

**Partially conserved axial-vector current condition in the quark model:
 G_A/G_V for vector mesons and the $\omega\rho\pi$ coupling constant**

T. N. Pham

*Centre de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Polytechnique, Plateau de Palaiseau,
91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France*

(Received 30 December 1981)

The PCAC (partially conserved axial-vector current) condition is implemented at the quark level for hadrons considered as bound states of constituent quarks. Using the Goldberger-Treiman relation and the $\omega\rho\pi$ coupling constant, it is shown that G_A/G_V for the $\omega \rightarrow \rho$ Gamow-Teller transition is lower than the quark-model prediction by 20%. This supports the current view that G_A/G_V for bound quarks is reduced by confinement effects. With this reduction, the decay rates $\Gamma(D^* \rightarrow D\pi^0)$ are calculated and found to be more or less in agreement with measurements.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known fact that $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ is an approximate symmetry of strong interactions.^{1,2} This can be realized in a most simple way³ by giving a small mass for the current quarks which appear in the QCD Lagrangian. In the limit of zero current quark masses ($m_{0q} \rightarrow 0$, $q = u, d, s$) the axial-vector currents associated with the symmetry group $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ are conserved, but the appearance of an octet of massless pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons implies that the symmetry is spontaneously broken.⁴ In particular, for $m_{0u} = m_{0d} \ll m_{0s}$, $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ is an almost exact symmetry. This seems to represent the physical situation where the pion has a very small mass compared to other pseudoscalar-meson masses. Thus the isovector axial-vector current is almost conserved and for all practical purposes we have, to a good approximation,

$$\partial_\mu A_{i\mu} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1)$$

Since Eq. (1) is an operator relation one should get a relation between the form factors (at $q^2 = 0$) for the axial-vector current and the pion-hadron coupling constant for any hadron. This is the generalized Goldberger-Treiman (GT) relation; the most familiar such relation is naturally the GT relation⁵ for G_A/G_V in neutron β decay. This in turn imposes strong constraints on the coupling constants between the Goldstone bosons and the bound states. In this paper we shall first implement the PCAC (partially conserved axial-vector current) condition at the quark level for confined quarks in

hadrons and then apply the GT relation to low-lying vector-meson states. Using a nonrelativistic quark model to calculate the Gamow-Teller transition matrix elements, we obtain a value for the $\omega\rho\pi$ coupling constant in agreement with experiment. We discuss also the case of transitions between vector and pseudoscalar mesons ($1^- \rightarrow 0^-$ transitions).

II. GOLDBERGER-TREIMAN RELATION FOR HADRONS IN THE QUARK MODEL

The success of the nonrelativistic quark model in describing hadron spectroscopy shows that quarks confined in hadrons acquire an effective mass about $\frac{1}{3}$ of the nucleon mass (the so-called constituent quark mass) and that their motion is nonrelativistic to a good approximation.⁶ Starting from this approximation, static quantities⁶ such as magnetic moments, radiative transitions, β -decay matrix elements for low-lying states as well as finer details of hadron mass splitting⁷ (i.e., hyperfine splitting) can be calculated from the QCD interactions and are found to be in good agreement with experiments. In particular, the axial-vector currents can be approximated by the Gamow-Teller transition operator ($\sum_i \frac{1}{2} \tau_i^+ \vec{\sigma}_i$). In this case the PCAC condition can be implemented at the quark level. Consider now the isovector axial-vector current. In the limit of $m_{0u}, m_{0d} \rightarrow 0$ together with the absence of QCD anomalies for the isovector currents $A_{i\mu}$ ($A_{i\mu} = \bar{q} \frac{1}{2} \tau_i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q$, $i = 1, 2, 3$) are conserved and Eq. (1) is a strong operator relation which must be satisfied by all the matrix ele-

ments of (1) between any hadron. The existence of massless pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons follows from this condition, which gives also the generalized GT relation applied to any hadron considered as a bound state of constituent quarks. This constraint is automatically met if it is satisfied by quarks confined in a QCD potential. Let $g_{\pi qq}$ be the coupling constant of pion with quarks. Since the motion of quarks in the QCD potential is assumed to be nonrelativistic, we have in the limit $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ and for the charged pion,

$$2m_q \langle \gamma_5 \rangle + \frac{g_{\pi+qq}}{f} \langle \gamma_5 \rangle = 0, \quad (2)$$

where f is the inverse of the pion decay constant⁸ f_π ($f^{-1} = f_\pi = m_\pi$), m_q is the constituent quark mass, and $\langle \gamma_5 \rangle$ is the expectation value of the single-particle quark field operator $\bar{q}\gamma_5 q$ in this potential. From (2) we have the GT relation at the quark level,

$$g_{\pi+qq} = -2m_q f. \quad (3)$$

Note that $g_{\pi+qq}$ is the $\pi+qq$ vertex function when the quark momenta are on the mass shell ($\not{p}_1 = \not{p} = m_q$). Thus if condition (3) is met and a nonrelativistic description of the bound states is valid, then the GT relation for hadrons can be obtained easily.

In the presence of chiral-symmetry breaking, the pseudoscalar mesons acquire masses which are related to the current quark masses. The axial-vector currents are no longer conserved, but Eq. (3) is still valid if one makes the usual PCAC assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu A_{i\mu} &= \frac{m_\pi^2 f_\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \partial_\mu A_{i\mu} &= \frac{m_K^2 f_K}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_i, \quad i = 4, 5, 6, 7. \end{aligned}$$

We then have for $q^2 \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\pi g_{\pi+ud} + (m_u + m_d) &= 0, \\ f_K g_{K+us} + (m_u + m_s) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3')$$

Furthermore, if one assumes the SU(3)-symmetry relation between the dimensionless meson-quark coupling constants, i.e.,

$$g_{\pi+ud} = g_{K+us},$$

one gets

$$\frac{f_K}{f_\pi} = \frac{m_u + m_s}{m_u + m_d} \quad (4)$$

for $m_u = m_d = 350$ MeV, $m_s = 500$ MeV, Eq. (4) gives

$$\frac{f_K}{f_\pi} = 1.2, \quad (5)$$

which agrees well with the experimental value of 1.28. A probable explanation for the success of this prediction is that SU(3) relation can be used for the dimensionless meson-quark coupling constants even in the presence of SU(3) breaking.

It is easy to see that Eq. (2), when applied to nucleon matrix elements, reproduces the usual GT relation for G_A/G_V . In fact, the pion-nucleon coupling constant is defined by the following relation:

$$g_{\pi+pn} \left\langle \frac{\tau^+ \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2m_N} \right\rangle = g_{\pi+ud} \left\langle \sum_i \frac{\tau_i^+ \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_i}{2m_q} \right\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where $\frac{1}{2}\tau^+\vec{\sigma}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \tau_i^+ \vec{\sigma}_i$ are the nucleon and quark Gamow-Teller transition operators, respectively. Since

$$\left\langle \sum_i \tau_i^+ \vec{\sigma}_i \right\rangle = \left[\frac{G_A}{G_V} \right] \langle \tau^+ \vec{\sigma} \rangle, \quad (7)$$

we have

$$g_{\pi+pn} = - \left[\frac{G_A}{G_V} \right] \frac{2m_N}{f_\pi},$$

which is the GT relation for G_A/G_V in terms of the $\pi+pn$ coupling constant. Using the nonrelativistic quark model [SU(6)] value for G_A/G_V ($G_A/G_V = -\frac{5}{3}$), we get

$$\frac{g_{\pi+pn}^2}{4\pi} = 42,$$

which is bigger than the measured value by 40% ($g_{\pi NN}^2/4\pi = 15$). This discrepancy could be attributed to a renormalization due to QCD interactions for G_A/G_V of confined quarks and/or possible configuration mixing of the nucleon wave function as discussed in the literature.^{6,9}

Similar relations can be obtained for any bound states with nonvanishing Gamow-Teller matrix elements. In particular, the matrix elements of the axial-vector current between vector-meson states is another example in which the dynamics can be described by a nonrelativistic constituent quark model which is successful to some extent in

describing radiative transitions between low-lying meson states.⁶

The covariant matrix element of the axial-vector currents between $\omega(p, \epsilon^\omega)$ and $\rho^0(p', \epsilon^\rho)$ is defined as

$$\langle \omega(p) | A_{3\mu}(0) | \rho^0(p') \rangle = i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (p_\nu + p'_\nu) \epsilon_\rho^\omega \epsilon_\sigma^{\rho*} F_A(q^2). \quad (8)$$

The $\omega\rho^0\pi^0$ vertex is defined similarly as

$$\langle \omega(p) | j_3(0) \rho^0(p') \rangle = i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_\mu p_\nu \epsilon_\rho^\omega \epsilon_\sigma^{\rho*} g_{\omega\rho^0\pi^0}(q^2)$$

(ϵ^ω and ϵ^ρ are the polarization vector for vector mesons and $q = p - p'$ the momentum carried by the neutral pion). $j_3(0)$ is the source of the pion field defined as

$$(\square + m_\pi^2)\phi_3(0) = j_3(0).$$

The GT relation for vector mesons can be obtained either from the PCAC condition or from the analog of Eq. (6) applied to 1^- states. For $q^2 \rightarrow 0$, we have then

$$2F_A(0) + \frac{f_\pi}{\sqrt{2}} g_{\omega\rho^0\pi^0} = 0. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, in a nonrelativistic quark model, $F_A(0)$ is given by the Gamow-Teller transition matrix element for a $1^- \rightarrow 1^-$ transition,

$$\langle \omega(p) | A_{3i}(0) | \rho^0(p') \rangle = 2p_0 \left\langle \chi_\omega^\dagger \left| \sum_a \frac{\tau_a^3}{2} \sigma_{ai} \right| \chi_\rho \right\rangle. \quad (10)$$

χ_ω and χ_ρ are the spin-coordinate wave functions which are identified with the polarization vectors in the nonrelativistic limit (we have assumed that the vector mesons are in a triplet S state according to the $q\bar{q}$ bound-state picture of low-lying mesons). In terms of the quark field operator, A_{3i} is given by

$$A_{3\mu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{u} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u - \bar{d} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d).$$

Since the axial-vector current is *even* under charge conjugation, the Gamow-Teller matrix element for an anti-quark is *the same* as for a quark,

$$\langle \bar{q} | \vec{\sigma} | \bar{q} \rangle = \langle q | \vec{\sigma} | q \rangle.$$

Evaluating (10) for ω and ρ^0 in an $M=1$ state (spin parallel) and comparing (8) with (10), we get

$$F_A(0) = 1,$$

which gives [using (9)]

$$g_{\omega\rho^0\pi^0} = - \left[\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{f_\pi} \right]. \quad (11)$$

This corresponds to a value of $0.6/m_\pi^2$ for $g_{\omega\rho^0\pi^0}^2/4\pi$, which is only 30% larger than the experimental value of $(0.41 \pm 0.09)/m_\pi^2$ obtained from $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ decay.¹⁰

The agreement with experiment is rather good considering the crude approximation for $F_A(0)$. To produce a $\omega\rho\pi$ coupling constant close to the measured value, as with the case of G_A/G_V for the nucleon, one needs an effective $F_A(0)$ lower than the nonrelativistic-quark-model value by 20%. Configuration mixing of the $q\bar{q}$ wave function and renormalization of G_A/G_V for bound quarks may be responsible for this reduction. Since G_A/G_V for current quarks are found not to be renormalized (i.e., $G_A/G_V = 1$) in deep-inelastic neutrino hadron interactions, renormalization of G_A/G_V for constituent quarks would probably come from confinement effects associated with transverse motion of quarks in a QCD potential. Standard quark-model and MIT-bag-model calculations seem to obtain such a reduction of the right order of magnitude.^{6,9} We believe that this reduction of the single-quark matrix element $\langle \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q \rangle$ is more important than configuration mixing since it reduces also the SU(6) value for the nucleon G_A/G_V by the same amount. Assuming that $\langle \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q \rangle$ for a single bound quark is renormalized by g_A , we have instead of (11)

$$g_{\omega\rho^0\pi^0} = - \left[\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{f_\pi} \right] g_A. \quad (12)$$

From the nucleon G_A/G_V , we have

$$g_A = - \left[\frac{G_A}{G_V} \right] \times \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{g_{\omega\rho^0\pi^0}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{0.36}{m_\pi^2}$$

is in good agreement with the measured value mentioned above.

Note that provided that g_A for $\bar{u} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d$ and $\bar{u} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 s$ currents are the same the ratio f_K/f_π is unaffected by the renormalization effects and is still given by Eq. (4).

We now turn to the transitions between vector meson and pseudoscalar meson ($1^- \rightarrow 0^-$ transi-

tion). For bound states of unequal masses, the GT relation in general involves more than one form factor. For the matrix elements between bound states with a small mass difference, the soft-pion limit ($p' \rightarrow p, q \rightarrow 0$) can be used to eliminate terms proportional to $(p^2 - p'^2)$ in the GT relation. The main contribution is given by the Gamow-Teller transition matrix element. As an example, consider the matrix element of $A_{3\mu}(0)$ between D^{*+} and D^+ . These states are known to be well described by $S=1$ and $S=0$ S states of the $c\bar{d}$ system. The matrix element is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^{*+}(p) | A_{3\mu}(0) | D^+(p') \rangle \\ = \epsilon_\mu G_1 + \epsilon \cdot q [(p+p')_\mu G_+ + q_\mu G_-] . \end{aligned}$$

Using PCAC, we have as $q^2 \rightarrow 0$

$$f_\pi g_{D^{*+}D\pi^0} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} [G_1(0) + (p^2 - p'^2)G_+(0)] , \quad (13)$$

where the $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0$ transition matrix element is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0) = 2i\epsilon \cdot q g_{D^{*+}D\pi^0} .$$

In the soft-pion limit ($p' \rightarrow p, q \rightarrow 0$), the coupling constant $g_{D^{*+}D\pi^0}$ is determined completely by $G_1(0)$. The nonrelativistic quark model gives

$$G_1(0) = p_0 g_A .$$

Hence

$$g_{D^{*+}D\pi^0} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left[\frac{M_{D^{*+}}}{f_\pi} \right] g_A . \quad (14)$$

With $g_A = \frac{3}{4}$, we get

$$\Gamma(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0) = 42 \text{ keV} .$$

Similarly, for $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0$,

$$\Gamma(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0\pi^0) = 86 \text{ keV} .$$

For the radiative decays of D^* , we have after a straightforward calculation,

$$\frac{\Gamma(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\gamma)}{\Gamma(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0)} = 20\%$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^+\gamma)}{\Gamma(D^{*0} \rightarrow D^+\pi^0)} = 40\% .$$

Both these calculated branching ratios are more or less in agreement with measurements¹¹ on \bar{D}^* .

Further measurements on $D^* \rightarrow D\pi^0$ would provide a direct check on our essentially model-independent prediction for $\Gamma(D^* \rightarrow D\pi^0)$ obtained from (14). Note, however, the calculated values of Eichten *et al.*¹¹ for $\Gamma(D^* \rightarrow D\pi^0)$ are smaller than our rates by a factor of 2.

It is doubtful whether Eq. (14) can be applied to $K^* \rightarrow K\pi^0$ and $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transitions since the contribution to $g_{K^*K\pi^0}$ (or $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$) from $G_+(q^2)$ in Eq. (13) cannot in general be neglected. However, if one ignores $G_+(q^2)$ as usually done in nonrelativistic quark-model calculations, then Eq. (14) can be used to obtain $g_{K^*K\pi^0}$. This gives a decay rate

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(K^{*+} \rightarrow K^+\pi^0) &= \frac{g_A^2}{12\pi} \left[\frac{p_{\text{c.m.}}}{f_\pi} \right]^2 p_{\text{c.m.}} \\ &= 15 \text{ MeV} , \end{aligned}$$

in good agreement with the experimental value of 17 MeV (the measured width of K^{*+} is 50 MeV). It appears that $G_+(q^2)$ gives a negligible contribution to $g_{K^*K\pi^0}$. It follows that that $G_+(q^2)$ for $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ transitions is also small [using SU(3)] and $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ can now be obtained in terms of $G_1(0)$ only. Applying (14) to the $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transition, we get

$$\frac{g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{g_A^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{2m_\rho^2}{f_\pi^2} \right] = 2.68 ,$$

in good agreement with the value 2.86 obtained from the ρ -meson width. The expressions for $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ and other coupling constants discussed above are identical with those obtained⁶ with the nonrelativistic quark model in which the pion-hadron coupling constant is defined as in Eq. (6) and $g_{\pi qq}$ can be obtained from $g_{\pi NN}$.

Despite the apparent success of the above calculation, it is not clear to what extent these results can be trusted. The main problem is how to reconcile the constituent $q\bar{q}$ -bound-state picture for the pseudoscalar-meson octet (π, K, η) with the special role that these mesons play in the spontaneous breakdown of $SU(3) \times SU(3)$. Because of this special role, the coupling constants $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ and $g_{K^*K\pi}$ should be treated by an effective-Lagrangian approach which incorporates the chiral symmetry in a simple manner.^{4,12} Thus Eq. (14), when applied to $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ and $g_{K^*K\pi}$, serves only as a qualitative indication on how these coupling constants are related to f_π , the fundamental constant which characterizes the spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry.

- ¹M. Gell-Mann, *Physics* (N.Y.) 1, 63 (1964).
- ²M. Gell-Mann, R. J. Oakes, and B. Renner, *Phys. Rev.* 175, 2195 (1968).
- ³S. Weinberg, in *Festschrift for I. I. Rabi* edited by L. Motz (New York Academy of Sciences, New York, 1977).
- ⁴For a review on chiral symmetry, see S. Gasiorowicz and D. A. Geffen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 41, 531 (1969) and other references quoted therein.
- ⁵M. Goldberger and S. Treiman, *Phys. Rev.* 110, 1178 (1958); M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévy, *Nuovo Cimento* 11, 698 (1959).
- ⁶For a review, see J. J. Kokkedee, in *The Quark Model* (Benjamin, New York, 1969).
- ⁷A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, *Phys. Rev. D* 12, 147 (1975); S. L. Glashow, Harvard Report No. HUTP-80/A089, (unpublished).
- ⁸The definition of f is that given in J. A. Cronin, *Phys. Rev.* 161, 1483 (1967).
- ⁹For a review, see F. E. Close, in *An Introduction to Quarks and Partons* (Academic New York, 1979) and references to previous works quoted therein.
- ¹⁰R. F. Dashen and D. H. Sharp, *Phys. Rev.* 133B, 1585 (1964).
- ¹¹T. Appelquist, R. M. Barnett, and K. D. Lane, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 28, 387 (1978); E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, and T. M. Yan, *Phys. Rev. D* 21, 203 (1980).
- ¹²S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* 166, 1568 (1968); Cronin (Ref. 8); J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* 163, 1727 (1967) and other works cited in Ref. 4.