relativistic Kepler problem without spins, but with the recoil corrections included. The form of the amplitude for this case is believed to be new.


## I. INTRODUCTION

'T${ }^{\top}$ HERE are a number of recent group-theoretical studies of the Coulomb amplitude. Zwanziger ${ }^{1}$ and Biedenharn and Brussard ${ }^{2}$ have used the symmetry group $S O(3,1)$ of the scattering states to determine the partial-wave amplitudes. This method does not determine the energy-dependent phase of amplitude. This phase is very important because it contains the boundstate poles of the amplitude. Finkelstein and Levy ${ }^{3}$ formulate the scattering amplitude as a function over the group space of $O(3)$ (by relating the relative momentum $\mathbf{p}$ to a point $g$ in group space) and then expand it in terms of the $D^{j}$ functions (harmonic analysis). Finally, Fronsdal and Lundberg ${ }^{4}$ calculate the Coulomb amplitude by using a propagator technique and sum over a complete set of intermediate states in the direct channel. Closely related to the group-theoretical methods is also the work of Schwinger, ${ }^{5}$ who uses Green'sfunctions methods.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the complete Coulomb amplitude is simply the matrix element of a rotation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f(k, \theta) \equiv\langle\text { in }| e^{i(\theta+\pi) J_{2}} \mid \text { in }\right\rangle \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in suitably defined and suitably normalized (see Sec. II) $O(4,2)$ "in" states. This method allows a direct evaluation (not via the angular momentum states) of the full amplitude including energy dependence, entirely without reference to the configuration in space or momentum space. This might be expected because the dynamical group $S O(4,2)$ contains the symmetry group $S O(3,1)$, for fixed energy, as a subgroup. We further generalize the method to the relativistic Kepler problem without spins, but with recoil corrections included. This last result is believed to be new.

[^0]
## II. SCATTERING STATES AND "IN" AND "OUT" STATES IN $O(4,2)$

## Basis States of $O(4,2)$ Representations

Let, as usual, $L_{a \mathrm{~b}}=-L_{\mathrm{b} a}$ be the generators of $S O(4,2)$ $(a, b=1,2,3,4,5 \equiv 0,6) ; L_{i j}(i, j=1,2,3)$ are the angular momentum operators, $L_{i 4}=A_{i}$ the Runge-Lenz vector, $L_{i 5}=M_{i}$ the generators of the Lorentz transformations (the generators of the Galilean transformations are given by $\left.L_{i 5}-L_{i 4}\right), \Gamma_{\mu}=\left(L_{i 6}, L_{56}\right)$ is a four-vector operator, and, finally, $T=L_{45}$ and $S=L_{46}$ are a rotational scalar and a Lorentz scalar operator. In this paper we shall only need the so-called parabolic coordinates defined by the following diagonal operators ${ }^{6}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{56}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle & =n\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle, \\
L_{34}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle & =\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle,  \tag{2.1}\\
L_{12}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle & =m\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle, \\
n & =n_{1}+n_{2}+m+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

These quantum numbers characterize the states in the scattering experiment. The ranges of $n_{1}, n_{2}$, and $m$ for stationary bound and stationary scattering states are well known. ${ }^{7}$ It will turn out that the in and out states require values of $n_{1} n_{2} m$ analytically continued from the stationary scattering states: Let $\psi^{-}$and $\psi^{+}$be the wave functions which asymptotically behave as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{-} \sim e^{i k z}+f^{-}(\theta) e^{-i k r} / r, \\
& \psi^{+} \sim e^{i k z}+f^{+}(\theta) e^{i k r} / r \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the wave functions in parabolic coordinates, we see that the corresponding parabolic quantum numbers are (Appendix A)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\psi^{+}: n_{1}=-1, & n_{2}=n, & m=0 \\
\psi^{-}: n_{1}=n-1, & n_{2}=0, & m=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

[^1]In contrast, for the stationary scattering states we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}(m+1)-\frac{1}{2} i\left(n^{\prime}+\lambda\right), & n=-i n^{\prime} \\
n_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}(m+1)-\frac{1}{2} i\left(n^{\prime}-\lambda\right), & \lambda=\text { real } \tag{2.4}
\end{array}
$$

The following relation exists between the $\psi^{-}$and $\psi^{+}$ states asymptotically (Appendix A):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{-}=R(\pi)\left[\psi^{+}\right]^{*}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(\pi)$ is a rotation about the $y$ axis by $\pi$ under which $z \rightarrow-z$.

Furthermore, two waves whose asymptotic planewave parts are along the $z$ direction, and along a direction $\theta$ with respect to the $z$ axis, respectively, are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\theta^{-}}=R(\theta) \psi_{z}^{-} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(\theta)$ is a rotation by the scattering angle $\theta$ in the $x z$ plane.

## III. AMPLITUDE

The scattering amplitude is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\langle\psi_{f, \theta^{-}}^{-} \mid \psi_{i, z^{+}}{ }^{+}\right\rangle, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ and $f$ refer to the initial and final states. Using first (2.6) and then (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& M=\left\langle\psi_{f, z}{ }^{-}\right| R^{\dagger}(\theta)\left|\psi_{i, z^{+}}{ }^{+}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle\left(\psi_{f, z^{+}}\right)^{*}\right| R^{\dagger}(\theta+\pi)\left|\psi_{i, z^{+}}\right\rangle . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the $O(4,2)$ formulation, the states $\psi^{+}$, characterized by the quantum numbers (2.3), are the so-called tilted states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle_{t} \equiv \mathscr{N} e^{-i \theta L_{45}}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle$ are normalized "parabolic" group states, $\theta$ is the tilting angle, and $\mathscr{V}$ is a normalization constant chosen such that ${ }_{t}\left\langle n_{1} n_{2} m\right|\left(\Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{4}\right)\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle_{t}=1$. In terms of the boson operators, the "parabolic" group states for $m \geq 0$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle=N_{n_{1} n_{2} m} a_{1}^{\dagger n_{2}+m} a_{2}^{\dagger n_{1}} b_{1}^{\dagger n_{1}+m} b_{2}^{\dagger n_{2}}|0\rangle, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n_{1} n_{2} m}=\left[n_{1}!\left(n_{1}+m\right)!n_{2}!\left(n_{2}+m\right)!\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the relevant values (2.3) of the quantum numbers, the factor $N_{n_{1} n_{2} m}$ is a complex quantity. Taking into account the complex conjugation in (3.2), we have to write

$$
M=\frac{N_{n 1 n 2 m}^{*}}{N_{n 1 n 2 m}}{ }_{t}\left\langle n_{1} n_{2} m\right|\left(\Gamma_{0}-\Gamma_{4}\right) e^{i(\theta+\pi) J_{2}}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle_{t}
$$

Inserting a complete intermediate set of group states, using the normalization in (3.3), and the fact that $\left[L_{45}, J_{2}\right]=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{N_{n 1 n 2 m}^{*}}{N_{n 1 n 2 m}}\left\langle n_{1} n_{2} m\right| e^{i(\theta+\pi) J_{2}}\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be evaluated at the values (2.3).

Thus, aside from a phase the amplitude is simply the matrix element of a finite rotation. In Appendix B we evaluate this rotation matrix element. The final result is given in Eq. (B7). Furthermore, from (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{N_{n 1 n 2 m}^{*}}{N_{n 1 n 2 m}} & =\left[\frac{n_{1}!\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)!n_{2}!\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)!}{n_{1}{ }^{*}!\left(n_{2}+|m|\right)^{*}!n_{2}!!\left(n_{1}+|m|\right)^{*}!}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(1+n)}{\Gamma(1-n)} \text { for } n_{1}=-1, n_{2}=n, m=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the amplitude is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{\Gamma(1+n)}{\Gamma(1-n)} \sin ^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right) \exp \left[-n \ln \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to here, the states were normalized in the $n_{1}, n_{2}, m$ basis:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle n_{1}{ }^{\prime} n_{2}{ }^{\prime} m^{\prime} \mid n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\delta_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{1} \delta_{n_{2}}{ }_{2} \delta_{m^{\prime} m} \quad \text { (discrete case) }} \quad=\delta\left(n_{1}^{\prime}-n_{1}\right) \delta\left(n_{2}-n_{2}\right) \delta_{m^{\prime} m} \quad \text { (continuous case). }
\end{align*}
$$

A more suitable basis, looking at Eq. (2.4), is where $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+m+1, \lambda=i\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)$, and $m$ are diagonal. The absolute value of the Jacobian of the transformation is $\frac{1}{2}$. We then pass from this $n$ scale to the $k$ scale:

$$
n=-i \alpha \mu / k, \quad d n=\left(i \alpha \mu / k^{2}\right) d k
$$

where $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant and $\mu$ is the reduced mass. We finally have
$M=\frac{\Gamma(1+n)}{\Gamma(1-n)} \frac{i \alpha \mu}{2 k^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)} \exp \left(+\frac{i \alpha \mu}{k} \ln \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)\right)$
or, in terms of momentum transfer and energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=+i \frac{2 \alpha \mu}{\Delta^{2}}\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{4 k^{2}}\right)^{\alpha(k)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha(k))}{\Gamma(1+\alpha(k))} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta^{2}=4 k^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(k)=i \alpha \mu / k, \quad \alpha=e^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is indeed the Regge-trajectory function.

## IV. RELATIVISTIC CASE

In this section we treat the relativistic Coulomb scattering problem of two spinless particles, masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$. The recoil effects are included; in this sense the problem is more general than the Klein-Gordon equation with $1 / r$ potential. This problem has been formulated in terms of the infinite-component wave equation, ${ }^{8}$

[^2]and later by quasipotential and eikonal methods. ${ }^{9,10}$ The $O(4,2)$ wave equation is
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(\Gamma_{\mu}-\frac{\alpha}{2 m_{2}} P_{\mu}\right.\right.} & \left.+\frac{1}{2 m_{2}} P_{\mu} \Gamma_{4}\right) P^{\mu} \\
& \left.+\frac{m_{2}{ }^{2}-m_{1}^{2}}{2 m_{1}} \Gamma_{4}-\alpha \frac{m_{2}{ }^{2}+m_{1}^{2}}{2 m_{p}}\right] \tilde{\psi}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The mass spectrum obtained is ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right) \pm 2 m_{1} m_{2}\left[1-\alpha^{2} /\left(n^{2}+\alpha^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small $\alpha$-or neglecting the term $\left(\alpha / 2 m_{2}\right) P_{\mu}$ in (4.1) -we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2} \pm 2 m_{1} m_{2}\left(1-\alpha^{2} / n^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(It is actually this last case that has been formulated by quasipotential and eikonal methods. ${ }^{9}$ )

Equation (4.1) still possesses $O(4)$ symmetry, as seen by (4.2), and we can use the same parabolic states as before. It differs in this sense also from the KleinGordon equation. Thus the same formula (3.6) for the scattering amplitude applies. The only change is the connection between the principal quantum number $n$ and the magnitude of momentum $k$, where we must use relativistic kinematics. Because the poles of the $S$ matrix must give back the mass formula (4.3) when $n$ is discrete, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=-i_{\alpha} m_{1} m_{2} / q \sqrt{ } s \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d n}{d k} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)\left[\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)\right]^{-n} \frac{\Gamma(1-n)}{\Gamma(1+n)} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the relativistic case, we use for $k$ the magnitude of the c.m. momenta $q$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d n}{d q}=i \frac{2 \alpha m_{1} m_{2}}{q^{2}} \frac{s^{1 / 2}\left[s-\left(m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right)\right]}{s^{2}-\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, identifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=-4 q^{2}\left[\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can bring $M$ into the same form as Eq. (3.11) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& M=-i \frac{4 \alpha m_{1} m_{2}}{s^{1 / 2}} \frac{s\left[s-\left(m_{1}{ }^{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right)\right]}{s^{2}-\left(m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{t}\left(-\frac{t}{4 q^{2}}\right)^{\alpha(q)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha(q))}{\Gamma(1+\alpha(q))}, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]where
$$
\alpha(q)=i \alpha m_{1} m_{2} / q \sqrt{ } s
$$

In the nonrelativistic limit we recover back precisely Eq. (3.11).

## APPENDIX A : PARABOLIC QUANTUM NUMBERS OF IN AND OUT STATES

The general wave function in the parabolic coordinates ${ }^{7} \xi=r+z, \eta=r-z$ is (in units with reduced mass $\mu$, $\left.\hbar=1, c=1, \alpha=e^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=e^{-(\alpha \mu / 2 n)(\xi+n)} L_{n 1}\left(\frac{\alpha \mu}{n} \xi\right) L_{n 2}\left(\frac{\alpha \mu}{\eta}\right) \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic form of (A1) is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi \rightarrow a c e^{-i k r}+a d e^{-i k z}+b c e^{i k z}+b d e^{i k r} \\
a=\frac{(-i k \xi)^{n_{1}}}{\Gamma\left(n_{1}+1\right)}, \quad b=\frac{(i k \xi)^{-n_{1}-1}}{\Gamma\left(-n_{1}\right)} \\
c=\frac{(-i k \eta)^{n_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(n_{2}+1\right)}, \quad d=\frac{(i k \eta)^{-n_{1}-1}}{\Gamma\left(-n_{2}\right)} \tag{A2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing (A2) with (2.2), we obtain the assignments in Eq. (2.3). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{+} \Rightarrow \frac{(-i k \eta)^{n}}{\Gamma(1+n)} e^{i k z}-\frac{n(i k \eta)^{-n-1}}{\Gamma(1-n)} e^{i k r} \\
& \psi^{-} \Rightarrow \frac{(i k \xi)^{-n}}{\Gamma(1-n)} e^{i k z}+\frac{n(-i k \xi)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(1+n)} e^{-i k r} . \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to verify Eq. (2.5) it suffices to notice that under $R(\pi), z \rightarrow-z, \xi \leftrightarrow \eta$, and that $n$ is pure imaginary, $n=-i \alpha \mu / k$.

## APPENDIX B: EVALUATION OF MATRIX ELEMENT

In terms of the boson operators, the parabolic states are given by ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle=N_{n_{1} n_{2} m} a_{1}^{\dagger n_{2}+m} a_{2}^{\dagger n 1} b_{1}^{\dagger n_{1}+m} b_{2}^{\dagger n_{2}}|0\rangle, \quad \\
&=N_{n_{1} n_{2} m} a_{1}^{\dagger n_{2}} a_{2}^{\dagger n_{1}+|m|} b_{1}^{\dagger n_{1}} b_{2}^{\dagger n 2+|m|}|0\rangle, \\
& m \leq 0 \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

This holds for the discrete spectrum. The operator $J_{i}$ in this representation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{\dagger} \sigma_{i} a+b^{\dagger} \sigma_{i} b\right) . \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $a$ 's and $b$ 's commute, the matrix element (3.6) is simply a product of the rotation matrices on the $a$ 's and $b$ 's separately. Taking the case of $m \geq 0$, we rewrite (B1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|n_{1} n_{2} m\right\rangle=N_{a}(\varphi, M) a_{1}^{\dagger \varphi+M} a_{2}^{\dagger \varphi-M}|0\rangle \\
& \otimes N_{b}\left(\varphi, M^{\prime}\right) b_{1}^{\dagger \varphi+M^{\prime}} b_{2}^{\dagger \varphi-M^{\prime}}|0\rangle \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we can immediately identify from (B1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi & =\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+m\right)=\frac{1}{2}(n-1), \\
M & =\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2}-n_{1}+m\right), \quad M^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1}-n_{2}+m\right) \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

or, in our case, with $m=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi & =\frac{1}{2}(n-1), \\
M & =-M^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{2}-n_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the matrix element in (3.6) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\left\langle n_{1} n_{2} 0\right| e^{i(\pi+\theta) L_{2}}\left|n_{1} n_{2} 0\right\rangle \\
& =\langle\varphi, M| e^{i(\pi+\theta) L_{2} a}|\varphi, M\rangle \otimes\langle\varphi,-M| e^{i(\pi+\theta) L_{2}{ }^{b}}|\varphi,-M\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now each factor is a rotation matrix element with "spin" $\varphi$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D_{M, M^{\varphi}}(\theta+\pi) D_{-M,-M}^{\varphi}(\theta+\pi) \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in terms of the hypergeometric functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
D= & F\left(-\varphi-M, 1+\varphi-M, 1, \sin ^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\theta+\pi)\right]\right) \\
& \times F\left(-\varphi+M, 1+\varphi+M, 1, \sin ^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\theta+\pi)\right]\right) \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we continue this expression to our continuum values,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi & =\frac{1}{2}(n-1), \\
M & =\frac{1}{2}(n+1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& D= F\left(-n, 0,1, \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)\right) \\
& \times F\left(1,1+n, 1, \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)\right) \\
&=\left[1-\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)\right]^{-1-n}=\left[\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)\right]^{-n-1} \\
&=\left[\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)\right]^{-n-1} . \tag{B7}
\end{align*}
$$
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#### Abstract

The possible role of a scalar-meson nonet in determining hadron masses by means of generalized Gold-berger-Treiman formulas is studied. Existing data on scalar-pseudoscalar meson couplings are analyzed, assuming $S U_{3}$-invariant couplings for the unmixed mesons. The $d / f$ ratio for the coupling of octet scalars to the baryon octet is predicted to be the same as in the Gell-Mann-Okubo mass formula. The strength of the transition vacuum $\rightarrow$ scalar meson (induced by the energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu \nu}$ ) is measured by the constant $F_{q}$. Denoting the $S U_{3}$ singlet scalar meson by $\sigma_{0}$ and the octet $\eta$-like scalar by $\sigma_{8}$, we find $F_{\sigma_{0}}$ to be much larger than $F_{\sigma_{8}}$, provided that the $\sigma_{0} B B$ coupling is not considerably smaller than the $\sigma_{8} B B$ coupling. Then $F_{\sigma_{0}}$ is comparable to the usual pion decay constant $F_{\pi}$. The pseudoscalar octet dispersion relation is not scalar dominated. This result is also suggested by analysis of partial conservation of axial-vector current for the scalar-pseudoscalar system, and consideration of the relation to the underlying scale-invariant limit. Implications for the underlying dynamics are discussed.


## I. INTRODUCTION

T${ }^{\top} \mathrm{HE}$ existence and properties of scalar mesons have been the subject ${ }^{1}$ of much theoretical speculation. ${ }^{1,2}$ The difficulty of obtaining unequivocal experimental information has prevented a decisive clarification of the situation. It is also possible that some of the resonances in question are too broad to be described as particles. However, it seems reasonable to assume the existence of a nonet (with average mass around 1 GeV ) of $0^{+}$mesons. Recent studies ${ }^{3-6}$ of broken scale

[^4]invariance have attributed a more fundamental significance to the scalar mesons, i.e., that they should dominate matrix elements of the trace of the energymomentum tensor $\theta_{\mu \nu}$ and thereby determine the masses of the hadrons. Variants of this idea have been expressed previously. ${ }^{7-9}$ This paper is primarily concerned with this question.
In Sec. II the couplings of the scalar and pseudoscalar nonets are analyzed, assuming that $S U_{3}$ symmetry is maintained in the vertices except for mixing effects. This view is not universally maintained; for
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